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To: Elizabeth Dunn, AICP, Consulting Planner
City of El Cerrito, Planning Division

From: Darcy Kremin, AICP, Bay Area Environmental Practice Leader

Date: March 30, 2018

Re: California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Documentation for the 10963 San Pablo Avenue Project, El Cerrito, California

This memorandum and its attachments provide a description of the proposed 10963 San Pablo Avenue Project (project) and substantial evidence to confirm that the project is within the planning area for the San Pablo Avenue Specific Plan Final Environmental Impact Report (SPASP FEIR) and would have no new significant environmental effects nor substantially increase the severity of previously identified significant effects, and no new mitigation measures are required beyond those identified in the SPASP FEIR. As such, the City of El Cerrito (City) can approve the project as being within the scope of the SPASP covered by its EIR, and no new environmental document is required. Pursuant to Public Resources Code Section 21166 and CEQA Guidelines Section 15168, the proposed project does not require any further review under CEQA.

The project site is an approximately 0.43-acre lot located at 10963 San Pablo Avenue in El Cerrito, Contra Costa County (APN 509-110-015-5, 509-110-017-1). The project would demolish an existing commercial building and surface parking lot on the site and construct a five-story mixed-use building with 50 market-rate apartment units and approximately 3,000 square feet of ground-floor commercial space, as well as associated open space and landscaping, circulation and parking, and infrastructure improvements.

Attachment A describes the proposed project. This attachment includes a description of the project, location, existing site characteristics, and required approvals and entitlements. The City of El Cerrito is the CEQA lead agency for the project.

The responses in an environmental checklist (included in Attachment B to this memo) prepared for the project demonstrate for each CEQA topic that because the proposed project was evaluated and impacts were mitigated to the degree possible as part of the SPASP and FEIR, no additional CEQA review is required. CEQA Guidelines Section 15168(c)(4) recommends using a written checklist or similar device to confirm whether the environmental effects of a subsequent activity were adequately covered in a program EIR. The responses in the checklist confirm that the project was considered within the scope of the evaluation in the SPASP FEIR; no new impacts were identified and no new mitigation measures are required. This analysis finds that a Notice of Exemption may be prepared for the project and filed with the Contra Costa County Clerk.
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The following describes the proposed 10963 San Pablo Avenue Project (project), which is located in the planning area for the San Pablo Avenue Specific Plan (SPASP). This section includes a summary description of the project location and existing site characteristics, required approvals, and entitlements. The City of El Cerrito (City) is the lead agency for review of the project under the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA).

**PROJECT SITE**

The following section describes the location and characteristics of the project site and provides a brief overview of the existing land uses in the project vicinity.

**LOCATION**

The project site is approximately 0.43 acre (18,259-square-foot lot) and is in Contra Costa County at 10963 San Pablo Avenue on the southwest corner of San Pablo Avenue and Jefferson Avenue. The site is approximately 1 mile northeast of the Richmond Inner Harbor of the San Francisco Bay. Regional vehicular access to the project site is via Interstate 80 (I-80) located to the west of the site; the El Cerrito del Norte BART station is 0.7 mile south of the site. AC Transit bus service is available within 0.25 mile of the project site.

The project site’s bordering streets are Jefferson Avenue to the north and San Pablo Avenue to the east. There is a “no build” easement on the southern edge of the property limits, approximately 140 feet long and 10 feet wide.

The general area is surrounded by developed commercial and residential properties. Figure A-1, *Project Regional Vicinity*, shows the site’s regional and local context. Figure A-2, *Project Location*, depicts the project site and surrounding land uses. Figure A-3 shows the existing site plan for the project site.

**SITE CHARACTERISTICS AND CURRENT SITE CONDITIONS**

The project site is generally level and consists of two adjacent parcels in Richmond (APN 509-110-017-1) and El Cerrito (APN 509-110-015-5). The El Cerrito parcel is on the eastern portion of the site and is an approximately 0.35-acre parallelogram-shaped parcel of land with an approximately 9,135-square-foot one-story commercial building and associated paved and landscaped areas. The Richmond portion of the site is approximately 0.07 acre bordering Jefferson Avenue to the west and is used primarily for parking.

As stated in Section 2.03.04 of the Form-Based Code, a parcel that lies across jurisdictional boundaries is subject to the entitlement process of the jurisdiction in which it has the most land acreage. The other jurisdiction will remain a responsible agency, and projects may be subject to additional design review.

The one-story commercial building is currently occupied by three businesses: a doughnut shop, a hair salon, and an arcade/museum. The site is generally flat and slopes west to east and south to north. Approximately 7,000 square feet of asphalt and concrete are used as a parking lot, which is accessible from a driveway along Jefferson Avenue. A total of 20 striped parking spaces are currently on the site. A concrete access ramp is located on the south side of the building, and a wooden access ramp is on the building’s west side. Approximately 1,700 square feet of landscaped area is primarily on the western perimeter of the building.
The sparse vegetation on the site consists of street trees lining the sidewalks and patches of grass and shrubs around the perimeter and throughout the site.

Utilities, including water, electric, natural gas, and sewage service, are readily available.
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**EXISTING GENERAL PLAN AND ZONING**

The project site is designated Transit-Oriented Medium-Density Mixed Use (TOMIMU) in the City’s General Plan. In addition, the site is zoned as TOMIMU. The TOMIMU designation allows for mixed-use development with a 55-foot height limit. The surrounding properties include multifamily residential buildings to the north, east, and west of the project site, with a mixed-use residential and retail building to the south of the site.

**SAN PABLO AVENUE SPECIFIC PLAN**

In 2014, the City of El Cerrito adopted the SPASP to provide a guide for the future of San Pablo Avenue, identify improvements, and adopt context-sensitive regulations that can be applied along the roadway’s length and to adjacent areas. The SPASP creates a framework for transforming San Pablo Avenue into a multimodal corridor that functions as a place that can provide a multitude of opportunities for living, working, and community life. SPASP key principles are to deepen a sense of place and community identity, attract private investment, strengthen partnerships, enhance the public realm, promote the everyday use of transit, walking, and biking, and foster environmental sustainability.

Environmental impacts associated with implementation of the SPASP were evaluated in the Final Environmental Impact Report (SPASP FEIR).\(^1\) The SPASP FEIR, certified in 2014, evaluates the environmental impacts of approximately:

- 1,706 units of residential development;
- 3,840 new residents; and
- 243,112 square feet of commercial floor area.

The SPASP includes a Form-Based Code that regulates development along the corridor, a plan for complete streets, and infrastructure analysis. The complete streets plan addresses circulation and public investment needs along San Pablo Avenue and adjoining streets to attract inexperienced users to the area, while proactively mitigating the impacts of future population growth on mobility in the SPASP area. The infrastructure analysis identifies the utility providers for San Pablo Avenue, provides a general review of capacity limitations, and recommends feasible improvements and associated costs to avoid significant impacts on the level of service.

**PROPOSED PROJECT**

The proposed project would demolish the existing building and construct a new 39,424-square-foot, five-story, 55-foot-tall podium-style multifamily residential building. The project would include a total of 50 dwelling units and approximately 3,000 square feet of commercial space on the ground floor along San Pablo Avenue (see Figure A-4, Proposed Site Plan, and Figures A-5, A-6, and A-7, Perspective Project Views). The project would also include private roof decks accessible from the second and fourth floors and from the rooftop. A parking garage with 34

---

\(^1\) El Cerrito, City of. 2014. San Pablo Avenue Specific Plan Final Environmental Impact Report.
residential parking spaces would be built along Jefferson Avenue. The project would also include 76 spaces for bicycle parking.

Access to the proposed residential units would be via two entrances along San Pablo Avenue and two entrances from the parking lot at the rear of the project site. The proposed residential units include a combination of studios and 1-, 2-, and 3-bedroom units, as shown in Figure A-4, Proposed Site Plan. Table A-1 lists elements of the proposed project.

### Table A-1
10963 San Pablo Avenue Project Elements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unit Type</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Unit Area</th>
<th>Unit Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Residential Units</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1A</td>
<td>1B/1BA</td>
<td>656 SF</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1B</td>
<td>1B/1BA</td>
<td>612 SF</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2A</td>
<td>2B/2BA</td>
<td>925 SF</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2B</td>
<td>2B/2BA</td>
<td>782 SF</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2C</td>
<td>2B/1BA</td>
<td>742 SF</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2D</td>
<td>2B/2BA</td>
<td>930 SF</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2E</td>
<td>2B/2BA</td>
<td>930 SF</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3A</td>
<td>3B/2BA</td>
<td>1,338 SF</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3B</td>
<td>3B/2BA</td>
<td>1,175 SF</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SA</td>
<td>Studio</td>
<td>446 SF</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Area =</td>
<td>39,424 SF</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Unit Count =</td>
<td>50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commercial Floor Space =</td>
<td>2,989 SF</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parking</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residential Automobile =</td>
<td>34 units</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bike Parking Short-term =</td>
<td>6 units; Long-term = 76 units</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Open Space</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Space =</td>
<td>1,539 SF</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private Space =</td>
<td>5,588 SF</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes:
1. Units in square feet
2. All parking unbundled
3. Private balconies, yards, and roof decks

### Open Space and Landscaping

The proposed project would include a total of 5,588 square feet of private open space area in the form of outdoor balconies, courtyard space, and activity areas for residents. In addition, the project would provide 1,539 square feet of public open space.

The project site includes eight trees; six are to be removed and replaced with new trees planted along Jefferson Avenue and San Pablo Avenue.
FIGURE A-4
Proposed Site Plan

Source: Studio KDA, 2017
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FIGURE A-6
Perspective Project Views
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FIGURE A-7
Perspective Project Views

Source: Studio KDA, 2017

Source: Studio KDA, 2017
This page intentionally left blank
ACCESS, CIRCULATION, AND PARKING

The project would include a parking garage with 31 double-lift parking spaces, 1 individual space, and 2 ADA [Americans with Disabilities Act] spaces, for a total of 34 garage parking spaces reserved for residential uses. The parking facility would include three EV charging stations to accommodate electric vehicles. Vehicles would access the site through a full-access driveway on Jefferson Avenue. The residential parking would be unbundled from the apartment units, meaning that the spaces would be leased separately from the units.

In addition to vehicular parking, a total of 76 bicycle parking spaces would be included in the interior parking garage and exterior surface lot. Long-term bicycle parking would be in secured corrals in both the southwest corner of the garage and along the south elevation of the building in the southwest corner. Access to long-term bicycle parking would be located along the exterior of the building through side gates located on Jefferson Avenue and San Pablo Avenue. Bike storage in the garage would be accessible through the lobby or the garage entrance. Short-term parking would be located along the building frontage on both Jefferson Avenue and San Pablo Avenue. One bicycle stall would be located along Jefferson Avenue and two bicycle stalls would be located along San Pablo Avenue to accommodate a total of six short-term parking spaces.

Pedestrian access to the residences would be provided through a staircase and elevator in the building lobby and a staircase in the garage. The building lobby would be accessible through the main entrance on Jefferson Avenue, through the project garage, and through the walkway on the south side of the project site. The commercial component of the project would be accessible through an entrance along San Pablo Avenue.

UTILITIES AND INFRASTRUCTURE

The project site is in an urban area and is currently served by existing utilities, including water, sanitary sewer, storm drainage, electricity, and telecommunications infrastructure. Most of the existing utilities within the project site would be removed and replaced as required by excavation. Existing and proposed utility connections are discussed below.

Water

Water service in El Cerrito is provided by the East Bay Municipal Utility District (EBMUD). The project would utilize an existing water main located along San Pablo Avenue adjacent to the project site. An existing lateral main provides service to the project site near the southeast corner of the property line.

Wastewater

The Stege Sanitary District (SSD) provides wastewater service to businesses along San Pablo Avenue, including the proposed project site. An existing sewer main runs along San Pablo Avenue adjacent to the project site. No existing lateral service is available.

Stormwater

The project would include 13,550 square feet of impervious surfaces. The project would also include approximately 18,620 square feet of drainage management space. Three bioretention areas would be located on the second-floor roof deck and at ground level on the north and south sides of the proposed building. Additionally, the project would provide 2,093 square feet of
PROJECT DESCRIPTION

new water-efficient landscaped areas. A preliminary Stormwater Control Plan for the project is presented as Appendix HYDRO.

APPROVALS/PERMITS

The following approvals and permits would be required for the project:

- City of El Cerrito CEQA review, various entitlements including Tier II Design Review, grading, and building permit approvals
- East Bay Municipal Utility District (EBMUD) water connections
- Stege Sanitary District (SSD) approval of sewer capacity and connections (per SSD Ordinance 7.2 and California Government Code Section 66013(a))
- Pacific Gas and Electric (PG&E) electricity and gas connections
- San Francisco Bay Regional Water Quality Control Board (RWQCB) stormwater discharge orders R2-2009-0074 and R2-2011-0083
CEQA Guidelines Section 15168(c)(4) recommends using a written checklist or similar device to confirm whether the environmental effects of a subsequent activity were adequately covered in an environmental impact report (EIR). This checklist confirms that the proposed 10963 San Pablo Avenue Project (project) is within the planning area for the San Pablo Avenue Specific Plan Final EIR (SPASP FEIR) and will have no new significant environmental effects nor substantially increase the severity of previously identified significant effects, and no new mitigation measures are required beyond those identified in the SPASP FEIR. As such, the City of El Cerrito (City) can approve the project as being within the scope of the SPASP covered by its FEIR and no new environmental document is required. Pursuant to Public Resources Code Section 21166 and CEQA Guidelines Section 15168, the 10963 San Pablo Avenue Project does not require any further review under CEQA.

**ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1. AESTHETICS. Would the project:</th>
<th>Potentially Significant Impact</th>
<th>Less Than Significant Impact with Mitigation</th>
<th>Less Than Significant Impact</th>
<th>No New Impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a) Have a substantial adverse effect on a scenic vista?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) Substantially damage scenic resources, including, but not limited to, trees, rock outcroppings, and historic buildings within a state scenic highway?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c) Substantially degrade the existing visual character or quality of the site and its surroundings?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d) Create a new source of substantial light or glare that would adversely affect day or nighttime views in the area?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Discussion**

As described in more detail in the project description (Attachment A), the 0.43-acre project site is currently occupied by a one-story commercial building with associated paved and landscaped areas. The general area surrounding the property is developed with commercial and residential uses. The project site is approximately 1 mile north of the eastern shoreline of the Richmond Inner Harbor of the San Francisco Bay. The project site is located approximately 0.3 mile east of Interstate 80. There are no designated scenic highways or scenic vistas within 1 mile of the project site.1,2 There would be no damage to scenic resources or scenic highways.

The project would demolish the existing structure and construct a five-story mixed-use residential apartment building as well as associated open space and landscaping, circulation and parking, and infrastructure improvements. The new building would be constructed on the northeast side of the site.

---


The project would enhance the visual and aesthetic character of the planning area by incorporating Form-Based Code and complete streets design and development standards that support and maintain a strong sense of place and visual identity on San Pablo Avenue. These design and development standards are consistent with the SPASP FEIR and are located in Chapter 2, Form-Based Code, and Chapter 3, Complete Streets, of the SPASP.

The city’s location between I-80 and the East Bay Hills affords views of the Golden Gate Bridge, San Francisco skyline, and Mt. Tamalpais. The primary potentially significant impact to scenic resources identified in the SPASP FEIR was the potential for Specific Plan development to obstruct scenic views of Mt. Tamalpais, the Golden Gate Bridge, the San Francisco skyline, the East Bay Hills, and Albany Hill from public rights-of-way, and areas of lower elevation such as hillside homes in El Cerrito and Richmond (Impact 4-1). This impact was determined to be significant and unavoidable; however, the SPASP FEIR requires individual development projects to complete further evaluation to determine if they meet the standards and guidelines set forth in the Specific Plan. The Specific Plan addresses views from the public rights-of-way that run east and west, as well as from BART platforms. The project plans modeled the views from Gladys Court, as shown in Figure B-1. This image shows that the project will not have an impact on views to the west of elements identified in the Specific Plan (Albany Hill, Mt. Tamalpais, the Golden Gate Bridge, and the San Francisco skyline).

The El Cerrito Zoning Administrator determined that a visual analysis was not required from public rights-of-way of east–west streets for the following reasons:

- The project site is located in the central and western portion of the city, which has a lower elevation and is an area where denser development exists along both sides of San Pablo Avenue.

- Existing conditions on site feature views of Albany Hill, partial views of the East Bay Hills, and Mt. Tamalpais. Upon full buildout, the project would feature a five-story residential building that would afford partial views of the San Francisco skyline, Albany Hill, East Bay Hills, and Mt. Tamalpais.

- The project is not expected to obstruct views of scenic vistas (Albany Hill) from public rights-of-way; views of Albany Hill in the project area are largely obstructed by existing development west and south of the site.

- The project would be consistent with the San Pablo Avenue complete streets design requirements set forth by Chapter 3 of the Specific Plan.

- Streets that run east and west with the potential to be within the viewshed of the project include Jefferson Avenue and Alameda Avenue. Due to the surrounding topography, existing views toward the project site looking west to Jefferson Avenue and Alameda Avenue are obscured by the elevated BART tracks and existing trees. Views toward the East Bay Hills from Jefferson Avenue may be affected by the project. However, views of the East Bay Hills will still be possible from the Jefferson Avenue public right-of-way.

---
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The SPASP FEIR also found that potentially significant impacts could result from the introduction of new light and glare in the plan area (Impact 4-2), but it concluded that implementation of Mitigation Measure 4-2, which requires the installation of nonreflective building materials and windows, would reduce potential glare impacts of individual development projects to a less than significant level. The project would implement Mitigation Measure 4-2 and would not cause any new light and glare impacts.

**Applicable Mitigation**

No substantial changes in environmental circumstances have occurred for this topic, nor revisions to the project, nor new information that could not have been known at the time the SPASP FEIR was certified leading to new or more severe significant impacts. No new mitigation measures, beyond implementation of Mitigation Measure 4-2, are required.

**Conclusion**

The project is generally consistent with the type and intensity of development analyzed in the SPASP FEIR; it is within the allowable height limits, would be consistent with policies related to visual character and design, and would not result in a substantial increase in light and glare. As such, the SPASP FEIR adequately evaluated the potential aesthetic impacts related to the project, and there would be no new impact on visual and aesthetic resources.
**ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Potentially Significant Impact</th>
<th>Less Than Significant Impact with Mitigation</th>
<th>Less Than Significant Impact</th>
<th>No New Impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>II AGRICULTURE RESOURCES.</strong> In determining whether impacts to agricultural resources are significant environmental effects, lead agencies may refer to the California Agricultural Land Evaluation and Site Assessment Model (1997), prepared by the California Department of Conservation as an optional model to use in assessing impacts on agriculture and farmland. Would the project:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a) Convert Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland, or Farmland of Statewide Importance (Farmland), as shown on the maps prepared pursuant to the Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program of the California Resources Agency, to nonagricultural use?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) Conflict with existing zoning for agricultural use, or a Williamson Act contract?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c) Involve other changes in the existing environment which, due to their location or nature, could result in conversion of Farmland to nonagricultural use?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d) Conflict with existing zoning for, or cause rezoning of, forestland (as defined in Public Resources Code Section 12220(g), timberland (as defined in Public Resources Code Section 4526), or timberland zoned Timberland Production (as defined in Public Resources Code Section 51104(g))?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e) Result in the loss of forestland or conversion of forestland to non-forest use?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The project site area is on a developed parcel, and there are no agricultural or forestry resources located within or near the project site. The SPASP area is predominantly urbanized and is classified as Urban and Built-Up Land by the California Department of Conservation.\(^4\) El Cerrito and the SPASP area do not contain any land designated as Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland, or Farmland of Statewide Importance. The project is also not located on land that is currently under a Williamson Act contract.\(^5\) The project site is a combination of primarily commercial and light industrial purposes, is not currently used for any type of agricultural or forestry use, and is not zoned for agricultural or forestry use. The project site does not meet the definition of forestland provided in Public Resources Code Section 12220(g) due to its location in an intensely developed area, which would preclude the management of any forestry resources. Therefore, the project would not result in a significant impact on agriculture or forestry resources.


III  **AIR QUALITY.** Where available, the significance criteria established by the applicable air quality management or air pollution control district may be relied upon to make the following determinations. Would the project:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Potentially Significant Impact</th>
<th>Less Than Significant Impact with Mitigation</th>
<th>Less Than Significant Impact</th>
<th>No New Impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a)</td>
<td>Conflict with or obstruct implementation of the applicable air quality plan?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b)</td>
<td>Violate any air quality standard or contribute substantially to an existing or projected air quality violation?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c)</td>
<td>Result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria pollutant for which the project region is in nonattainment under an applicable federal or state ambient air quality standard (including releasing emissions that exceed quantitative thresholds for ozone precursors)?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d)</td>
<td>Expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e)</td>
<td>Create objectionable odors affecting a substantial number of people?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The project site is located in the San Francisco Bay Area Air Basin (SFBAAB). Air quality and compliance with federal and state standards for the SFBAAB fall under the regulatory authority of the Bay Area Air Quality Management District (BAAQMD). Air quality setting, standards, and regulatory framework were described in Section 5 – Air Quality of the SPASP FEIR.

**Discussion**

**Clean Air Plan Consistency**

An air quality plan describes air pollution control strategies to be implemented by a city, county, or region classified as a nonattainment area. The main purpose of an air quality plan is to bring an area into compliance with the requirements of federal and state air quality standards. The SPASP FEIR stated the SFBAAB was nonattainment for ozone, fine particulate matter (PM$_{2.5}$), and coarse particulate matter (PM$_{10}$), and there have no changes in attainment status for the air basin since certification of the SPASP FEIR.

The Bay Area Air Quality Management District (BAAQMD) guidelines were used for the analysis in the SPASP FEIR to determine whether the Specific Plan would conflict with or obstruct implementation of an applicable air quality plan. When the SPASP FEIR was prepared, the 2010 Bay Area Clean Air Plan was the applicable plan. The plan laid out a comprehensive strategy to reduce emissions of ozone precursors, particulate matter (PM), greenhouse gases, and toxic air contaminants. The plan included 18 Stationary Source Measures (SSMs), 10 Mobile Source Measures (MSMs), 17 Transportation Control Measures (TCMs), 6 Land Use and Local Impact Measures (LUMs), and 4 Energy and Climate Measures (ECMs). The SPASP FEIR (page 5-16) concluded that vehicle miles traveled (VMT) would increase at a lower rate under the SPASP than population or service population growth, resulting in a less than significant impact related to consistency with the then-applicable clean air plan.
The BAAQMD’s current clean air plan is the 2017 Clean Air Plan, which was adopted on April 19, 2017. As described in the 2017 plan, all of the 2010 TCMs were carried forward into the 2017 Clean Air Plan, although the measure descriptions and numbering were updated. In addition, 8 of the 10 MSMs, all 6 LUMs, and all 4 ECMS were carried forward into the 2017 plan. The MSMs primarily address vehicles and their components as they relate to emissions and are not directly applicable to the project. The SSMs are not applicable to the project.

The project would locate future residents within walking distance of public transportation, jobs, restaurants, and services. The project would develop high-intensity, transit-oriented residential and commercial uses on the site, similar to what the SPASP envisioned. In addition, the project’s population and housing units are within the scope of development anticipated by the SPASP FEIR, as stated in Section XIII, Population and Housing, of this document. The project would not result in new or more significant population growth impacts than were analyzed and described in the SPASP FEIR.

Consistency with the Climate Action Plan is determined by whether the project would result in significant and unavoidable air quality impacts or hinder implementation of control measures (e.g., excessive parking or preclude the extension of a transit lane or bicycle path). As discussed above, project implementation would not substantially increase population, vehicle trips, or VMT. Therefore, the project would support the goals of the 2017 Climate Action Plan and would not conflict with any of the control measures identified in the plan or designed to bring the region into attainment. This impact would remain less than significant, as identified in the SPASP FEIR.

**Criteria Air Pollutant and Precursor Emissions**

The SPASP FEIR (page 5-21) identified that construction activities associated with implementation of the SPASP would result in short-term emissions from construction activities, including site grading, asphalt paving, building construction, and architectural coating. Emissions commonly associated with construction activities include fugitive dust from soil disturbance, fuel combustion from mobile heavy-duty diesel- and gasoline-powered equipment, portable auxiliary equipment, and worker commute trips. During construction, fugitive dust is generated when wheels or blades disturb surface materials. Uncontrolled dust from construction can become a nuisance and potential health hazard to those living and working nearby. The SPASP FEIR identified Mitigation Measure 5-1 to reduce construction impacts to a less than significant level. Mitigation Measure 5-1 requires that BAAQMD-recommended basic mitigation measures be implemented to control PM emissions during construction and BAAQMD-recommended additional measures to reduce diesel particulate matter (DPM) and PM$_{2.5}$ and other construction emissions to ensure that short-term health impacts to nearby sensitive receptors are avoided or reduced.

Development of the project would result in similar construction-related, potentially significant short-term air quality impacts as those impacts identified in the SPASP FEIR, and SPASP FEIR Mitigation Measure 5-1 would be required. With implementation of Mitigation Measure 5-1, the project would not result in any new or more significant construction-related air quality impacts due to fugitive dust (PM$_{2.5}$ and PM$_{10}$) and diesel exhaust (DPM and nitrogen oxide [NOx]) than were evaluated in the SPASP FEIR. Architectural coating activities during construction would result in emissions of reactive organic gases (ROG). Table 3-1 in the BAAQMD CEQA Air Quality Guidelines provides project screening sizes for different land uses.7 A mid-rise apartment project

---

7 Bay Area Air Quality Management District. 2017. CEQA Air Quality Guidelines.
of fewer than 240 dwelling units would have construction-related emissions of ROG that would be less than significant. This impact would remain less than significant with mitigation, as identified in the SPASP FEIR.

The SPASP FEIR evaluated operational emissions and concluded the SPASP would not cause significant increases in VMT compared to service population growth and would not interfere with Clean Air Plan control measures (page 5-23). Therefore, impacts would be less than significant in accordance with the BAAQMD significance criteria for plan-level analysis of criteria pollutants and precursors. The project would result in long-term operational emissions of criteria air pollutants and ozone precursors (i.e., ROG and NOx). Project-generated increases in emissions would be predominantly associated with motor vehicle use, energy required for commercial and residential building operations, energy used due to water consumption, energy used in solid waste collection and disposal, and area sources such as hearths and use of landscaping equipment. Mobile emissions from vehicle use are the primary source of NOx. A transportation impact analysis was completed for the project by Fehr & Peers.8 The analysis concluded that the project trip generation and resulting VMT would be less than that accounted for in the SPASP FEIR. Per Table 3-1 in the BAAQMD CEQA Guidelines, a mid-rise apartment project of fewer than 494 dwelling units would have operational-related emissions of ROG that would be less than significant. The project would contribute to, but would not exceed, operational emissions impacts identified in the SPASP FEIR. This impact would remain less than significant, as identified in the SPASP FEIR.

Other Air Pollutants
Some land uses are considered more sensitive to air pollution than others because of the types of population groups or activities involved. Sensitive population groups include children, the elderly, the acutely ill, and the chronically ill, especially those with cardiorespiratory diseases. Residential areas are considered sensitive receptors to air pollution because residents (including children and the elderly) tend to be at home for extended periods of time, resulting in sustained exposure to any pollutants present. Recreational land uses are considered moderately sensitive to air pollution.

As a mixed-use residential/commercial development, the project itself is considered a new sensitive receptor. The closest existing sensitive receptors are multifamily residential buildings adjacent to the project to the west and south. The closest schools are Balboa School approximately 600 feet to the west and St. John the Baptist School approximately 800 feet to the north.

Carbon Monoxide Hot Spots
Recognizing the relatively low carbon monoxide (CO) concentrations experienced in the Bay Area, the BAAQMD’s CEQA Air Quality Guidelines state that a project would have a less than significant impact if it would not increase traffic volumes at affected intersections to more than 44,000 vehicles per hour. As identified in the SPASP FEIR (page16-31), peak-hour traffic volumes attributed to implementation of the SPASP would be far below this threshold. Since intersections affected by the project would have volumes lower than the threshold of 44,000 vehicles per hour, the impact of the project related to localized CO concentrations would therefore be less than significant.

---

Toxic Air Contaminants and PM$_{2.5}$

The SPASP FEIR (page 5-24) concluded that construction activities could result in short-term emissions of DPM, which is a toxic air contaminant (TAC). DPM emissions would be generated with the use of off-road diesel equipment required for demolition, excavation, paving, and other construction activities. Although the use of diesel-powered construction equipment would be temporary and episodic, the SPASP FEIR concluded this would be a potentially significant impact. The SPASP FEIR identified Mitigation Measure 5-2 to reduce potential impacts associated with TAC exposure. Mitigation Measure 5-2 requires individual projects to undergo individual assessment for construction health risks, either through screening or refined modeling. Based on a qualitative screening assessment, the project’s DPM emissions would be limited because the project site is small (0.43 acre) and would not require grading or excavation beyond building footings, which reduces the need for large diesel-powered, off-road equipment. In addition, implementation of SPASP FEIR Mitigation Measure 5-1 would substantially further reduce fugitive dust, diesel PM, and diesel exhaust NOx emissions. Therefore, construction-related emissions of TACs would be less than significant, as identified in the SPASP FEIR.

Implementation of the SPASP would allow new residential land uses that could include sensitive receptors, as well as new nonresidential land uses that would be potential new emissions sources. For long-term operations, the SPASP FEIR (page 5-25) concluded that if projects under the SPASP are located within the overlay distances in Table 5-7 in the EIR, this would represent a potentially significant impact. SPASP FEIR Mitigation Measure 5-3 requires a TAC analysis to determine the location of new sensitive receptors within the overlay distances. The project’s residential uses would be within the overlay distances. A health risk screening analysis was completed following the recommended BAAQMD methodology and using the appropriate BAAQMD tools. Four permitted stationary sources were identified within a 1,000-foot radius of the project site: one gasoline dispensing facility, one dry cleaning facility, and two diesel powered emergency generators. The only high-volume roadway identified within the 1,000-foot radius is State Route (SR) 123 (San Pablo Avenue). The project would site new sensitive receptors on the second floor of the building, 22 horizontal feet from the nearest travel lane of SR 123. Using the data from the BAAQMD Highway Screening Analysis Tool – Contra Costa County, 20 feet, and the Stationary Source Screening Analysis Tool – Contra Costa County, the increased community screening risk and cumulative risk is shown in Table B-1, accounting for distance using the BAAQMD distance adjustment tools. These risks do not represent the actual health risk to the community; they are conservative screening estimates of maximum risk to determine whether further analysis is required. Community health screening risks are below the BAAQMD thresholds of significance. Therefore, new sensitive receptor exposure risk due to stationary or mobile source TAC emissions would be less than significant and no further analysis is required.

---


Table B-1
Community Health Risk Screening for Existing Stationary Sources, Highways, and High-Traffic Roads

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Distance (in feet)</th>
<th>Cancer Risk (in one million)</th>
<th>Chronic Hazard Index (HI)</th>
<th>PM$_{2.5}$ Concentration ($\mu$g/m$^3$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>City of El Cerrito Public Works (Gas Station)</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of El Cerrito Fire Dept. (Generator)</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sunshine Cleaners (Dry Cleaning)</td>
<td>330</td>
<td>7.49</td>
<td>0.020</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of El Cerrito (Generator)</td>
<td>515</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SR 123 (San Pablo Avenue)</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>7.00</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>0.080</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAAQMD Individual Significance Threshold</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exceed Threshold?</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum of Sources</td>
<td>15.64</td>
<td>0.043</td>
<td>0.081</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAAQMD Cumulative Significance Threshold</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exceed Threshold?</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: * = not applicable. Distances are from the source to the closest project property line.

Asbestos-Containing Materials

The project proposes to demolish existing structures on the project site. The Phase I Environmental Site Assessment completed for the project did not identify any asbestos-containing materials (ACMs). However, because the existing structure was constructed prior to 1978, ACMs may be present.

Demolition of the existing structures would be subject to the BAAQMD Regulation 11, Rule 2 – Asbestos Demolition, Renovation, and Manufacturing, which regulates the safe handling and disposal of ACM. California Health and Safety Code Section 19827.5 requires that local agencies not issue demolition permits until an applicant has demonstrated compliance with notification requirements under applicable federal regulations regarding hazardous air pollutants. In accordance with the state regulation, the BAAQMD must be notified prior to demolition or abatement activities. Compliance with state and BAAQMD regulations would

---

11 Basic Environmental. 2015. Phase I Environmental Site Assessment.

ensure the impacts due to airborne asbestos would be less than significant, and no mitigation would be required.

**Odors**

The SPASP FEIR (page 5-30) identified that the SPASP area would include potential odor sources that could affect new sensitive receptors. Most of these major existing sources are, however, already buffered by existing uses. Mitigation Measure 5-4 was adopted to ensure potential land use compatibility impacts due to odors would be appropriately identified and mitigated. Consistent with SPASP policies and SPASP FEIR Mitigation Measure 5-4, the project would be in an area surrounded by commercial uses and would not be in an area where substantial odors (such as those associated with industrial, manufacturing, processing, or treatment uses) are generated. This would result in a less than significant impact, and no mitigation would be required.

**Applicable Mitigation**

No substantial changes in environmental circumstances have occurred for this topic, nor revisions to the project, nor new information that could not have been known at the time the SPASP FEIR was certified leading to new or more severe significant impacts, and no new mitigation measures, beyond implementation of SPASP FEIR Mitigation Measure 5-1 and 5-2, would be required.

**Conclusion**

The project is within the scope of development analyzed in the SPASP FEIR. The project would be required to implement SPASP FEIR Mitigation Measures 5-1 and 5-2. A TAC analysis was prepared in conformance with SPASP Mitigation Measure 5-3, and no significant impact was identified. As such, the SPASP FEIR adequately evaluated the potential air quality impacts of the project, and there would be no new or more severe impacts associated with air quality than previously identified in the SPASP FEIR.
IV BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES. Would the project:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Would the project:</th>
<th>Potentially Significant Impact</th>
<th>Less Than Significant Impact with Mitigation</th>
<th>Less Than Significant Impact</th>
<th>No New Impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a) Have a substantial adverse effect, either directly or through habitat modifications, on any species identified as a candidate, sensitive, or special-status species in local or regional plans, policies, or regulations, or by the California Department of Fish and Wildlife or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) Have a substantial adverse effect on any riparian habitat or other sensitive natural community identified in local or regional plans, policies, or regulations, or by the California Department of Fish and Wildlife or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c) Have a substantial adverse effect on federally protected wetlands, as defined by Section 404 of the Clean Water Act (including, but not limited to, marsh, vernal pool, coastal wetlands, etc.), through direct removal, filling, hydrological interruption, or other means?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d) Interfere substantially with the movement of any native resident or migratory fish or wildlife species or with established native resident or migratory wildlife corridors, or impede the use of native wildlife nursery sites?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e) Conflict with any local policies or ordinances protecting biological resources, such as a tree preservation policy or ordinance?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f) Conflict with the provisions of an adopted habitat conservation plan, natural community conservation plan, or other approved local, regional, or state habitat conservation plan?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Discussion

The project is in a highly urbanized area between the El Cerrito and Richmond city limits. The project site is located on 100 percent disturbed land.

Project implementation would largely result in minimal impacts on biological resources because the area is a highly developed urban area with approximately 90 percent of the land developed, recently disturbed, or ruderal. There are no plant or animal species identified as a candidate, sensitive, or special-status species in local or regional plans, policies, or regulations or by the California Department of Fish and Wildlife or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS), nor does the plan area contain any federally protected wetlands.\textsuperscript{13,14} No creeks, wetlands, or


riparian habitats are near or adjacent to the property; therefore, the project would not result in any significant impacts on these habitats.

The SPASP FEIR identified potential impacts associated with the removal of existing trees with implementation of the SPASP. Removal of existing trees containing nests or eggs of migratory birds, raptors, or bird species during the nesting season could be considered an “unlawful take” under the federal Migratory Bird Treaty Act and USFWS provisions protecting migratory and nesting birds. The project would result in the removal of existing grass and shrubs on the project site. However, tree removal would comply with all City requirements to minimize impacts on biological resources during removal. The FEIR identified Mitigation Measure 6-1 to minimize potentially significant impacts associated with tree removal on nesting birds to less than significant levels.

Applicable Mitigation

No substantial changes in environmental circumstances have occurred for this topic, nor revisions to the project, nor new information that could not have been known at the time the SPASP FEIR was certified leading to new or more severe significant impacts, and no new mitigation measures, beyond implementation of SPASP Mitigation Measure 6-1, would be required.

Conclusion

The project would be consistent with the type of development analyzed in the SPASP FEIR and the El Cerrito General Plan. Tree removal activities would be conducted in conformance with SPASP FEIR Mitigation Measure 6-1. As such, the SPASP FEIR adequately evaluated the potential biological impacts of the project, and there would be no new impact on biological resources.
V CULTURAL RESOURCES. Would the project:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Impact Description</th>
<th>Potentially Significant Impact</th>
<th>Less Than Significant Impact with Mitigation</th>
<th>Less Than Significant Impact</th>
<th>No Impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a) Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>as defined in Section 15064.5?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of an archaeological</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>resource pursuant to Section 15064.5?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c) Directly or indirectly destroy a unique paleontological resource or site or</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>unique geological feature?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d) Disturb any human remains, including those interred outside of formal cemeteries</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Discussion

The SPASP FEIR concluded that the potential impact of development within the plan area on cultural resources, including historic, archaeological, and paleontological resources and human remains, would be less than significant with implementation of recommended mitigation measures. Specifically, disturbance of previously unknown archaeological or paleontological resources, including human remains, could occur during grading and development of individual project sites within the SPASP area, and there is a reasonable possibility that archaeological and paleontological resources could be uncovered during these activities (Impacts 7-2 and 7-3). The SPASP FEIR identified Mitigation Measures 7-2 and 7-3, which would reduce the potential impacts on known or undisclosed cultural resources to less than significant levels.

The SPASP FEIR identified properties or features within the SPASP area that may be eligible for listing in a local, state, or federal register of historic resources (Impact 7-1). While the building on the project site, at 10963 San Pablo Avenue, was not identified in the SPASP FEIR as potentially eligible for listing as a historical resource, it was evaluated for inclusion in the California Register of Historical Resources (California Register) because it was identified as being over 50 years of age.

In compliance with SPASP FEIR Mitigation Measures 7-1 and 7-2, a field survey and evaluation for architectural resources was completed, and a records search (#17-1157) was undertaken at the Northwest Information Center (NWIC) of the California Historical Resources Information System (CHRIS) at Sonoma State University in Rohnert Park for the project site and vicinity. However, a field survey for archaeology was not completed because the entire project site is covered with a commercial building and a paved surface parking lot. Based on the records search, there are no known historical or archaeological resources located within the project site. One prehistoric archaeological resource (P-07-004477) was identified approximately 0.25 mile from the project site. P-07-004477 consists of midden soils and shell fragments. Further, this area of El Cerrito has been identified as sensitive for buried prehistoric cultural resources.\(^\text{15}\)

---

The commercial building at 10963 San Pablo Avenue was evaluated for inclusion in the California Register to determine if it is a historical resource as defined by CEQA Section 15064.5(a). The evaluation identified 10963 San Pablo Avenue to have significance as one of El Cerrito's oldest buildings; however, it lacks integrity because it is no longer recognizable as a 1902 Italianate-style commercial building. Owners and occupying businesses do not appear to have been influential, and the current architecture is not noteworthy nor associated with a master architect/builder/developer. The building therefore does not appear eligible for listing in the California Register and does not qualify as a historical resource under CEQA. (See Appendix CUL.)

The records search of the project site and the California Register evaluation of 10963 San Pablo Avenue identified no historical or archaeological resources on the project site; however, there is elevated prehistoric archaeological sensitivity and the potential exists for previously unknown cultural resources to be encountered during ground-disturbing activities at the site. Mitigation Measures 7-2 and 7-3, which specify compliance with existing codes and regulations applicable to the accidental discovery of archaeological and paleontological resources and human remains during construction activities, would be required to be implemented. As such, with implementation of previously identified mitigation measures, the project would have no new impact on cultural resources.

Applicable Mitigation

No substantial changes in environmental circumstances have occurred for this topic, nor revisions to the project, nor new information that could not have been known at the time the SPASP FEIR was certified leading to new or more severe significant impacts, and no new mitigation measures, beyond implementation of SPASP Mitigation Measures 7-2 and 7-3, would be required.

Conclusion

The project would be consistent with the type of development analyzed in the SPASP FEIR. Ground-disturbing activities would be conducted in conformance with SPASP Mitigation Measures 7-2 and 7-3. As such, the SPASP FEIR adequately evaluated the potential cultural resource impacts of the project, and there would be no new impact on cultural resources.
VI GEOLOGY AND SOILS. Would the project:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Potentially Significant Impact</th>
<th>Less Than Significant Impact with Mitigation</th>
<th>Less Than Significant Impact</th>
<th>No New Impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a) Expose people or structures to potential substantial adverse effects, including the risk of loss, injury, or death, involving:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>i) Rupture of a known earthquake fault, as delineated on the most recent Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zoning Map issued by the State Geologist for the area or based on other substantial evidence of a known fault? Refer to Division of Mines and Geology Special Publication 42.</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ii) Strong seismic ground shaking?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iii) Seismic-related ground failure, including liquefaction?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iv) Landslides?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) Result in substantial soil erosion or the loss of topsoil?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c) Be located on a geologic unit or soil that is unstable, or that would become unstable as a result of the project, and potentially result in on- or off-site landslide, lateral spreading, subsidence, liquefaction, or collapse?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d) Be located on expansive soil, as defined in Table 18-1-B of the Uniform Building Code (1994), creating substantial risks to life or property?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e) Have soils incapable of adequately supporting the use of septic tanks or alternative wastewater disposal systems where sewers are not available for the disposal of wastewater?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Discussion

Discussion of potential geology and soils impacts associated with the project are based on the geotechnical investigation, which is presented as Appendix GEO in this report.16

Subsurface Conditions

Boring test results from the project site show alluvial deposits, mostly consisting of hard clays with varying sand content with moderate liquefaction potential. Most recent groundwater testing results for the project site indicate groundwater measurements ranging from 4.8 to 5.7 feet below ground surface (bgs).17

---


Seismic Conditions

The closest active earthquake faults in the project vicinity are the Hayward, San Andreas, and Calaveras faults. The Hayward fault is approximately 1 mile from the project site and of the three is the closest. Based on U.S. Geological Survey research, the San Francisco Bay Area will likely experience an earthquake magnitude of 6.7 or greater by 2045.¹⁸

According to the geotechnical investigation (Appendix GEO), it is likely the project site would experience damage from seismic ground shaking. Risk of damage to the project site from fault rupturing, landsliding, compaction, liquefaction, and lateral spreading is relatively low.

Summary

The SPASP FEIR concluded that the geologic and soil impacts in the plan area are primarily related to potential ground shaking and associated impacts related to ground failure. Since the SPASP area is not located in an Earthquake Fault Hazard Zone, the likelihood of surface fault rupture is minimal. In addition, the SPASP FEIR found that slope instability hazards are also minimal due to the absence of appreciable slopes in the SPASP area. Furthermore, the SPASP area is served by a comprehensive, integrated wastewater collection, treatment, and disposal system. Neither septic tank systems nor alternative wastewater disposal systems are proposed as part of the SPASP, including the project.

The SPASP area is susceptible to ground shaking from the Hayward fault or one of the other active faults in the region. However, the SPASP FEIR determined that impacts related to ground shaking would be less than significant with compliance with the latest California Building Standards Code. The project would be designed and constructed in accordance with these requirements. In compliance with SPASP Mitigation Measure 8-1, the project applicant has prepared a geotechnical report for the project site (Appendix GEO). The project would also implement project design features and actions discussed in Appendix GEO to reduce these impacts to a less than significant level.

The SPASP FEIR concluded that grading and construction activities within the SPASP area may result in minor erosion or the minor loss of some topsoil. However, implementation of City-required grading and construction-period erosion control techniques outlined in the geotechnical report would reduce the potential impact to a less than significant level.

Applicable Mitigation

No substantial changes in environmental circumstances have occurred for this topic, nor revisions to the project, nor new information that could not have been known at the time the SPASP FEIR was certified leading to new or more severe significant impacts, and no new mitigation measures would be required.

Conclusion

The project is consistent with the type of development analyzed in the SPASP FEIR and would be required to comply with the California Building Standards Code and City-required erosion control techniques. As such, the SPASP FEIR adequately evaluated the potential geology and soil impacts of the project, and there would be no new impact associated with geology and soils.

The project site is located in the San Francisco Bay Area Air Basin (SFBAAB). Air quality and compliance with state greenhouse gas (GHG) and climate change goals and policies for the SFBAAB fall under the regulatory authority of the BAAQMD. GHG science, standards, and regulatory framework were described in Section 9 – Greenhouse Gas Emissions and Global Climate Change of the SPASP FEIR.

GHG emissions in this discussion are presented in carbon dioxide equivalents (CO₂e), which weigh each gas by its global warming potential. Expressing GHG emissions in CO₂e takes the contribution of all GHG emissions to the greenhouse effect and converts them to a single unit equivalent to the effect that would occur if only CO₂ were being emitted.

Discussion

GHG Emissions

The BAAQMD CEQA Air Quality Guidelines contain a methodology and thresholds of significance for evaluating GHG emissions. BAAQMD thresholds were developed based on substantial evidence that such thresholds represent quantitative levels of GHG emissions, compliance with which means that the environmental impact of the GHG emissions would normally not be cumulatively considerable under CEQA.¹⁹ ²⁰

The BAAQMD recommends that lead agencies determine appropriate air quality thresholds to use for each project they review based on substantial evidence that they should include in the administrative record for the project. The BAAQMD provides the CEQA Thresholds Options and Justification Report developed by staff in 2009 as a reference for lead agencies when determining appropriate thresholds.

The BAAQMD does not have an adopted threshold of significance for construction-related GHG emissions. The lead agency is encouraged to incorporate best management practices to reduce GHG emissions during construction, as applicable. Best management practices may include, but are not limited to, using alternative fueled (e.g., biodiesel, electric) construction vehicles/equipment of at least 15 percent of the fleet, using local building materials of at least
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10 percent, and recycling or reusing at least 50 percent of construction waste or demolition materials.\textsuperscript{21}

For operational emissions, the BAAQMD suggests applying a specific plan-level GHG efficiency threshold of 6.6 metric tons (MT) CO\textsubscript{2}e per year per service population. The SPASP FEIR compared plan-level GHG emissions to the more conservative BAAQMD project-level efficiency threshold of 4.6 MT CO\textsubscript{2}e per year per service population.

In the SPASP FEIR (page 9-7), operational GHG emissions in 2040 were estimated for both traffic scenarios—Without Mode Shift and With Mode Shift—using California Emissions Estimator Model (CalEEMod) Version 2013.2.2. Inputs of land use types, sizes, and trip generation rates from the SPASP were used in the modeling. The SPASP FEIR found that 2040 full development capacity associated with development under the SPASP would have emissions of 3.9 and 3.7 MTCO\textsubscript{2}e per year per service population under the Without Mode Shift and With Mode Shift cases, respectively, which would not exceed the BAAQMD threshold of 4.6 MTCO\textsubscript{2}e per year per service population. Therefore, the SPASP FEIR concluded this impact would be less than significant.

The project’s population and housing units are within the scope of development anticipated by the SPASP FEIR, as stated in Section XIII, Population and Housing, of this document. The project would not result in new or more significant population growth than was analyzed and described in the SPASP FEIR. A transportation impact analysis was completed for the project by Fehr & Peers, which concluded that the project trip generation and resulting VMT would be less than that accounted for in the SPASP FEIR.\textsuperscript{22} Therefore, the project would contribute to, but would not exceed, GHG emissions impacts identified in the SPASP FEIR. This impact would remain less than significant, as identified in the SPASP FEIR.

Consistency with Adopted Plans to Reduce GHG Emissions

The SPASP FEIR analyzed this impact (page 9-9) and concluded that the SPASP would be subject to new requirements under rule making developed at the state and local levels regarding GHG emissions. The plan would also be subject to local and General Plan policies, including the El Cerrito Climate Action Plan, that are expected to reduce emissions of GHGs. Therefore, this impact is considered less than significant.

As required by the Sustainable Communities and Climate Protection Act of 2008 (Senate Bill 375), the Association of Bay Area Governments (ABAG) and the Metropolitan Transportation Commission (MTC) developed a Sustainable Community Strategy (SCS) plan as a component of Plan Bay Area 2040.\textsuperscript{23} This plan seeks to reduce GHG and other mobile source emissions through coordinated transportation and land use planning to reduce VMT. The SPASP furthers these goals locally by supporting higher-density, transit-oriented development that results in a mix of housing types, greater employment density, and community-support services to create a vibrant, walkable Priority Development Area (PDA) supportive of transportation mode shift and economic development.

\textsuperscript{21} Bay Area Air Quality Management District. 2017. CEQA Air Quality Guidelines, page 4-08.

\textsuperscript{22} Fehr & Peers. 2017. 10963 San Pablo Avenue – Transportation Impact Analysis.

The El Cerrito Climate Action Plan outlines the most effective actions to reduce locally produced GHG emissions and to create a safer and more sustainable El Cerrito.²⁴ The plan outlines a series of sustainable community strategies, which include encouraging more compact, higher-density infill development to reduce VMT. The SPASP supports the goal to create a walkable, bicycle-friendly San Pablo Avenue supported by strong public transportation use, vital commercial activity, a mix of housing types, pedestrian design elements, green infrastructure, and urban green open spaces.

The project would locate future residents within walking distance of public transportation, jobs, restaurants, and services. The project would develop high-intensity, transit-oriented residential and commercial uses on the site, similar to what the SPASP envisioned and in support of land use planning strategies identified in Plan Bay Area 2040 and the El Cerrito Climate Action Plan. Therefore, the project would not conflict with adopted plans to reduce GHG emissions. This impact would remain less than significant, as identified in the SPASP FEIR.

**Applicable Mitigation**

No substantial changes in environmental circumstances have occurred for this topic, nor revisions to the project, nor new information that could not have been known at the time the SPASP FEIR was certified leading to new or more severe significant impacts, and no new mitigation measures would be required.

**Conclusion**

The project is within the scope of development analyzed in the SPASP FEIR. The project would be required to comply with the 2016 California Green Building Standards Code and the El Cerrito Climate Action Plan. As such, the SPASP FEIR adequately evaluated the potential GHG emissions impacts of the project, and there would be no new impact associated with GHG emissions than previously identified in the SPASP FEIR.
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## VIII HAZARDS AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS

**Would the project:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>a)</th>
<th>Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through the routine transport, use, or disposal of hazardous materials?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>b)</th>
<th>Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through reasonably foreseeable upset and accident conditions involving the release of hazardous materials into the environment?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>c)</th>
<th>Emit hazardous emissions or handle hazardous or acutely hazardous materials, substances, or waste within one-quarter mile of an existing or proposed school?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>d)</th>
<th>Be located on a site which is included on a list of hazardous materials sites compiled pursuant to Government Code Section 65962.5 and, as a result, would it create a significant hazard to the public or the environment?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>e)</th>
<th>For a project located within an airport land use plan area or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within 2 miles of a public airport or a public use airport, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>f)</th>
<th>For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>g)</th>
<th>Impair implementation of, or physically interfere with, an adopted emergency response plan or emergency evacuation plan?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>h)</th>
<th>Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury, or death involving wildland fires, including where wildlands are adjacent to urbanized areas or where residences are intermixed with wildlands?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Discussion

Hazardous materials impact analysis is based on the Phase I Environmental Site Assessment (ESA), which is included as Appendix HAZ of this report.²⁵ The Phase I ESA identifies recognized environmental conditions (REC) indicating the presence of any hazardous substances or petroleum hydrocarbons in structures, soils, groundwater, or surface water on the project site. The Phase I ESA includes a visual evaluation of the presence of asbestos, lead paint, radon, or mold.
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²⁵ Basics Environmental. 2015. Phase I Environmental Site Assessment. 10963–10979 San Pablo Avenue, El Cerrito, California.
Project Site

As described in the project description of this report, the property is on a 0.43-acre parcel with a one-story, 9,360-square-foot commercial building and associated pavement and landscaped areas. The parcel is bordered by San Pablo Avenue and Jefferson Avenue. The commercial space is currently occupied by three businesses: a doughnut shop, a hair salon, and an arcade and museum. There are currently no hazardous materials or other conditions associated with the three businesses. General observations of the rest of the associated paved and landscaped areas did not reveal any obvious evidence of hazardous materials, stains, or spills. No obvious evidence of underground storage tanks, collection drains, sumps, underground hydraulic hoists, distressed vegetation, or surface impoundments were observed on the project site. No wells or groundwater monitoring wells were observed on or near the project site.

Asbestos

The current building on the project site was built prior to 1979, and it is likely that asbestos was used in building construction. No evidence of asbestos was evident on the property; however, an asbestos survey was not conducted for the Phase I ESA. To confirm if any asbestos materials are contained within the structure on the subject site, an asbestos survey should be performed by a trained asbestos professional. Since the existing structure would be demolished, an asbestos inspection would be required, pursuant to the National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants (NESHAP).

Lead-Based Paint

Lead-based paint is any paint, varnish, stain, or other applied coating that has 1 milligram (mg) per square centimeter (cm) (or 5,000 µg/g by dry weight) or more of lead. A lead-based paint survey was not conducted as part of the Phase I ESA. However, the existing structure was confirmed to have been constructed before the ban on lead-based paints in 1978; thus, lead-based paints may have been used in its construction. As stated in the Phase I ESA, visual observations showed that the painted surfaces of the structure appeared to be in fair condition with no obvious signs of chipping, cracking, and/or significant health risk concerns (Appendix HAZ).

Radon

Radon is a naturally occurring radioactive gas that is odorless, invisible, and without taste. It is released during the natural decay of uranium, which is present in most rock, soil, and water. Radon testing was not conducted at the property as part of the Phase I ESA. However, based on the Map of Radon Zones provided by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), there is a low potential that radon concentrations at or above 4 picocuries per liter (pCi/l) are present at the site. Concentrations at or above 4 pCi/l are considered to be concentrations of concern per the EPA and the California Environmental Protection Agency (CalEPA).

Mold

Molds have been found to cause a variety of health problems in humans, including allergic, toxicological, and infectious conditions. A mold survey was not conducted as a part of the Phase I ESA. However, no obvious evidence of mold or water-damaged materials was observed within easily accessible areas of the structure (Appendix HAZ).

Recognized Environmental Conditions

Recognized environmental conditions (RECs) are defined as “the presence or likely presence of any hazardous substances or petroleum products in, on, or at a property: (1) due to release to the environment; (2) under conditions indicative of a release to the environment; or (3) under
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conditions that pose a material threat of a future release to the environment."26 The Phase I ESA concluded that there were no apparent obvious RECs on site that warrant further investigation or documentation at the time of the evaluation.

Adjacent Properties

A visual assessment of four adjacent properties was conducted for hazards and hazardous materials as part of the Phase I ESA. The properties included three residential apartment buildings and one mixed-use residential/retail complex. No obvious evidence of significant environmental concerns was observed on any of the sites.

Environmental Database Review

Environmental Data Resources Inc. (EDR) compiled data from available government agency databases on locations of actual and potentially impacted sites within a 1-mile radius of the project site. Copies of the environmental database lists and the location map for the subject site are included in Appendix HAZ. Data includes site assessment for soil vapor screening from contaminated soil or groundwater on or near the project site, soil, water, and leaking underground storage tank (LUST) contamination. Databases include the State Water Resources Control Board’s GeoTracker database and the California Department of Toxic Substances Control’s EnviroStor website. No properties included in EDR’s investigation would pose a significant environmental risk to the project. A complete list of mapped sites is in Appendix HAZ.

Schools

There are several private and public schools within 1 mile of the project site, including St. John the Baptist, Balboa School, Cameron School, Caliber: Beta Academy, Summit Public School, and Windrush School. The closest schools near the project site are Balboa School, approximately 600 feet to the west, and St. John the Baptist School, a private K–8 school, approximately 800 feet to the north.

Airports and Airstrips

The project site is approximately 20 miles northwest of the nearest public airport, Oakland International Airport. There are no private airstrips in the vicinity of the plan area; however, a helipad at Doctors Medical Center is approximately 1.5 miles from the SPASP area.

Emergency Response

The El Cerrito Fire Department (ECFD) is responsible for the City’s Emergency Operations Center and development of the Emergency Operations Plan in the event of a major disaster affecting El Cerrito and the community of Kensington. The Cities of El Cerrito and Richmond share reciprocal duties for emergency response services. The Richmond Fire Department (RFD) Office of Emergency Services leads the City of Richmond’s comprehensive emergency management, including planning and preparedness for, response and recovery from, and mitigation of natural, man-made, and accidental incidents of high consequence. In addition, both the ECFD and the RFD participate in the Community Emergency Response Team program, which provides training for fire safety, hazardous material and terrorist incidents, disaster medical operations, and search and rescue to enable its citizens to be self-sufficient for up to 72 hours and beyond in the event of a major disaster.

Wildfire Hazards
Areas of Very High Fire Hazard Severity are designated in the El Cerrito General Plan, and a Special Study Map is prepared and maintained by the City’s Building Official. These areas are located near East Bay Regional Park District open space and certain City parks, but the project is not located in the vicinity of a wildfire hazard area.

The SPASP FEIR concluded that there are no significant impacts associated with hazards and hazardous materials within the SPASP area. The SPASP did identify the potential to expose construction workers to existing spilled, leaked, or otherwise discharged hazardous materials or wastes during project construction due to the large number of auto-related businesses in the SPASP area. However, the SPASP FEIR determined that compliance with all applicable, existing, jurisdictional city, regional, and state mandated site assessment, remediation, removal, and disposal requirements for soil, surface water, and/or groundwater contamination would ensure that potential impacts are less than significant. Specifically, compliance with City of El Cerrito, Regional Water Quality Control Board, and California Department of Toxic Substances Control requirements would ensure that health and safety impacts associated with implementation of individual development projects are less than significant.

The SPASP FEIR determined that the proposed residential, commercial, and open space uses as part of the SPASP would not involve the routine transport, use, storage, or disposal of hazardous materials to the extent that a significant public or environmental hazard would occur. Operations in the SPASP area may involve the occasional transport, use, storage, or disposal of common hazardous substance such as fuel, paint, and solvents but would be subject to local, state, and federal regulations. The SPASP determined that implementation of these standard regulations would ensure that potential impacts would be less than significant.

According to these requirements, the project would be required to investigate any potential soil or groundwater contamination at the site and comply with existing regulations. As the discussion above states, the Phase I ESA prepared for the project concluded that no existing environmental hazards would impact the project.

The closest schools are Balboa School, approximately 600 feet to the west, and St. John the Baptist School, approximately 800 feet to the north. The project, as a residential mixed-use site, would not result in impacts related to handling hazardous materials near a school. Because the project is not located within the Oakland International Airport Influence Area, no safety hazards would be anticipated. The SPASP FEIR states that all roadways must be engineered and maintained to support emergency response vehicles and equipment. In addition, the SPASP area, including the project site, is not within or adjacent to a wildland area and would not be subject to wildland fire risks.

Applicable Mitigation
No substantial changes in environmental circumstances have occurred for this topic, nor revisions to the project, nor new information that could not have been known at the time the
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SPASP FEIR was certified leading to new or more severe significant impacts, and no new mitigation measures would be required.

Conclusion

The project is consistent with the type of development analyzed in the SPASP FEIR and would be required to comply with existing regulations related to hazardous soil or groundwater conditions at the site during ground-disturbing activities. As such, the SPASP FEIR adequately evaluated potential impacts related to hazards and hazardous materials at or affecting the proposed project site, and there would be no new impacts associated with hazards and hazardous materials.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>IX HYDROLOGY AND WATER QUALITY. Would the project:</th>
<th>Potentially Significant Impact</th>
<th>Less Than Significant Impact with Mitigation</th>
<th>Less Than Significant Impact</th>
<th>No New Impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a) Violate any water quality standards or waste discharge requirements?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) Substantially deplete groundwater supplies or interfere substantially with groundwater recharge such that there would be a net deficit in aquifer volume or a lowering of the local groundwater table level (e.g., the production rate of pre-existing nearby wells would drop to a level which would not support existing land uses or planned uses for which permits have been granted)?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c) Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, in a manner which would result in substantial erosion or siltation on- or off-site?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d) Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, or substantially increase the rate or amount of surface runoff in a manner that would result in flooding on- or off-site?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e) Create or contribute runoff water which would exceed the capacity of existing or planned stormwater drainage systems or provide substantial additional sources of polluted runoff?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f) Otherwise substantially degrade water quality?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g) Place housing within a 100-year flood hazard area as mapped on a federal Flood Hazard Boundary or Flood Insurance Rate Map or other flood hazard delineation map?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>h) Place within a 100-year flood hazard area structures that would impede or redirect flood flows?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>i) Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury, or death involving flooding, including flooding as a result of a failure of a levee or dam?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>j) Inundation by seiche, tsunami, or mudflow?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Discussion**

The existing site consists of an approximately 9,135-square-foot one-story commercial building and an asphalt parking lot which takes up approximately 7,000 square feet of the site. Landscaping surrounds the parking lot and covers approximately 1,700 square feet of the site, with small areas of concrete walkways making up the rest of the site.

The site slopes from west to east and south to north. Runoff from the bordering existing paved roads is collected in five storm drain inlets (three to the north and two to the south) at the corner of Jefferson Avenue and S. 56th Street in the Richmond city limits; the runoff then discharges to an existing 30-inch storm drain line located in S. 56th Street.
Soil classification for this site is Group D.\textsuperscript{30} A geotechnical report describing site soil conditions is presented as Appendix GEO of this report.

Summary

The SPASP FEIR determined that long-term water quality impacts associated with implementation of the SPASP could result in contamination of plan area stormwater runoff with petroleum and other contaminants from motor vehicles. However, compliance with State Water Resources Control Board (SWRCB) and jurisdictional City-required post-construction, non-point-source pollution control measures would ensure that such impacts would be reduced to less than significant levels. In addition, the SPASP FEIR determined that compliance with applicable SWRCB and City of El Cerrito water quality protection requirements and conditions would ensure any potential construction period and post-construction water quality impacts would be reduced to a less than significant level.

Construction projects are also required to prepare a Stormwater Control Plan, which requires implementation of best management practices to control stormwater peak flows and pollutant levels. This requirement is stipulated in Provision C.3 of the Contra Costa County National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES). All projects within the SPASP area must comply with NPDES requirements, including the project. The project includes a Stormwater Control Plan presented as Appendix HYDRO of this report.\textsuperscript{31} The City will confirm that this plan conforms to all applicable local and state requirements as part of the development review process.

The proposed increase in population and traffic associated with the project could increase discharge of pollutants in stormwater runoff beyond current levels after partial or full buildout of the SPASP. The project would decrease the amount of pervious surface on the site by replacing the existing 16,477 square feet of impervious surfaces on the site with 13,550 square feet of impervious surfaces. The project would also comply with design specifications and Integrated Management Practices described in Appendix HYDRO. In addition, full compliance with the Contra Costa County NPDES permit guidelines for stormwater discharge would ensure impacts would be less than significant.

The SPASP FEIR identified that portions of the plan area in Richmond along Central Avenue are located within a 100-year flood zone. However, the project site is not located in this zone and would therefore not result in any impacts related to flooding. The project is not located near a dam or levee. There are no rivers or streams near the project area. Furthermore, the SPASP area is not subject to inundation by seiche or mudflow. The southwest portion of the SPASP along Central Avenue in Richmond is located near a Tsunami Inundation Zone; however, the project is not located near this area.

Applicable Mitigation

No substantial changes in environmental circumstances have occurred for this topic, nor revisions to the project, nor new information that could not have been known at the time the SPASP FEIR was certified leading to new or more severe significant impacts, and no new mitigation measures would be required.


\textsuperscript{31} BKF Engineers. 2017. Preliminary Stormwater Control Plan for San Pablo Apartments, 10963 San Pablo Avenue.
Conclusion

The project is consistent with the type of development analyzed in the SPASP FEIR and would be required to comply with existing regulations related to stormwater discharge. As such, the SPASP FEIR adequately evaluated the hydrology and water quality impacts of the project, and there would be no new impacts associated with hydrology and water quality.
### Environmental Checklist

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>X LAND USE AND PLANNING. Would the project:</th>
<th>Potentially Significant Impact</th>
<th>Less Than Significant Impact with Mitigation</th>
<th>Less Than Significant Impact</th>
<th>No New Impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a) Physically divide an established community?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) Conflict with any applicable land use plan, policy, or regulation of an agency with jurisdiction over the project (including, but not limited to, the general plan, specific plan, local coastal program, or zoning ordinance) adopted for the purpose of avoiding or mitigating an environmental effect?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c) Conflict with any applicable habitat conservation plan or natural community conservation plan?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☑</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Discussion

The project site is located on two parcels in the cities of El Cerrito and Richmond. It is expected that the two lots will be merged into one lot within the City of El Cerrito’s jurisdiction prior to the issuance of building permits.

### Summary

The SPASP FEIR concluded that project implementation would provide for the expansion of housing choices by encouraging compact, transit-accessible, pedestrian-oriented housing and mixed-use (commercial/housing) development in the plan area at densities and heights within currently permitted limits. Project implementation would not result in the division of an established community because the area was primarily developed prior to completion of the SPASP. The SPASP FEIR determined that implementation of the SPASP would result in beneficial effects related to land use and planning by revitalizing the San Pablo Avenue corridor; facilitating development where services and infrastructure can be most efficiently provided by promoting higher residential densities near or within an existing shopping, service, employment, and public transportation centers; and promoting compact, transit-accessible, pedestrian-oriented, mixed-use development patterns and land uses.

The project site is designated TOMIMU in the City’s General Plan and SPASP and zoned as TOMIMU. The intent of the TOMIMU designation is to provide for a vibrant, walkable, transit-oriented higher-density area within 1 mile of BART that allows a variety of uses including retail, commercial, residential, and public uses in the downtown and uptown areas. The TOMIMU designation allows for a 55-foot height limit (a height of 65 feet is permissible for affordable housing projects) and requires a minimum height limit of three stories for residential uses. The project is generally consistent with the mix, intensity, and scale of development contemplated by the SPASP in this location.

The project is in full compliance with the development and design standards of the SPASP and would go to the City’s Design Review Board. The project would not deviate from SPASP development standards related to building height, length of building façades, new shadows, and transparency of ground-floor uses (see Section I, Aesthetics, for additional discussion). The project would generally comply with the standards of the TOMIMU designation and would develop the site with a mix of high-density residential uses in close proximity to transit as envisioned in the SPASP FEIR.
No existing habitat conservation plan or natural community conservation plan would apply to the project vicinity, and there would be no impact.

Applicable Mitigation

No substantial land use changes in environmental circumstances have occurred for this topic, nor revisions to the project, nor new information that could not have been known at the time the SPASP FEIR was certified leading to new or more severe significant impacts, and no new mitigation measures would be required.

Conclusion

The project is consistent with the type of development analyzed in the SPASP FEIR and would be generally consistent with the development standards envisioned in the SPASP FEIR, and required by the City of El Cerrito General Plan. Therefore, the project would not result in new impacts related to land use and planning.
### MINERAL RESOURCES

Would the project:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Potentially Significant Impact</th>
<th>Less Than Significant Impact with Mitigation</th>
<th>Less Than Significant Impact</th>
<th>No New Impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a</td>
<td>Result in the loss of availability of a known mineral resource that would be of value to the region and the residents of the state?</td>
<td>✗</td>
<td>✗</td>
<td>✗</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b</td>
<td>Result in the loss of availability of a locally important mineral resource recovery site delineated on a local general plan, specific plan, or other land use plan?</td>
<td>✗</td>
<td>✗</td>
<td>✗</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The project does not involve the loss of an available known resource that would be of value to the region. The City of El Cerrito General Plan does not identify mineral resources within the Specific Plan area. Please note, the project would have no new impacts on mineral resources.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>XII</th>
<th>NOISE. Would the project result in:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a)</td>
<td>Exposure of persons to or generation of noise levels in excess of standards established in the local general plan or noise ordinance or of applicable standards of other agencies?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b)</td>
<td>Exposure of persons to or generation of excessive groundborne vibration or groundborne noise levels?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c)</td>
<td>A substantial permanent increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d)</td>
<td>A substantial temporary or periodic increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e)</td>
<td>For a project located within an airport land use plan area or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within 2 miles of a public airport or a public use airport, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f)</td>
<td>For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

An environmental noise study was prepared for the project and is presented as Appendix NOI of this report. Noise setting, standards, and regulatory framework were described in Section 13 – Noise of the SPASP FEIR. All noise levels reported in this section are in terms of A-weighted levels (dBA) but may be expressed as dB, unless otherwise noted.

**Discussion**

This section compares noise impacts from the project with impacts identified in the SPASP FEIR. The project would include residential and commercial uses in a developed area in El Cerrito. Operational noise can be categorized as mobile source noise and stationary source noise. Mobile source noise would be attributable to the additional trips that would result from the project. Stationary source noise includes noise generated by residential and commercial land uses.

An environmental noise study was prepared for the project and is referenced in this section.33 The noise study is intended to satisfy the City’s requirement for a project-specific noise impact analysis, per SPASP Mitigation Measure 13-1. The study examines the impacts of noise on the proposed noise-sensitive uses of the project site together with the project design features and the applicable city and state noise standards. Future noise level impacts are based on ambient noise measurements conducted at the project site as a component of the noise study.
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33 CSDA Design Group. 2017. 10963 San Pablo Avenue, El Cerrito, California, Environmental Noise Study.
Traffic on San Pablo Avenue, adjacent to the project, is the primary contributor to the existing ambient background noise. Other components of the existing noise environment include traffic on Jefferson Avenue, adjacent to the project; traffic on Interstate 80 approximately 0.25 mile to the west; noise from the BART train tracks approximately 550 feet to the east; and operational noise from the adjacent commercial and residential uses (e.g., parking lot activities, building mechanical equipment, people talking).

Certain land uses are considered more sensitive to noise than others. Examples of these include residential areas, educational facilities, hospitals, childcare facilities, and senior housing. As a mixed-use residential/commercial development, the project itself is considered a new sensitive receptor. The closest existing sensitive receptors are multifamily residential buildings adjacent to the project to the west and south. The closest schools are Balboa School, approximately 600 feet to the west, and St. John the Baptist School, approximately 800 feet to the north.

Noise and Land Use Compatibility

The SPASP FEIR found that residential land uses facilitated by the SPASP would be exposed to exterior noise levels exceeding 60 dB Ldn from traffic noise and 70 dB Ldn from BART noise. Future noise levels would exceed El Cerrito’s land use compatibility standards. This was identified as a potentially significant impact. The SPASP FEIR identified Mitigation Measure 13-1, which requires project-specific acoustical analyses, to reduce potential noise and land use compatibility impacts to a less than significant level.

The noise study identified traffic noise on San Pablo Avenue as the dominant source of noise in the project vicinity. The eastern portion of the project site would have a higher noise level than other areas of the site because it is adjacent to San Pablo Avenue. The noise levels on the San Pablo Avenue side of the site measured 73 dB Ldn, and the noise levels on the Jefferson Avenue side of the site measured 64 dB Ldn.

The City sets forth normally acceptable noise level standards for land use compatibility and interior noise exposure of new development. Per City Municipal Code Section 19.21-50, the normally acceptable exterior noise level for residential units near is 60 dB Ldn. However, the outdoor standard will not normally be applied to the small decks associated with apartments and condominiums; these will be evaluated on a case-by-case basis. The normally acceptable interior noise level for residential units is 45 dB Ldn.

The environmental noise study concluded that some of the project’s residential units would require windows and exterior doors with sound ratings above typical construction-grade materials and that exterior walls might require an additional layer of gypsum board. Figure B-2 shows sound transmission class (STC) rating recommendations for the proposed apartments.

Implementation of the recommendations in the noise study would reduce noise levels in residential areas to below the maximum levels defined by the City’s General Plan policies and Municipal Code. Therefore, the impact of noise on sensitive receptors sited by the project would be less than significant with implementation of SPASP FEIR Mitigation Measure 13-1 and the

---

34 Measure of community noise.
recommendations of the noise study. This impact would remain less than significant with mitigation, as identified in the SPASP FEIR.

Project-Specific Condition of Approval: Consistent with SPASP Mitigation Measures 13-1, the project design shall implement the following measures for all San Pablo Avenue facing units to reduce interior noise impacts in compliance with City noise standards:

- Interior Noise Control Measures:
  - Living room and bedroom windows shall have a sound transmission class (STC) rating of 32.
  - Exterior finish shall be three-coat stucco or system with equivalent weight per square foot.
  - Interior gypsum at exterior walls shall be 5/8" Type X or Type C hung on resilient channel (RC).
  - Ceiling gypsum shall be 5/8" type X or Type C.
  - Mechanical ventilation shall be installed in all residential uses to allow residents to keep doors and windows closed, as desired for acoustical isolation.

Stationary Source Noise Impacts
The SPASP FEIR identified that implementation of the SPASP would introduce commercial uses adjacent to residential land uses. Specific tenants for the proposed commercial uses have not been identified, but uses could include retail stores, grocery stores, restaurants, or cafes. New commercial development proposed along with or next to residential development could result in noise levels exceeding City standards. The SPASP FEIR identified this as a potentially significant impact and identified Mitigation Measure 13-2, which requires site-specific analysis for proposed commercial uses to reduce long-term noise impacts to a less than significant level. A site-specific analysis of the noise levels associated with these uses is provided below.

Implementation of the project would generate various on-site stationary noise sources, including heating, ventilation, and air conditioning (HVAC) equipment, parking lot activities, and solid waste collection and recycling operations. The nearest off-site sensitive receptors in the project vicinity are the residences approximately 60 feet north of the project site boundary.

HVAC equipment is often mounted on rooftops, located on the ground, or located within mechanical rooms. The noise sources could take the form of fans, pumps, air compressors, chillers, or cooling towers. HVAC operations would be required to meet all City noise standards.
This concludes our environmental noise study for 10963 San Pablo Avenue, El Cerrito, CA; please contact us with questions.
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Precise details of HVAC equipment, including future location, sizing, and any sound enclosures, are unknown at the time of this analysis. Therefore, for purposes of this analysis, a conservative level of 80 dB $L_{\text{max}}$ at 3 feet was assumed to represent HVAC-related noise with a location on the building roof approximately centered above the proposed commercial space. These off-site residences would be exposed to a noise level of 54 dB $L_{\text{max}}$ generated by HVAC equipment. This noise level is lower than the City’s maximum allowable noise level standards of 70 dB $L_{\text{max}}$ during the day and 60 dB $L_{\text{max}}$ during the night. Therefore, the HVAC equipment noise would be in compliance with the City’s exterior daytime and nighttime noise standards for residential uses.

The primary parking for the project would be in an enclosed first-floor parking garage. Typical parking noise includes engine sounds, car doors slamming, car alarms, tire noise, and people conversing. These noises would be substantially reduced by the exterior walls of the parking garage and noise levels at nearby residential buildings and would not be expected to exceed the City’s daytime or nighttime noise standards.

Additional on-site stationary noise sources would include delivery trucks and loading noise. Because the proposed retail space is less than 3,000 square feet, deliveries from large trucks would be rare. Deliveries from small trucks and vans would not be of a sufficient number to create a perceptible increase in ambient noise above the existing traffic noise. Therefore, stationary noise impacts due to long-term operation of the project would be less than significant.

**Mobile Source Noise Impacts**

Motor vehicles are the dominant noise source in the project vicinity. The amount of noise varies according to many factors, such as volume of traffic, vehicle mix (percentage of cars and trucks), average traffic speed, and distance from the observer. The SPASP DEIR (page 13-21) found that cumulative traffic noise levels, with or without implementation of the SPASP, are not anticipated to increase substantially along the roadways serving the Specific Plan area, and the project’s contribution to cumulative traffic noise level increases would be less than 1 dB $L_{\text{dn}}$. Cumulative traffic noise increases would not be considered substantial, and the project would not make a cumulatively considerable contribution to increased noise levels. Therefore, this impact would be less than significant.

Implementation of the project would result in new daily trips on local roadways in the project vicinity. A transportation impact analysis was completed for the project by Fehr & Peers; the analysis concluded that the project trip generation and resulting VMT would be less than that accounted for in the SPASP FEIR. This impact would remain less than significant, as identified in the SPASP FEIR.

**Construction Noise**

The highest construction noise levels would be generated during grading and excavation, with lower noise levels occurring during building construction. Large pieces of earthmoving equipment, such as graders, scrapers, and bulldozers, generate maximum noise levels of 85 to 90 dB at a distance of 50 feet. Typical hourly average construction-generated noise levels are about 80 to 85 dB measured at a distance of 50 feet from the site during busy construction periods.
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36 $L_{\text{max}}$: The maximum instantaneous noise level experienced during a given period of time.

The SPASP concluded that although construction noise would be localized to the individual site, businesses and residences would be intermittently exposed to high levels of noise throughout the plan horizon. Construction would elevate noise levels at adjacent businesses and residences by 15 to 20 dB or higher. Such a large increase in noise levels, although short term in duration, would be a potentially significant impact. The SPASP identified Mitigation Measure 13-3, but concluded that construction noise impacts would remain significant and unavoidable.

The project would be on a small site, which would limit the size and number of construction equipment in use. In addition, since the project site is flat and already developed, no grading activities would be required. The project would not result in any new or more significant construction-period noise impacts than were described in the SPASP FEIR. The project would require the implementation of the Municipal Code, City of El Cerrito General Plan policies, and Mitigation Measure 13-3, as included in the SPASP FEIR. This impact would remain significant and unavoidable, as identified in the SPASP FEIR.

Construction-Related Vibration

The SPASP FEIR identified that construction projects within the SPASP area may, in some cases, be located directly adjacent to existing structures, including weakened structures. Construction activities may include demolition of existing structures, site preparation work, foundation work, pile driving, and new building erection. Demolition for an individual site may last several weeks and at times may produce substantial vibration. Vibratory driven piles or driving drilled caissons could be used to stabilize building foundations.

Depending on the proximity of existing structures to each construction site, the structural soundness of the existing buildings, and the methods of construction used, vibration levels may be high enough to damage existing structures. Given the scope of the SPASP and the close proximity of many existing structures, groundborne vibration impacts would be potentially significant.

As with any type of construction, vibration levels may at times be perceptible. However, construction phases that have the highest potential for producing vibration (pile driving and vibratory compacting) would be intermittent and would only occur for short periods of time for any individual project site. With the use of administrative controls, such as notifying neighbors of scheduled construction activities and scheduling construction activities with the highest potential to produce perceptible vibration to hours with the least potential to affect nearby businesses, perceptible vibration can be kept to a minimum and would not result in a physical or perceived significant impact.

The SPASP FEIR found construction-related vibration impacts to be potentially significant and identified Mitigation Measure 13-4 to reduce those impacts to the extent feasible. However, it may not be possible to avoid using pile drivers, jackhammers, and related construction equipment entirely during construction associated with the SPASP. Due to the proximity of development in the area, some of these activities may take place near sensitive areas. In these cases, Mitigation Measure 13-4 may not be sufficient to reduce groundborne vibrations below a level of significance. Therefore, this impact would be significant and unavoidable.

Ground Vibration from BART Operations

The SPASP FEIR identified that future development under the SPASP would not expose persons to excessive vibration from BART operations. This impact would be less than significant.
Along the entire SPASP area, BART operates on an elevated platform. According to data in the Federal Transportation Agency (FTA) Transit Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment, vibration levels resulting from BART would be well below the 72 VdB guidelines for Category 2 land uses near the footprint of the elevated structure.\textsuperscript{38} Therefore, this impact would be less than significant.

The project is more than 500 feet from the BART tracks. Therefore, the project would not expose sensitive receptors to any new or more significant groundborne vibration impacts than were described in the SPASP FEIR. This impact would remain less than significant, as identified in the SPASP FEIR.

**Aircraft Noise**

The SPASP FEIR did not address potential aircraft noise impacts for the project. The project is not located within 2 miles of a public or public use airport. Aircraft noise is occasionally audible at the project site. However, no portion of the project site lies within the 65 dB CNEL noise contours of any public airport, nor does any portion of the project site lie within 2 miles of any private airfield or heliport.\textsuperscript{39} Therefore, the project would not result in the exposure of sensitive receptors to excessive noise levels from aircraft noise sources, and there would be no impact.

**Applicable Mitigation**

No substantial changes in environmental circumstances have occurred for this topic, nor revisions to the project, nor new information that could not have been known at the time the SPASP FEIR was certified leading to new or more severe significant impacts, and no new mitigation measures, beyond implementation of SPASP FEIR Mitigation Measures 13-1, 13-2, 13-3, and 13-4, would be required.

**Conclusion**

The project is within the scope of development analyzed in the SPASP FEIR. The project would be required to implement SPASP FEIR Mitigation Measures 13-1, 13-2, 13-3, and 13-4. A noise study was prepared in conformance with SPASP FEIR Mitigation Measures 13-1 and a significant impact was identified. The noise study identified measures to reduce the impact to less than significant. An analysis of stationary source noise impacts was completed in this discussion in conformance with SPASP FEIR Mitigation Measure 13-2, and no significant impact was identified. As such, the SPASP FEIR adequately evaluated the potential noise and vibration impacts of the project, and there would be no new or more severe impacts associated with noise than previously identified in the SPASP FEIR.

\textsuperscript{38} Vibration is sound radiated through the ground. The background vibration velocity level in residential areas is approximately 50 VdB. The vibration velocity level threshold of perception for humans is approximately 65 VdB. A vibration velocity level of 75 VdB is the approximate dividing line between barely perceptible and distinctly perceptible levels for many people. Under conditions where there are a frequent number of events per day, the FTA has established thresholds of 65 VdB for Category 1 buildings, 72 VdB for Category 2 buildings, and 75 VdB for Category 3 buildings.

\textsuperscript{39} CNEL: a weighted average of community noise level over time.
XIII POPULATION AND HOUSING. Would the project:

| a) | Induce substantial population growth in an area, either directly (e.g., by proposing new homes and businesses) or indirectly (e.g., through extension of roads or other infrastructure)? | ☒ | ☒ | ☒ | ☒ |
| b) | Displace substantial numbers of existing housing, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere? | ☒ | ☒ | ☒ | ☒ |
| c) | Displace substantial numbers of people, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere? | ☒ | ☒ | ☒ | ☒ |

**Discussion**

Project consistency for population and housing is evaluated based on SPASP FEIR potential environmental impacts that could occur with approximately 243,112 net new square feet of commercial space, 1,706 units of residential development, and 3,840 new residents. The project would include 2,989 square feet of retail space (a reduction of 6,146 square feet over existing conditions) and 60,560 square feet of residential space. The 2017 population in El Cerrito was 23,549.40 There are approximately 10,720 housing units in El Cerrito. ABAG expects the number of housing units to increase by about 11.9 percent between 2010 and 2040, to projected total of 12,000 housing units.41

The SPASP FEIR concluded that the population growth associated with the SPASP would not directly or indirectly induce substantial population growth beyond the SPASP boundaries. SPASP implementation would facilitate the projected residential and commercial growth in a transit-rich, mixed-use plan area identified for such growth in both local and regional plans and forecasts.

Table B-2 shows the housing and population assumptions evaluated in the SPASP FEIR and shows existing and proposed housing development within the SPASP area. Because the population and housing units proposed by the project would fall within the total development anticipated by the SPASP FEIR, the project would result in no new impacts associated with population and housing.

---

Table B-2
Existing and Proposed Housing Units and Population within the Project Area

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Evaluated in the SPASP FEIR</th>
<th>Approved</th>
<th>Proposed Project</th>
<th>Remaining Development Available</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Housing Units</td>
<td>1,706&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>448</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>1,258</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Population</td>
<td>3,840&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>968&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>2,730</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> El Cerrito, City of, Final San Pablo Avenue Specific Plan EIR 2014, El Cerrito General Plan Housing Element 2015.

<sup>b</sup> Estimated population associated with approved units, under construction units, and the project was determined by allowable persons per unit for studio, 1-, 2-, and 3-bedroom apartments.

**Applicable Mitigation**

No substantial changes in environmental circumstances have occurred for this topic, nor revisions to the project, nor new information that could not have been known at the time the SPASP FEIR was certified leading to new or more severe significant impacts, and no new mitigation measures would be required.

**Conclusion**

The project in and of itself would not substantially contribute to significant population growth in El Cerrito. The project is consistent with the type of development analyzed in the SPASP FEIR and would be within the growth projections evaluated in the SPASP. Therefore, the SPASP FEIR adequately evaluated the population and housing impacts of the project, and no new impacts would result.
**Environmental Checklist**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>XV PUBLIC SERVICES. Would the project result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered governmental facilities, need for new or physically altered governmental facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times, or other performance objectives for any of the following public services:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fire protection?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Police protection?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schools?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other public facilities?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Potentially Significant Impact</th>
<th>Less Than Significant Impact with Mitigation</th>
<th>Less Than Significant Impact</th>
<th>No New Impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fire protection?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Police protection?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schools?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other public facilities?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Discussion**

*Fire Protection and Emergency Medical Services*

The closest fire station is located at 10900 San Pablo Avenue, approximately 530 feet from the project site.

The El Cerrito Fire Department (ECFD) has automatic aid response agreements with the Richmond Fire Department (RFD), the Contra Costa County Fire Protection District, and the Albany Fire Department. For the 2013–2014 fiscal year, the ECFD had 37 personnel; two paramedic assignments were authorized for each responding engine to provide advanced life support services during emergency medical responses. The El Cerrito General Plan states a goal to maintain an average emergency response time for the first fire engine of less than 6 minutes for 95 percent of all emergency calls for service, provided adequate financial resources are available. The RFD has a total of 97 positions: 93 sworn personnel plus 3 administrative staff and an emergency services manager. The RFD has seven fire stations, seven engine companies, one truck company, two rescue units, one HazMat unit, and one breathing support unit. All RFD personnel are trained to the level of EMT-D and HazMat First Responder Operational.

The ECFD is responsible for the City’s Emergency Operations Center and development of the Emergency Operations Plan in the event of a major disaster affecting El Cerrito and the community of Kensington. The RFD Office of Emergency Services leads the City of Richmond’s comprehensive emergency management, including planning and preparedness for, response and recovery from, and mitigation of natural, man-made, and accidental incidents of high consequence. In addition, both the ECFD and RFD participate in the Community Emergency Response Team program, which provides training for fire safety, hazardous material and terrorist incidents, disaster medical operations, and search and rescue to enable its citizens to be self-sufficient for up to 72 hours and beyond in the event of a major disaster.

Project implementation would not require the El Cerrito and Richmond fire departments to expand fire protection facilities and personnel to accommodate additional demand associated with implementation of the SPASP. Specifically, the SPASP FEIR identified that any demand for additional fire protection personnel would be funded by currently adopted public facility fees levied on the new development (in Richmond) and by the annual budget review and allocation (in El Cerrito). Given these factors, impacts on fire protection services would be less than significant. Because the population and housing units would fall within the total development...
anticipated by the SPASP FEIR, the project would result in no new impacts associated with fire services.

Police Protection

The El Cerrito Police Department (ECPD) provides community police services through three divisions: Field Operations, Administrative and Support, and Special Operations. The ECPD operates out of the Public Safety Building at 10900 San Pablo Avenue. The City contracts with state and other local agencies to provide and support police services. Police dispatching is contracted with the Richmond Police Department (RPD); criminalist services and animal control services are contracted with Contra Costa County.

ECPD staffing for 2012 included 46 sworn officers and 10.55 equivalent professional staff. Four teams patrol the city 24 hours a day year-round.

Increased demand associated with project implementation would be negligible and would not require new or physically altered police protection facilities. The SPASP identified police department–required approvals that would ensure the department is equipped and has the ability to maintain acceptable levels of service. In addition, the project falls within the total development anticipated by the SPASP FEIR and would not result in new impacts associated with police services.

Public Schools

The project is located in the West Contra Costa Unified School District (WCCUSD). The following public schools would serve students in the SPASP area: Fairmont Elementary School (K–5), Harding Elementary School (K–5), Madera Elementary School (K–5), Fred T. Korematsu Middle School (6–8), and El Cerrito Senior High School (9–12). None of the schools are in the SPASP area. Table B-3 shows school district student yield factors for 2013.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Residential Unit Type</th>
<th>Grades K–6 Students</th>
<th>Grades 7–8 Students</th>
<th>Grades 9–12 Students</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Single Family Detached Units</td>
<td>0.210</td>
<td>0.056</td>
<td>0.147</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single Family Attached Units</td>
<td>0.047</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>0.014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multifamily Attached Units</td>
<td>0.333</td>
<td>0.154</td>
<td>0.185</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sources: Davis Demographics & Planning, Inc., April 23, 2013; San Pablo Avenue Specific Plan Draft EIR, June 2, 2014
Note: Yield factors represent students generated per household across the school district.

The SPASP FEIR evaluated the impact that the SPASP’s anticipated 1,706 new residences and the associated increase in expected student population would have on the services provided and facilities operated by the WCCUSD. The SPASP FEIR concluded that the new residences would generate approximately 1,147 new students in district schools over the 25-year horizon of SPASP implementation. The SPASP FEIR concluded that new students would be accommodated in existing schools, and plan implementation would not result in the need for new or expanded school facilities. The project would add 50 new housing units and increase the population by 142 residents. These numbers would fall within the total development anticipated by the SPASP FEIR (refer to Section XIII, above); the project would also generate students within the assumptions of the SPASP FEIR. As such, existing school facilities can accommodate the project.
Parks and Recreational Facilities

The City of El Cerrito Recreation Department offers a variety of family activities and programs, including visual arts, sports, tutoring, performing arts, swimming, child care, martial arts, and special events. The department also schedules activities and rentals of buildings, picnic areas, sports fields, and tennis courts. Public parks in the project vicinity include Baxter Creek Park, Central Park, and Cerrito Vista Park.

The SPASP FEIR concluded that the combination of parks and recreation facilities meets the expected park requirements for the SPASP area given the anticipated population associated with implementation of the SPASP. As discussed in further detail in Section XV, Recreation, of this document, the SPASP FEIR concludes that the impacts on parks and recreation would be less than significant with compliance with plan provisions for new open spaces. The project includes private open space as well as new public open space adjacent to Jefferson Avenue. Additionally, the SPASP FEIR determined that implementation of the SPASP would not facilitate the need for new or physically altered government facilities.

Applicable Mitigation

No substantial changes in environmental circumstances have occurred for this topic, nor revisions to the project, nor new information that could not have been known at the time the SPASP FEIR was certified leading to new or more severe significant impacts, and no new mitigation measures would be required.

Conclusion

The SPASP FEIR adequately evaluates public service impacts and the project’s impacts are included in and analyzed by the SPASP FEIR. Development of the project would fall within the development assumptions evaluated within the SPASP FEIR. Therefore, the project has no new impacts on public services.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>XV RECREATION</th>
<th>Potentially Significant Impact</th>
<th>Less Than Significant Impact with Mitigation</th>
<th>Less Than Significant Impact</th>
<th>No New Impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a) Would the project increase the use of existing neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) Does the project include recreational facilities, or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities, which might have an adverse physical effect on the environment?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Discussion**

The project would increase the local population by 142 residents, which would be negligible compared to the total population. Open space level of service levels per 1,000 residents are 5 acres for El Cerrito and 3 acres for Richmond. Project implementation would not increase demand for parks and recreational facilities, and SPASP service levels of 5.85 acres per 1,000 residents would be maintained.

The project would include a total of 5,588 square feet of private open space in the form of balconies and outdoor courtyard space for residents. In addition, the project would provide commercial and public open space for residents and patrons consistent with SPASP FEIR requirements. Because the population and housing units would fall within the total development anticipated by the SPASP FEIR, the project would conform to SPASP and General Plan open space standards for El Cerrito and Richmond. Therefore, the project would not result in substantial impacts on parks and recreational facilities.

**Applicable Mitigation**

No substantial changes in environmental circumstances have occurred for this topic, nor revisions to the project, nor new information that could not have been known at the time the SPASP FEIR was certified leading to new or more severe significant impacts, and no new mitigation measures would be required.

**Conclusion**

The SPASP FEIR adequately evaluated the environmental impacts associated with implementation of the SPASP, including parks and recreations impacts. Development of the project would fall within the development assumptions evaluated within the SPASP FEIR and General Plan policies. Therefore, the project would have no new impacts on parks and recreation.
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### XVI TRANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC

Would the project:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Potentially Significant Impact</th>
<th>Less Than Significant Impact with Mitigation</th>
<th>Less Than Significant Impact</th>
<th>No New Impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a)</td>
<td>Conflict with an applicable plan, ordinance or policy establishing measures of effectiveness for the performance of the circulation system, taking into account all modes of transportation including mass transit and non-motorized travel and relevant components of the circulation system, including but not limited to intersections, streets, highways and freeways, pedestrian and bicycle paths, and mass transit?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b)</td>
<td>Conflict with an applicable congestion management program, including, but not limited to level of service standards and travel demand measures, or other standards established by the county congestion management agency for designated roads or highways?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c)</td>
<td>Result in a change in air traffic patterns, including either an increase in traffic levels or a change in location that results in substantial safety risks?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d)</td>
<td>Substantially increase hazards due to a design feature (e.g., sharp curves or dangerous intersections) or incompatible uses (e.g., farm equipment)?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e)</td>
<td>Result in inadequate emergency access?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f)</td>
<td>Conflict with adopted policies, plans, or programs supporting alternative transportation (e.g., bus turnouts, bicycle racks)?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☑</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Discussion

This section compares traffic impacts from the project with impacts identified in the SPASP FEIR. A traffic study and Transportation Demand Management (TDM) Plan have been prepared for the project and are presented as Appendix TRA of this report.

### Trip Generation

**Table B-4** presents the project’s trip generation and compares the trips generated to the assumptions in the SPASP FEIR. Using the same trip generation methodology used in the SPASP FEIR, it is estimated that the project would generate about 17 AM peak-hour and 32 PM peak-hour trips.
Table B-4
Project Trip Generation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Land Use</th>
<th>ITE Code</th>
<th>Size (^{a})</th>
<th>AM Peak Hour</th>
<th>PM Peak Hour</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>In</td>
<td>Out</td>
<td>Total</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposed Project</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apartment</td>
<td>Mid-Rise Apartments (#223) (^{b})</td>
<td>50 DU</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commercial</td>
<td>Shopping Center (#820) (^{c})</td>
<td>2.9 KSF</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposed Project</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Existing Site Trip Generation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Playland</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hair Salon</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Donut Shop</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Existing Site Trip Generation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>25</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net New Project Trip Generation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


\(^{a}\) KSF = 1,000 square feet; DU = dwelling unit

\(^{b}\) ITE Trip Generation (9th Edition) land use category 223 (mid-rise apartments), adjusted by 12 percent based on the SPASP FEIR trip generation methodology. AM Peak Hour Average Rate = 0.26 trips per DU (31 percent in, 69 percent out) PM Peak Hour Average Rate = 0.34 trips per DU (58 percent in, 42 percent out)

\(^{c}\) ITE Trip Generation (9th Edition) land use category 820 (shopping center), adjusted by 12 percent based on the SPASP FEIR trip generation methodology. AM Peak Hour Average Rate = 0.84 trips per KSF (62 percent in, 38 percent out) PM Peak Hour Average Rate = 3.26 trips per KSF (48 percent in, 52 percent out)

The SPASP FEIR assumed developments at planned/entitled and high priority opportunity sites as part of the traffic analysis for the EIR. Although the project is within the SPASP area, it was not included as a planned/entitled project or priority opportunity site as part of the EIR traffic analysis. However, this analysis compares the project to the high priority opportunity sites analyzed in the EIR to ensure the project does not exceed the total assumptions made for the SPASP area. Since the certification of the SPASP FEIR, four developments, including this project, have been proposed and are in some stage of the City’s approval process. The four developments combined would generate about 26 morning and 47 evening net new peak-hour trips. The combined trip generation is less than the total trip generation estimated for all the opportunity sites in the SPASP FEIR.

As uses proposed by the project are consistent with the assumptions in the SPASP FEIR and the project would generate fewer automobile trips than assumed in the SPASP FEIR, the project would not result in additional impacts on traffic operations at the intersections analyzed in the SPASP FEIR.

Vehicle Access and On-Site Circulation

Residents would access the site through a full-access driveway on Jefferson Avenue, about 130 feet west of San Pablo Avenue. The driveway would provide access to a secured parking garage. Section 2.04.02.02 of the SPASP Form-Based Code requires two-way driveways for
buildings on corner lots of community streets to be no wider than 20 feet and located along the adjacent side street. San Pablo Avenue in the project vicinity is classified as a community street. The proposed driveway has a width of 20 feet, which meets the Form-Based Code requirements.

Project Driveway Site Distance
The driveway on Jefferson Avenue would provide adequate sight distance between vehicles exiting the driveway and pedestrians on the adjacent sidewalk. Vehicles parked on Jefferson Avenue on both sides of the driveway may block sight distance between vehicles exiting the driveway and vehicles on Jefferson Avenue. Trees planted on both sides of the driveway may also affect visibility of exiting vehicles if the tree canopy is lower than 6 feet from the ground. The project would paint 10 feet of red curb on both sides of the driveway to ensure adequate sight distance.

Bicycle Parking, Access, and On-Site Circulation
Per the requirements of the Form-Based Code, the project would require 76 long-term bicycle parking spaces and 6 short-term spaces. As proposed, the project meets those requirements.

Section 2.05.07.04 of the SPASP Form-Based Code requires bicycle parking for residential and commercial uses. As described on page A-19, access to long-term bicycle parking would be located along the exterior of the building through side gates located on Jefferson Avenue and San Pablo Avenue. Bike storage in the garage would be accessible through the lobby or the garage entrance. Short-term parking would be located along the building frontage on both Jefferson Avenue and San Pablo Avenue. One bicycle stall would be located along Jefferson Avenue, and two bicycle stalls would be located along San Pablo Avenue to accommodate a total of six short-term parking spaces.

Pedestrian Access and On-Site Circulation
Pedestrian access to the residences would be provided through a staircase and elevator in the building lobby and a staircase in the garage. The building lobby would be accessible through the main entrance on Jefferson Avenue, through the project garage, and through the walkway on the south side of the site. The commercial component of the project would be accessible through an entrance along San Pablo Avenue.

The SPASP Form-Based Code (Section 2.04.02) requires a minimum of 14 feet of sidewalk space along community streets: 8 feet of clear pedestrian right-of-way and 6 feet of amenity space, which includes landscaping. For neighborhood streets, the Form-Based Code requires a minimum of 10 feet of sidewalk space: 5 feet of clear pedestrian right-of-way and 5 feet of amenity space. The project would include 14-foot sidewalk widths along San Pablo Avenue, a community street, and 10-foot sidewalk widths along Jefferson Avenue, a neighborhood street, which would meet code requirements for total sidewalk width. The project would also provide 8 feet and 5 feet of pedestrian right-of-way along San Pablo Avenue and Jefferson Avenue, respectively, which would meet code requirements.

Transit Access
AC Transit provides nearby transit service to the project site with a bus stop on southbound San Pablo Avenue, at the near side of the San Pablo Avenue/Jefferson Avenue intersection (just north of Jefferson).

Vehicle Parking and TDM Requirements
The project would provide a secured parking garage with a two-way drive aisle and a total of 34 spaces, including 31 double-lift parking spaces, 1 surface space, and 2 ADA spaces. The surface parking space dimensions are approximately 9 feet in width and 18 feet in length, meeting Form-Based Code requirements for perpendicular parking spaces.

TOMIMU zoning (Section 2.05.07.04) requires a maximum of 1.5 automobile parking spaces per dwelling unit. There is no required parking for commercial spaces less than 3,000 square feet. For projects proposing a residential parking ratio between zero and one space per unit, additional Transportation Demand Management (TDM) measures and a parking study are required.

Table B-5 summarizes the required and proposed parking for the project. The Form-Based Code would limit parking to a maximum of 75 off-street residential parking spaces for the project. The project would provide 34 spaces (corresponding to 1.4 spaces per unit) and is therefore within the allowable number of parking spaces for TOMIMU residential developments.

Section 2.05.07.07 of the Form-Based Code requires that at least 10 percent of the total number of parking spaces provided for a multifamily residential project be pre-wired to allow for electric vehicle (EV) charging, with at least one space being an accessible parking space. The site plan designates three parking spaces as pre-wired to accommodate EV charging, one of which is an accessible space, which would meet code requirements. An additional parking space is designated as a run conduit for a future EV station.

### Table B-5

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Land Use</th>
<th>Size</th>
<th>Required Parking Supply</th>
<th>Parking Supply</th>
<th>Within Range?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Minimum</td>
<td>Maximum</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apartments</td>
<td>50 DU</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retail</td>
<td>2.9 KSF</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

_Parking Supply Ratio (spaces/unit) 0.68_


Notes:
--- DU = dwelling units; KSF = 1,000 square feet
--- The project is not required to provide commercial parking spaces, as the proposed commercial space is less than 3,000 square feet.
--- Source: SPASP Form-Based Code Section 2.05.07.04 – TOMIMU Zone Off-Street Parking Requirements for Residential; Max 1.5 spaces per DU

The project would be required to implement a basic TDM plan. The project proposes the following TDM strategies that would reduce automobile trips and parking demand generated by the project:

The Plan requires additional TDM measures consisting of: 1) subsidizing the purchase of Clipper Cards for each residential unit of the proposed building for one year. The subsidy is to be $3.00 per day per residential unit; and 2) designating the building management as the TDM Coordinator for the building; and 3) creating TDM marketing materials and providing tenant education on transportation options. These options include providing user focused maps, additional information about transit fare discounts, car and ride sharing options, carpool opportunities, and events that are focused on walking and bicycling.
Applicable Mitigation

The project is consistent with the type of development analyzed in the SPASP FEIR and would be generally consistent with the development standards envisioned in the SPASP FEIR. With implementation of the project-specific condition of approval, the project would not result in new impacts related to transportation. Therefore, the SPASP FEIR adequately evaluated the transportation impacts of the project, and no new impacts related to transportation would result.

Conclusion

No substantial changes in environmental circumstances have occurred for this topic, nor revisions to the project, nor new information that could not have been known at the time the SPASP FEIR was certified leading to new or more severe significant impacts. With implementation of the project-specific conditions of approval, no new impacts related to transportation would result.
XVII TRIBAL CULTURAL RESOURCES. Would the project:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Potentially Significant Impact</th>
<th>Less Than Significant Impact with Mitigation</th>
<th>Less Than Significant Impact</th>
<th>No New Impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a)</td>
<td>Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a tribal cultural resource, defined in Public Resources Code Section 21074 as either a site, features, place, cultural landscape that is geographically defined in terms of the size and scope of the landscape, sacred place, or object with cultural value to a California Native American tribe, and that is:</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>i)</td>
<td>A listed or eligible for listing in the California Register of Historical Resources, or in a local register of historical resources as defined in Public Resources Code Section 5020.1(k), or cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of an archaeological resource as defined in CEQA Guidelines Section 15064.5(k), or</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ii)</td>
<td>A resource determined by the lead agency, in its discretion and supported by substantial evidence, to be significant pursuant to criteria set forth in subdivision (c) of Public Resources Code Section 5024.1. In applying the criteria set forth in subdivision (c) of Public Resources Code Section 5024.1, the lead agency shall consider the significance of the resource to a California Native American tribe.</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Discussion

As previously discussed in Section V, Cultural Resources, of this document, Mitigation Measure 7-2 applies to the project. This mitigation measure would protect previously unrecorded or unknown cultural resources, including Native American artifacts and human remains.

In addition, subsequent to certification of the SPASP FEIR, the California Legislature passed Assembly Bill (AB) 52, which provides for consultation between lead agencies and Native American tribal organizations during the CEQA process. Effective July 1, 2015, AB 52 states that prior to the release of an EIR or negative declaration/mitigated negative declaration for public review, a lead agency must provide the opportunity to consult with local tribes. However, the SPASP FEIR was certified prior to July 1, 2015, and because (a) this checklist supports the findings that, pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15162; (b) no new or substantially more severe significant effects could occur under the project; (c) no new mitigation measures would be required; (d) the project is within the scope of the environmental review of the SPASP FEIR; and (e) no further review under CEQA is required, the City is not required to conduct formal consultation under AB 52 for this project. However, as stated above, SPASP FEIR Mitigation Measure 7-2 applies to the project and would protect previously unrecorded or unknown cultural resources, including Native American artifacts and human remains.

Applicable Mitigation

No substantial changes in environmental circumstances have occurred for this topic, nor revisions to the project, nor new information that could not have been known at the time the
ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST

SPASP FEIR was certified leading to new or more severe significant impacts, and no new mitigation measures would be required.

Conclusion
The SPASP FEIR adequately evaluated the potential cultural resources impacts (and by extension, impacts on tribal cultural resources) of the project, and no new impacts would result.
XVIII UTILITIES AND SERVICE SYSTEMS. Would the project:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Potential Impact</th>
<th>Less Than Significant Impact with Mitigation</th>
<th>Less Than Significant Impact</th>
<th>No New Impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a) Exceed wastewater treatment requirements of the applicable Regional Water Quality Control Board?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) Require or result in the construction of new water or wastewater treatment facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c) Require or result in the construction of new stormwater drainage facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d) Have sufficient water supplies available to serve the project from existing entitlements and resources, or are new or expanded entitlements needed?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e) Result in a determination by the wastewater treatment provider that serves or may serve the project that it has adequate capacity to serve the project’s projected demand, in addition to the provider’s existing commitments?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f) Be served by a landfill with sufficient permitted capacity to accommodate the project’s solid waste disposal needs?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g) Comply with federal, state, and local statutes and regulations related to solid waste?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Discussion

Existing water utility infrastructure is present along San Pablo Avenue adjacent to the project site. There is an existing lateral service near the southwest corner of the property. Existing sewer mains are present along San Pablo Avenue. Estimated domestic water consumption on the project site is 6,000 gallons per day (gpd). Wastewater generation based on project conditions would be 5,300 gpd.

The SPASP FEIR determined that there would be an increase in water demand as a result of buildout of the SPASP; average daily demand would be 882,720 gallons gpd, which represents less than 1 percent of the planning level water demand forecast in the Urban Water Management Plan. The SPASP FEIR concluded that this represents a small increase and would be a less than significant impact on water supply. The SPASP FEIR also noted that development within the SPASP area would incorporate the City’s requirements for adequate water supply, including compliance with adopted performance standards; application of these standards in each jurisdictional City’s development review process; coordination of development review with EBMUD (including consistency with the Urban Water Management Plan); and the requirement that new development pay its share of the costs associated with provision of water facilities through project-specific mitigation required as conditions of approval. The SPASP FEIR concluded that since future development facilitated by the SPASP, including the project, would require less than 1 percent of EBMUD’s forecast planning-level water demand for its service area.
by the year 2040, and would be subject to EBMUD and jurisdictional City plans, regulations, and ordinances regarding water supply, the impact on water supply would be less than significant.

The SPASP FEIR concluded that development associated with the SPASP would result in less than significant impacts on utilities and service systems, including wastewater treatment, stormwater drainage, and solid waste disposal. However, the SPASP FEIR determined that the wastewater and storm drainage infrastructure systems would require improvements, including the upgrading of existing deficiencies, to accommodate new development facilitated by the SPASP. The SPASP FEIR included recommendations and design considerations for proposed infrastructure improvements.

The project would rely on potable and nonpotable water for both domestic use and fire protection from existing major facilities, including reservoirs and pumping plants which are serviced by EBMUD. Service would be granted subject to EBMUD regulations governing water services, which may include water main extensions and/or off-site pipeline improvements.

Construction of the project-related utility infrastructure would be temporary and would occur within existing public rights-of-way, City property, a project development site, or private property subject to a municipal easement.

The Stege Sanitary District (SSD) provides wastewater service to businesses along San Pablo Avenue, including the project site. Wastewater generated at the project site would be collected via an 18-inch collector main along Potrero Avenue that collects flows along San Pablo Avenue between Potrero Avenue and Schmidt Lane. Per Section 7.3 of the SSD Ordinance Code, a district-wide per fixture sewer connection/capacity charge and a SPASP-specific sewer connection/capacity charge is required to be paid by new development to the district. The connection/capacity charge funds sewer capacity improvements needed to serve projected growth within the SPASP.

Currently the SSD imposes a sewer connection charge on all development projects within its service territory. The purpose of the charge is to have new development buy into a fair share of the district’s existing sanitary sewer system. The SSD uses these funds to acquire, construct, install, and replace existing capital facilities and other assets.

In September 2017, a special study was completed to help plan for future developments in the SPASP. Without pipe upsizing, the anticipated development in the SPASP would surcharge existing facilities. An additional capacity charge will fund sewer capacity improvements needed to serve projected growth within the SPASP. For new connections and increased discharges in the SPASP, both residential and nonresidential developments will pay $217.89 per fixture unit over and above district-wide sewer connection and capacity fees for existing structures.

The SSD may also may require that larger projects within its service territory analyze capacity impacts on the existing sanitary sewer system. Larger development projects include:

---


44 Based on the SSD’s estimate that a dwelling unit generates on average 143 gallons per day (Urban Economics 2017).
• 10 housing units
• a minimum of 10,000 square feet of commercial space
• 1,000 square feet of restaurant space

Based on these criteria, the project would be categorized as a large development project because it would build more than 10 residential units. The developer would also submit a sewer capacity plan to the City for approval.

The increase in commercial and residential density under the SPASP would result in an increase in the amount of solid waste generated in the SPASP area. The SPASP FEIR concluded that the increase in solid waste generation would be incremental but would not exceed acceptable rates established by plans, policies, and regulations. Moreover, the projected solid waste would be served by solid waste and recycling facilities with sufficient capacities to accommodate development included as part of the SPASP, including the project. As such, solid waste impacts would remain less than significant.

Applicable Mitigation

The project is consistent with the type of development analyzed in the SPASP FEIR and would be generally consistent with the development standards envisioned in the SPASP FEIR. With implementation of the project-specific condition of approval, the project would not result in new impacts related to utilities and service systems. Therefore, the SPASP FEIR adequately evaluated the utilities and service systems impacts of the project, and no new impacts related to utilities and service systems would result.

Conclusion

No substantial changes in environmental circumstances have occurred for this topic, nor revisions to the project, nor new information that could not have been known at the time the SPASP FEIR was certified leading to new or more severe significant impacts, and with implementation of the project-specific condition of approval, no new impacts related to utilities and service systems would result.
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This Historic Resource Evaluation memorandum examines the historic significance and integrity of the property addressed 10963 San Pablo Avenue in the City of El Cerrito (APN: 509-110-015), which was built in 1902. The study provides a record of research findings, evaluation according to the California Register of Historical Resources significance criteria and aspects of integrity, and culminates in a preliminary determination of the property's eligibility for designation as a Historic Resource.

RESEARCH FINDINGS

Current Historic Status

- National Register of Historic Places – Not Listed
- California Register of Historical Resource – Not Listed
- Contra Costa County Historic Resources Inventory – Not listed
- City of El Cerrito - No local inventory of historic resources; not listed.

Sanborn Fire Insurance Maps

- 1926 – The only Sanborn map edition available for the City of El Cerrito was published in 1926. The map shows that the current parcel consisted of a number of smaller lots, some of which were developed and others vacant. A two-story rooming house with a projecting canopy along its street-facing facades, stood at the southwest corner of the San Pablo Avenue and Jefferson Street intersection. Scattered outbuildings and a small dwelling sat to its south. The rooming house had a much smaller footprint than the current building (less than one-quarter the current footprint) and the outbuildings and dwelling all stood within the footprint of the current building on the parcel (pg. 8)
Aerial Photographs

The earliest aerial photograph available via the Historic Aerials website\(^1\) dates to 1946 and shows the subject building to nearly the full extent of its current footprint, minus an addition along the south side that is known to have been added in 1953. A projecting canopy along portions of the street-facing facade appears to be that which was present in the 1926 Sanborn map image and definition within the roof surface and visible shadows suggest that the original 1902 building remained present, possibly in its two-story form, with the larger building formed from additions to the south and west sides of the original structure.

Later aerial photographs from 1958 and 1959 appear to show that the canopy was removed by that time and there is less definition in the roof surface, suggesting that the second story of the original building had been removed. Additionally, by 1958 the 20' wide concrete block addition (see building permit #3177, below) had been added to the south side of the building and is visible in aerial photographs.

Later, between 1968 and 1980, the rear southwest corner of the building appears to have been expanded with a small addition that infilled the corner and created the current projecting bay at the rear of the building.

Building Permit Records

The following building permit records are on file at the City of El Cerrito Building Division:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Permit #</th>
<th>Scope of Work</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1953</td>
<td>3177</td>
<td>Store addition, remodeling, install sign for Van's Donuts. One-story masonry block addition to store – one-story masonry block wall on south side, 20' x 80', attached to present building, tar and gravel roof, plaster interior, unfinished storage area and concrete floor.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^1\) Historic Aerials by NETROnline; Nationwide Environmental Title Research, LLC, 1999-2017; www.historicaerials.com. Actual image not included due to copyright restrictions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>APN</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1955</td>
<td>4252</td>
<td>Install and connect sign for Van's Donuts. 2’ x 6’ sign, projecting 8’ over public right of way. Mounted to parapet and supported by guy wires attached to roof and facade. Owner [of business]: Fred L. Van Amburg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1989</td>
<td>7575</td>
<td>Alteration – 2x4 studs, 16” centers between studs, 8' high spanning across 19' space, 4'-4” doorway, 1/2” sheet rock.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1997</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Lot line adjustment between APNs 509-110-001 and 509-110-002, and 509-110-003 and 509-110-013. The proposed easement will be appurtenant to adjust APN 509-110-001 and will encumber adjusted APN 509-110-002. The easement will provide for access, awnings, and other appurtenances and will prohibit building of any structures in the easement area. The easement will terminate if and when the existing building on adjusted APN 510-110-001 is removed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1997</td>
<td>? (possibly related to 97-1045)</td>
<td>Exterior alterations to storefront.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1997</td>
<td>97-1045</td>
<td>Exterior alteration – extend height of parapet from 10” to 20” on south wall. Owner: Ruby Violet Pozzan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1998</td>
<td>98-0536</td>
<td>Install ventilation hood system. Owner: Ruby Violet Pozzan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1999</td>
<td>98-1044</td>
<td>Cooking hood installation. Owner: Lander International</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>05-1308</td>
<td>Minor kitchen remodel at Goody Donuts. Owner: Cheeryble Properties</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Chain of Title & Occupancy

Gathered from city/county directories, Federal census records, building permit records, and historical essays found on the El Cerrito Historical Society website.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dates</th>
<th>Owner</th>
<th>Tenant/Use</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1902 – ca. 1910</td>
<td>unknown</td>
<td>unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ca.1910 – ca. 1916</td>
<td>Joe Villalobos (?)</td>
<td>Joe Villalobos Saloon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ca.1916 – ca. 1926</td>
<td>unknown</td>
<td>unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ca. 1926</td>
<td>unknown</td>
<td>rooming house</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ca.1932 – ca. 1975</td>
<td>Angelo Fara</td>
<td>Angelo's Market (groceries)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ca. 1975 - 1998</td>
<td>Ruby Violet (Fara) Pozzan</td>
<td>various donut shops (Van's, Momsy's, Goody), Angelo's Market?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1999 - current</td>
<td>Lander International</td>
<td>Goody Donut, Hair Extraordinaire, Playland-Not-at-the-Beach</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Architect & Builder

No original building permit or other archival information available identifying architect and/or builder.
Miscellaneous Notes

- “At the southwest corner of Jefferson and San Pablo in 1916, stood the Joe Villalobos Saloon, a two story building with rooms upstairs and very tall eucalyptus trees along side the building. This building still stands and is now occupied by the present day Angelo's Market.”
- “[Byron P. Pozzan] married Ruby Fara 48 years ago and together they owned and operated Angelo's market in El Cerrito.” (When and for how long they Pozzans continued to operate Angelo's Market is unclear.)

Historic Photographs

> View south down San Pablo Avenue, subject property is the two-story building on the right containing Joe Villalobos’ saloon; photograph undated.  

---

EVALUATION

Historical Significance

The California Register of Historical Resources (California Register) is an inventory of significant architectural, archaeological, and historical resources in the State of California. Resources can be listed in the California Register through a number of methods. State Historical Landmarks and National Register-listed properties are automatically listed in the California Register. Properties can also be nominated to the California Register by local governments, private organizations, or citizens. The evaluative criteria used by the California Register for determining eligibility are closely based on those developed by the National Park Service for the National Register of Historic Places.

In order for a property to be eligible for listing in the California Register, it must be found significant under one or more of the following criteria.

- **Criterion 1 (Events):** Resources that are associated with events that have made a significant contribution to the broad patterns of local or regional history, or the cultural heritage of California or the United States.

- **Criterion 2 (Persons):** Resources that are associated with the lives of persons important to local, California, or national history.

- **Criterion 3 (Architecture):** Resources that embody the distinctive characteristics of a type, period, region, or method of construction, or represent the work of a master, or possess high artistic values.
• **Criterion 4 (Information Potential):** Resources or sites that have yielded or have the potential to yield information important to the prehistory or history of the local area, California, or the nation.

Resources eligible for the National Register are automatically listed in the California Register of Historical Resources. ⁴

The building at 10963 San Pablo Avenue appears to be eligible for individual listing in the California Register under one of the above criteria. A full evaluation follows:

**Criterion 1 (Event)**

The building at 10963 San Pablo Avenue may be associated with historical events and patterns of development significant to the history of El Cerrito or the State of California that would raise it to a level of individual significance and eligibility. Although no specific events of historical importance are known to have occurred at the property, the building falls into the pattern of early initial development that established the nascent town of El Cerrito. The city was not incorporated until 15 years later, in 1917. The original building was constructed in 1902 and is one of the oldest buildings in the city. The El Cerrito Historical Society identifies the ten oldest buildings in the city, with the oldest built in 1895, and 10963 San Pablo shown to be among three built in 1902. It is one of the youngest three in the grouping of oldest buildings, but still represents a vestige of El Cerrito's founding and pre-incorporation era. The building was built along San Pablo Avenue, a main thoroughfare through El Cerrito, then and now, which fits with general trends locally, regionally, and nationwide, of commercial buildings being established along routes of easy access and, thus, forming the hub or spine of a growing town. The El Cerrito Historical Society disclaims that their information on the ten oldest buildings “is based on the build dates in the Contra Costa County Records. Some of the buildings have been modified such that character-defining features may no longer be evident.” This disclaimer regarding modification and loss of character-defining features certainly applies to the building at 10963 San Pablo Avenue, which has been altered to the point of being unrecognizable. If the building continued to retain integrity it might be eligible for individual listing in the California Register under Criterion 1 (Events); however, its lack of integrity negates the significant associations described above (see Integrity section, below).

**Criterion 2 (Persons)**

The commercial building at 10963 San Pablo Avenue was originally small enough that it housed a single business, first a saloon, then a grocery store. It has since grown to accommodate three storefronts and associated commercial units. Names that were found to be most strongly associated with the businesses housed at the property over the years include Joe Villalobos, Angelo Fara, and Ruby V. (Fara) Pozzan. These three also appear to have owned the property during the periods when they operated businesses in the building. It is unclear whether Villalobos was the person responsible for the construction of the subject building, or if his saloon was the first business to be housed in it, as his presence at the property can only be traced back as far as 1916. Basic biographical information for both he and Fara indicates that they were both immigrant business owners. Ruby Pozzan continued her father's grocery businesses for some time after his presumed death and was landlord to other businesses that came to be located in the expanded building. All three appear to have been well-known local business proprietors, although none have been confirmed as city founders, early business leaders, or otherwise stand out as having significant contributions or accomplishments that would make them significant to local or state history. Based on this analysis, the property is not eligible for individual listing in the California Register under Criterion 2 (Persons).

---

Criterion 3 (Architecture/Design)

The building at 10963 San Pablo Avenue does not exhibit high architectural merit and has detrimentally diminished integrity. It was originally a two-story building that appears to have been designed in a modest iteration of the Italianate style. It was likely clad with horizontal wood siding. It had tall narrow window openings with what appear to be double-hung sashes, typical of the Victorian era. It had a front-facing gable roof and the majority of its ornament was found on its false-front parapet, which appears to have featured a projecting cornice, block modillions, and a shaped and tabbed parapet profile. Even as such, the building does not appear to have been superlative among other Italianate style commercial buildings of the day, which are found throughout the East Bay and beyond. Today, it has been so drastically modified that no vestige of the building’s original appearance remains. It currently bears a common and unremarkable utilitarian commercial aesthetic characterized by a one-story form, flat roof, stucco siding, and large extruded aluminum multi-lite storefront windows. No architect or builder were found to have designed or constructed the building, so it cannot claim association with a master of either trade. Because the building lacks architectural merit and physical integrity, and is not the work of any known architect or builder, it does not rise to a level of individual significance that would make it eligible for individual listing in the California Register under Criterion 3 (Architecture/Design).

Criterion 4 (Information Potential)

The analysis of 10963 San Pablo Avenue for eligibility under Criterion 4 (Information Potential) is primarily concerned with the discipline of archaeology and is beyond the scope of this report.

Integrity

In order to qualify for listing in the California Register, a property must possess significance under one of the aforementioned criteria and have historic integrity. The process of determining integrity is similar for both the California Register and the National Register. The same seven variables or aspects that define integrity—location, design, setting, materials, workmanship, feeling and association—are used to evaluate a resource’s eligibility for listing in the California Register and the National Register. According to the National Register Bulletin: How to Apply the National Register Criteria for Evaluation, these seven characteristics are defined as follows:

Location is the place where the historic property was constructed.

Design is the combination of elements that create the form, plans, space, structure and style of the property.

Setting addresses the physical environment of the historic property inclusive of the landscape and spatial relationships of the building/s.

Materials refer to the physical elements that were combined or deposited during a particular period of time and in a particular pattern of configuration to form the historic property.

Workmanship is the physical evidence of the crafts of a particular culture or people during any given period in history.

Feeling is the property’s expression of the aesthetic or historic sense of a particular period of time.
Association is the direct link between an important historic event or person and a historic property.

The building at 10963 San Pablo Avenue retains integrity of location, having never been moved from its original site on the west side of San Pablo Avenue in El Cerrito. The integrity of setting is weak, however; as the surrounding neighborhood has changed greatly since the building's construction in 1902 and is now a dense urban corridor characterized by commercial and high-density residential properties of non-historic/recent construction. The subject building has lost all integrity of design, materials, and workmanship, having been drastically enlarged and remodeled to the extent that the original building is greatly changed and no longer recognizable. It has been reduced in height from two to one stories; subsumed by extensive additions and accretions that have more than quadrupled it in size; and re-clad, re-fenestrated, and remodeled with modern materials such as stucco and extruded aluminum sash windows that bear no reference to historic materials or the original appearance of the building. Subsequently, integrity of feeling and association are also lost, because the building no longer expresses the historic Italianate aesthetics of its time period and its current appearance obscures perception and understanding of its age, original use, and architectural character. Overall, the building lacks integrity, which compounds its ineligibility for historic designation.

CONCLUSION

The building at 10963 San Pablo Avenue could be historically significant and eligible for California Register designation as one of the City of El Cerrito's oldest buildings; however it has lost integrity so drastically that it is no longer recognizable nor identifiable as the 1902 Italianate style commercial building it once was. Owners and occupying businesses during the historic period and later do not appear to have been influential and the current architecture is not noteworthy nor associated with a master architect/builder/developer. The building, therefore, does not appear to be eligible for listing on the California Register and subsequently does not qualify as a historic resource under CEQA.

CURRENT TENANT

The building is currently occupied by three businesses; Goody Donut shop, Hair Extraordinaire salon (possibly out of business), and the most prominent tenant, Playland-Not-at-the-Beach. The latter is a non-profit, volunteer operated “museum of fun” that houses pinball machines, arcade and carnival games, antique amusement devices, and other amusements, as well as historic exhibits with artifacts from the Sutro Baths and Whitney's Playland in San Francisco.

Playland-at-the-Beach was a seaside amusement park that was founded at San Francisco's Ocean Beach in 1884. The first ride was a “gravity railroad” roller coaster. A steam railroad and, later, trolley lines served Ocean Beach from downtown San Francisco and the amusement park grew to include numerous concessionaire-operated games and rides, as well as the nearby attractions of Cliff House and Sutro Baths. In the early 1920s, the various independent attractions began to be bought up by the partnership of Arthur Looff and John Friedle, then George and Leo Whitney, for whom it was named Whitney's Playland in 1928. By 1934, the amusement park occupied three city blocks and included 14 rides, 25 concessions, and five restaurants. The Whitneys purchased Cliff House and Sutro Baths, bringing everything under single ownership, which lasted until George Whitney's death in 1958. Post-World War II saw the slow deterioration and downsizing of Playland, exacerbated by Whitney's death. In 1972, the park was torn down. A condominium development now stands on the site.5

5 Wikipedia, “Playland (San Francisco)”, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Playland_(San_Francisco)
Playland-not-at-the-Beach was devised by El Cerrito businessman Richard Tuck in 2000. He purchased the former grocery store building to house his collection of Playland artifacts. Community volunteers stepped in to curate Tuck's collection and the “museum of fun” opened to the public in 2008 with the goal to “educate newer generations about bygone days and allow those who remember Whitney's Playland and the Sutro Baths the opportunity to recapture the glorious sights and sounds of that marvelous era.” The museum has 25 exhibits with specific emphasis on the attractions that once existed at Playland-at-the-Beach and the Sutro Baths. Included are the giant clown's blue hat from the top of the Playland Funhouse; Walking Charley, one of the original hand carved life-size wooden characters from the Fun House; books of Playland tickets and original park signage; parts of rides and games; rare photographs; employee uniforms; and prizes from the Playland arcade games.  

Although no longer in their original Ocean Beach location or seaside amusement park setting, the collection of Playland artifacts that the Playland-not-at-the-Beach organization maintains represents a significant concentration of curated and cared-for artifacts from a well-loved and historically significant (but no longer extant) site, which are made available to the public through the generosity of a private collector. It seems unlikely that any other more appropriate repository would be found that would satisfy the issue of location and setting in conjunction with the collection owner's own location preference and the organization's non-profit status. Making it possible for the museum to remain a tenant at its current location after the site has been redeveloped would likely be the most feasible way to protect and continue to make accessible the historic Playland artifact collection.

---
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Corrosivity Test Results
1.0 INTRODUCTION

This report presents the results of the geotechnical investigation performed by Rockridge Geotechnical, Inc. for the proposed mixed-use building to be constructed at 10963 San Pablo Avenue in El Cerrito, California. The site is located at the southwest corner of the intersection of San Pablo Avenue and Jefferson Avenue, as shown on the Site Location Map, Figure 1.

The site consists of two adjacent parcels bounded by San Pablo Avenue to the east, Jefferson Avenue to the north, and neighboring two-story buildings to the west and south. The overall site has plan dimensions of roughly 90 feet by 200 feet and is currently occupied by an asphalt-paved parking lot on the western half and a commercial building on the eastern half. The site is relatively level.

Based on schematic design drawings prepared by Studio KDA for the project, dated March 1, 2017, we understand plans are to demolish the existing building and to construct a 50-unit, multi-family residential building. The building will occupy the majority of the site and will consist of four wood-framed levels over an at-grade concrete podium level that will house parking as well as retail space, a gym, lounge conference room and leasing office.

2.0 SCOPE OF SERVICES

Our geotechnical investigation was performed in accordance with our proposal dated March 21, 2017. Our scope of services consisted of exploring subsurface conditions at the site by drilling three test borings, performing laboratory testing on select soil samples collected from the borings, and performing engineering analyses to develop conclusions and recommendations regarding:
• design groundwater level
• site seismicity and seismic hazards, including the potential for liquefaction and lateral spreading, and total and differential settlement resulting from liquefaction and/or cyclic densification
• the most appropriate foundation type(s) for the proposed building
• design criteria for the recommended foundation type(s), including vertical and lateral capacities for each of the foundation type(s)
• estimates of foundation settlement
• site grading and excavation, including criteria for fill quality and compaction and suitability for reuse of the on-site soil as engineered fill
• subgrade preparation for interior and exterior concrete slabs-on-grade
• 2016 California Building Code site class and design spectral response acceleration parameters
• corrosivity of the near-surface soil and the potential effects on buried concrete and metal structures and foundations
• construction considerations.

3.0 FIELD INVESTIGATION AND LABORATORY TESTING

Subsurface conditions at the site were explored by drilling three test borings and performing laboratory testing on select soil samples. Prior to our investigation, we obtained a drilling permit from Contra Costa County Environmental Health Division (CCCEHD) and contacted Underground Service Alert (USA) to notify them of our work, as required by law. We also retained Precision Locating, LLC, a private utility locator, to check that the boring locations were clear of buried utilities. Details of the field investigation and laboratory testing are described in the remainder of this section.

3.1 Test Borings

Three test borings, designated as Borings B-1 through B-3, were drilled on April 10, 2017 by Pitcher Drilling Company of East Palo Alto, California at the approximate locations shown on the Site Plan, Figure 2. The borings were drilled using a truck-mounted drill rig equipped with
mud rotary capabilities. All three borings were terminated in bedrock at depths ranging between approximately 30 and 35 feet below the existing ground surface (bgs).

During drilling, our field engineer logged the soil and bedrock encountered and obtained representative samples for visual classification and laboratory testing. Groundwater was encountered during drilling and before switching to mud rotary drilling methods. The level and time at which groundwater was observed are noted on the boring logs. The final boring logs were developed based on laboratory test data, review of soil and rock samples in the office, and the conditions recorded on the field logs. Boring logs are presented on Figures A-1 through A-3 in Appendix A. The soil and rock encountered in the borings were classified in accordance with the classification charts shown on Figures A-4 and A-5, respectively.

Soil samples were obtained using the following samplers:

- Sprague and Henwood (S&H) split-barrel sampler with a 3.0-inch outside diameter and 2.5-inch inside diameter, lined with 2.43-inch inside diameter stainless steel tubes.
- Standard Penetration Test (SPT) split-barrel sampler with a 2.0-inch outside and 1.5-inch inside diameter, without liners.

The S&H and SPT samplers were driven with a 140-pound, automatic hammer falling about 30 inches per drop. The samplers were driven up to 18 inches and the hammer blows required to drive the sampler were recorded every six inches and are presented on the boring logs. A “blow count” is defined as the number of hammer blows per six inches of penetration or 50 blows for six inches or less of penetration. The blow counts used for this conversion were: (1) the last two blow counts if the sampler was driven more than 12 inches, (2) the last one blow count if the sampler was driven more than six inches but less than 12 inches, and (3) the only blow count if the sampler was driven six inches or less. The blow counts required to drive the S&H and SPT samplers were converted to approximate SPT N-values using factors of 0.84 and 1.44, respectively, to account for sampler type, approximate hammer energy, and the fact that the SPT sampler was designed to accommodate liners, but liners were not used. The converted SPT N-values are presented on the boring logs.
Upon completion of drilling, the boreholes were backfilled with cement grout under the observation of the CCCEHD inspector and patched with asphalt. The soil cuttings generated by the borings were collected in drums and disposed of off-site by the drilling subcontractor.

3.2 Laboratory Testing

We re-examined each soil sample obtained from our borings to confirm the field classifications and selected representative samples for laboratory testing. Geotechnical laboratory tests were performed on select soil samples to assess their engineering properties and physical characteristics. Soil samples were tested by B. Hillebrandt Soils Testing, Inc. of Alamo, California to measure moisture content, dry density, particle size distribution (gradation), and plasticity (Atterberg limits). Corrosivity testing of a sample of near-surface soil was performed by Project X Corrosion Engineering of Murrieta, California. The results of the geotechnical laboratory tests are presented on the boring logs and in Appendix B.

4.0 SUBSURFACE CONDITIONS

As presented on the Regional Geologic Map (Figure 3), the site is mapped as being underlain by Holocene-age alluvium (Qha) (Graymer, 2006). Alluvial deposits are sediments deposited by flowing water (rivers, streams, flood plains, etc.). These types of deposits can be composed of interbedded layers of mixed gravelly, sandy, clayey, and silty soils.

Based on the results of our borings, we conclude that the site is underlain by alluvial deposits predominantly consisting of stiff to hard clays with varying sand content interbedded with layers of loose dense to dense clayey sand. In the southwest corner of the site in Boring B-3 a layer of medium stiff clay and sandy clay was encountered to a depth of about 5-1/2 feet bgs. Loose clayey sand was encountered in Boring B-2 between a depth of about 6 and 8 feet bgs. Where explored, the top of sandstone is at depths of 26-1/2 to 31 feet bgs and the sandstone has low to moderate hardness, friable to moderately strong, and deeply to moderately weathered.
Two Atterberg limits tests were performed on select samples of the near-surface clay (i.e., upper 2-1/2 to 3 feet). The Atterberg limits tests performed on near-surface clay samples from Borings B-1 and B-3 resulted have a plasticity indices (PIs) of 17 and 14, respectively. These PI values indicate the surficial clay has moderate expansion potential\(^1\). An additional Atterberg limits test was performed on a sample of clayey sand from Boring B-2 at a depth of about 7 feet bgs to further evaluate liquefaction potential.

4.1 Groundwater

Groundwater was measured in test borings when first encountered before switching to mud rotary drilling methods. Initial groundwater was measured at depths ranging from 5.3 to 9 feet bgs in the borings. It should be noted; groundwater may not have had sufficient time to stabilize at the time the measurements were taken in the borings.

To estimate the highest potential groundwater level at the site, we reviewed information on the State of California Water Resources Control Board GeoTracker website (http://geotracker.waterboards.ca.gov/). A nearby site with historic groundwater data on the GeoTracker website is 10940 San Pablo Avenue (to the east of the site; similar ground surface elevation). The data at this site consists of two measurements in three monitoring wells. Groundwater was measured on April 11, 1995 and May 8, 2001. Groundwater measurements range from approximately 4.8 to 5.7 feet bgs (Subsurface Consultants, Inc., 2001).

The groundwater level at the site is expected to fluctuate several feet seasonally with potentially larger fluctuations annually, depending on the amount of rainfall. Based on the results of our investigation and our review of available groundwater data at the site vicinity, we estimate the groundwater table at the site can be as shallow as about 5 feet bgs.

\(^1\) Expansive soil undergoes volumetric changes with changes in moisture content (i.e. it shrinks when dried and swells when wetted).
5.0 SEISMIC CONSIDERATIONS

The results of our evaluation regarding seismic considerations for the project site are presented in the following sections.

5.1 Regional Seismicity

The site is located in the Coast Ranges geomorphic province of California that is characterized by northwest-trending valleys and ridges. These topographic features are controlled by folds and faults that resulted from the collision of the Farallon plate and North American plate and subsequent strike-slip faulting along the San Andreas Fault system. The San Andreas Fault is more than 600 miles long from Point Arena in the north to the Gulf of California in the south. The Coast Ranges province is bounded on the east by the Great Valley and on the west by the Pacific Ocean.

The major active faults in the area are the Hayward, San Andreas, and Calaveras faults. These and other faults in the region are shown on Figure 4. For these and other active faults within a 50-kilometer radius of the site, the distance from the site and estimated mean characteristic Moment magnitude\(^2\) [2007 Working Group on California Earthquake Probabilities (WGCEP) (USGS, 2008) and Cao et al. (2003)] are summarized in Table 1.

---

\(^2\) Moment magnitude is an energy-based scale and provides a physically meaningful measure of the size of a faulting event. Moment magnitude is directly related to average slip and fault rupture area.
### TABLE 1
Regional Faults and Seismicity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fault Segment</th>
<th>Approximate Distance from Site (km)</th>
<th>Direction from Site</th>
<th>Mean Characteristic Moment Magnitude</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Hayward</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>Northeast</td>
<td>7.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Hayward-Rodgers Creek</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>Northeast</td>
<td>7.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rodgers Creek</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>Northwest</td>
<td>7.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mount Diablo Thrust</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>East</td>
<td>6.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Green Valley Connected</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>East</td>
<td>6.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N. San Andreas - Peninsula</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>West</td>
<td>7.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N. San Andreas (1906 event)</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>West</td>
<td>8.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N. San Andreas - North Coast</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>West</td>
<td>7.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Napa</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>North</td>
<td>6.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Calaveras</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>East</td>
<td>7.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Gregorio Connected</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>West</td>
<td>7.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Great Valley 5, Pittsburg Kirby Hills</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>East</td>
<td>6.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greenville Connected</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>East</td>
<td>7.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Point Reyes</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>West</td>
<td>6.90</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Since 1800, four major earthquakes have been recorded on the San Andreas Fault. In 1836, an earthquake with an estimated maximum intensity of VII on the Modified Mercalli (MM) scale occurred east of Monterey Bay on the San Andreas Fault (Toppozada and Borchardt, 1998). The estimated Moment magnitude, $M_w$, for this earthquake is about 6.25. In 1838, an earthquake occurred with an estimated intensity of about VIII-IX (MM), corresponding to an $M_w$ of about 7.5. The San Francisco Earthquake of 1906 caused the most significant damage in the history of
the Bay Area in terms of loss of lives and property damage. This earthquake created a surface rupture along the San Andreas Fault from Shelter Cove to San Juan Bautista approximately 470 kilometers in length. It had a maximum intensity of XI (MM), an M\text{w} of about 7.9, and was felt 560 kilometers away in Oregon, Nevada, and Los Angeles. The most recent earthquake on the San Andreas fault to affect the Bay Area was the Loma Prieta Earthquake of October 17, 1989, with an M\text{w} of 6.9. This earthquake occurred in the Santa Cruz Mountains about 105 kilometers south of the site.

In 1868, an earthquake with an estimated maximum intensity of X on the MM scale occurred on the southern segment (between San Leandro and Fremont) of the Hayward Fault. The estimated M\text{w} for the earthquake is 7.0. In 1861, an earthquake of unknown magnitude (probably an M\text{w} of about 6.5) was reported on the Calaveras Fault. The most recent significant earthquake on this fault was the 1984 Morgan Hill earthquake (M\text{w} = 6.2).

On August 24, 2014 an earthquake with an estimated maximum intensity of VIII (severe) on the MM scale occurred on the West Napa fault. This earthquake was the largest earthquake event in the San Francisco Bay Area since the Loma Prieta Earthquake. The M\text{w} of the 2014 South Napa Earthquake was 6.0.

The U.S. Geological Survey's 2014 Working Group on California Earthquake Probabilities has compiled the earthquake fault research for the San Francisco Bay area in order to estimate the probability of fault segment rupture. They have determined that the overall probability of moment magnitude 6.7 or greater earthquake occurring in the San Francisco Region during the next 30 years (starting from 2014) is 72 percent (Field, 2015). The highest probabilities are assigned to the Hayward Fault, Calaveras Fault, and the northern segment of the San Andreas Fault. These probabilities are 14.3, 7.4, and 6.4 percent, respectively.
5.2 Geologic Hazards

Because the project site is in a seismically active region, we evaluated the potential for earthquake-induced geologic hazards including ground shaking, ground surface rupture, liquefaction, lateral spreading, and cyclic densification. We used the results of our field investigation to evaluate the potential of these phenomena occurring at the project site.

5.2.1 Ground Shaking

The seismicity of the site is governed by the activity of the Hayward Fault, although ground shaking from future earthquakes on other faults, will also be felt at the site. The ground shaking intensity felt at the project site will depend on: 1) the size of the earthquake (magnitude), 2) the distance from the site to the fault source, 3) the directivity (focusing of earthquake energy along the fault in the direction of the rupture), and 4) subsurface conditions. The site is less than two kilometers from the Hayward Fault. Therefore, the potential exists for a large earthquake to induce strong to very strong ground shaking at the site during the life of the project.

5.2.2 Ground Surface Rupture

Historically, ground surface displacements closely follow the trace of geologically young faults. The site is not within an Earthquake Fault Zone, as defined by the Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zoning Act, and no known active or potentially active faults exist on the site. We, therefore, conclude the risk of fault offset at the site from a known active fault is very low. In a seismically active area, the remote possibility exists for future faulting in areas where no faults previously existed; however, we conclude the risk of surface faulting and consequent secondary ground failure from previously unknown faults is also very low.

---

3 Liquefaction is a phenomenon where loose, saturated, cohesionless soil experiences temporary reduction in strength during cyclic loading such as that produced by earthquakes.
4 Lateral spreading is a phenomenon in which surficial soil displaces along a shear zone that has formed within an underlying liquefied layer. Upon reaching mobilization, the surficial blocks are transported downslope or in the direction of a free face by earthquake and gravitational forces.
5 Cyclic densification is a phenomenon in which non-saturated, cohesionless soil is compacted by earthquake vibrations, causing ground-surface settlement.
5.2.3 Liquefaction and Associated Hazards

When a saturated, cohesionless soil liquefies, it experiences a temporary loss of shear strength created by a transient rise in excess pore pressure generated by strong ground motion. Soil susceptible to liquefaction includes loose to medium dense sand and gravel, low-plasticity silt, and some low-plasticity clay deposits. Flow failure, lateral spreading, differential settlement, loss of bearing strength, ground fissures and sand boils are evidence of excess pore pressure generation and liquefaction.

We evaluated the liquefaction potential of soil encountered below groundwater at the site using data collected in our borings. Our liquefaction triggering analyses were performed using the methodology proposed by Youd et al. (2001). Liquefaction-induced ground settlement was estimated using the relationship proposed by Tokimatsu & Seed (1984).

Our analyses were performed using a groundwater depth of 5 feet bgs. In accordance with the 2016 CBC, we used a peak ground acceleration of 0.88 times gravity (g) in our liquefaction evaluation; this peak ground acceleration is consistent with the Maximum Considered Earthquake Geometric Mean (MCE$_{G}$) peak ground acceleration adjusted for site effects (PGA$_{M}$). We also used a moment magnitude 7.33 earthquake, which is consistent with the mean characteristic moment magnitude for the Hayward Fault, as presented in Table 1.

Our liquefaction analysis indicates the majority of the clayey sand layers underlying the site are sufficiently dense to resist liquefaction; however, we encountered an approximately 2-foot-thick layer of loose granular soil between depths of 6 and 8 feet at Boring B-2. With about 30 percent plastic fines and a plasticity index (PI) of about 16, this material is considered too plastic to exhibit “sand-like” liquefaction behavior during a major earthquake. However, we conclude this layer may experience a temporary reduction in shear strength, referred to as cyclic softening, during a major earthquake. Dissipation of the excess pore pressures in the clayey sands following the earthquake could result in settlement of shallow foundations and other at-grade improvements of up to approximately 3/4 inch. Considering the soil layer susceptible to cyclic
softening is not continuous, seismically induced differential settlement of 1/2 inch over a horizontal distance of 30 feet should be assumed in design of foundations.

The layer subject to cyclic softening in Boring B-2 is relatively shallow, such that the potential for a reduction in bearing capacity may occur. The reduction in bearing capacity is accounted for in the footing recommendations presented in Section 7.2.

Considering the relatively flat site grades and the absence of a free face in the site topography, as well as the depth and relative thickness of the potentially liquefiable layers, we conclude the risk of lateral spreading is very low.

5.2.4 Cyclic Densification

Cyclic densification (also referred to as differential compaction) of non-saturated sand (sand above groundwater table) can occur during an earthquake, resulting in settlement of the ground surface and overlying improvements.

The results of our borings indicate the soil above the groundwater at the site generally consists of cohesive soil which is not susceptible to cyclic densification due to its relatively high fines content and cohesion. Therefore, we conclude the potential for ground surface settlement resulting from cyclic densification at the site is nil.
6.0 DISCUSSIONS AND CONCLUSIONS

From a geotechnical standpoint, we conclude the site can be developed as planned, provided the recommendations presented in this report are incorporated into the project plans and specifications and implemented during construction. The primary geotechnical concern at the site is providing adequate foundation support for the proposed building. This and other geotechnical issues, as they pertain to the proposed building, are discussed in the remainder of this section.

6.1 Foundation Support and Settlement

The proposed building is planned to be constructed at-grade. The site is underlain primarily by medium stiff to very stiff clay which has moderate strength and relatively low compressibility. Therefore, we conclude the proposed building may be supported on conventional spread footings without excessive settlement under static conditions. As discussed in Section 5.2.3, thin layers of medium dense clayey sand may be subject to cyclic softening during a major earthquake beneath portion of the site. As a result of the potential for cyclic softening, we conclude that reduced allowable bearing pressures should be used in the design of the footings. Based on our experience with similar projects, we anticipate maximum column loads on the order of 450 kips. Our settlement analyses indicate total static settlement of footings bearing on undisturbed native soil or properly engineered fill, designed using the allowable bearing pressures presented in Section 7.2 of this report, will be less than 3/4 inch and differential settlement will be less than about 1/2 inch over a horizontal distance of 30 feet.

6.2 Groundwater

As discussed in Section 4.1, we conclude the design high groundwater depth for the proposed project should be 5 feet bgs. If any portions of the new construction will extend close to this elevation (elevator pits, underslab vaults, etc.), they should include waterproofing and be designed for hydrostatic pressures. Furthermore, any excavations deeper than 5 feet may require temporary dewatering during construction, as discussed in Section 6.5.
6.3 Expansive Soil

Atterberg limits tests performed on samples of the near-surface clay indicate that the surficial soil at the site has moderate expansion potential. Expansive near-surface soil is subject to volume changes during seasonal fluctuations in moisture content. These volume changes can cause movement and cracking of foundations and sidewalks. Therefore, foundations and concrete slabs should be designed and constructed to resist the effects of the expansive soil.

In general, the effects of expansive soil can be mitigated by moisture-conditioning the expansive soil, providing select, non-expansive fill below interior and exterior slabs, and either supporting foundations below the zone of severe moisture change or by providing a stiff, shallow foundation that can limit deformation of the superstructure as the underlying soil shrinks and swells. Recommendations for building pad preparation, exterior concrete flatwork subgrade preparation, and site drainage and landscaping considerations are included in Section 7.1.

6.4 Soil Corrosivity

Corrosivity testing was performed by Project X Corrosion Engineer of Murrieta, California on a sample of soil obtained during our field investigation from Boring B-2 at a depth of one foot bgs. The results of the test are presented in Appendix B of this report.

Based on the results of the corrosivity test, we conclude the soil is considered “mildly corrosive” with respect to resistivity. Accordingly, all buried iron, steel, cast iron, ductile iron, galvanized steel and dielectric-coated steel or iron should be protected against corrosion depending upon the critical nature of the structure. The results indicate that sulfate ion concentrations are sufficiently low to not pose a threat to buried concrete.

The results indicate the chloride ion concentration is considered “corrosive” and intrusion of chloride ions into the concrete may lead to corrosion of the embedded steel reinforcement (Caltrans, 2015). To help prevent the diffusion of chloride ions into the concrete, supplementary cementitious materials such as fly-ash, silica fume, slag, etc. should be used in the concrete
design to reduce the permeability of the concrete. A low water-cement ratio leads to a denser concrete, which also leads to lower permeability concrete (Caltrans, 2010).

If needed, a corrosion engineer should be retained to provide detailed recommendations for corrosion protection of buried metals and reinforcing in buried concrete structures.

6.5 Construction Considerations

The soil to be excavated for the proposed foundations and utilities is expected to consist primarily of clay and sandy clay which can be excavated with conventional earth-moving equipment such as backhoes. If site grading is performed during the rainy season, the near-surface clay will likely be wet and will have to be dried before compaction can be achieved.

All disturbed soil resulting from demolition activities that will be beneath the bottom of foundation should be overexcavated and recompacted in accordance with the recommendations below in Section 7.1.

Any excavations extending more than about 5 feet below existing grades will likely require temporary dewatering during construction. Any footing excavations that extend close to the groundwater (deeper than about 3 feet below existing grades) may be soft and sensitive to disturbance from construction activities, especially if standing water is allowed to pond on the subgrade. Disturbance to footing subgrades can be mitigated to some degree by over-excavating the footings by about 3 inches and placing mudslabs following inspection by our engineer. The purpose of the mudslab is to protect the sensitive soil subgrade and to provide a firm working surface during placement of reinforcing steel. We recommend the contractor budget accordingly for potential dewatering and mudslabs in the bottoms of deep footing excavations.
7.0 RECOMMENDATIONS

Our recommendations for site preparation and grading, foundation design, seismic design, and other geotechnical aspects of the project are presented in this section.

7.1 Site Preparation and Grading

Site clearing should include removal of all existing pavements, former foundation elements, and underground utilities. Any vegetation and organic topsoil should be stripped in areas to receive improvements (i.e., building, pavement, or flatwork). Tree roots with a diameter greater than 1/2 inch within four feet of building subgrade should be removed. Demolished asphalt concrete should be taken to an asphalt recycling facility. Aggregate base beneath existing pavements may be re-used as select fill, if carefully segregated.

In general, abandoned underground utilities should be removed to the property line or service connections and properly capped or plugged with concrete. Where existing utility lines are outside of the proposed building footprint and will not interfere with the proposed construction, they may be abandoned in-place provided the lines are filled with lean concrete or cement grout to the property line. Any excavations created during demolition should be properly backfilled with compacted fill under the direction of our field engineer.

In areas to receive fill or improvements (i.e. building pad subgrade), the soil subgrade should be scarified to a depth of at least eight inches, and moisture-conditioned and compacted to the specified percent relative compaction\(^6\), as presented below in Table 2. Note that “moisture-conditioning” may require wetting or drying of the soil, depending on the particular conditions encountered during construction.

\(^6\) Relative compaction refers to the in-place dry density of soil expressed as a percentage of the maximum dry density of the same material, as determined by the ASTM D1557 laboratory compaction procedure.
If grading work is performed during the rainy season, the contractor may find the subgrade material too wet to compact to the recommended relative compaction and will have to be scarified and aerated to lower its moisture content so the specified compaction can be achieved. Material to be dried by aeration should be scarified to a depth of at least eight inches; the scarified soil should be turned at least twice a day to promote uniform drying. Once the moisture content of the aerated soil has been reduced to acceptable levels, the soil should be compacted in accordance with our recommendations. Aeration typically is the least costly method used to stabilize the subgrade soil; however, it generally requires the most time to complete. Other soil stabilization alternatives include overexcavating and replacing with drier material, and lime-treatment.

7.1.1 Fill Materials and Compaction Criteria

All fill should be placed in horizontal lifts not exceeding eight inches in loose thickness, moisture-conditioned, and compacted in accordance with the requirements provided below in Table 2. Each type of material is described in the following text according to its uses and specifications.
# TABLE 2
## Summary of Compaction Requirements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Required Relative Compaction (percent)</th>
<th>Moisture Requirement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>General subgrade – low-plasticity</td>
<td>90+</td>
<td>Above optimum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General subgrade – low to moderately expansive clay</td>
<td>90+</td>
<td>2+% above optimum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Building pad subgrade – low-plasticity</td>
<td>90+</td>
<td>Above optimum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Building pad subgrade – low to moderately expansive clay</td>
<td>90+</td>
<td>2+% above optimum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exterior flatwork subgrade – low-plasticity</td>
<td>90+</td>
<td>Above optimum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exterior flatwork subgrade – low to moderately expansive clay</td>
<td>90+</td>
<td>2+% above optimum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exterior flatwork – select fill</td>
<td>90+</td>
<td>Above optimum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicular pavement subgrade (upper 6 inches)</td>
<td>95+</td>
<td>Above optimum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fill and backfill – low to moderately expansive clay</td>
<td>90+</td>
<td>2+% above optimum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fill and backfill – low plasticity</td>
<td>90+</td>
<td>Above optimum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fill and backfill - clean sand or gravel</td>
<td>95+</td>
<td>Near optimum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fill and backfill – aggregate base</td>
<td>95+</td>
<td>Near optimum</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Select fill is considered low-plasticity soil.

**On-site Soil**

On-site soil may be used as general fill, provided it is free of organic matter, contain no rocks or lumps larger than three inches in greatest dimension, and can be compacted to the relative compactions presented in Table 2 (moisture-conditioning will likely be required).
Select Fill
Select fill should consist of on-site or imported soil that is free of organic matter, contain no rocks or lumps larger than three inches in greatest dimension, have a liquid limit less than 40 and plasticity index less than 12, and be approved by the Geotechnical Engineer. Samples of proposed select fill material should be submitted to the Geotechnical Engineer at least three business days prior to use at the site.

The grading contractor should provide analytical test results or other suitable environmental documentation indicating proposed imported fill is free of hazardous materials at least three days before use at the site. If this data is not provided, a minimum of two weeks will be required to perform any necessary analytical testing.

Aggregate Base Material
Imported aggregate base (AB) may be used as select fill or trench backfill (above bedding materials). AB placed beneath sidewalks and vehicular pavements within public right-of-way should meet the requirements in the 2015 Caltrans Standard Specifications, Section 26, for Class 2 Aggregate Base (3/4 inch maximum).

Controlled Low Strength Material
Controlled low strength material (CLSM) may be considered as an alternative to fill beneath the building, concrete flatwork, or pavement. CLSM should meet the requirements in the 2015 Caltrans Standard Specifications. It is an ideal backfill material when adequate room is limited or not available for conventional compaction equipment, or when settlement of the backfill must be minimized. No compaction is required to place CLSM. CLSM should have a minimum 28-day unconfined strength of 50 pounds per square inch (psi).

7.1.2 Exterior Flatwork Subgrade Preparation
We recommend exterior concrete flatwork be underlain by a minimum of six inches of select, non-expansive fill (such as Class 2 AB). The soil subgrade and select fill should be moisture-conditioned and compacted in accordance with the requirements provided above in Table 2.
prepared subgrade should be kept moist until it is covered with select fill. We recommend thickening the edges of concrete flatwork where it is immediately adjacent to landscaped areas.

### 7.1.3 Utility Trench Backfill

Excavations for utility trenches can be readily made with a backhoe. All trenches should conform to the current CAL-OSHA requirements. To provide uniform support, pipes or conduits should be bedded on a minimum of four inches of clean sand or fine gravel. After the pipes and conduits are tested, inspected (if required) and approved, they should be covered to a depth of six inches with sand or fine gravel, which should be mechanically tamped. The pipe bedding and cover should be eliminated where an impermeable plug is required as described below. Backfill for utility trenches and other excavations is also considered fill, and should be placed and compacted in accordance with the recommendations previously presented. If imported clean sand or gravel (clean is defined as soil with less than 10 percent fines) is used as backfill, it should be compacted to at least 95 percent relative compaction. Jetting of trench backfill should not be permitted. Special care should be taken when backfilling utility trenches in pavement areas. Poor compaction may cause excessive settlements, resulting in damage to the improvements above the fill.

Where utility trenches enter the building pad, an impermeable plug consisting of CLSM, at least three feet in length, should be installed where the trenches enter the building footprint. Furthermore, where sand- or gravel-backfilled trenches cross planter areas and pass below asphalt or concrete pavements, a similar plug should be placed at the edge of the pavement. The purpose of these recommendations is to reduce the potential for water to become trapped in trenches beneath the building or pavements. This trapped water can cause heaving of soils beneath slabs and softening of subgrade soil beneath pavements.

Foundations for the proposed structure should be bottomed below an imaginary line extending up at a 1.5:1 (horizontal:vertical) inclination from the base of the utility trenches running parallel to the foundation. Alternatively, the portion of the utility trench that is below the 1.5:1 line can
be backfilled with CLSM (see Section 7.1.1 for material requirements) or Class 2 AB compacted to at least 95 percent relative compaction. If utility trenches are to be excavated below this zone-of-influence line after construction of the building foundations, the trench walls need to be fully supported with shoring until CLSM is placed—compacted AB shall not be used in this scenario.

7.1.4 Drainage and Landscaping

Positive surface drainage should be provided around the building to direct surface water away from foundations and below-grade walls. To reduce the potential for water ponding adjacent to the building, we recommend the ground surface within a horizontal distance of five feet from the building slope down away from the building with a surface gradient of at least two percent in unpaved areas and one percent in paved areas. In addition, roof downspouts should be discharged into controlled drainage facilities to keep the water away from the foundation and below-grade walls. The use of water-intensive landscaping or unlined bio-swales around the perimeter of the building should be avoided.

7.2 Spread Footing Foundations

Provided the estimated total and differential settlements presented in Sections 5.2 and 6.1 are acceptable, the proposed podium may be supported on deepened spread footings founded on undisturbed native soils.

Continuous footings should be at least 18 inches wide, and isolated spread footings should be at least 24 inches wide. Perimeter footings should be bottomed at least 30 inches below the outside grade. The perimeter footing embedment depth may be decreased by six inches where pavement or concrete flatwork is immediately adjacent to the building. Interior footings should extend at least 24 inches below the bottom of the capillary moisture break. Footings to be constructed near underground utilities should be bottomed below an imaginary line extending up at an inclination of 1.5:1 (horizontal:vertical) from the bottom of the utility trench.
Footings may be designed using allowable bearing pressures of 2,500 pounds per square foot (psf) for dead-plus-live loads and 3,300 psf for total design loads, which include wind or seismic forces.

As discussed in Section 6.5, footings that extend near the groundwater level (deeper than about 3 feet bgs) should incorporate a mud slab to protect the footing subgrade from disturbance during construction. This will involve over-excavating the footing by about 3 inches and placing lean concrete or sand-cement slurry in the bottom (following inspection by our engineer). A mud slab will help protect the footing subgrade during placement of reinforcing steel. Water can then be pumped from the excavations prior to placement of structural concrete, if present.

Lateral loads may be resisted by a combination of passive pressure on the vertical faces of the footings and friction between the bottoms of the footings and the supporting soil. To compute lateral resistance of new footings, we recommend using an allowable equivalent fluid weight (triangular distribution) of 275 pounds per cubic foot (pcf) for sustained loads and an allowable uniform pressure of 1,500 psf (rectangular distribution) for transient loads. Passive pressure in the upper one foot of soil should be neglected unless confined by a slab or pavement. Frictional resistance should be computed using a base friction coefficient of 0.3. The passive pressure and frictional resistance values include a factor of safety of at least 1.5 and may be used in combination without reduction.

Footing excavations should be free of standing water, debris, and disturbed materials prior to placing concrete. The bottoms and sides of the excavations should be moistened following excavation and maintained in a moist condition until concrete is placed. If the foundation soil dries during construction, the footing will eventually heave, which may result in cracking and distress. We should check footing excavations prior to placement of a mudslab (if used) and/or reinforcing steel to check for proper bearing and cleanout.
7.3 Building Slab

The building slab in the parking and retail areas may be designed as slabs-on-grade. The garage slab-on-grade should be at least five inches thick and underlain by a minimum of six inches of Class 2 aggregate base (AB) compacted to at least 95 percent relative compaction. The slab-on-grade floor in other parts of the building should be underlain by at least six inches of select fill compacted to at least 90 percent relative compaction, as well as the capillary break described below.

Where water vapor transmission through the slab-on-grade floor is undesirable, we recommend installing a capillary moisture break and a water vapor retarder beneath the floor. A vapor retarder and capillary moisture break are generally not required beneath parking garage floor slabs because there is sufficient air circulation to allow evaporation of moisture that is transmitted through the slab; however, we recommend the vapor retarder and capillary break be installed in the retail space, residential lobby and amenity areas, and below the slab-on-grade in utility rooms and any areas in or adjacent to the parking garage that will be used for storage and/or will receive a floor covering or coating.

A capillary moisture break consists of at least four inches of clean, free-draining gravel or crushed rock. The vapor retarder should meet the requirements for Class B vapor retarders stated in ASTM E1745. The vapor retarder should be placed in accordance with the requirements of ASTM E1643. These requirements include overlapping seams by six inches, taping seams, and sealing penetrations in the vapor retarder. If required by the structural engineer, the vapor retarder may be covered with two inches of sand to aid in curing the concrete and to protect the vapor retarder during slab construction—however, this is not a requirement from a geotechnical standpoint. The sand overlying the vapor retarder should be moist at the time concrete is placed. However, excess water trapped in the sand could eventually be transmitted as vapor through the slab. Therefore, if rain is forecast prior to pouring the slab, the sand should be covered with plastic sheeting to avoid wetting. If the sand becomes wet, concrete should not be placed until the sand has been dried or replaced.
The particle size of the capillary break material and sand (if used) should meet the gradation requirements presented in Table 3.

### TABLE 3
Gradation Requirements for Capillary Moisture Break

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sieve Size</th>
<th>Percentage Passing Sieve</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gravel or Crushed Rock</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 inch</td>
<td>90 – 100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/4 inch</td>
<td>30 – 100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/2 inch</td>
<td>5 – 25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/8 inch</td>
<td>0 – 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sand</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. 4</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. 200</td>
<td>0 – 5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Concrete mixes with high water/cement (w/c) ratios result in excess water in the concrete, which increases the cure time and results in excessive vapor transmission through the slab. Therefore, concrete for the floor slab should have a low w/c ratio - less than 0.50. If the concrete is poured directly over the vapor retarder, we recommend the w/c ratio of the concrete not exceed 0.45. In either case, water should not be added to the concrete mix in the field. If necessary, workability should be increased by adding plasticizers. In addition, the slab should be properly cured. Before the floor covering is placed, the contractor should check that the concrete surface and the moisture emission levels (if emission testing is required) meet the manufacturer’s requirements.

### 7.4 Seismic Design

We understand the proposed building will be designed using the seismic provisions in the 2016 CBC. The site latitude and longitude are 37.9169° and -122.3126°, respectively. In accordance with the 2016 CBC, we recommend the following:
- Site Class C
- \( S_s = 2.290 \text{ g}, S_1 = 0.950 \text{ g} \)
- \( S_{MS} = 2.290 \text{ g}, S_{M1} = 1.236 \text{ g} \)
- \( S_{DS} = 1.527 \text{ g}, S_{D1} = 0.824 \text{ g} \)
- Seismic Design Category E for Risk Categories I, II, and III.

8.0 ADDITIONAL GEOTECHNICAL SERVICES

Prior to construction, Rockridge Geotechnical should review the project plans and specifications to verify that they conform to the intent of our recommendations. During construction, our field engineer should provide on-site observation and testing during site preparation, placement and compaction of fill, and installation of building foundations. These observations will allow us to compare actual with anticipated subsurface conditions and to verify that the contractor's work conforms to the geotechnical aspects of the plans and specifications.

9.0 LIMITATIONS

This geotechnical investigation has been conducted in accordance with the standard of care commonly used as state-of-practice in the profession. No other warranties are either expressed or implied. The recommendations made in this report are based on the assumption that the subsurface conditions do not deviate appreciably from those disclosed in the exploratory borings. If any variations or undesirable conditions are encountered during construction, we should be notified so that additional recommendations can be made. The foundation recommendations presented in this report are developed exclusively for the proposed development described in this report and are not valid for other locations and construction in the project vicinity.
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APPENDIX A

Logs of Borings
### MATERIAL DESCRIPTION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Depth (feet)</th>
<th>Sampler</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Blows/6&quot;</th>
<th>SPT</th>
<th>N-Value</th>
<th>Lithology</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>S&amp;H</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
<td>CL</td>
<td>3 inches of asphalt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CL</td>
<td>9 inches of aggregate base</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>S&amp;H</td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td>CL</td>
<td>CLAY (CL) brown, very stiff, moist, trace fine sand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
<td>CL</td>
<td>SANDY CLAY with GRAVEL (CL) brown, very stiff, moist, trace fine sand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>S&amp;H</td>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
<td>CL</td>
<td>grades sandy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>S&amp;H</td>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
<td>SC</td>
<td>CLAYEY SAND (SC) yellow-brown, medium dense, moist, medium to fine sand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>SPT</td>
<td></td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
<td>SC</td>
<td>Particle Size Distribution; see Appendix B (4/10/17; 8:40 AM)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>S&amp;H</td>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
<td>CL</td>
<td>CLAY (CL) yellow-brown with olive mottling, very stiff; wet, trace fine sand, trace black fine gravel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>S&amp;H</td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td>SC</td>
<td>CLAYEY SAND (SC) olive-brown to yellow-brown, medium dense, wet, fine to medium sand, trace fine gravel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>SPT</td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td>CL</td>
<td>CLAY (CL) yellow-brown, very stiff, wet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>S&amp;H</td>
<td></td>
<td>9</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>21</td>
<td></td>
<td>SC</td>
<td>CLAYEY SAND (SC) yellow-brown, dense, wet, fine to coarse sand, subangular to subrounded fine gravel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>SPT</td>
<td></td>
<td>50/5.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CL</td>
<td>CLAYEY SAND (SC) yellow-brown, very stiff, wet, fine sand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>SPT</td>
<td></td>
<td>50/5.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SC</td>
<td>SANDSTONE yellow-brown, very dense, wet, fine sand</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Depth (feet)</th>
<th>Sampler</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Blows/6&quot;</th>
<th>SPT</th>
<th>N-Value</th>
<th>Lithology</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>SPT</td>
<td></td>
<td>50/5.5</td>
<td>72/5.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SC</td>
<td>SANDSTONE yellow-brown, low hardness, friable, deeply weathered, poorly consolidated</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### LABORATORY TEST DATA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Strength Test</th>
<th>Confining Pressure</th>
<th>Shear Strength</th>
<th>Fines</th>
<th>Natural Moisture Content %</th>
<th>Unit Weight, lbs/cu ft</th>
<th>Density %</th>
<th>Type of Strength Test</th>
<th>Particle Size Distribution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SPT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N-Value</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEPTH (feet)</td>
<td>SANDSTONE (continued)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>olive-brown, moderately hard, weak to moderately strong, moderately weathered</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Boring terminated at a depth of 35.1 feet below ground surface.
Boring backfilled with cement grout and surface asphalt patched.
Groundwater encountered at a depth of 8 feet before switching to mud rotary.

S&H and SPT blow counts for the last two increments were converted to SPT N-Values using factors of 0.84 and 1.44, respectively, to account for sampler type and hammer energy. SPT sampler used without liners.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Depth (feet)</th>
<th>Sampler Type</th>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Blows/6&quot;</th>
<th>SPT N-Value</th>
<th>MATERIAL DESCRIPTION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>GRAB</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2 inches of asphalt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>S&amp;H</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>CLAY (CL) dark brown, moist, trace fine sand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>S&amp;H</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>CLAY with SAND (CL) yellow-brown, stiff, moist, fine sand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>S&amp;H</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>CLAY (CL) olive-brown with yellow-brown mottling, very stiff, moist, trace fine sand (4/10/17; 1:17 PM)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>S&amp;H</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>CLAYEY SAND (SC) yellow-brown, loose, wet, fine to medium sand, trace subangular fine gravel LL = 33, PI = 16; see Appendix B Particle Size Distribution; see Appendix B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>S&amp;H</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>CLAY (CL) olive-brown with mottling yellow-brown, very stiff, wet, trace fine sand with fine subangular gravel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>S&amp;H</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>CLAYEY SAND (SC) yellow-brown, medium dense, wet, fine to medium sand, fine to coarse subangular gravel, plastic fines; Particle Size Distribution; see Appendix B interbedded with sandy clay layers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>SPT</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>SANDY CLAY (CL) olive-brown with yellow-brown, very stiff to hard, wet, fine sand, trace fine subrounded gravel interbedded with clayey sand layers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>S&amp;H</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>CLAYEY SAND (SC) yellow-brown, dense, wet, fine to medium sand, trace fine subangular gravel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>SPT</td>
<td>60/3&quot;</td>
<td>72/3&quot;</td>
<td></td>
<td>SANDSTONE yellow-brown, low hardness, friable to weak, deeply weathered, poorly consolidated</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

S&H and SPT blow counts for the last two increments were converted to SPT N-Values using factors of 0.84 and 1.44, respectively, to account for sampler type and hammer energy. SPT sampler used without liners.

Boring terminated at a depth of 30.3 feet below ground surface.
Boring backfilled with cement grout and surface asphalt patched.
Groundwater encountered at a depth of 5.3 feet before switching to mud rotary.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Depth (ft)</th>
<th>Sampler/Type</th>
<th>Sample/Blows</th>
<th>SPT/SPN</th>
<th>N-Value/Lithology</th>
<th>Material Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>S&amp;H</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>CL</td>
<td>3 inches of asphalt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>S&amp;H</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>CL</td>
<td>9 inches of aggregate base</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>S&amp;H</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>CL</td>
<td>yellow-brown, medium stiff, moist, fine sand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>S&amp;H</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>SC</td>
<td>yellow-brown with olive, dense, moist to medium sand, fine to coarse subangular gravel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>S&amp;H</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>CL</td>
<td>olive-brown with mottling yellow-brown, very stiff, wet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>S&amp;H</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>CL</td>
<td>interbedded with sandy clay layers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>S&amp;H</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>CL</td>
<td>olive with yellow-brown mottling, very stiff, wet, fine sand, trace fine subangular gravel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>S&amp;H</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>SC</td>
<td>CLAYEY SAND (SC) yellow-brown with red-brown mottling, hard, wet, fine to medium sand, trace fine subangular gravel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>S&amp;H</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>SC</td>
<td>fine sand, trace fine angular gravel, interbedded with clayey sand</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

LABORATORY TEST DATA:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Test</th>
<th>Shear Strength Test</th>
<th>Shear Strength on Lysag Ft</th>
<th>Shear Strength on Lysag Ft</th>
<th>Fines</th>
<th>Natural Moisture Content</th>
<th>Dry Density</th>
<th>Unit Weight</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

LL = 30, PI = 14; see Appendix B
### SANDSTONE
- Olive-brown to yellow-brown, low to medium hard, friable to weak, deeply to moderately weathering, moderately consolidated

### LABORATORY TEST DATA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Strength Test</th>
<th>Confining Pressure Lbs/Sq Ft</th>
<th>Natural Moisture Content %</th>
<th>Dry Density Lbs/Cu Ft</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SPT</td>
<td>50/3&quot;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:**
- S&H and SPT blow counts for the last two increments were converted to SPT N-Values using factors of 0.84 and 1.44, respectively, to account for sampler type and hammer energy. SPT sampler used without liners.
- Boring terminated at a depth of 31.3 feet below ground surface.
- Boring backfilled with cement grout and surface asphalt patched.
- Groundwater encountered at a depth of 9 feet before switching to mud rotary.
### Unified Soil Classification System

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Major Divisions</th>
<th>Symbols</th>
<th>Typical Names</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gravels (More than half of coarse fraction &gt; no. 4 sieve size)</td>
<td>GW</td>
<td>Well-graded gravels or gravel-sand mixtures, little or no fines</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GP</td>
<td>Poorly-graded gravels or gravel-sand mixtures, little or no fines</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GM</td>
<td>Silty gravels, gravel-sand-silt mixtures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GC</td>
<td>Clayey gravels, gravel-sand-clay mixtures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sands (More than half of coarse fraction &lt; no. 4 sieve size)</td>
<td>SW</td>
<td>Well-graded sands or gravelly sands, little or no fines</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SP</td>
<td>Poorly-graded sands or gravelly sands, little or no fines</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SM</td>
<td>Silty sands, sand-silt mixtures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silts and Clays LL = &lt; 50</td>
<td>SC</td>
<td>Clayey sands, sand-clay mixtures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silts and Clays LL = &gt; 50</td>
<td>ML</td>
<td>Inorganic silts and clayey silts of low plasticity, sandy silts, gravelly silts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CL</td>
<td>Inorganic clays of low to medium plasticity, gravelly clays, sandy clays, lean clays</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OL</td>
<td>Organic silts and organic silt-clays of low plasticity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MH</td>
<td>Inorganic silts of high plasticity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CH</td>
<td>Inorganic clays of high plasticity, fat clays</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OH</td>
<td>Organic silts and clays of high plasticity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Highly Organic Soils</td>
<td>PT</td>
<td>Peat and other highly organic soils</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Grain Size Chart

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Classification</th>
<th>U.S. Standard Sieve Size</th>
<th>Grain Size in Millimeters</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Boulders</td>
<td>Above 12&quot;</td>
<td>Above 305</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cobble</td>
<td>12&quot; to 3&quot;</td>
<td>305 to 76.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gravel coarse</td>
<td>3&quot; to No. 4</td>
<td>76.2 to 4.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gravel fine</td>
<td>3&quot; to 3/4&quot;</td>
<td>76.2 to 19.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sand coarse</td>
<td>No. 4 to No. 200</td>
<td>4.76 to 0.075</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sand medium</td>
<td>No. 4 to No. 10</td>
<td>4.76 to 2.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sand fine</td>
<td>No. 10 to No. 40</td>
<td>2.00 to 0.420</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silt and Clay</td>
<td>No. 40 to No. 200</td>
<td>0.420 to 0.075</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Below No. 200</td>
<td>Below 0.075</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Sample Designations/Symbols

- Sample taken with Sprague & Henwood split-barrel sampler with a 3.0-inch outside diameter and a 2.43-inch inside diameter. Darkened area indicates soil recovered.
- Classification sample taken with Standard Penetration Test sampler.
- Undisturbed sample taken with thin-walled tube.
- Disturbed sample.
- Sampling attempted with no recovery.
- Core sample.
- Analytical laboratory sample.
- Sample taken with Direct Push sampler.
- Sonic.

### Sampler Type

- C Core barrel
- CA California split-barrel sampler with 2.5-inch outside diameter and a 1.93-inch inside diameter
- D&M Dames & Moore piston sampler using 2.5-inch outside diameter, thin-walled tube
- O Osterberg piston sampler using 3.0-inch outside diameter, thin-walled Shelby tube
- PT Pitcher tube sampler using 3.0-inch outside diameter, thin-walled Shelby tube
- S&H Sprague & Henwood split-barrel sampler with a 3.0-inch outside diameter and a 2.43-inch inside diameter
- SPT Standard Penetration Test (SPT) split-barrel sampler with a 2.0-inch outside diameter and a 1.5-inch inside diameter
- ST Shelby Tube (3.0-inch outside diameter, thin-walled tube) advanced with hydraulic pressure
I FRACTURING

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Intensity</th>
<th>Size of Pieces in Feet</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Very little fractured</td>
<td>Greater than 4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occasionally fractured</td>
<td>1.0 to 4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderately fractured</td>
<td>0.5 to 1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Closely fractured</td>
<td>0.1 to 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intensely fractured</td>
<td>0.05 to 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crushed</td>
<td>Less than 0.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

II HARDNESS

1. **Soft** - reserved for plastic material alone.
2. **Low hardness** - can be gouged deeply or carved easily with a knife blade.
3. **Moderately hard** - can be readily scratched by a knife blade; scratch leaves a heavy trace of dust and is readily visible after the powder has been blown away.
4. **Hard** - can be scratched with difficulty; scratch produced a little powder and is often faintly visible.
5. **Very hard** - cannot be scratched with knife blade; leaves a metallic streak.

III STRENGTH

1. **Plastic** or very low strength.
2. **Friable** - crumbles easily by rubbing with fingers.
3. **Weak** - an unfractured specimen of such material will crumble under light hammer blows.
4. **Moderately strong** - specimen will withstand a few heavy hammer blows before breaking.
5. **Strong** - specimen will withstand a few heavy ringing hammer blows and will yield with difficulty only dust and small flying fragments.
6. **Very strong** - specimen will resist heavy ringing hammer blows and will yield with difficulty only dust and small flying fragments.

IV WEATHERING - The physical and chemical disintegration and decomposition of rocks and minerals by natural processes such as oxidation, reduction, hydration, solution, carbonation, and freezing and thawing.

D. **Deep** - moderate to complete mineral decomposition; extensive disintegration; deep and thorough discoloration; many fractures, all extensively coated or filled with oxides, carbonates and/or clay or silt.

M. **Moderate** - slight change or partial decomposition of minerals; little disintegration; cementation little to unaffected. Moderate to occasionally intense discoloration. Moderately coated fractures.

L. **Little** - no megascopic decomposition of minerals; little of no effect on normal cementation. Slight and intermittent, or localized discoloration. Few stains on fracture surfaces.

F. **Fresh** - unaffected by weathering agents. No disintegration of discoloration. Fractures usually less numerous than joints.

ADDITIONAL COMMENTS:  

V CONSOLIDATION OF SEDIMENTARY ROCKS: usually determined from unweathered samples. Largely dependent on cementation.

U = unconsolidated
P = poorly consolidated
M = moderately consolidated
W = well consolidated

VI BEDDING OF SEDIMENTARY ROCKS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Splitting Property</th>
<th>Thickness</th>
<th>Stratification</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Massive</td>
<td>Greater than 4.0 ft.</td>
<td>very thick-bedded</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blocky</td>
<td>2.0 to 4.0 ft.</td>
<td>thick bedded</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slabby</td>
<td>0.2 to 2.0 ft.</td>
<td>thin bedded</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flaggy</td>
<td>0.05 to 0.2 ft.</td>
<td>very thin-bedded</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shaly or platy</td>
<td>0.01 to 0.05 ft.</td>
<td>laminated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Papery</td>
<td>less than 0.01</td>
<td>thinly laminated</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
APPENDIX B
Laboratory Test Results
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Description and Classification</th>
<th>Natural M.C. (%)</th>
<th>Liquid Limit (%)</th>
<th>Plasticity Index (%)</th>
<th>% Passing #200 Sieve</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B-1 at 3.0 feet</td>
<td>SANDY CLAY with GRAVEL (CL), yellow-brown with yellow mottling</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B-2 at 7.0 feet</td>
<td>CLAYEY SAND (SC), yellow-brown</td>
<td>18.4</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B-3 at 3.0 feet</td>
<td>CLAY (CL), dark brown</td>
<td>20.8</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### MATERIAL DATA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SYMBOL</th>
<th>SOURCE</th>
<th>DEPTH (ft)</th>
<th>Material Description</th>
<th>USCS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>○</td>
<td>B-1</td>
<td>7.0'</td>
<td>CLAYEY SAND, yellow-brown</td>
<td>SC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□</td>
<td>B-1</td>
<td>16.0'</td>
<td>CLAYEY SAND, olive-brown to yellow-brown</td>
<td>SC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>△</td>
<td>B-2</td>
<td>7.0'</td>
<td>CLAYEY SAND, yellow-brown</td>
<td>SC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>♦</td>
<td>B-2</td>
<td>16.0'</td>
<td>CLAYEY SAND, yellow-brown</td>
<td>SC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▽</td>
<td>B-3</td>
<td>10.0'</td>
<td>CLAYEY SAND, yellow-brown with olive</td>
<td>SC</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**GRANITE SIZE - mm.**

- % +3" Coarse
- % Gravel Fine
- % Sand Coarse Medium Fine
- % Silt Silt
- % Clay Clay

---

**PARTICLE SIZE DISTRIBUTION REPORT**

10963 SAN PABLO AVENUE  
El Cerrito, California

ROCKRIDGE  
GEOTECHNICAL

Date 04/28/17  Project No. 17-1299  Figure B-2
**SOIL ANALYSIS LAB RESULTS**

Client: Rockridge Geotechnical  
Job Name: 10963 San Pablo Ave  
Client Job Number: 17-1299  
Project X Job Number: S170413A  
April 20, 2017

Unk = Unknown  
NT = Not Tested  
ND = 0 = Not Detected  
mg/kg = milligrams per kilogram (parts per million) of dry soil weight  
mg/L = milligrams per liter of liquid volume  
Chemical Analysis performed on 1:3 Soil-To-Water extract

Please call if you have any questions.

Respectfully Submitted,

Eddie Hernandez, M.Sc., P.E.  
Sr. Corrosion Consultant  
NACE Corrosion Technologist #16592  
Professional Engineer  
California No. M37102  
ehernandez@projectxcorrosion.com

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bore# / Description</th>
<th>Method</th>
<th>ASTM G187</th>
<th>CTM 417</th>
<th>CTM 422</th>
<th>SM 4500-E</th>
<th>SM 4500-C</th>
<th>SM 4500-D</th>
<th>ASTM G200</th>
<th>CTM 643</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Depth</td>
<td>Min-Resistivity</td>
<td>Sulfates</td>
<td>Chlorides</td>
<td>Nitrate</td>
<td>Ammonia</td>
<td>Sulfide</td>
<td>Redox</td>
<td>pH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B-2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3,350</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>0.0600</td>
<td>1,020</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>210.00</td>
<td>21.00</td>
<td>235</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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FOR
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ENVIRONMENTAL
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September 25, 2015
15-ENV4321

Lander International, LLC
10979 San Pablo Avenue
El Cerrito, CA 94530

Attention: Mr. Timothy Sauer

Subject: Phase I Environmental Site Assessment Report
10963-10979 San Pablo Avenue
El Cerrito, California 94530

Dear Mr. Sauer:

We have performed a Phase I Environmental Site Assessment in conformance with the scope and limitations of ASTM Practice E 1527-13/AAI of 10963-10979 San Pablo Avenue in El Cerrito, California, the property. Any exceptions to, or deletions from, this practice are described in Section 1 of this report. This assessment has revealed no obvious evidence of recognized environmental conditions in connection with the property that warrants further investigation and/or documentation at this time.

Should you have any questions regarding this report, please contact the undersigned.

Sincerely,

Basics Environmental, Inc.

[Signature]
Donavan G. Tom, M.B.A., E.P., R.E.P.A.
Principal Consultant
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PHASE I ENVIRONMENTAL SITE ASSESSMENT
10963-10979 San Pablo Avenue
El Cerrito, California
For
Lander International, LLC
15-ENV4321
September 25, 2015

I declare that, to the best of my professional knowledge and belief, I meet the definition of “Environmental Professional” as defined by the Environmental Protection Agency's Final Rule (40 CFR 312.21). I have the specific qualifications based on education, training and experience to assess a property of the nature, history and setting. In performing Phase I Environmental Site Assessments, I develop and perform the all appropriate inquiries in conformance with the standards and practices set forth in 40 CFR Part 312.

The findings, interpretations of data, recommendations, specifications or professional opinions are presented within the limits prescribed by available information at the time the report was prepared, in accordance with generally accepted professional environmental practice and within the requirements by the Client. There is no other warranty, either expressed or implied. The data and findings of this report are based on the readily available data and information obtained from numerous public and private agencies regarding the subject site and its immediate vicinity. Additional search (at greater cost) may or may not disclose information which may significantly modify the findings of this report. We accept no liability on completeness or accuracy of the information presented and or provided to us, or any conclusions and decisions which may be made by the Client or others regarding the subject site.

This report was prepared solely for the benefit of Basic's Client. Basics consents to the release of this report to third parties involved in the transaction for which the report was prepared, including without limitation, lenders, title companies, public institutions, attorneys, and other consultants. However, any use of or reliance upon this report shall be solely at the risk of such party and without legal recourse against Basics, or its subcontractors, affiliates, or their respective employees, officers, or directors, regardless of whether the action in which recovery of damage is sought is based upon contract, tort (including the sole, concurrent or other negligence and strict liability of Basics), statute or otherwise. This report shall not be used or relied upon by a party that does not agree to be bound by the above statements.

Donavan G. Tom, M.B.A., E.P., R.E.P.A.
Principal Consultant
1.0 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Purpose of Investigation

Basics Environmental, Inc. (Basics) has performed this Phase I Environmental Site Assessment (ESA) for Lander International, LLC pursuant to our signed agreements on September 14, 2015. The "subject site" is at 10963-10979 San Pablo Avenue, El Cerrito, California (APNs 509-110-015 & 509-110-017). The purpose of this ESA is to:

• Observe site conditions at the property in accordance with the protocols set forth by the American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM) Standard E1527-13, Standard Practice for Environmental Site Assessments: Phase I Environmental Site Assessment Process and U.S. Environmental Protection Agency’s All Appropriate Inquiry (AAI) Final Rule 40 CFR Part 312, except where modified by the proposal;

• Identify to the extent feasible recognized environmental conditions in connection with the subject site. The ESA is intended to evaluate the potential for the presence of hazardous or toxic chemicals in the soil and/or groundwater resulting from past and present land use activities. To the extent possible, potential sources of hazardous or toxic chemicals from adjacent off-site operations will also be evaluated; and

• Render findings and professional opinion regarding the potential for adverse environmental impacts on or adjacent to the site.

1.2 Scope of Work

The scope of work performed for this ESA consisted of the following tasks:

• Field reconnaissance and personal interviews to evaluate environmental land-use conditions on the subject site and view adjacent properties;

• Aerial photograph, City Directory and/or Fire Insurance/Topographic Map review (typically back to 1940 or first developed use of the property) to evaluate former environmental land-use conditions on the subject site and adjacent properties;

• Review of federal, state and county files and environmental database search report obtained from a commercial service providing up to date and current information;
• Evaluation of the physical setting (geomorphic, geologic and hydrogeologic) of the subject site property; and

• Preparation of this ESA report to present the findings and professional opinions regarding potential recognized environmental conditions on the site.

The work for this ESA was performed within the client approved scope of work and budget for the investigation.

1.3 Special Terms and Conditions

The goal of this ESA is to identify recognized environmental conditions indicating the presence or likely presence of any hazardous substances or petroleum hydrocarbons in structures, ground, groundwater, or surface water of the property. Recognized environmental conditions are not intended to include de minimus conditions that do not present risks to public health or environment and that would not be subject to enforcement actions by government agencies.

1.4 Limitations and Exceptions

This ESA only includes a visual evaluation of the presence of asbestos, lead paint, radon, or mold, if applicable. In addition, this ESA does not include the results of any sampling, monitoring, or other types of field and/or laboratory testing or investigation.

1.5 User Responsibilities

The user of this ESA will be responsible for: (1) determining the relationship of the purchase price to the value of the property; (2) disclosure of specialized knowledge, experience or information which may effect the environmental condition of the subject site; and (3) disclosure of any environmental cleanup liens against the property within recorded land title records, if applicable. None of the above was provided by the client for our review.
2.0 SITE DESCRIPTION AND RECONNAISSANCE

2.1 Site Description and Uses

2.1.1 Interviews

A Basics representative visited the subject site on September 18, 2015. Basics observed the various facilities and operations conducted at the site and also noted the land-use in the vicinity of the site. Mr. Timothy Sauer, CFO and Founder of Lander International, LLC, provided access to available areas. Mr. Sauer was also briefly interviewed prior to and during the site visit. A standard environmental questionnaire was utilized to obtain disclosure of specialized knowledge, experience or information which may effect the environmental condition of the subject site.

Discussions with Mr. Sauer stated he purchased the building around 1997. During that time, the building was occupied by a grocery store/meat market, donut shop and hair salon. He converted the grocery store/meat market into offices and then converted the offices into the current arcade/museum in 2003. Mr. Sauer indicated that, for purposes of this assessment, he has no specialized knowledge or experience pertaining to the site or the adjacent properties that is material to RECs in connection with the subject property. Additional information obtained from interviews of onsite representatives is incorporated within the appropriate sections of this report.

2.1.2 Site Description and Uses

The subject site is located within the City of El Cerrito at the southwest corner of San Pablo Avenue and Jefferson Avenue and approximately one mile north east of the Richmond Inner Harbor of the San Francisco Bay (See Drawings 1 and 2). The subject site consists of two adjacent parcels of land (APNs 509-110-015 & 509-110-017).

APN 509-110-015 is an approximately 0.348-acre “parallelogram” shaped parcel of land improved with an approximately 9,360-square foot one-story commercial building (10963-10979 San Pablo Avenue) and associated paved and landscaped areas (See Photos 1 and 2). This parcel is on the east portion of the subject site.
The one-story commercial building appears to have been built in stages and is constructed of wood framing on concrete slab and perimeter foundations, with concrete masonry exterior walls. Interior building materials include sheet rock interior walls and partitions, carpet and tile covered floors and “drop-style” and high ceilings. An HVAC system is located on the roof.

APN 509-110-017 is an approximately 0.070-acre (Jefferson Avenue) ‘triangular” shaped parcel of land improved with associated paved and landscaped areas (See Photos 3 & 4). This parcel is on the west portion of the subject site.

Utilities including water, electric, natural gas and sewage service are publicly available. No obvious evidence of electrical transformers was observed at the subject site. Information obtained from the site inspection indicated no evidence that PCB-containing equipment is now used or has been used in connection with the property.

The general area surrounding the property is developed commercial and residential. A site plan illustrating the site and adjacent properties is shown in Drawing 3.

The one-story commercial building (10963-10979 San Pablo Avenue) is currently segregated into three business units (10963, 10969 and 10979 San Pablo Avenue). 10963 San Pablo Avenue is currently occupied by Goody Donuts and utilized as a donut shop. 10969 San Pablo Avenue is currently occupied by Hair Extraordinaire and utilized as a hair salon. 10979 San Pablo Avenue is currently occupied by Lander International, LLC dba Playland Not at the Beach and utilized as an arcade and museum.

2.1.3 Environmental Land-Use Conditions

The subject site was evaluated for the use and storage of hazardous substances and petroleum products; use of aboveground and underground storage tanks, storage and disposal of hazardous wastes; evidence of releases from hazardous materials, and identification of conduits to the subsurface.
One-Story Commercial Building (10963-10979 San Pablo Avenue) (circa 1902) - The one-story commercial building occupies the majority of APN 509-110-015 (See Photos 1 – 4). The one-story commercial building appears to have been built in stages. Discussions with Mr. Sauer indicated to his knowledge the building was originally two structures and later connected into one.

**Goody Donuts (10963 San Pablo Avenue)** – 10963 San Pablo Avenue is located on the southeast corner of the building and is currently segregated into a retail area, kitchen area and restroom facility. The main entrance to the business unit is located along the east side of the building providing access to the retail area from San Pablo Avenue. Discussions with a representative of Good Donuts stated to his knowledge no hazardous materials, underground tanks, sumps or hazardous materials are utilized or located within the unit.

The retail area occupies the east half of the business unit. Located in this area are a glass donut display case and typical furnishings and supplies (See Photo 5). Visual observations of the retail area did not reveal any obvious evidence of hazardous materials, stains or spills. Visual observations of the tile covered floor did not reveal any obvious evidence of drains, sumps or other conduits to the subsurface.

The kitchen area occupies the west half of the business unit. A restroom facility is located on the far northwest corner of the business unit. Located in this area is a commercial grade oven, miscellaneous processing equipment, tables and racks (See Photo 6). A maintenance sink is located along the north side of the kitchen area. Visual observations of the kitchen area did not reveal any obvious evidence of hazardous materials, stains or spills. Visual observations of the tile covered floor did not reveal any obvious evidence of drains, sumps or other conduits to the subsurface.

**Hair Extraordinaire (10969 San Pablo Avenue)** – 10969 San Pablo Avenue is located on the center east side of the building and is currently segregated into a salon area, office and restroom facility. The main entrance to the business unit is located along the east side of the building providing access to the salon area from San Pablo Avenue. Discussions with a representative of Hair Extraordinaire stated to her knowledge no hazardous materials, underground tanks, sumps or hazardous materials are utilized or located within the unit.
The salon area occupies the majority of the business unit. Located in this area are typical hair styling stations, furnishings and supplies (See Photo 7). Visual observations of the retail area did not reveal any obvious evidence of hazardous materials, stains or spills. Visual observations of the tile covered floor did not reveal any obvious evidence of drains, sumps or other conduits to the subsurface.

The office and restroom facility are located on the far west end of the business unit. Due to limited access, visual observations of these areas could not be conducted. Limited visual observations of the exterior entrances to the office and restroom facility did not reveal any obvious evidence of hazardous materials, stains or spills.

Playland Not at the Beach (10979 San Pablo Avenue) – 10979 San Pablo Avenue occupies the majority of the building and is currently segregated into a reception/lobby area, individual offices, exhibit rooms, museum area, carnival arcade area, break room, pinball arcade area and small separate office. The main entrance to the business unit is located at the northeast corner of the building providing access to the reception/lobby area from San Pablo Avenue and Jefferson Avenue. Additional personnel doors are located on the south and west sides of the building providing additional access from the associated paved areas. Discussions with Mr. Sauer stated to his knowledge no hazardous materials, underground tanks, sumps or hazardous materials are utilized or located within the unit. Mr. Sauer also stated an outside service maintains the pinball machines and arcade games.

According to the Playland-Not-at-the-Beach web site, the business unit consists of 30+ pinball machines, arcade games, videogames, carnival games of skills, penny arcades full of antique amusement devices, an amazing hand-carved miniature circus, side show acts, miniature dioramas, Fascination games, and historic exhibits with artifacts from the Sutro Baths and Whitney's Playland in San Francisco. Playland-Not-at-the-Beach is a 501(c)(3) nonprofit Museum of Fun. Designed and built entirely by volunteers, the 20+ interactive exhibits celebrate the magic and history of America's bygone amusements. The goal is to educate newer generations about the bygone days and allow those who remember Whitney's Playland and the Sutro Baths the opportunity to recapture the glorious sights and sounds of that marvelous era.
Reception/Lobby Area and Office Areas - The reception/lobby area and office areas are located on the east portion of the business unit. The reception/lobby area and office areas consists of a common lobby area and individual offices. Located within the reception/lobby area and office areas are typical office furnishings and supplies (See Photos 9 & 10). Visual observations of the reception/lobby area and office areas did not reveal any obvious evidence of hazardous materials, stains or spills. Visual observations of the carpet covered floors within the reception/lobby area and office areas did not reveal any obvious evidence of sumps, cracks, drains or other conduits to the subsurface.

Museum Area and Exhibit Rooms - The museum area and exhibit rooms are located on the center and northwest portions of the business unit. The museum area and exhibit rooms consists of individual rooms. Located within the museum area and exhibit rooms are historic exhibits with artifacts from the Sutro Baths and Whitney's Playland in San Francisco. Playland-Not-at-the-Beach including a hand-carved miniature circus, and miniature dioramas (See Photos 11 & 12). Visual observations of the museum area and exhibit rooms did not reveal any obvious evidence of hazardous materials, stains or spills. Visual observations of the carpet covered floors within the museum area and exhibit rooms did not reveal any obvious evidence of sumps, cracks, drains or other conduits to the subsurface.

Carnival Arcade Area - The carnival arcade area is located on the center west portions of the business unit. The carnival arcade area consists of individual rooms. Located within the carnival arcade area are carnival games of skills, penny arcades full of antique amusement devices, arcade games and videogames (See Photos 13 & 14). Visual observations of the carnival arcade area did not reveal any obvious evidence of hazardous materials, stains or spills. Visual observations of the carpet covered floors within the carnival arcade area did not reveal any obvious evidence of sumps, cracks, drains or other conduits to the subsurface.

Break Room - The break room is located on the center portion of the business unit. The break room consists of a kitchen/break room and restroom facilities. Located within the kitchen/break room and restroom facilities are typical furnishings and supplies (See Photo 15). Discussions with Mr. Sauer stated to his knowledge this area was originally an outside patio area between the two original buildings which was enclosed when they combined the two buildings into one a long time ago. Mr. Sauer also indicated no indications of hazardous materials or
collections sumps were noted when he renovated this area when he purchased the building in 1997. Visual observations of the break room did not reveal any obvious evidence of hazardous materials, stains or spills. Visual observations of the tile covered floors within the break room did not reveal any obvious evidence of sumps, cracks, drains or other conduits to the subsurface.

**Pinball Arcade Area** - The pinball arcade area is located on the south portion of the business unit. The pinball arcade area consists of a individual rooms. Located within the pinball arcade area are pinball machines (See Photos 16 & 17). Discussions with Mr. Sauer stated to his knowledge the far west end of this area was originally a walk in cooler for the previous grocery store/meat market. Mr. Sauer also indicated no indications of hazardous materials or collections sumps were noted when he renovated this area when he purchased the building in 1997. Visual observations of the pinball arcade area did not reveal any obvious evidence of hazardous materials, stains or spills. Visual observations of the linoleum, carpet and bare concrete floors within the pinball arcade area did not reveal any obvious evidence of sumps, cracks, drains or other conduits to the subsurface.

**Small Separate Office** – A small separate office is located on the south portion of the business unit, however it has a separate entrance along the south side of the building. Discussions with Mr. Sauer stated the office is utilized by his company as primarily storage of office files. Visual observations of the small office area did not reveal any obvious evidence of hazardous materials, stains or spills. Visual observations of the carpet covered floors within the small office did not reveal any obvious evidence of sumps, cracks, drains or other conduits to the subsurface.

**Associated Paved and Landscaped Areas** - The associated paved area is located on the west portion of APN 509-110-015 and entire portion of APN 509-110-017 and is accessible via a paved driveway along Jefferson Avenue to the north (See Photos 3 and 4). The associated paved area is paved with asphalt and concrete and utilized as a parking lot. A concrete walkway is also located along the south side of the building. In addition, a wooden access ramp is located along the west side of the building providing access to 10979 San Pablo Avenue business unit from the associated paved parking area. The associated landscaped area is primarily located on the west perimeter of the subject site and interspersed between the subject site perimeter and associated paved areas.
Located at the northwest corner of the subject site building is a former flue vent. Discussions with Mr. Sauer stated to his knowledge the flue may have been associated with the former grocery store/meat market. Mr. Sauer also indicated no indications of hazardous materials or collections sumps were noted when he renovated this area when he purchased the building in 1997. Visual observations of the former flue vent did not reveal any obvious evidence of hazardous materials, stains or spills.

A designated garbage bin area is located near the southwest corner of the subject site building within the associated paved area (See Photo 8). Visual observations of the designated garbage bin area did not reveal any obvious evidence of hazardous materials, stains or spills. Visual observations of the bare asphalt within this area did not reveal any obvious evidence of sumps, cracks, drains or other conduits to the subsurface.

General observations of the rest of the associated paved and landscaped areas did not reveal any obvious evidence of hazardous materials, stains or spills. No obvious evidence of underground storage tanks, distressed vegetation, or surface impoundments were observed throughout the site during the inspection.
2.2 **Adjacent Properties**

Sites in the vicinity of the subject site were observed during the site reconnaissance to evaluate conditions or businesses indicative of hazardous or potentially toxic materials use.

The following are the uses of the adjoining properties.

- **North** - Jefferson Avenue and beyond Eskaton Hazel Shirley Manor Residential Apartments (11025 San Pablo Avenue)
- **South** - El Cerrito Village Mixed Residential/Retail Complex (5929 Alameda Avenue & 10945-10955 San Pablo Avenue)
- **East** - San Pablo Avenue and beyond Civic Plaza Apartments (10944 San Pablo Avenue)
- **West** - Residential Apartment Building (5842-5900 Jefferson Avenue)

Visual observations of the immediate adjacent properties did not reveal any obvious business activities indicative to the use, storage and/or treatment of hazardous materials. In addition, no obvious evidence was noted at the immediate adjacent properties that would represent a significant environmental concern to the subject site.

2.2.2 **Wells**

No obvious evidence of wells, such as water supply wells and/or groundwater monitoring wells, were noted on or nearby the subject site.
2.3  Non-ASTM E1527 Considerations

2.3.1  Asbestos Containing Construction Materials

An asbestos survey was not conducted at the property as part of this assessment. However, the subject site structure was confirmed to have been constructed before 1979, the year asbestos containing construction materials was banned, thus, asbestos may have been utilized in its construction. No obvious evidence of friable or non-friable suspect asbestos containing materials was observed within easily accessible areas of the structure. However, original building materials not easily accessible including, but not limited to, flooring and masting materials, sheet rock muds and taping compounds, ceiling and roofing materials, and ducting and surfacing materials may contain ACCMs. To confirm if any asbestos materials are contained within the structure on the subject site, an asbestos survey should be performed by an AHERA trained asbestos professional. If the property building is slated for renovation or demolition, an asbestos inspection will be required, pursuant to the National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutant (NESHAPs).

2.3.2  Lead-Based Paint

A lead-based paint survey was not conducted at the property as a part of this assessment. However, the subject site structure was confirmed to have been constructed before the ban on lead-based paints in 1978, thus, lead-based paints may have been utilized in its construction. Visual observations of the painted surfaces of the subject site structure appeared to be in fair condition with no obvious signs of chipping, cracking, and/or significant health risk concerns. Lead-based paint is any paint, varnish, stain, or other applied coating that has 1 mg per square cm (or 5,000 µg/g by dry weight) or more of lead. In Section 1017 of the Housing and Urban Development Guidelines, Residential Lead-Based Paint Hazard Reduction Act of 1992, otherwise known as "Title X", states that a lead-based paint hazard is "any condition that causes exposure to lead that would result in adverse human health effects" resulting from lead-contaminated dust, bare, lead-contaminated soil, and/or lead-contaminated paint that is deteriorated or present on accessible, friction, or impact surfaces. Therefore, under Title X, intact lead-based paint on most walls and ceilings would not be considered a "hazard," although the
paint should be maintained and its condition monitored to ensure that it does not deteriorate and become a hazard.

2.3.3 Radon

Radon testing was not conducted at the property as a part of this assessment. However, based on the Map of Radon Zones provided by the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), there is a low potential that radon concentrations at, or above, 4 picocuries per liter (pCi/l) are present at the site. Concentrations at, or above, 4 pCi/l are considered to be concentrations of concern per Cal-EPA and EPA. Based on the map, radon has been detected in Contra Costa County at average levels less than 2 pCi/l. Additional information can also be obtained from the California Department of Public Health’s Radon Program which provides a list of radon test results from throughout the state which are sorted by zip code.

Radon is a naturally occurring radioactive gas that is odorless, invisible, and without taste. It is released during the natural decay of uranium, which is present in most rock, soil and water. Its occurrence in the state is influenced primarily by geology. Radon can be found throughout California because uranium exists in all rock and soil. Although certain areas of the state are more likely to contain higher radon levels than others, radon is a house-to-house issue. You may live in an area of low radon potential yet your house can have elevated radon but your neighbor’s house has a low radon level. Radon, in its natural state cannot be detected with the human senses. To confirm if any radon is contained within the structure on the subject site, testing should be performed by an EPA-authorized state certified radon testing professional.

2.3.4 Mold

A mold survey was not conducted at the property as a part of this assessment. However, no obvious evidence of mold or water damaged materials were observed within easily accessible areas of the structures.

In general, mold is a subset of the fungi family. Fungi are common and found in most ecosystems. Fungi are needed to help recycle organic material to sustain plant and animal life. In order to reproduce, mold release tiny spores into the air, which eventually attach onto surfaces favorable for growth. A class of fungi, molds have been found to cause a variety of health
problems in humans, including allergic, toxicological, and infectious responses. Molds are decomposers of organic materials, and thrive in humid environments, and produce spores to reproduce as plants produce seeds. When mold spores land on a damp spot indoors, they may begin growing and digesting whatever they are growing on in order to survive. When excessive moisture or water accumulates indoors, mold growth will often occur, particularly if the moisture problems remain undiscovered or not addressed.

Currently, there are no established “sound, science-based Permissible Exposure Limits (PELs) for indoor molds at this time”. As mold becomes a more prevalent issue, building owners will need to stay informed on the subject. There are dozens of Internet web sites geared to the topic, and increased litigation in this area is also fueling increased interest. With any new trend there often is misinformation, incorrect conclusions, and conflicting information. Those involved in the building industry should consider the source and weight of information carefully before drawing conclusions and making decisions.

To confirm if any mold is present within the structures on the subject site, laboratory test and sampling can be performed by a qualified industrial hygienist for various species of fungi such as Aspergillus, Cladosporium, Stachybotris and other mycotoxins, and bacteria families such as Legionella, etc. However, the only types of evidence that have been related consistently to adverse health effects are the presence of current or past water damage, damp materials, visible mold, and mold odor, not the number or type of mold spores nor the presence of other markers of mold in indoor air or dust.
3.0 PHYSICAL SITE SETTING

3.1 Geomorphic Description

The subject site is within the Coast Ranges geomorphic province of California within the northern end of the East Bay Plain, on the eastern flank of the San Francisco Bay structural trough. The subject site is situated approximately one-mile north of the eastern shoreline of the Richmond Inner Harbor of the San Francisco Bay on a relatively flat topography at an approximate elevation of approximately 15 feet above mean sea level. The structural depression that underlies the San Francisco Bay has accumulated up to 1100 feet of poorly consolidated sediment (Alameda County, 1988). The trough is filled with approximately 950 feet of alluvial fan deposits, characterized by lenticular beds of poorly sorted gravel, sand, silt, and clay that exhibit wide variations in bed thickness and grain size over short distances.

3.2 Geologic Setting

The subject site is located in the San Francisco Bay Region, which lies near the margin of the Pacific and North American crustal plates. Because these crustal plates are moving relative to each other, the region is tectonically active and experiences numerous and frequent earthquakes. Movement in the area occurs along two principal fault systems: the San Andreas fault (which parallels the California coast west of the Bay), and the Hayward fault (which is sub parallel to the San Andreas fault on the east side of the Bay) (Norris and Webb 1976). The subject site has been, and could in the future, be affected by seismic activity. The alluvial and marine sediments filling the structural basin underlying the San Francisco Bay have been sub-divided based on their dominant modes of deposition and geologic age. In general, these sediments include Bay Mud, the Merritt Sand, and Younger and Older Alluvium. However, fluvially deposited sediments predominate at on the upper portions of the East Bay Plain, and are generally characterized by thin sheets of younger, Holocene fluvial and interfluvial basin deposits underlain by older alluvium of Pleistocene age.
Information regarding soil lithology was researched at the California Water Resources Control Board’s website at https://geotracker.waterboards.ca.gov/. Based on subsurface investigations performed for the Civic Center Plaza Apartments at 10940 San Pablo Avenue, located approximately across San Pablo Avenue to the east, the subsurface soils in the area have been described as consisting of clays with high to medium plasticity from the surface to 4 feet bgs, low to medium-plasticity, sandy clays with occasional gravel from 4 feet to 12 feet bgs, and clayey sands from 8 feet to 20 feet bgs, the deepest explored level (Allied Environmental Services 1995).

3.3 Hydrogeologic Setting

Information regarding first depth to groundwater and flow direction were researched at the California Water Resources Control Board’s website at https://geotracker.waterboards.ca.gov. According to the San Francisco Bay region’s Basin Plan, groundwater at the site falls within the East Bay Plain (RWQCB, 1995). Within this basin, the site lies approximately at the dividing line between two groundwater sub-areas: the Central sub-area, and the Berkeley sub-area. In 1999, the Water Board completed the “East Bay Plain Groundwater Basin Beneficial Use Evaluation Report” (RWQCB, 1999). After examining the current uses and potential likely future uses of groundwater in this basin, the Water Board recommended that shallow and deep groundwater in the area of the site (i.e., a portion of the Central sub-area and the Berkeley sub-area) be designated as Zone B Groundwater Management Zone. Zone B groundwater is described as “Groundwater unlikely to be used as a drinking water resource”. Groundwater in this category is described as likely to have been used historically as a domestic water supply (e.g., private wells), but unlikely to be used as public water supply. The Water Board also recommended that sub-area boundaries be reorganized, including the elimination of the Central sub-area and expanding the Berkeley sub-area to extend all the way to the shoreline. These proposed amendments (along with others) to the Basin Plan were approved by the San Francisco Bay Regional Board members in 2000.

Regionally, the shallow ground water is within the alluvial fans of the San Francisco Sub-Basin of the East Bay Plain Groundwater Basin (SFRWQCB, 1999) and flow direction is to the southwest in the direction of the San Francisco Bay. Locally, topography slopes southwesterly...
towards the San Francisco Bay roughly illustrating the direction of the ground water flow direction.

Based on subsurface investigations performed for the Civic Center Plaza Apartments at 10940 San Pablo Avenue, located approximately across San Pablo Avenue to the east, groundwater has been encountered at approximately 6 to 8 feet bgs and occurred at depths between 4.74 and 5.72 feet bgs in site wells. Groundwater flow is consistently in the west to southwest direction at a relatively low groundwater gradient (Subsurface Consultants, Inc. 2001). Hillside runoff, aquifer pumping, tidal fluctuations or other factors may influence ground water levels. Seasonal variations should also be anticipated.
4.0 HISTORICAL REVIEW

Site historical information was obtained from a review of Sanborn Fire Insurance Maps, United States Geological Survey (U.S.G.S.) Topographic Maps, aerial photographs, Polk and Haines City Directories. In addition, local building department records were also reviewed. The following Sanborn maps, topographic maps, and city directories were reviewed on September 21, 2014, within the libraries maintained by the University of California in Berkeley, California and City of Oakland, in Oakland, California. The aerial photographs were reviewed online within the sites maintained by National Environmental Title Research, LLC, TerraServer, and Google Earth.

Note: Copies of supporting aerials, city directories and topographic are not included in the report. The historical references are reviewed within local public libraries and are copyright protected and cannot be reproduced without the consent of the owner. As such, our reports properly cite and reference the historical reference in accordance with ASTM E1527-13/AAI protocols. Any incorporation of these documents without the permission of the owner would be against the law.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>U.S.G.S. Topographic Map</td>
<td>1895</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sanborn Fire Insurance Map</td>
<td>1903</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sanborn Fire Insurance Map</td>
<td>1905</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sanborn Fire Insurance Map</td>
<td>1909</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S.G.S. Topographic Map</td>
<td>1915</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sanborn Fire Insurance Map</td>
<td>1916</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polk City Directory</td>
<td>1925</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sanborn Fire Insurance Map</td>
<td>1926</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polk City Directory</td>
<td>1930</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sanborn Fire Insurance Map</td>
<td>1930</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polk City Directory</td>
<td>1937</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polk City Directory</td>
<td>1940</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S.G.S. Topographic Map</td>
<td>1942</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polk City Directory</td>
<td>1942</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aerial Photograph</td>
<td>1946</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polk City Directory</td>
<td>1948</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sanborn Fire Insurance Map</td>
<td>1950</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PHASE I 4-1 15-ENV4321
In the topographic maps of 1895 and 1915, the subject site and adjacent properties are shown as undeveloped. During that time, a paved road (current San Pablo Avenue) is shown adjacent to the east.

According to local building department records, the north portion of the subject site was developed with a two-story residential room building and the south portion of the subject site was developed with a small one-story residential dwelling in 1902. No other documentation regarding the original construction was available within the ECBD files.
In the Richmond/El Cerrito Sanborn Maps of 1903, 1905, 1909 and 1916, the subject site and adjacent properties fall beyond the area of coverage and no site-specific maps or details are available. However, the index map of 1916 shows the subject site bordered by Jefferson Avenue to the north and San Pablo Avenue to the east.

In the city directories of 1925 and 1930, the subject site nor San Pablo Avenue are listed.

In the Sanborn Map of 1926, the subject site is shown as four adjacent parcels of land. The city limit line was shown to cut through the west portion of the subject site (as currently noted). The north parcel is shown developed with a two-story residential room building with two associated out buildings. The south parcel is shown developed with a small one-story residential dwelling. The two west parcels are shown undeveloped. During this time, bordering the site is Jefferson Avenue and beyond undeveloped parcels to the north; an auto wrecking yard consisting of a small one-story second hand auto parts shop, small residential dwelling, garage and yard to the south; San Pablo Avenue and beyond residential dwelling and undeveloped parcels to the east; and undeveloped parcels to the west.

In the Sanborn Map of 1930, the subject site is shown as three adjacent parcels of land. The city limit line was shown to cut through the west portion of the subject site (as currently noted). The north parcel is shown developed with a two-story non descript retail storefront building (original address of 1051 San Pablo Avenue), one-story non descript retail storefront building (original address of 1057 San Pablo Avenue) and two associated out buildings. The south parcel is shown vacant. The combined two west parcels are shown undeveloped. During this time, bordering the site is Jefferson Avenue and beyond undeveloped parcels to the north; a yard consisting of a small one-story non descript retail storefront, small residential dwelling, garage and yard to the south; San Pablo Avenue and beyond residential dwelling and undeveloped parcels to the east; and undeveloped parcels to the west.

In the city directories of 1937 and 1942, the subject site is listed as being occupied by Angelo Fara grocers (1345 San Pablo Avenue).

In the topographic maps of 1942, 1959, 1968, 1973 and 1980, the subject sites and adjacent properties are shown within a shaded region designated as “urban developed” with no site-specific details available.
In the city directory of 1948, the subject site is listed as being occupied by Angelo Fara Market & Delicatessen, Johnson & Perelli grocers, De Maria Fruits and G F McDermott Meats (1345 San Pablo Avenue).

In the aerial photograph of 1946 and Sanborn Map of 1950, the subject site is shown as two adjacent parcels of land. The city limit line was shown to cut through the west portion of the subject site (as currently noted). The combined north and south parcels are shown developed with a two-story non descript retail storefront building with attached one-story rear warehouse (new address of 1345 San Pablo Avenue) and one-story non descript retail storefront building with new south addition (new addresses of 1335 & 1349 San Pablo Avenue). The combined two west parcels are shown undeveloped. During this time, bordering the site is Jefferson Avenue and beyond undeveloped parcels to the north; a yard consisting of two commercial building utilized for auto repair and spray paint, a small gas and oil station and yard (1325 San Pablo Avenue) to the south; San Pablo Avenue and beyond one-story union hall building (1336-1340 San Pablo Avenue) and two-story residential dwelling apartment building (1330-1332 San Pablo Avenue) to the east; and undeveloped parcels to the west.

According to local building department records, permits for a store addition and remodeling of the two existing buildings (apparently into one combined building) were issued in 1954 and 1955 to Angelo Fara (owner).

In the city directories of 1955, 1957, 1961, 1965 and 1975, the subject site is listed as being occupied by Fred Vanamburg “Vans” Donut Shop (10963 San Pablo Avenue) and Angelo’s Market grocers and Moynahan & Rosenstrauch Meats (10979 San Pablo Avenue).

In the aerial photograph of 1958, the subject site appears as two adjacent parcels of land. The east parcel is shown developed with a one-story non descript retail storefront building (new address of 10979 San Pablo Avenue) (as noted during the site reconnaissance). The west parcel is shown as a parking lot. During this time, bordering the site is Jefferson Avenue and beyond trailer sales lot to the north; a yard consisting of two commercial building utilized for auto repair and spray paint, a small gas and oil station and yard to the south; San Pablo Avenue and beyond one-story commercial building (1336-1340 San Pablo Avenue) and two-story residential dwelling apartment building (1330-1332 San Pablo Avenue) to the east; and undeveloped parcels to the west.
In the Sanborn Maps of 1966 and 1970 and aerial photograph of 1968, the subject site is shown as two adjacent parcels of land. The city limit line was shown to cut through the west portion of the subject site (as currently noted). The east parcel is shown developed with a one-story non-descript retail storefront building (new address of 10979 San Pablo Avenue) (as noted during the site reconnaissance). The west parcel is shown as a parking lot. During this time, bordering the site is Jefferson Avenue and beyond trailer sales lot to the north; a one-story restaurant building and associated parking lot (10945 San Pablo Avenue) to the south; San Pablo Avenue and beyond one-story union hall building (10972 San Pablo Avenue) and two-story building utilized as kennels (10960-10962 San Pablo Avenue) to the east; and undeveloped parcels to the west.

In the aerial photograph of 1980, the subject site appears as two adjacent parcels of land. The east parcel is shown developed with a one-story non-descript retail storefront building (as noted during the site reconnaissance). The west parcel is shown as a parking lot. During this time, bordering the site is Jefferson Avenue and beyond trailer sales lot to the north; a one-story commercial building and associated parking lot to the south; San Pablo Avenue and beyond one-story commercial building and two-story building to the east; and residential apartment building to the west.

In the city directory of 1980, the subject site is listed as being occupied by Bob Garcia’s Quality Donut Shop (10963 San Pablo Avenue), E&W Cyclery (10965 San Pablo Avenue), McClendon Electric Service (10967 San Pablo Avenue) and Angelo’s Market grocers and Moynahan Meats (10979 San Pablo Avenue).

In the city directory of 1985, the subject site is listed as being occupied by Bob Garcia’s Quality Donut Shop (10963 San Pablo Avenue), Headliners (10967 San Pablo Avenue), Soup ER Yogurt (10969 San Pablo Avenue) and Angelo’s Market grocers and Moynahan Meats (10979 San Pablo Avenue).

In the aerial photograph of 1987, the subject site appears as two adjacent parcels of land. The east parcel is shown developed with a one-story non-descript retail storefront building (as noted during the site reconnaissance). The west parcel is shown as a parking lot. During this time, bordering the site is Jefferson Avenue and beyond residential apartment building to the north; residential apartment complex to the south; San Pablo Avenue and beyond one-story
commercial building and two-story building to the east; and residential apartment building to the west.

In the aerial photographs of 1988, 1993, 2000, 2002, 2005, 2009 and 2012, the subject site appears as two adjacent parcels of land. The east parcel is shown developed with a one-story non-descript retail storefront building (as noted during the site reconnaissance). The west parcel is shown as a parking lot. During this time, bordering the site is Jefferson Avenue and beyond residential apartment building to the north; residential apartment complex to the south; San Pablo Avenue and beyond residential apartment complex to the east; and residential apartment building to the west.

In the city directory of 1990, the subject site is listed as being occupied by Country Style Bakery (10963 San Pablo Avenue), Container Craft (10965 San Pablo Avenue), and Angelo’s Market & Deli (10979 San Pablo Avenue).

In the city directory of 1995, the subject site is listed as being occupied by El Cerrito Donut Shop (10963 San Pablo Avenue), Hair Extraordinaire (10969 San Pablo Avenue) and Blue & Gold Market (10979 San Pablo Avenue).

In the city directories of 2000 and 2005, the subject site is listed as being occupied by Goody Donut Shop (10963 San Pablo Avenue), Hair Extraordinaire (10969 San Pablo Avenue) and Lander Consulting (10979 San Pablo Avenue).

In the city directory of 2010, the subject site is listed as being occupied by Goody Donut Shop (10963 San Pablo Avenue), Hair Extraordinaire (10969 San Pablo Avenue) and Lander International (10979 San Pablo Avenue).

In the city directory of 2015, the subject site is listed as being occupied by Goody Donut Shop (10963 San Pablo Avenue), Hair Extraordinaire (10969 San Pablo Avenue) and Lander International and Playland Not at the Beach (10979 San Pablo Avenue).
5.0 ENVIRONMENTAL DATABASE REVIEW

5.1 Agency Record Review

Environmental Data Resources, Inc. (EDR) was contracted to compile data from available government agency databases on locations of actual and potentially impacted sites within a one-mile radius of the subject property. Copies of the environmental database lists and the location map for the subject site are included in Appendix A.

The results of the database search by EDR revealed 54 mapped sites and 25 unmapped sites within a one-mile radius, of which 24 mapped sites are within a one-eighth mile radius of the subject site. Based on distance from the subject property and regional hydrogeology the following selected site(s) identified by EDR were deemed to have the highest potential to impact the subject site. In addition, a Tier 1 Vapor Encroachment Screen (VES) pursuant to ASTM E2600-10 was performed on the following selected site(s) to assess whether a potential vapor encroachment condition (VEC) exists at the subject property caused by the release of vapors from contaminated soil or groundwater either on or near the subject site. These sites identified by EDR were located either at, adjacent or possibly up gradient of the subject site. No listings were reported for the subject site address or auto repair and spray paint, a small gas and oil station and auto wrecking yard formerly at 1325/10945 San Pablo Avenue (1930s-1950s) by EDR.

- Civic Center Plaza Apartments & City of El Cerrito Public Safety Building – 10940 & 10900 San Pablo Avenue, El Cerrito. Located across San Pablo Avenue to the east and perceived up/cross gradient to the subject site. Listed on the Cortese, ENF and LUST Lists.

According to the information provided by EDR, this site is listed as impacting the soil and groundwater with gasoline during the closure of an underground storage tank in 1994.

According to the RWQCB’s GeoTracker and Cal-EPA EnviroStor websites, this site was previously a vacant lot, occasionally used for parking motor vehicles, The site was part of a former Dolan Lumber Yard Property.
On June 1, 1987, two gasoline USTs (one 10,000-gallon and one 2,000-gallon USTs) were removed from Dolan Lumber Yard. The tanks had holes, however, soil and groundwater samples collected from the tank pits during tank removal activities did not contain hydrocarbons. Two wells were installed immediately down gradient (within 10 feet of the former USTs) in July 1987. Soil samples collected from well borings at 10 feet bgs and groundwater samples collected from the wells did not contain hydrocarbons.

In 1987, the former Dolan Lumber Yard site was divided into two parcels. The parcel comprising of the western and northern parts of the former Dolan Lumber Yard that contained the former USTs was assigned a new address (10944 San Pablo Avenue), and was redeveloped into Civic Center Plaza Apartments. The eastern parcel acquired by the City of El Cerrito maintained the original address (10940 San Pablo Avenue). Initially, the City considered building a new city hall at this parcel, and performed a geotechnical investigation at the site in 1994. Hydrocarbon contaminated soil and groundwater were encountered during this investigation, however no apparent on-site source was identified. Additional environmental investigations indicated that this contamination originated from an off-site source (a leaking underground storage tank (LUST) located at the adjacent (upgradient) site also belonging to the City of El Cerrito (El Cerrito Public Safety Building site at 10900 San Pablo Avenue).

Additional investigations of the release of petroleum hydrocarbons were conducted at this site. Nine additional soil borings were advanced to assess the extent of petroleum hydrocarbons in the soil and groundwater. The results indicated a significant plume of dissolved gasoline down gradient of the former 10,000-gallon gasoline UST at the Public Safety Building. However, the petroleum hydrocarbon plume is limited to the UST area, the Church, and the vacant lot. Groundwater data indicates the flow is to the west toward San Pablo Avenue and that the plume of petroleum hydrocarbons has not migrated in significant concentrations across San Pablo Avenue.

The latest groundwater sampling performed in 2003 indicated that the highest hydrocarbon concentrations (1,800 ppb of TPHg, 2,200 ppb of MtBE, and less than 10 ppb of benzene) remain at the southwestern portion of the subject site, however, the levels were reported to not pose a significant environmental risk. Benzene was not detected.

Subsequently, this issue was case closed by the local regulatory agency on November 11, 2003. Based on this information, the probability of a subsurface environmental impact and/or potential vapor encroachment from this site to the subject site is low.
5.2 Local Agency File Review

On September 15, 2015, a Basics representative contacted the California EPA - Department of Toxic Substance Control (CAL EPA DTSC) in Berkeley, California, in regards to any information concerning the subject site.

- **10963-10979 San Pablo Avenue, El Cerrito (APNs 509-110-015 & -017)**
  The subject site

  No information regarding the subject site was available within the CAL EPA DTSC files or EnviroStor online database. No information regarding hazardous materials, underground storage tanks or unauthorized releases was available for the subject site.

On September 15, 2015, a Basics representative contacted the Regional Water Quality Control Board (RWQCB) in Oakland, California, in regards to any information concerning the subject site.

- **10963-10979 San Pablo Avenue, El Cerrito (APNs 509-110-015 & -017)**
  The subject site

  No information regarding the subject site was available within the RWQCB files or GeoTracker online database. No information regarding hazardous materials, underground storage tanks or unauthorized releases was available for the subject site.

On September 15, 2015, a Basics representative reviewed the files maintained by the Contra Costa County Health Services Agency – Hazardous Materials Division (CCCHSA) in Martinez, California, in regards to any information concerning the subject site.

- **10963-10979 San Pablo Avenue, El Cerrito (APNs 509-110-015 & -017)**
  The subject site

  No information regarding the subject site was available within the CCCHSA files. No information regarding hazardous materials, underground storage tanks or unauthorized releases was available for the subject site.
On September 18, 2015, a Basics representative reviewed the files maintained by the City of El Cerrito Fire Department (ECFD) in El Cerrito, California, in regards to any information concerning the subject site.

- **10963-10979 San Pablo Avenue, El Cerrito (APNs 509-110-015 & -017)**
  
The subject site.

  Information from the ECFD files revealed the earliest record for subject site included the following records:

  **10963 San Pablo Avenue**

  On August 21, 1997, a permit was issued to install a new hood at donut shop.

  On March 6, 1998, an existing donut shop changed ownership to El Cerrito Donuts.

  Inspections conducted by the ECFD from 2005 to 2014, indicated this unit was occupied by Goody Donut Shop. No reports of major violations were reported for this unit.

  **10969 San Pablo Avenue**

  Inspections conducted by the ECFD from 2010 to the 2014, indicated this unit was occupied by Hair Extraordinaire. No reports of major violations were reported for this unit.

  **10979 San Pablo Avenue**

  On November 21, 1997, a permit to change the use from a retail market to offices was issued for this unit.

  On February 17, 1998, a permit for interior modifications (offices) was issued for this unit.

  Inspections conducted by the ECFD from 2001 to the 2014, indicated this unit was occupied by Lander International/Playland Not at the Beach. No reports of major violations were reported for this unit.

  No information regarding hazardous materials, underground storage tanks or unauthorized releases was available for the subject site.
On September 18, 2015, a Basics representative reviewed the files maintained by the City of El Cerrito Building Department (ECBD) in El Cerrito, California, in regards to any information concerning the subject site.

- **10963-10979 San Pablo Avenue, El Cerrito (APNs 509-110-015 & -017)**

  The subject site.

  Information from the ECBD files revealed the earliest record for subject site included the following records:

  **10963 San Pablo Avenue**

  According to the ECBD this structure was originally built in 1902. No other documentation regarding the original construction was available within the ECBD files.

  In 1954, permits for a store addition and remodeling was issued to Angelo Fara.

  On June 22, 1955, a permit to install a sign (Van’s Donuts) was issued for this address.

  On March 8, 1965, a permit for electrical rewire (Van’s Donuts) was issued for this address.

  On October 16, 1973, a permit for drainage repairs (Van’s Donuts) was issued for this address.

  On January 15, 1975, a permit to remove a non conforming sign (Van’s Donuts) was issued for this address.

  On May 1, 1987, a permit to change a sign (Momsy’s Donuts) was issued for this address.

  On November 4, 2005, a permit for interior modifications (Goody Donuts) was issued for this address.

  **10969 San Pablo Avenue**

  According to the ECBD this structure was an addition to an existing structure originally built in 1902. No other documentation regarding the original construction was available within the ECBD files.

  On July 16, 1980, a use permit for a children’s retail boutique was issued for this address.

  **10979 San Pablo Avenue**

  According to the ECBD this structure was originally built in 1902. No other documentation regarding the original construction was available within the ECBD files.
On May 14, 1936, a permit to construct a double garage was issued to Angelo Fara.

On April 6, 1942, a permit to perform additions and repairs to existing structure was issued to Angelo Fara.

On October 24, 1944, a permit to construct a storeroom was issued to Angelo Fara.

On March 26, 1947, a permit to construct a neon sign (Angelo’s Market) was issued to Angelo Fara.

In 1955, permits for remodeling was issued to Angelo Fara.

On August 17, 1966, a permit to install 660 feet of sidewalk on Jefferson Avenue was issued for this address.

On March 7, 1973, a permit to re roof (Angelo’s Market) was issued for this address.

On January 31, 1974, a permit to remove a non conforming sign (Angelo’s Market) was issued for this address.

On March 10, 1978, a permit to remodel storefront (Angelo’s Market) was issued for this address.

On April 24, 1978, a permit to remove second floor apartment and canopy was issued for this address.

On May 2, 1978, a permit to install canvas canopy and frame (Angelo’s Market) was issued for this address.

On May 2, 1978, a permit to install canvas canopy and frame (Angelo’s Market) was issued for this address.

On February 12, 1997, a line lot adjustment was issued for this address.

On March 31, 1998, a permit to perform interior modifications (offices) was issued to Lander International.

On February 27, 2003, a permit to perform interior modifications (arcade and museum) was issued to Cheerybee Properties.

On May 9, 2007, a permit to construct a ramp was issued to Cheerybee Properties.

On November 8, 2007, a permit to construct a ramp was issued to Cheerybee Properties.
6.0 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

6.1 Conclusions

These conclusions are based on the data collected during performance of this ESA and are therefore subject to the time limitations associated with accessing governmental and site data. The purpose of this assessment was to evaluate the likelihood of soil and ground water degradation as a result of the use, storage, treatment, and/or disposal of hazardous materials/waste on the subject site and sites located within a one-mile radius. Findings are based on a geological and hydrogeological information study, and an evaluation of historical and present property use (historical resource review, regulatory agency database and file review, personal interviews and site reconnaissance study).

6.1.1 Data Gaps

A data gap is the failure to obtain information required by the standard despite good faith efforts by the environmental professional to gather the information. Based on the findings of our investigation, it is our opinion that there are no apparent significant data gaps within the scope of work performed.

6.1.2 Environmental Issues/De Minimus Conditions

De Minimus Condition are defined by the ASTM Standard Practice E1527-13 as condition that generally does not present a threat to human health or the environment and that generally would not be the subject of an enforcement action if brought to the attention of appropriate governmental agencies. On the basis of the information compiled and reviewed by Basics, our findings indicate the following de minimus conditions:
According to local building department records, the south portion of the subject site was reported to have been developed with a small one-story residential dwelling in 1902. No other documentation regarding the original construction was available within the local regulatory agency files reviewed.

By 1930, the small one-story residential dwelling was razed.

The use as residential does not have an obvious high potential business activity indicative to the storage, treatment or disposal of hazardous or potentially toxic materials. In addition, no information regarding the use of hazardous materials was uncovered during this time frame within the scope of work performed.

According to local building department records, the north portion of the subject site was reported to have been developed with a two-story building with two associated out buildings (original address of 1051 San Pablo Avenue) in 1902. No other documentation regarding the original construction was available within the local regulatory agency files reviewed.

Sometime between 1926 and 1930, a one-story addition was developed along the south side of the two-story building (original address of 1057 San Pablo Avenue).

In 1944, a one-story rear warehouse addition was developed along the west side of the two-story building (new address of 1345 San Pablo Avenue) and another one-story addition was developed along the south side of the building (new addresses of 1335 & 1349 San Pablo Avenue).

In 1954 and 1955, additional alterations and remodeling of the building was conducted converting the structures into the one combined building footprint (new addresses of 10963-10979 San Pablo Avenue as noted during the site reconnaissance).

In 1978, the second floor apartment was reported to have been removed.

Based on the historical references reviewed, the subject site building was listed as being occupied by residential rooms (1920s); Angelo Fara grocers (1930s-1940s); Angelo Fara Market & Delicatessen, Johnson & Perelli grocers, De Maria Fruits and G F McDermott Meats (1950s); Fred Vanamburg “Vans” Donut Shop, Angelo’s Market grocers and Moynahan & Rosenstrach Meats (1950s-1970s); Bob Garcia’s Quality Donut Shop, E&W Cyclery, McClendon Electric Service and Angelo’s Market grocers and Moynahan Meats (Late 1970s); Bob Garcia’s Quality Donut Shop, Headliners, Soup ER Yogurt, and Angelo’s Market grocers and Moynahan Meats (mid 1980s); Country Style Bakery, Container Craft and Angelo’s Market & Deli (early 1990s); El Cerrito Donut Shop, Hair Extraordinaire and Blue & Gold Market (mid 1990s); Goody Donut Shop, Hair Extraordinaire, and Lander International and Playland Not at the Beach (2000s-Present).
The occupation by the tenants listed within the historical references reviewed during this time frame do not appear to have a high potential for business activities indicative to the use, storage and/or treatment of hazardous materials. In addition, no information regarding the use of hazardous materials was uncovered during this time frame within the scope of work performed.

From at least the 1940s to 1950s, the adjacent property to the south was occupied by a yard consisting of two commercial building utilized for auto repair and spray paint, a small gas and oil station and yard (1325 San Pablo Avenue)

During the 1960s, the two commercial building utilized for auto repair and spray paint, a small gas and oil station and yard was redeveloped into a commercial restaurant and later redeveloped into the current El Cerrito Mixed Used Village Complex in the 1980s.

No information regarding environmental investigations as part of the redevelopment was readily accessible for our review. In addition, no listings were reported for this adjacent site by EDR. Based on local hydrogeologic data, this adjacent site appears to be cross/down gradient of the subject site. Based on this information, the probability of a subsurface environmental impact and/or potential vapor encroachment from this site to the subject site is low.

Currently, there is no record of ground water impact from the adjacent site. However, if future environmental investigations indicate an impact to ground water has spread from the adjacent site onto the subject site, it appears unlikely that there will be any financial liability to the owner of the subject site even if it has been impacted by the release. This conclusion is based on established State policy, which has been promulgated in Resolution 92-49 of the CWRCB which is entitled Policies and Procedures for Investigation and Cleanup and Abatement of Water Discharges Under Water Code Section 13304. The Resolution reads in part, “The Regional Water Board shall... Require the discharger (adjacent site) to extend the investigation, and cleanup and abatement, to any location affected by the discharge or threatened discharge; This language and the general practice of the governing regulatory agency are such that it is unlikely that any financial responsibility would be passed to the current or future owner(s) of the subject site in the unlikely event that the remedial investigation were to extend to the subject site.

However, any change in land use and/or redevelopment (including grading activities, excavation, and/or installation of water wells) may lead to exposure pathways that can result in contact with contaminated materials or exposure to unacceptable levels of VOCs vapor. As such, the client should be prepared to deal with the possible discovery and mitigation of potential impacted ground water in accordance with local and state regulations.
During Basics’ site reconnaissance, the subject site facilities were noted as relatively clean with no obvious indications of the present use or storage of appreciable amounts of hazardous materials. In addition, no obvious evidence of collection drains, sumps, underground tanks, underground hydraulic hoists or other conduits to the subsurface within subject site facilities were noted during the site visit, which would suggest a high potential discharge of hazardous materials to the subsurface. In addition, no compelling evidence was discovered that a hazardous substance has been released from its operation onto (or into) the surface.

Because ultimately it remains the user who accepts the liability for having entered into a chain of title, it remains important that the user recognize that the “risk tolerance” of a regulatory agency could change, as could be the case if information is later uncovered to suggest that the de minimus conditions (i.e., those that generally do not present a material risk of harm to public health or the environment and that generally would not be the subject of an enforcement action if brought to the attention of appropriate governmental agencies) are of greater significance than once thought.

Based on the de minimus conditions stated above, additional scope of services (i.e. baseline environmental sampling), but not limited to, may or may not disclose information which may significantly reduce the “risk tolerance” in connection with the acquisition of a parcel of commercial real estate.
6.1.3 Recognized Environmental Conditions (RECs)

Recognized Environmental Conditions (RECs) are defined by the ASTM Standard Practice E1527-13 as the presence or likely presence of any hazardous substances or petroleum products in, on, or at a property: (1) due to release to the environment; (2) under conditions indicative of a release to the environment; or (3) under conditions that pose a material threat of a future release to the environment. Based on the findings of our investigation, it is our opinion that there are no apparent obvious RECs on site that warrant further investigation or documentation at this time.

6.1.4 Controlled Recognized Environmental Conditions (CRECs)

Controlled Recognized Environmental Conditions (CRECs) are defined by the ASTM Standard Practice E1527-13 as a past release of hazardous substances or petroleum products that has been addressed to the satisfaction of the applicable regulatory authority, with hazardous substances or petroleum products allowed to remain in place subject to the implementation of required controls. Based on the findings of our investigation, no apparent CRECs were identified onsite.

6.1.5 Historical Recognized Environmental Conditions (HRECs)

Historical Recognized Environmental Condition (HREC) is defined by the ASTM Standard Practice E1527-13 as a past release of any hazardous substances or petroleum products that has occurred in connection with the property and has been addressed to the satisfaction of the applicable regulatory authority or meeting unrestricted use criteria established by a regulatory authority, without subjecting the property to any required controls. Based on the findings of our investigation, it is our opinion that there are no apparent obvious HRECs on site that warrant further investigation or documentation at this time.
Site Photographs

Photo 1: Subject Site (Facing Northwest)
One-Story Commercial Retail Building and
Associated Paved and Landscaped Areas
(10963-10979 San Pablo Avenue)

Photo 2: Subject Site (Facing Southwest)
One-Story Commercial Retail Building and
Associated Paved and Landscaped Areas
(10963-10979 San Pablo Avenue)
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10963-10979 San Pablo Avenue
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Photo 3: Subject Site (Facing Southeast)
One-Story Commercial Retail Building and
Associated Paved and Landscaped Areas
(10963-10979 San Pablo Avenue)

Photo 4: Subject Site (Facing Northeast)
One-Story Commercial Retail Building and
Associated Paved and Landscaped Areas
(10963-10979 San Pablo Avenue)
Photo 5: Subject Site (Facing Northwest)
Goody Donuts
(Retail Area)
(10963 San Pablo Avenue)

Photo 6: Subject Site (Facing Northwest)
Goody Donuts
(Kitchen Area)
(10963 San Pablo Avenue)
Photo 7: Subject Site (Facing West)
Hair Extraordinaire
(Salon Area)
(10969 San Pablo Avenue)

Photo 8: Subject Site (Facing Northeast)
Designated Garbage Bin Area
(Associated Paved Parking Area)
Photo 9: Subject Site (Facing Southwest)
Playland Not at the Beach/Lander International
(Reception Area)
(10979 San Pablo Avenue)

Photo 10: Subject Site (Facing Northwest)
Playland Not at the Beach/Lander International
(Lobby Area)
(10979 San Pablo Avenue)
Photo 11: Subject Site (Facing Northeast)
Playland Not at the Beach/Lander International
(Museum Area)
(10979 San Pablo Avenue)

Photo 12: Subject Site (Facing Northwest)
Playland Not at the Beach/Lander International
(Museum Area)
(10979 San Pablo Avenue)
Photo 13: Subject Site (Facing Southeast)
Playland Not at the Beach/Lander International
(Carnival Arcade Area)
(10979 San Pablo Avenue)

Photo 14: Subject Site (Facing West)
Playland Not at the Beach/Lander International
(Carnival Arcade Area)
(10979 San Pablo Avenue)
Site Photographs

Photo 15: Subject Site (Facing Northeast)
Playland Not at the Beach/Lander International
(Break Room Area)
(10979 San Pablo Avenue)

Photo 16: Subject Site (Facing East)
Playland Not at the Beach/Lander International
(Pinball Arcade Area)
(10979 San Pablo Avenue)
Photo 17: Subject Site (Facing Southwest)
Playland Not at the Beach/Lander International
(Pinball Arcade Area)
(10979 San Pablo Avenue)
General Information

APN: 509-110-015-5
Situs Address: 10963 SAN PABLO AVE RICHMOND CA 94530-2338
Mailing Address: PO BOX 1370 EL CERRITO CA 94530-1370
Legal Description: BAY VIEW PARK LTS 1-3 & POR LTS 4,56-58

Use Type: RETAIL SALES
Tax Rate Area: 003-001

Assessment

Year Assd: 2015
Land: $301,583
Structure(s): $501,432
Other: $803,015
HO Exempt?: N
Exemption Amt:

Property Characteristics

Bedrooms:
Baths:
Bldg/Liv Area: 9,360
Year Built: 1902
Lot Acres: 0.348
Lot SqFt: 15,167

Recent Sale History

Document Image: Add to Cart
Recording Date: 07/09/1999
Document #: 181443
Transfer Amount:

*The information provided here is based solely on data at our disposal.*
APN: 509-110-017-1
Situs Address: JEFFERSON AVE RICHMOND CA 94804
Mailing Address: PO BOX 1370 EL CERRITO CA 94530-1370
Legal Description: BAYVIEW PARK POR LTS 56,57,58 BLK 4

Use Type: PARKING LOT
Tax Rate Area: 008-001

Assessment
Year Assd: 2015
Land: $49,148
Structure(s): $7,962
Other: 
Total Land and Improv: $57,110
HO Exempt?: N
Exemption Amt: 

Property Characteristics
Bedrooms: 
Baths: 
Bldg/Liv Area: 
Year Built: 
Lot Acres: 0.070
Lot SqFt: 3,092

Recent Sale History
Document Image: Add to Cart
Recording Date: 07/09/1999
Document #: 181443
Transfer Amount: 
TRANSACTION SCREEN QUESTIONNAIRE
FOR PHASE I ENVIRONMENTAL SITE ASSESSMENT

10979 SAN PABLO AVE
STREET ADDRESS OF SUBJECT PROPERTY

EL CORRITO CA 94530
CITY STATE ZIP

Tim Sayer
NAME OF PARTY COMPLETING QUESTIONNAIRE
10979 SAN PABLO AVE, EL CORRITO, CA 94530
STREET ADDRESS

(510) 232-6264 X 24
DAYTIME PHONE

Tim@Landersnt.com
EMAIL ADDRESS / ALTERNATIVE CONTACT INFO
SUBJECT PROPERTY DESCRIPTION

Total Size: 15,000 square feet
Size of developed Areas: 9,000 sq ft + parking

How is property zoned: CC
Is property vacant or improved: improved

Is property currently occupied: YES
How long under current ownership: 2/28/98

Current uses:
1. OFFICE SPACE
2. FAMILY FUN MUSEUM
3. DONUT SANDWICH SHOP

Past uses:
1. HAIR SALON
2. GROCERY STORE

Structures on the property:
1. ONE SINGLE STORY BUILDING
2.
3.

Can a property layout be faxed: YES

Ground cover:
Asphalt X
Grass
Vegetation
Concrete
Dirt
Other ground cover

Land features:
Direction of slope
Sensitive Lands / Wetlands: NO
Surface Water

Approximate incline

How is storm water handled: DRAINS TO CURB / SEWER SYSTEM
Herbicide/Pesticide use (type, quantity, frequency): NONE

Utilities:
well or city water: CITY WATER
septic or sewer: SEWER
electricity or gas: BOTH

Are materials ever burned on the property: NO

Storage facilities: NO
Hazardous materials storage: NONE
Waste materials: E&S SANITARY
How long since excavation on the property _______ 2008 _________ By Whom________
Why _______ replace parking lot _______
Last time natural features manipulated _______ 2008 _________ By Whom________
Why _______ replace parking lot _______
Previous ESA's__________ By Whom________
Why________
Previous remediation work__________ By Whom________
Why________

SURROUNDING PROPERTIES

General description of area: __near shopping, city hall________
Uses of surrounding properties:
   East _______ Sporting Goods Store _______ West: _______ apartments and houses________
   North _______ Senior living facility________
   South _______ shops / auto repairs________
Proximity to: _______ gas station _______ 1,000 feet _______ manufacturing plants________
   waste treatment facility________
   water treatment facility________
Is there any reason to suspect environmental contamination from adjoining properties _______ no________

QUESTIONNAIRE

1a. Did you observe evidence or do you have any prior knowledge that the subject property is currently or has been previously used for an industrial use? _______ yes _______ no _______ unknown

1b. Did you observe evidence or do you have any prior knowledge that any adjoining properties is currently or has been previously used for an industrial use? _______ yes _______ no _______ unknown
2a. Did you observe evidence or do you have any knowledge that the *subject property* is currently or has previously been used for any of the following (circle all that apply):
- gasoline station
- motor repair facility
- dry cleaners
- photo developing laboratory
- junkyard or landfill
- waste treatment, storage, disposal, processing or recycling facility

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
<th>Unknown</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>no</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2b. Did you observe evidence or do you have any knowledge that any *adjoining property* is currently or has previously been used for any of the following (circle all that apply):
- gasoline station
- motor repair facility
- dry cleaners
- photo developing laboratory
- junkyard or landfill
- waste treatment, storage, disposal, processing or recycling facility

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
<th>Unknown</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>no</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. Did you observe evidence or do you have any knowledge that there are currently or have been previously any damaged or discarded *automotive or industrial batteries, pesticides, paints, or other chemicals* in individual containers > 5 gallons (19 L) in volume or 50 gallons (190 L) in the aggregate, stored on or used at the *subject property*?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
<th>Unknown</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>no</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. Did you observe evidence or do you have any knowledge that there are currently or have been previously any *industrial drums* (typically 55 gal) or sacks of chemicals located on the *subject property*?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
<th>Unknown</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>no</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5. Did you observe evidence or do you have any prior knowledge that *fill dirt* has been brought onto the *subject property* that originated from a contaminated site or is of unknown origin?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
<th>Unknown</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>no</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6. Did you observe evidence or do you have any prior knowledge that there is currently or has been previously any *pits, ponds, or lagoons* located on the *subject property* in connection with waste treatment or waste disposal?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
<th>Unknown</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>no</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

7. Did you observe evidence or do you have any prior knowledge that there is currently or has been previously any *stained soil* on the *subject property*?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
<th>Unknown</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>no</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Did you observe evidence or do you have any prior knowledge that there is currently or has been previously any <strong>registered or unregistered storage tanks</strong> (above or underground) located on the <strong>subject property</strong>?</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Did you observe evidence or do you have any prior knowledge that there is currently or has been previously any <strong>vent pipes, fill pipes, or access ways</strong> indicating a fill pipe protruding from the ground on the <strong>subject property or adjacent to any structure</strong> on the <strong>subject property</strong>?</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Is there currently evidence of <strong>leaks, spill, or staining</strong> by substances other than water, or <strong>foul odors</strong>, associated with flooring, drains, walls, ceilings, or exposed grounds on the <strong>subject property</strong>?</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. If the <strong>subject property</strong> is served by a <strong>private well</strong> or <strong>non-public water system</strong>, is there evidence or do you have any knowledge that <strong>contaminants</strong> have been identified in the well or system, or that the well has been <strong>designated as contaminated</strong> by any government environmental / health agency?</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. Do you have any knowledge of <strong>environmental liens</strong> or government notification relating to past or recurrent violations of environmental laws with respect to the <strong>subject property</strong>?</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13. Have you been informed of the current or past existence of <strong>hazardous substances or petroleum products</strong> with respect to the <strong>subject property</strong>?</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14. Do you have any knowledge of any <strong>environmental site assessment</strong> of the property or facility that indicated the presence of <strong>hazardous substances or petroleum products on, or contamination of, the subject property, or recommended further assessment of the subject property</strong>?</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15. Do you know of any past, threatened, or pending <strong>lawsuits or administrative proceedings</strong> concerning a release or threatened release of any hazardous substance or petroleum products involving the <strong>subject property</strong> by any owner or occupant of the property?</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16. Does the <strong>subject property discharge waste water</strong> (not including sanitary waste or storm water) onto or adjacent to the property and/or into a storm water system?</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
17. Do you have any prior knowledge that any hazardous substances or petroleum products, unidentified waste materials, tires, automotive or industrial batteries, or any other waste materials have been dumped above grade, buried and/or burned on the subject property?  

   yes  [ ]  no  [x]  unknown  [ ]

18. Is there a transformer, capacitor, or any hydraulic equipment for which there are any records indicating the presence of PCB's?  

   yes  [ ]  no  [ ]  unknown  [ ]

Please provide details relating to any questions answered "yes" in the space provided. Attach additional sheets or informative documents if necessary.

The undersigned represents that to the best of their knowledge the above statements and facts are true and correct and the best of his/her knowledge no material facts have been suppressed or misstated.

[Signature]
Signature of party completing questionnaire

Timothy Sauer
Name of party completing questionnaire

9/16/15
Date
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

TARGET PROPERTY INFORMATION

ADDRESS

10963-10979 SAN PABLO AVENUE
EL CERRITO, CA 94530

COORDINATES

Latitude (North): 37.9169000 - 37° 55' 0.84"
Longitude (West): 122.3129000 - 122° 18' 46.44"
Universal Tranverse Mercator: Zone 10
UTM X (Meters): 560395.4
UTM Y (Meters): 4196612.0
Elevation: 62 ft. above sea level

USGS TOPOGRAPHIC MAP ASSOCIATED WITH TARGET PROPERTY

Target Property Map: 5640624 RICHMOND, CA
Version Date: 2012

TARGET PROPERTY SEARCH RESULTS

The target property was not listed in any of the databases searched by EDR.

DATABASES WITH NO MAPPED SITES

No mapped sites were found in EDR’s search of available ("reasonably ascertainable ") government records either on the target property or within the search radius around the target property for the following databases:

STANDARD ENVIRONMENTAL RECORDS

Federal NPL site list
NPL________________________ National Priority List
Proposed NPL______________ Proposed National Priority List Sites
NPL LIENS__________________ Federal Superfund Liens

Federal CERCLIS list
FEDERAL FACILITY___________ Federal Facility Site Information listing
CERCLIS__________________ Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Information System

Federal CERCLIS NFRAP site List
CERC-NFRAP______________ CERCLIS No Further Remedial Action Planned
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Federal RCRA non-CORRACTS TSD facilities list
RCRA-TSDF, ................. RCRA - Treatment, Storage and Disposal

Federal RCRA generators list
RCRA-LOG, ..................... RCRA - Large Quantity Generators
RCRA-SQG, ...................... RCRA - Small Quantity Generators
RCRA-CESQG, ................. RCRA - Conditionally Exempt Small Quantity Generator

Federal institutional controls / engineering controls registries
LUCIS, ............................ Land Use Control Information System
US ENG CONTROLS, .......... Engineering Controls Sites List
US INST CONTROL, ............. Sites with Institutional Controls

Federal ERNS list
ERNS, ............................. Emergency Response Notification System

State and tribal landfill and/or solid waste disposal site lists
SWF/LF, .......................... Solid Waste Information System

State and tribal leaking storage tank lists
INDIAN LUST, .................... Leaking Underground Storage Tanks on Indian Land

State and tribal registered storage tank lists
FEMA UST, ........................ Underground Storage Tank Listing
AST, ................................. Aboveground Petroleum Storage Tank Facilities
INDIAN UST, ...................... Underground Storage Tanks on Indian Land

State and tribal voluntary cleanup sites
INDIAN VCP, ..................... Voluntary Cleanup Priority Listing

State and tribal Brownfields sites
BROWNFIELDS, ................... Considered Brownfields Sites Listing

ADDITIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL RECORDS

Local Brownfield lists
US BROWNFIELDS, ............. A Listing of Brownfields Sites

Local Lists of Landfill / Solid Waste Disposal Sites
WMUDS/SWAT, ................. Waste Management Unit Database
SWRCY, .......................... Recycler Database
HAULERS, ......................... Registered Waste Tire Haulers Listing
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

INDIAN ODI, Open Dump Inventory
ODI, Open Dump Inventory
DEBRIS REGION 9, Torres Martinez Reservation Illegal Dump Site Locations

Local Lists of Hazardous waste / Contaminated Sites
US HIST CDL, National Clandestine Laboratory Register
SCH, School Property Evaluation Program
CDL, Clandestine Drug Labs
US CDL, Clandestine Drug Labs

Local Lists of Registered Storage Tanks
CA FID UST, Facility Inventory Database

Local Land Records
LIENS, Environmental Liens Listing
LIENS 2, CERCLA Lien Information
DEED, Deed Restriction Listing

Records of Emergency Release Reports
HMIRS, Hazardous Materials Information Reporting System
CHMIRS, California Hazardous Material Incident Report System
LDS, Land Disposal Sites Listing
MCS, Military Cleanup Sites Listing
SPILLS 90, SPILLS 90 data from FirstSearch

Other Ascertainable Records
RCRA NonGen / NLR, RCRA - Non Generators / No Longer Regulated
FUDS, Formerly Used Defense Sites
DOD, Department of Defense Sites
SCRD DRYCLEANERS, State Coalition for Remediation of Drycleaners Listing
US FIN ASSUR, Financial Assurance Information
EPA WATCH LIST, EPA WATCH LIST
2020 COR ACTION, 2020 Corrective Action Program List
TSCA, Toxic Substances Control Act
TRIS, Toxic Chemical Release Inventory System
SSTS, Section 7 Tracking Systems
RMP, Risk Management Plans
RAATS, RCRA Administrative Action Tracking System
PRP, Potentially Responsible Parties
PADS, PCB Activity Database System
ICIS, Integrated Compliance Information System
FTTS, FIFRA/ TSCA Tracking System - FIFRA (Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, & Rodenticide Act)/TSCA (Toxic Substances Control Act)
MLTS, Material Licensing Tracking System
COAL ASH DOE, Steam-Electric Plant Operation Data
COAL ASH EPA, Coal Combustion Residues Surface Impoundments List
PCB TRANSFORMER, PCB Transfer Registration Database
RADINFO, Radiation Information Database
HIST FTTS, FIFRA/TSCA Tracking System Administrative Case Listing
DOT OPS, Incident and Accident Data
EDR HIGH RISK HISTORICAL RECORDS

EDR Exclusive Records
EDR MGP. .................... EDR Proprietary Manufactured Gas Plants

EDR RECOVERED GOVERNMENT ARCHIVES

Exclusive Recovered Govt. Archives
RGA LF. ...................... Recovered Government Archive Solid Waste Facilities List
RGA LUST .................... Recovered Government Archive Leaking Underground Storage Tank

SURROUNDING SITES: SEARCH RESULTS

Surrounding sites were identified in the following databases.

Elevations have been determined from the USGS Digital Elevation Model and should be evaluated on a relative (not an absolute) basis. Relative elevation information between sites of close proximity should be field verified. Sites with an elevation equal to or higher than the target property have been differentiated below from sites with an elevation lower than the target property. Page numbers and map identification numbers refer to the EDR Radius Map report where detailed data on individual sites can be reviewed.

Sites listed in bold italics are in multiple databases.

Unmappable (orphan) sites are not considered in the foregoing analysis.
STANDARD ENVIRONMENTAL RECORDS

Federal Delisted NPL site list

Delisted NPL: The National Oil and Hazardous Substances Pollution Contingency Plan (NCP) establishes the criteria that the EPA uses to delete sites from the NPL. In accordance with 40 CFR 300.425.(e), sites may deleted from the NPL where no further response is appropriate.

A review of the Delisted NPL list, as provided by EDR, and dated 03/26/2015 has revealed that there is 1 Delisted NPL site within approximately 1 mile of the target property.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lower Elevation</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Direction / Distance</th>
<th>Map ID</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LIQUID GOLD OIL CORP</td>
<td>HOFFMAN BLVD &amp; S 47T</td>
<td>SW 1/2 - 1 (0.734 mi.)</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>124</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Federal RCRA CORRACTS facilities list

CORRACTS: CORRACTS is a list of handlers with RCRA Corrective Action Activity. This report shows which nationally-defined corrective action core events have occurred for every handler that has had corrective action activity.

A review of the CORRACTS list, as provided by EDR, and dated 03/10/2015 has revealed that there is 1 CORRACTS site within approximately 1 mile of the target property.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lower Elevation</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Direction / Distance</th>
<th>Map ID</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LIQUID GOLD OIL CORP</td>
<td>HOFFMAN BLVD &amp; S 47T</td>
<td>SW 1/2 - 1 (0.734 mi.)</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>124</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

State- and tribal - equivalent NPL

RESPONSE: Identifies confirmed release sites where DTSC is involved in remediation, either in a lead or oversight capacity. These confirmed release sites are generally high-priority and high potential risk.

A review of the RESPONSE list, as provided by EDR, and dated 08/03/2015 has revealed that there are 3 RESPONSE sites within approximately 1 mile of the target property.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lower Elevation</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Direction / Distance</th>
<th>Map ID</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BLAIR SOUTHERN PACIF</td>
<td>AT THE FOOT OF SOUTH</td>
<td>WSW 1/2 - 1 (0.794 mi.)</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Status: Active</td>
<td>Facility Id: 7490012</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HARBORFRONT TRACT</td>
<td>MEADE SOUTH 49TH EAS</td>
<td>WSW 1/2 - 1 (0.860 mi.)</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>155</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Status: Active</td>
<td>Facility Id: 70000178</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICI AMERICAS INC AG</td>
<td>1415 47TH ST S</td>
<td>WSW 1/2 - 1 (0.968 mi.)</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Status: Active</td>
<td>Facility Id: 7280002</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**State- and tribal - equivalent CERCLIS**

ENVIROSTOR: The Department of Toxic Substances Control's (DTSC's) Site Mitigation and Brownfields Reuse Program's (SMBRP's) EnviroStor database identifies sites that have known contamination or sites for which there may be reasons to investigate further. The database includes the following site types: Federal Superfund sites (National Priorities List (NPL)); State Response, including Military Facilities and State Superfund; Voluntary Cleanup; and School sites. EnviroStor provides similar information to the information that was available in CalSites, and provides additional site information, including, but not limited to, identification of formerly-contaminated properties that have been released for reuse, properties where environmental deed restrictions have been recorded to prevent inappropriate land uses, and risk characterization information that is used to assess potential impacts to public health and the environment at contaminated sites.

A review of the ENVIROSTOR list, as provided by EDR, and dated 08/03/2015 has revealed that there are 8 ENVIROSTOR sites within approximately 1 mile of the target property.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Equal/Higher Elevation</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Direction / Distance</th>
<th>Map ID</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EL CERRITO MILL AND</td>
<td>10812 SAN PABLO AVEN</td>
<td>SE 1/8 - 1/4 (0.201 mi.)</td>
<td>E27 51</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facility Id: 7240025</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Status: Certified</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUNSHINE CLEANERS &amp;</td>
<td>10750 SAN PABLO AVEN</td>
<td>SE 1/4 - 1/2 (0.270 mi.)</td>
<td>F33 67</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facility Id: 60002171</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Status: Active</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OHLONE GARDENS</td>
<td>6495 PORTOLA DRIVE</td>
<td>SE 1/4 - 1/2 (0.316 mi.)</td>
<td>37 80</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facility Id: 60001942</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Status: No Further Action</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lower Elevation</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Direction / Distance</th>
<th>Map ID</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DEL NORTE CLEANERS</td>
<td>11299 SAN PABLO AVEN</td>
<td>NNW 1/4 - 1/2 (0.260 mi.)</td>
<td>32 56</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facility Id: 60001445</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Status: Active</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BLAIR SOUTHERN PACIF</td>
<td>AT THE FOOT OF SOUTH</td>
<td>WSW 1/2 - 1 (0.794 mi.)</td>
<td>49 140</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facility Id: 7490012</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Status: Active</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIQUID GOLD OIL CORP</td>
<td>HOFFMAN BLVD &amp; S 47T</td>
<td>SW 1/2 - 1 (0.849 mi.)</td>
<td>50 143</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facility Id: 80001815</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facility Id: 7290039</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Status: Refer: SMBRP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Status: Active</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HARBORFRONT TRACT</td>
<td>MEADE SOUTH 49TH EAS</td>
<td>WSW 1/2 - 1 (0.860 mi.)</td>
<td>51 155</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facility Id: 70000178</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Status: Active</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICI AMERICAS INC AG</td>
<td>1415 47TH ST S</td>
<td>WSW 1/2 - 1 (0.968 mi.)</td>
<td>52 170</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facility Id: 7280002</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Status: Active</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

State and tribal leaking storage tank lists
LUST: The Leaking Underground Storage Tank Incident Reports contain an inventory of reported leaking underground storage tank incidents. The data come from the State Water Resources Control Board Leaking Underground Storage Tank Information System.

A review of the LUST list, as provided by EDR, and dated 06/15/2015 has revealed that there are 17 LUST sites within approximately 0.5 miles of the target property.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Equal/Higher Elevation</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Direction / Distance</th>
<th>Map ID</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CIVIC CENTER PLAZA A</td>
<td>10940 SAN PABLO</td>
<td>SE 0 - 1/8 (0.048 mi.)</td>
<td>A4</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Status: Completed - Case Closed Global Id: T0601300634</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CIVIC CENTER PLAZA A</td>
<td>10940 SAN PABLO AVE</td>
<td>SE 0 - 1/8 (0.048 mi.)</td>
<td>A5</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facility Id: 07-0685 Facility Status: Case Closed date9: 11/11/2003</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EL CERRITO CITY OF P</td>
<td>10900 SAN PABLO AVE</td>
<td>SE 0 - 1/8 (0.084 mi.)</td>
<td>C12</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOHERTY'S RENTAL</td>
<td>10895 SAN PABLO AVE</td>
<td>SE 0 - 1/8 (0.096 mi.)</td>
<td>C17</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Status: Completed - Case Closed Facility Id: 07-0541 Facility Status: Case Closed Global Id: T0601300501 date9: 4/26/2002</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COMMERCIAL</td>
<td>10879 SAN PABLO AVE</td>
<td>SE 0 - 1/8 (0.123 mi.)</td>
<td>D21</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Status: Completed - Case Closed Global Id: T0601300718</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COMMERCIAL</td>
<td>10879 SAN PABLO AVE</td>
<td>SE 0 - 1/8 (0.123 mi.)</td>
<td>D23</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facility Id: 07-0772 Facility Status: Case Closed date9: 5/19/2000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S &amp; T SERVICE</td>
<td>10793 SAN PABLO AVE</td>
<td>SSE 1/8 - 1/4 (0.245 mi.)</td>
<td>E30</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Status: Completed - Case Closed Facility Id: 07-0845 Facility Status: Case Closed Global Id: T0601347816 date9: 5/26/2004</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lower Elevation</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Direction / Distance</th>
<th>Map ID</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SILVERMAN/SAN PABLO</td>
<td>10734-10766 SAN PABLO</td>
<td>SSE 1/4 - 1/2 (0.287 mi.)</td>
<td>F34</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Status: Completed - Case Closed Facility Id: 07-0840 Facility Status: Case Closed Global Id: T0601352645 date9: 1/23/2004</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SALKHI PETROLEUM INC</td>
<td>11319 SAN PABLO AVE</td>
<td>NNW 1/4 - 1/2 (0.311 mi.)</td>
<td>G35</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Status: Completed - Case Closed
Global Id: T0601300049

TC4412365.1s  EXECUTIVE SUMMARY 8

CHEVRON #6967  
11319 SAN PABLO AVE  
NNW 1/4 - 1/2 (0.311 mi.)  
G36  
79

Facility Id: 07-0052
Facility Status: Case Closed
date9: 6/20/2001

EL CERRITO CITY OF  
6009 POTRERO AVE  
NW 1/4 - 1/2 (0.343 mi.)  
40  
86

Facility Id: 07-0092
Facility Status: Leak being confirmed
Global Id: T0601300088

TARGET  
11402 SAN PABLO AVE  
NNW 1/4 - 1/2 (0.351 mi.)  
G41  
89

Facility Id: 07-0642
Facility Status: Case Closed

EL CERRITO SHELL  
10602 SAN PABLO AVE  
SE 1/4 - 1/2 (0.404 mi.)  
42  
90

Facility Id: 07-0642
Facility Status: Case Closed
Global Id: T0601391657

EL CERRITO REDEVELOP  
1718 EASTSHORE BLVD  
NW 1/4 - 1/2 (0.424 mi.)  
H43  
96

Facility Id: 07-0123
Facility Status: Case Closed
date9: 4/25/2003

EL CERRITO REDEVELOP  
1718 EASTSHORE  
NW 1/4 - 1/2 (0.424 mi.)  
H44  
97

Facility Id: 07-0123
Facility Status: Case Closed
Global Id: T0601300114

PAY N PAK STORE #229  
1711 EASTSHORE BLVD  
NNW 1/4 - 1/2 (0.440 mi.)  
H45  
109

Facility Id: 07-0232
Facility Status: Case Closed
date9: 4/14/1995

UNOCAL  
1503 CARLSON BLVD  
SW 1/4 - 1/2 (0.457 mi.)  
46  
112

Facility Id: 07-0522
Facility Status: Leak being confirmed
Global Id: T0601300483

SLIC: SLIC Region comes from the California Regional Water Quality Control Board.

A review of the SLIC list, as provided by EDR, and dated 06/15/2015 has revealed that there are 3 SLIC sites within approximately 0.5 miles of the target property.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Equal/Higher Elevation</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Direction / Distance</th>
<th>Map ID</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P G &amp; E - EL CERRITO</td>
<td>7140 SCHMIDT LN</td>
<td>E 1/4 - 1/2 (0.488 mi.)</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Facility Id: 07S0127</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lower Elevation</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Direction / Distance</th>
<th>Map ID</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FORMER UNIQUE CLEANE</td>
<td>6109 POTRERO AVENUE</td>
<td>NW 1/4 - 1/2 (0.321 mi.)</td>
<td>G38</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

A&N COMP DRYCLEANERS
Facility Id: 07S0161
A&N COMP DRYCLEANERS
Facility Status: Open - Inactive
Global Id: SL0601385412

Facility Id: 07S0161
6109 POTRERO AVE
NW 1/4 - 1/2 (0.321 mi.)
G39
84

State and tribal registered storage tank lists
UST: The Underground Storage Tank database contains registered USTs. USTs are regulated under Subtitle I of the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). The data come from the State Water Resources Control Board’s Hazardous Substance Storage Container Database.

A review of the UST list, as provided by EDR, and dated 06/15/2015 has revealed that there are 2 UST sites within approximately 0.25 miles of the target property.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Equal/Higher Elevation</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Direction / Distance</th>
<th>Map ID</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EL CERRITO PUBLIC SA</td>
<td>10900 SAN PABLO AVE</td>
<td>SE 0 - 1/8 (0.084 mi.)</td>
<td>C11</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facility Id: 770141</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOHERTY’S TRUCK &amp; AU</td>
<td>10895 SAN PABLO AVE</td>
<td>SE 0 - 1/8 (0.096 mi.)</td>
<td>C16</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facility Id: 770131</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

State and tribal voluntary cleanup sites
VCP: Contains low threat level properties with either confirmed or unconfirmed releases and the project proponents have request that DTSC oversee investigation and/or cleanup activities and have agreed to provide coverage for DTSC’s costs.

A review of the VCP list, as provided by EDR, and dated 08/03/2015 has revealed that there are 4 VCP sites within approximately 0.5 miles of the target property.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Equal/Higher Elevation</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Direction / Distance</th>
<th>Map ID</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EL CERRITO MILL AND</td>
<td>10812 SAN PABLO AVEN</td>
<td>SE 1/8 - 1/4 (0.201 mi.)</td>
<td>E27</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Status: Certified</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facility Id: 7240025</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUNSHINE CLEANERS &amp;</td>
<td>10750 SAN PABLO AVEN</td>
<td>SE 1/4 - 1/2 (0.270 mi.)</td>
<td>F33</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Status: Active</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facility Id: 6002171</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OHLONE GARDENS</td>
<td>6495 PORTOLA DRIVE</td>
<td>SE 1/4 - 1/2 (0.316 mi.)</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Status: No Further Action</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facility Id: 60001942</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Lower Elevation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Equal/Higher Elevation</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Direction / Distance</th>
<th>Map ID</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DEL NORTE CLEANERS</td>
<td>11299 SAN PABLO AVEN</td>
<td>NNW 1/4 - 1/2 (0.260 mi.)</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Status: Active</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facility Id: 60001445</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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ADDITIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL RECORDS

Local Lists of Hazardous waste / Contaminated Sites

HIST Cal-Sites: Formerly known as ASPIS, this database contains both known and potential hazardous substance sites. The source is the California Department of Toxic Substance Control. No longer updated by the state agency. It has been replaced by ENVIROSTOR.

A review of the HIST Cal-Sites list, as provided by EDR, and dated 08/08/2005 has revealed that there are 2 HIST Cal-Sites sites within approximately 1 mile of the target property.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lower Elevation</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Direction / Distance</th>
<th>Map ID</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LIQUID GOLD OIL CORP</td>
<td>HOFFMAN BLVD &amp; S 47T</td>
<td>SW 1/2 - 1 (0.849 mi.)</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>143</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZENECA RICHMOND AG P</td>
<td>1415 SOUTH 47TH STRE</td>
<td>WSW 1/2 - 1 (0.968 mi.)</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>176</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Toxic Pits: The Toxic Pits Cleanup Act Sites database identifies sites suspected of containing hazardous substances where cleanup has not yet been completed. The data come from the State Water Resources Control Board.

A review of the Toxic Pits list, as provided by EDR, and dated 07/01/1995 has revealed that there is 1 Toxic Pits site within approximately 1 mile of the target property.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lower Elevation</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Direction / Distance</th>
<th>Map ID</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ICI AMERICAS, RICHMO</td>
<td>1415 S 47TH STREET</td>
<td>WSW 1/2 - 1 (0.968 mi.)</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>178</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Closure Date: 05/20/91</td>
<td>Task #: 82033</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Status: CLOSED</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Local Lists of Registered Storage Tanks

SWEEPS UST: Statewide Environmental Evaluation and Planning System. This underground storage tank listing was updated and maintained by a company contacted by the SWRCB in the early 1990’s. The listing is no longer updated or maintained. The local agency is the contact for more information on a site on the SWEEPS list.

A review of the SWEEPS UST list, as provided by EDR, and dated 06/01/1994 has revealed that there are 3 SWEEPS UST sites within approximately 0.25 miles of the target property.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Equal/Higher Elevation</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Direction / Distance</th>
<th>Map ID</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EL CERRITO CITY OF P</td>
<td>10900 SAN PABLO AVE</td>
<td>SE 0 - 1/8 (0.084 mi.)</td>
<td>C12</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Status: A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tank Status: A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comp Number: 70141</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOHERTY'S RENTAL</td>
<td>10895 SAN PABLO AVE</td>
<td>SE 0 - 1/8 (0.096 mi.)</td>
<td>C17</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comp Number: 70131</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lower Elevation</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Direction / Distance</th>
<th>Map ID</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>STATIC ELECTRIC, INC</td>
<td>1490 KEARNEY ST</td>
<td>N 1/8 - 1/4 (0.173 mi.)</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
HIST UST: Historical UST Registered Database.

A review of the HIST UST list, as provided by EDR, and dated 10/15/1990 has revealed that there are 2 HIST UST sites within approximately 0.25 miles of the target property.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Equal/Higher Elevation</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Direction / Distance</th>
<th>Map ID</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EL CERRITO MILL &amp; LU</td>
<td>10812 SAN PABLO AVE</td>
<td>SE 1/8 - 1/4 (0.201 mi.)</td>
<td>E28</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facility Id: 00000067321</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STATIC ELECTRIC, INC</td>
<td>1490 KEARNEY ST</td>
<td>N 1/8 - 1/4 (0.173 mi.)</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facility Id: 00000008507</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Other Ascertainable Records

ROD: Record of Decision. ROD documents mandate a permanent remedy at an NPL (Superfund) site containing technical and health information to aid the cleanup.

A review of the ROD list, as provided by EDR, and dated 11/25/2013 has revealed that there is 1 ROD site within approximately 1 mile of the target property.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lower Elevation</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Direction / Distance</th>
<th>Map ID</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LIQUID GOLD OIL CORP</td>
<td>HOFFMAN BLVD &amp; S 47T</td>
<td>SW 1/2 - 1 (0.734 mi.)</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>124</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HAZNET: The data is extracted from the copies of hazardous waste manifests received each year by the DTSC. The annual volume of manifests is typically 700,000-1,000,000 annually, representing approximately 350,000-500,000 shipments. Data from non-California manifests & continuation sheets are not included at the present time. Data are from the manifests submitted without correction, and therefore many contain some invalid values for data elements such as generator ID, TSD ID, waste category, & disposal method. The source is the Department of Toxic Substance Control is the agency. This database begins with calendar year 1993.

A review of the HAZNET list, as provided by EDR, and dated 12/31/2013 has revealed that there are 10 HAZNET sites within approximately 0.125 miles of the target property.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Equal/Higher Elevation</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Direction / Distance</th>
<th>Map ID</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DAVID CHEONG</td>
<td>5920 BAYVIEW AVE</td>
<td>SSW 0 - 1/8 (0.075 mi.)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GEPAID: CAC002750835</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CITY EL CERRITO - FI</td>
<td>10900 SAN PABLO AVE</td>
<td>SE 0 - 1/8 (0.084 mi.)</td>
<td>C10</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GEPAID: CAC001321208</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CITY OF EL CERRITO P</td>
<td>10900 SAN PABLO AVE</td>
<td>SE 0 - 1/8 (0.084 mi.)</td>
<td>C13</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GEPAID: CAL000351962</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOUGHERTY TRUCK LINE</td>
<td>10895 SAN PABLO AVE</td>
<td>SE 0 - 1/8 (0.096 mi.)</td>
<td>C15</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GEPAID: CAL000057048</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOHERTY’S TRUCK &amp; AU</td>
<td>10895 SAN PABLO AVE</td>
<td>SE 0 - 1/8 (0.096 mi.)</td>
<td>C18</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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HIST CORTESE: The sites for the list are designated by the State Water Resource Control Board [LUST], the Integrated Waste Board [SWF/LS], and the Department of Toxic Substances Control [CALSITES]. This listing is no longer updated by the state agency.

A review of the HIST CORTESE list, as provided by EDR, and dated 04/01/2001 has revealed that there are 11 HIST CORTESE sites within approximately 0.5 miles of the target property.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Equal/Higher Elevation</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Direction / Distance</th>
<th>Map ID</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GRIFFIN LAND CO</td>
<td>10944 SAN PABLO AVE</td>
<td>SE 0 - 1/8 (0.045 mi.)</td>
<td>A2</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CIVIC CENTER PLAZA A</td>
<td>10940 SAN PABLO</td>
<td>SE 0 - 1/8 (0.048 mi.)</td>
<td>A4</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EL CERRITO CITY OF P</td>
<td>10900 SAN PABLO AVE</td>
<td>SE 0 - 1/8 (0.084 mi.)</td>
<td>C12</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOHERTY’S RENTAL</td>
<td>10895 SAN PABLO AVE</td>
<td>SE 0 - 1/8 (0.096 mi.)</td>
<td>C17</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COMMERCIAL</td>
<td>10879 SAN PABLO AVE</td>
<td>SE 0 - 1/8 (0.123 mi.)</td>
<td>D21</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lower Elevation</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Direction / Distance</th>
<th>Map ID</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SALKHI PETROLEUM INC</td>
<td>11319 SAN PABLO AVE</td>
<td>NNW 1/4 - 1/2 (0.311 mi.)</td>
<td>G35</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EL CERRITO CITY OF</td>
<td>6009 POTRERO AVE</td>
<td>NW 1/4 - 1/2 (0.343 mi.)</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TARGET</td>
<td>11402 SAN PABLO AVE</td>
<td>NNW 1/4 - 1/2 (0.351 mi.)</td>
<td>G41</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EL CERRITO REDEVELOP</td>
<td>1718 EASTSHORE</td>
<td>NW 1/4 - 1/2 (0.424 mi.)</td>
<td>H44</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAY N PAK STORE #229</td>
<td>1711 EASTSHORE BLVD</td>
<td>NNW 1/4 - 1/2 (0.440 mi.)</td>
<td>H45</td>
<td>109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNOCAL</td>
<td>1503 CARLSON BLVD</td>
<td>SW 1/4 - 1/2 (0.457 mi.)</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>112</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
HWP: Detailed information on permitted hazardous waste facilities and corrective action ("cleanups") tracked in EnviroStor.

A review of the HWP list, as provided by EDR, and dated 05/26/2015 has revealed that there is 1 HWP site within approximately 1 mile of the target property.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lower Elevation</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Direction / Distance</th>
<th>Map ID</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LIQUID GOLD OIL CORP</td>
<td>HOFFMAN BLVD &amp; S 47T</td>
<td>SW 1/2 - 1 (0.849 mi.)</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>143</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CONTRA COSTA CO. SITE LIST: Lists includes sites from the Underground Tank Program, Hazardous Waste Generator Program & Business Plan 12185 Program

A review of the CONTRA COSTA CO. SITE LIST list, as provided by EDR, and dated 05/26/2015 has revealed that there are 14 CONTRA COSTA CO. SITE LIST sites within approximately 0.25 miles of the target property.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Equal/Higher Elevation</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Direction / Distance</th>
<th>Map ID</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CAR-T COMPLETE AUTO</td>
<td>5934 ALAMEDA AVE</td>
<td>S 0 - 1/8 (0.026 mi.)</td>
<td>A1</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GRIFFIN LAND CO</td>
<td>10944 SAN PABLO AVE</td>
<td>SE 0 - 1/8 (0.045 mi.)</td>
<td>A2</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MARTY'S MOTORS</td>
<td>10929 SAN PABLO AVE</td>
<td>SE 0 - 1/8 (0.047 mi.)</td>
<td>A3</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DON'S AUTO BODY</td>
<td>10919 SAN PABLO AVE</td>
<td>SE 0 - 1/8 (0.059 mi.)</td>
<td>C7</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIDAS AUTO SERVICE C</td>
<td>10903 SAN PABLO AVE</td>
<td>SE 0 - 1/8 (0.081 mi.)</td>
<td>C9</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EL CERRITO CITY OF P</td>
<td>10900 SAN PABLO AVE</td>
<td>SE 0 - 1/8 (0.084 mi.)</td>
<td>C12</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOHERTY'S RENTAL</td>
<td>10895 SAN PABLO AVE</td>
<td>SE 0 - 1/8 (0.096 mi.)</td>
<td>C17</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EL CERRITO STEEL</td>
<td>1424 KEARNEY ST</td>
<td>N 0 - 1/8 (0.112 mi.)</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COMMERCIAL</td>
<td>10879 SAN PABLO AVE</td>
<td>SE 0 - 1/8 (0.123 mi.)</td>
<td>D21</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EL CERRITO MILL &amp; LU</td>
<td>10812 SAN PABLO AVE</td>
<td>SE 1/8 - 1/4 (0.201 mi.)</td>
<td>E26</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MARSHALLS 0496</td>
<td>10794 SAN PABLO AVE</td>
<td>SE 1/8 - 1/4 (0.222 mi.)</td>
<td>E29</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S &amp; T SERVICE</td>
<td>10793 SAN PABLO AVE</td>
<td>SSE 1/8 - 1/4 (0.245 mi.)</td>
<td>E30</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TECKNICA AUTO</td>
<td>10793 SAN PABLO AVE</td>
<td>SSE 1/8 - 1/4 (0.245 mi.)</td>
<td>E31</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Lower Elevation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Direction / Distance</th>
<th>Map ID</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>STATIC ELECTRIC, INC</td>
<td>1490 KEARNEY ST</td>
<td>N 1/8 - 1/4 (0.173 mi.)</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Facility Id: 708507
Due to poor or inadequate address information, the following sites were not mapped. Count: 25 records

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site Name</th>
<th>Database(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CHEVRON</td>
<td>RGA LUST</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOME DEPOT</td>
<td>RGA LUST</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SHELL</td>
<td>RGA LUST</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOME DEPOT</td>
<td>RGA LUST</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EL CERRITO REDEVELOPMENT AGNCY</td>
<td>RGA LUST</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CARLSON BLVD IMPROVEMENTS</td>
<td>NPDES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JUSPO PROPERTY</td>
<td>FTTS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MACDONALD SAN PABLO WALL 45TH PLUME</td>
<td>RESPONSE, ENVIROSTOR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PETER KIEWET &amp; SONS</td>
<td>CERC-NFRAP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DREW SALES</td>
<td>CERC-NFRAP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMERICAN STANDARD-RICHDON</td>
<td>CERC-NFRAP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KAISER ALUM &amp; CHEM CO SHIPYARD #2</td>
<td>CERC-NFRAP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BLAIR SOUTHERN PACIFIC LDFL</td>
<td>CERC-NFRAP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COOPERS CHEMICAL CO</td>
<td>CERC-NFRAP, CORRACTS, RAATS, FINDS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHEVRON USA RICHMOND REF</td>
<td>CERC-NFRAP, CORRACTS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FE FORBES COMPANY</td>
<td>HAZNET</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THERESA HOUSKA</td>
<td>HAZNET</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THE HOME DEPOT #643</td>
<td>HAZNET</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHEVRON 96463</td>
<td>RCRA-SQG, HAZNET</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CALTRANS</td>
<td>HAZNET</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. COREY CUNNINGHAM</td>
<td>HAZNET</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FUTURE IMAGING SYSTEMS</td>
<td>FINDS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CATELLUS COMMERCIAL CENTER</td>
<td>CA BOND EXP. PLAN</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## STANDARD ENVIRONMENTAL RECORDS

### Federal NPL site list

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Database</th>
<th>Search Distance (Miles)</th>
<th>Target Property</th>
<th>&lt; 1/8</th>
<th>1/8 - 1/4</th>
<th>1/4 - 1/2</th>
<th>1/2 - 1</th>
<th>&gt; 1</th>
<th>Total Plotted</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NPL</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposed NPL</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPL LIENS</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Federal Delisted NPL site list

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Database</th>
<th>Search Distance (Miles)</th>
<th>Target Property</th>
<th>&lt; 1/8</th>
<th>1/8 - 1/4</th>
<th>1/4 - 1/2</th>
<th>1/2 - 1</th>
<th>&gt; 1</th>
<th>Total Plotted</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Delisted NPL</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Federal CERCLIS list

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Database</th>
<th>Search Distance (Miles)</th>
<th>Target Property</th>
<th>&lt; 1/8</th>
<th>1/8 - 1/4</th>
<th>1/4 - 1/2</th>
<th>1/2 - 1</th>
<th>&gt; 1</th>
<th>Total Plotted</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FEDERAL FACILITY</td>
<td>0.500</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CERCLIS</td>
<td>0.500</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Federal CERCLIS NFRAP site list

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Database</th>
<th>Search Distance (Miles)</th>
<th>Target Property</th>
<th>&lt; 1/8</th>
<th>1/8 - 1/4</th>
<th>1/4 - 1/2</th>
<th>1/2 - 1</th>
<th>&gt; 1</th>
<th>Total Plotted</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CERC-NFRAP</td>
<td>0.500</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Federal RCRA CORRACTS facilities list

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Database</th>
<th>Search Distance (Miles)</th>
<th>Target Property</th>
<th>&lt; 1/8</th>
<th>1/8 - 1/4</th>
<th>1/4 - 1/2</th>
<th>1/2 - 1</th>
<th>&gt; 1</th>
<th>Total Plotted</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CORRACTS</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Federal RCRA non-CORRACTS TSD facilities list

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Database</th>
<th>Search Distance (Miles)</th>
<th>Target Property</th>
<th>&lt; 1/8</th>
<th>1/8 - 1/4</th>
<th>1/4 - 1/2</th>
<th>1/2 - 1</th>
<th>&gt; 1</th>
<th>Total Plotted</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RCRA-TSDF</td>
<td>0.500</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Federal RCRA generators list

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Database</th>
<th>Search Distance (Miles)</th>
<th>Target Property</th>
<th>&lt; 1/8</th>
<th>1/8 - 1/4</th>
<th>1/4 - 1/2</th>
<th>1/2 - 1</th>
<th>&gt; 1</th>
<th>Total Plotted</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RCRA-LQG</td>
<td>0.250</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RCRA-SQG</td>
<td>0.250</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RCRA-CESQG</td>
<td>0.250</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Federal institutional controls / engineering controls registries

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Database</th>
<th>Search Distance (Miles)</th>
<th>Target Property</th>
<th>&lt; 1/8</th>
<th>1/8 - 1/4</th>
<th>1/4 - 1/2</th>
<th>1/2 - 1</th>
<th>&gt; 1</th>
<th>Total Plotted</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LUCIS</td>
<td>0.500</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US ENG CONTROLS</td>
<td>0.500</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US INST CONTROL</td>
<td>0.500</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Federal ERNS list

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Database</th>
<th>Search Distance (Miles)</th>
<th>Target Property</th>
<th>&lt; 1/8</th>
<th>1/8 - 1/4</th>
<th>1/4 - 1/2</th>
<th>1/2 - 1</th>
<th>&gt; 1</th>
<th>Total Plotted</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ERNS</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### State- and tribal - equivalent NPL

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Database</th>
<th>Search Distance (Miles)</th>
<th>Target Property</th>
<th>&lt; 1/8</th>
<th>1/8 - 1/4</th>
<th>1/4 - 1/2</th>
<th>1/2 - 1</th>
<th>&gt; 1</th>
<th>Total Plotted</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RESPONSE</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### State- and tribal - equivalent CERCLIS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Database</th>
<th>Search Distance (Miles)</th>
<th>Target Property</th>
<th>&lt; 1/8</th>
<th>1/8 - 1/4</th>
<th>1/4 - 1/2</th>
<th>1/2 - 1</th>
<th>&gt; 1</th>
<th>Total Plotted</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ENVIROSTOR</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### State and tribal landfill and/or solid waste disposal site lists

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Database</th>
<th>Search Distance (Miles)</th>
<th>Target Property</th>
<th>&lt; 1/8</th>
<th>1/8 - 1/4</th>
<th>1/4 - 1/2</th>
<th>1/2 - 1</th>
<th>&gt; 1</th>
<th>Total Plotted</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SWF/LF</td>
<td>0.500</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### State and tribal leaking storage tank lists

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Database</th>
<th>Search Distance (Miles)</th>
<th>Target Property</th>
<th>&lt; 1/8</th>
<th>1/8 - 1/4</th>
<th>1/4 - 1/2</th>
<th>1/2 - 1</th>
<th>&gt; 1</th>
<th>Total Plotted</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LUST</td>
<td>0.500</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## MAP FINDINGS SUMMARY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Database</th>
<th>Search Distance (Miles)</th>
<th>Target Property</th>
<th>&lt; 1/8</th>
<th>1/8 - 1/4</th>
<th>1/4 - 1/2</th>
<th>1/2 - 1</th>
<th>&gt; 1</th>
<th>Total Plotted</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>INDIAN LUST</td>
<td>0.500</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SLIC</td>
<td>0.500</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**State and tribal registered storage tank lists**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Database</th>
<th>Search Distance (Miles)</th>
<th>Target Property</th>
<th>&lt; 1/8</th>
<th>1/8 - 1/4</th>
<th>1/4 - 1/2</th>
<th>1/2 - 1</th>
<th>&gt; 1</th>
<th>Total Plotted</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FEMA UST</td>
<td>0.250</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UST</td>
<td>0.250</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AST</td>
<td>0.250</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INDIAN UST</td>
<td>0.250</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**State and tribal voluntary cleanup sites**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Database</th>
<th>Search Distance (Miles)</th>
<th>Target Property</th>
<th>&lt; 1/8</th>
<th>1/8 - 1/4</th>
<th>1/4 - 1/2</th>
<th>1/2 - 1</th>
<th>&gt; 1</th>
<th>Total Plotted</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>VCP</td>
<td>0.500</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INDIAN VCP</td>
<td>0.500</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**State and tribal Brownfields sites**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Database</th>
<th>Search Distance (Miles)</th>
<th>Target Property</th>
<th>&lt; 1/8</th>
<th>1/8 - 1/4</th>
<th>1/4 - 1/2</th>
<th>1/2 - 1</th>
<th>&gt; 1</th>
<th>Total Plotted</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BROWNFIELDS</td>
<td>0.500</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**ADDITIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL RECORDS**

### Local Brownfield lists

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Database</th>
<th>Search Distance (Miles)</th>
<th>Target Property</th>
<th>&lt; 1/8</th>
<th>1/8 - 1/4</th>
<th>1/4 - 1/2</th>
<th>1/2 - 1</th>
<th>&gt; 1</th>
<th>Total Plotted</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>US BROWNFIELDS</td>
<td>0.500</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Local Lists of Landfill / Solid Waste Disposal Sites**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Database</th>
<th>Search Distance (Miles)</th>
<th>Target Property</th>
<th>&lt; 1/8</th>
<th>1/8 - 1/4</th>
<th>1/4 - 1/2</th>
<th>1/2 - 1</th>
<th>&gt; 1</th>
<th>Total Plotted</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WMUDS/SWAT</td>
<td>0.500</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SWRCY</td>
<td>0.500</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HAULERS</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INDIAN ODI</td>
<td>0.500</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ODI</td>
<td>0.500</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEBRIS REGION 9</td>
<td>0.500</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Local Lists of Hazardous waste / Contaminated Sites**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Database</th>
<th>Search Distance (Miles)</th>
<th>Target Property</th>
<th>&lt; 1/8</th>
<th>1/8 - 1/4</th>
<th>1/4 - 1/2</th>
<th>1/2 - 1</th>
<th>&gt; 1</th>
<th>Total Plotted</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>US HIST CDL</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIST Cal-Sites</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCH</td>
<td>0.250</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDL</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toxic Pits</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US CDL</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Local Lists of Registered Storage Tanks**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Database</th>
<th>Search Distance (Miles)</th>
<th>Target Property</th>
<th>&lt; 1/8</th>
<th>1/8 - 1/4</th>
<th>1/4 - 1/2</th>
<th>1/2 - 1</th>
<th>&gt; 1</th>
<th>Total Plotted</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SWEEPS UST</td>
<td>0.250</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIST UST</td>
<td>0.250</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA FID UST</td>
<td>0.250</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Local Land Records**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Database</th>
<th>Search Distance (Miles)</th>
<th>Target Property</th>
<th>&lt; 1/8</th>
<th>1/8 - 1/4</th>
<th>1/4 - 1/2</th>
<th>1/2 - 1</th>
<th>&gt; 1</th>
<th>Total Plotted</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LIENS</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIENS 2</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEED</td>
<td>0.500</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Records of Emergency Release Reports**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Database</th>
<th>Search Distance (Miles)</th>
<th>Target Property</th>
<th>&lt; 1/8</th>
<th>1/8 - 1/4</th>
<th>1/4 - 1/2</th>
<th>1/2 - 1</th>
<th>&gt; 1</th>
<th>Total Plotted</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HMIRS</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# MAP FINDINGS SUMMARY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Database</th>
<th>Search Distance (Miles)</th>
<th>Target Property</th>
<th>&lt; 1/8</th>
<th>1/8 - 1/4</th>
<th>1/4 - 1/2</th>
<th>1/2 - 1</th>
<th>&gt; 1</th>
<th>Total Plotted</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CHMIRS</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDS</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCS</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPILLS 90</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Other Ascertainable Records**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Database</th>
<th>Search Distance (Miles)</th>
<th>Target Property</th>
<th>&lt; 1/8</th>
<th>1/8 - 1/4</th>
<th>1/4 - 1/2</th>
<th>1/2 - 1</th>
<th>&gt; 1</th>
<th>Total Plotted</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RCRA NonGen / NLR</td>
<td>0.250</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FUDS</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOD</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCRD DRYCLEANERS</td>
<td>0.500</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US FIN ASSUR</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EPA WATCH LIST</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020 COR ACTION</td>
<td>0.250</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TSCA</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRIS</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSTS</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROD</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RMP</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RAATS</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRP</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PADS</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICIS</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FTTS</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MLTS</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COAL ASH DOE</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COAL ASH EPA</td>
<td>0.500</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCB TRANSFORMER</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RADINFO</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIST FTTS</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOT OPS</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CONSENT</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INDIAN RESERV</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UMTRA</td>
<td>0.500</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LEAD SMELTERS</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US AIRS</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US MINES</td>
<td>0.250</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FINDS</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA BOND EXP. PLAN</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cortese</td>
<td>0.500</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CUPA Listings</td>
<td>0.250</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DRYCLEANERS</td>
<td>0.250</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMI</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENF</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financial Assurance</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HAZNET</td>
<td>0.125</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIST CORTESE</td>
<td>0.500</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HWP</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HWT</td>
<td>0.250</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MINES</td>
<td>TP</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MWMP</td>
<td>0.250</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPDES</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## MAP FINDINGS SUMMARY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Database</th>
<th>Search Distance (Miles)</th>
<th>Target Property</th>
<th>&lt; 1/8</th>
<th>1/8 - 1/4</th>
<th>1/4 - 1/2</th>
<th>1/2 - 1</th>
<th>&gt; 1</th>
<th>Total Plotted</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PEST LIC</td>
<td>TP</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PROC</td>
<td>0.500</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Notify 65</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CONTRA COSTA CO. SITE</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UIC</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WASTEWATER PITS</td>
<td>0.500</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WDS</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WIP</td>
<td>0.250</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### EDR HIGH RISK HISTORICAL RECORDS

**EDR Exclusive Records**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Database</th>
<th>Search Distance (Miles)</th>
<th>Target Property</th>
<th>&lt; 1/8</th>
<th>1/8 - 1/4</th>
<th>1/4 - 1/2</th>
<th>1/2 - 1</th>
<th>&gt; 1</th>
<th>Total Plotted</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EDR MGP</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### EDR RECOVERED GOVERNMENT ARCHIVES

**Exclusive Recovered Govt. Archives**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Database</th>
<th>Search Distance (Miles)</th>
<th>Target Property</th>
<th>&lt; 1/8</th>
<th>1/8 - 1/4</th>
<th>1/4 - 1/2</th>
<th>1/2 - 1</th>
<th>&gt; 1</th>
<th>Total Plotted</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RGA LF</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RGA LUST</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Totals -- | 0 | 34 | 11 | 25 | 14 | 0 | 84

**NOTES:**

- **TP** = Target Property
- **NR** = Not Requested at this Search Distance
- Sites may be listed in more than one database
| Site | Facility ID | Facility County Code | Region | Reg Id | Reg By | Program Elements | Program Status | Billing Status | Facility ID | Facility County Code | Region | Reg Id | Reg By | Program Elements | Program Status | Billing Status | Facility ID | Facility County Code | Region | Reg Id | Reg By | Program Elements | Program Status | Billing Status |
|------|-------------|----------------------|--------|-------|--------|------------------|----------------|---------------|-------------|-------------|-------------------|--------|-------|--------|------------------|----------------|---------------|-------------|-------------------|--------|-------|--------|------------------|----------------|---------------|
| A1   | CAR-T COMPLETE AUTO CARE | CONTRA COSTA CO. SITE LIST | CONTRA COSTA | 771534 | INACTIVE, NON-BILLABLE | HWG: LESS THAN 5 TONS/YEAR | INACTIVE, NON-BILLABLE | CONTRA COSTA |
| A2   | GRIFFIN LAND CO | HIST CORTESE | CONTRA COSTA | 770569 | INACTIVE, NON-BILLABLE | UNDERGROUND STORAGE TANK SITE | INACTIVE, NON-BILLABLE | CONTRA COSTA |
| A3   | MARTY'S MOTORS | CONTRA COSTA CO. SITE LIST | CONTRA COSTA | 771150 | ACTIVE, BILLABLE | HMBP: 1K-10K LBS, 0-19 EMPLOYEES | ACTIVE, BILLABLE | CONTRA COSTA |

**Notes:**
- **Site 1 of 5 in cluster A:** 136 ft. Site 1 of 5 in cluster A
- **Site 2 of 5 in cluster A:** 235 ft. Site 2 of 5 in cluster A
- **Site 3 of 5 in cluster A:** 248 ft. Site 3 of 5 in cluster A
**MAP FINDINGS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Map ID</th>
<th>Direction</th>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Database(s)</th>
<th>EDR ID Number</th>
<th>EPA ID Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A4</td>
<td>SE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>S102429152</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Site:**
CIVIC CENTER PLAZA APARTM
10940 SAN PABLO
EL CERRITO, CA  94530

**Relative:**
Higher

**Actual:**
68 ft.

**Elevation:**
253 ft.

**Site 4 of 5 in cluster A**

**Latitude:**
37.916759

**Longitude:**
-122.311766

**Status:**
Completed - Case Closed

**Status Date:**
11/11/2003

**Lead Agency:**
Not reported

**Case Worker:**
BGS

**Local Agency:**
Not reported

**RB Case Number:**
07-0685

**LOC Case Number:**
Not reported

**File Location:**
Not reported

**PotentialAction Affect:**
Other Groundwater (uses other than drinking water)

**Potential Contaminants of Concern:**
Gasoline

**Site History:**
Not reported

Click here to access the California GeoTracker records for this facility:

**Contact:**

Global Id: T0601300634
Contact Type: Regional Board Caseworker
Contact Name: BARBARA SIEMINSKI
Organization Name: SAN FRANCISCO BAY RWQCB (REGION 2)
Address: 1515 CLAY STREET, SUITE 1400
City: OAKLAND
Email: bsieminski@waterboards.ca.gov
Phone Number: Not reported

Global Id: T0601300634
Contact Type: Local Agency Caseworker
Contact Name: SUE LOYD
Organization Name: CONTRA COSTA COUNTY
Address: 4333 PACHECO BLVD.
City: MARTINEZ
Email: slloyd@hsd.co.contra-cost.ca.us
Phone Number: Not reported

**Status History:**

Global Id: T0601300634
Status: Completed - Case Closed
Status Date: 11/11/2003

Global Id: T0601300634
Status: Open - Case Begin Date
Status Date: 06/24/1987

Global Id: T0601300634
Status: Open - Site Assessment
Status Date: 06/23/1995

Global Id: T0601300634
CIVIC CENTER PLAZA APARTM  (Continued)

Status: Open - Site Assessment  
Status Date: 06/28/1995

Global Id: T0601300634  
Status: Open - Site Assessment  
Status Date: 04/10/2001

Global Id: T0601300634  
Status: Open - Verification Monitoring  
Status Date: 09/22/2003

Regulatory Activities:
Global Id: T0601300634  
Action Type: Other  
Date: 11/16/1994
Action: Leak Discovery

Global Id: T0601300634  
Action Type: Other  
Date: 06/24/1987
Action: Leak Reported

Global Id: T0601300634  
Action Type: ENFORCEMENT  
Date: 04/10/2001  
Action: * Historical Enforcement

Global Id: T0601300634  
Action Type: Other  
Date: 11/16/1994
Action: Leak Stopped

Global Id: T0601300634  
Action Type: ENFORCEMENT  
Date: 11/11/2003
Action: Closure/No Further Action Letter

ENF:
Region: 2
Facility Id: 217847
Agency Name: El Cerrito Building Services
Place Type: Facility
Place Subtype: Not reported
Facility Type: All other facilities
Agency Type: City Agency
# Of Agencies: 1
Place Latitude: 37.916224
Place Longitude: -122.311921
SIC Code 1: Not reported
SIC Desc 1: Not reported
SIC Code 2: Not reported
SIC Desc 2: Not reported
SIC Code 3: Not reported
SIC Desc 3: Not reported
NAICS Code 1: Not reported
CIVIC CENTER PLAZA APARTM  (Continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Field</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Field</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NAICS Desc 1</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>NAICS Code 2</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAICS Desc 2</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>NAICS Code 3</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAICS Desc 3</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>NAICS Code 4</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># Of Places</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Source Of Facility</td>
<td>Reg Meas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Design Flow</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>Design Flow</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Threat To Water Quality</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>Threat To Water Quality</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complexity</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>Complexity</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pretreatment</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>Pretreatment</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facility Waste Type</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>Facility Waste Type</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facility Waste Type 2</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>Facility Waste Type 2</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facility Waste Type 3</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>Facility Waste Type 3</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facility Waste Type 4</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>Facility Waste Type 4</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Program</td>
<td>UST</td>
<td>Program</td>
<td>UST</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Program Category 1</td>
<td>TANKS</td>
<td>Program Category 1</td>
<td>TANKS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Program Category 2</td>
<td>TANKS</td>
<td>Program Category 2</td>
<td>TANKS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># Of Programs</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>WDID</td>
<td>2 07-0685</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reg Measure Id</td>
<td>167647</td>
<td>Reg Measure Type</td>
<td>Unregulated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Region</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Region</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Order #</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>Order #</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Npdes# CA#:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>Npdes# CA#:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Major-Minor:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>Major-Minor:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Npdes Type</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>Npdes Type</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reclamation</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>Reclamation</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dredge Fill Fee</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>Dredge Fill Fee</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>301H</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>301H</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Application Fee Amt Received</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>Application Fee Amt Received</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Status</td>
<td>Never Active</td>
<td>Status</td>
<td>Never Active</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Status Date</td>
<td>02/20/2013</td>
<td>Status Date</td>
<td>02/20/2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effective Date</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>Effective Date</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expiration/Review Date</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>Expiration/Review Date</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Termination Date</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>Termination Date</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WDR Review - Amend</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>WDR Review - Amend</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WDR Review - Revise/Renew</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>WDR Review - Revise/Renew</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WDR Review - Rescind</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>WDR Review - Rescind</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WDR Review - No Action Required</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>WDR Review - No Action Required</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WDR Review - Pending</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>WDR Review - Pending</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WDR Review - Planned</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>WDR Review - Planned</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Status Enrollee</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Status Enrollee</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Individual/General</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>Individual/General</td>
<td>I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fee Code</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>Fee Code</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Direction/Voice</td>
<td>Passive</td>
<td>Direction/Voice</td>
<td>Passive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enforcement Id(EID)</td>
<td>237521</td>
<td>Enforcement Id(EID)</td>
<td>237521</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Region</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Region</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Order / Resolution Number</td>
<td>UNKNOWN</td>
<td>Order / Resolution Number</td>
<td>UNKNOWN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enforcement Action Type</td>
<td>13287 Letter</td>
<td>Enforcement Action Type</td>
<td>13287 Letter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effective Date</td>
<td>04/10/2001</td>
<td>Effective Date</td>
<td>04/10/2001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adoption/Issuance Date</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>Adoption/Issuance Date</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Achieve Date</td>
<td>6/15/2001</td>
<td>Achieve Date</td>
<td>6/15/2001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Termination Date</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>Termination Date</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACL Issuance Date</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>ACL Issuance Date</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EPL Issuance Date</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>EPL Issuance Date</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Status</td>
<td>Historical</td>
<td>Status</td>
<td>Historical</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CIVIC CENTER PLAZA APARTM (Continued)

Title: Enforcement - 2 07-0685
Description: Not reported
Program: UST
Latest Milestone Completion Date: 6/15/2001
# Of Programs: 1
Total Assessment Amount: 0.00
Initial Assessed Amount: 0.00
Liability $ Amount: 0.00
Project $ Amount: 0.00
Liability $ Paid: 0.00
Project $ Completed: 0.00
Total $ Paid/Completed Amount: 0.00

HIST CORTESE:
Region: CORTESE
Facility County Code: 7
Reg By: LTNKA
Reg Id: 07-0685

A5 CIVIC CENTER PLAZA APARTMENTS LUST S103177116
SE 10940 SAN PABLO AVE N/A
< 1/8 EL CERRITO, CA 94530
0.048 mi. Site 5 of 5 in cluster A
253 ft. Relative: LUST REG 2:
Higher Region: 2
Facility Id: 07-0685
Actual: Facility Status: Case Closed
Relative: Case Number: 07-0685
Actual: How Discovered: OM
68 ft. Leak Cause: UNK
Actual: Leak Source: UNK
Date Leak Confirmed: 6/28/1995
Oversight Program: LUST
Prelim. Site Assessment Workplan Submitted: Not reported
Preliminary Site Assessment Began: 6/23/1995
Pollution Characterization Began: 4/10/2001
Pollution Remediation Plan Submitted: Not reported
Date Remediation Action Underway: Not reported
Date Post Remedial Action Monitoring Began: 9/22/2003

B6 ABBEY PET HOSPITAL HAZNET S113053675
North 11070 SAN PABLO AVE N/A
< 1/8 EL CERRITO, CA 94530
0.054 mi. Site 1 of 2 in cluster B
285 ft. Relative: HAZNET:
Lower envid: S113053675
Actual: Year: 2001
Relative: GEPAID: CAL000082614
Actual: Contact: LEE PRUTTON
Actual: Telephone: 5105290777
Mailing Name: Not reported
Mailing Address: 11070 SAN PABLO AVE
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Map ID</th>
<th>Direction</th>
<th>Distance</th>
<th>Elevation</th>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Database(s)</th>
<th>EDR ID Number</th>
<th>EPA ID Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**ABBNEY PET HOSPITAL** (Continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mailing City,St,Zip:</th>
<th>EL CERRITO, CA 945300000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gen County:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TSD EPA ID:</td>
<td>CA0000084517</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TSD County:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waste Category:</td>
<td>Photochemicals/photoprocessing waste</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disposal Method:</td>
<td>Transfer Station</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tons:</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facility County:</td>
<td>Contra Costa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>envi:</td>
<td>S113053675</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year:</td>
<td>2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GEPAID:</td>
<td>CAL0000082614</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contact:</td>
<td>LEE PRUTTON</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telephone:</td>
<td>51052090777</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mailing Name:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mailing Address:</td>
<td>11070 SAN PABLO AVE</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mailing City,St,Zip:</th>
<th>EL CERRITO, CA 945300000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gen County:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TSD EPA ID:</td>
<td>CA0000084517</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TSD County:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waste Category:</td>
<td>Photochemicals/photoprocessing waste</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disposal Method:</td>
<td>Transfer Station</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tons:</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facility County:</td>
<td>Contra Costa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>envi:</td>
<td>S113053675</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year:</td>
<td>1998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GEPAID:</td>
<td>CAL0000082614</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contact:</td>
<td>LEE PRUTTON</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telephone:</td>
<td>00000000000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mailing Name:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mailing Address:</td>
<td>11070 SAN PABLO AVE</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mailing City,St,Zip:</th>
<th>EL CERRITO, CA 945300000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gen County:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TSD EPA ID:</td>
<td>CA0000084517</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TSD County:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waste Category:</td>
<td>Photochemicals/photoprocessing waste</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disposal Method:</td>
<td>Transfer Station</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tons:</td>
<td>.1250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facility County:</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>envi:</td>
<td>S113053675</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year:</td>
<td>1996</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GEPAID:</td>
<td>CAL0000082614</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contact:</td>
<td>LEE PRUTTON</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telephone:</td>
<td>00000000000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mailing Name:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mailing Address:</td>
<td>11070 SAN PABLO AVE</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mailing City,St,Zip:</th>
<th>EL CERRITO, CA 945300000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gen County:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TSD EPA ID:</td>
<td>CA0000084517</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TSD County:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waste Category:</td>
<td>Photochemicals/photoprocessing waste</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disposal Method:</td>
<td>Recycler</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tons:</td>
<td>.1251</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facility County:</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Map ID</td>
<td>Direction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### ABBEY PET HOSPITAL (Continued)

- **envid:** S113053675
- **Year:** 1995
- **GEPaid:** CAL000082614
- **Contact:** LEE PRUTTON
- **Telephone:** 0000000000
- **Mailing Name:** Not reported
- **Mailing Address:** 11070 SAN PABLO AVE
- **Mailing City,St,Zip:** EL CERRITO, CA 945300000
- **Gen County:** Not reported
- **TSD EPA ID:** CAD070148432
- **TSD County:** Not reported
- **Waste Category:** Photochemicals/photoprocessing waste
- **Disposal Method:** Treatment, Incineration
- **Tons:** .0417
- **Facility County:** 7

Click this hyperlink while viewing on your computer to access
1 additional CA_HAZNET: record(s) in the EDR Site Report.

---

### DON’S AUTO BODY

**Program/Elements:** CONTRA COSTA CO. SITE LIST

**Program Status:** INACTIVE, NON-BILLABLE

---

### RICHMOND, CA 94804

**Relative:** Site 1 of 10 in cluster C

---

### HAZNET

**Relative:** Higher

---

**Actual:** 68 ft.

---

**Actual:** 71 ft.
### DAVID CHEONG (Continued)  
**Waste Category:** Not reported  
**Disposal Method:** Landfill Or Surface Impoundment That Will Be Closed As Landfill( To Include On-Site Treatment And/Or Stabilization)  
**Tons:** 0.8  
**Facility County:** Not reported

---

### MIDAS AUTO SERVICE CENTER  
**CONTRA COSTA CO. SITE LIST**  
**C9**  
**SE**  
10903 SAN PABLO AVE  
EL CERRITO, CA 94530  
< 1/8  
0.081 mi.  
426 ft.  
Site 2 of 10 in cluster C  
**Relative:** Higher  
**Actual:** 68 ft.

- **Facility ID:** 771535  
- **Billing Status:** ACTIVE, BILLABLE  
- **Program Status:** CONTRA COSTA CO. SITE LIST  
- **Program/Elements:** HMBC: 1K-10K LBS, 0-19 EMPLOYEES  
- **Region:** CONTRA COSTA

### CITY EL CERRITO - FIRE DEPT  
**HAZNET**  
**C10**  
**SE**  
10900 SAN PABLO AVE  
EL CERRITO, CA 94530  
< 1/8  
0.084 mi.  
446 ft.  
Site 3 of 10 in cluster C  
**Relative:** Higher  
**Actual:** 69 ft.

- **envid:** S112882254  
- **Year:** 1999  
- **GEPaid:** CAC001321208  
- **Contact:** CITY EL CERRITO - FIRE DEPT  
- **Telephone:** 5102154360  
- **Mailing Name:** Not reported  
- **Mailing Address:** 10890 SAN PABLO AVE  
- **Mailing City,St,Zip:** EL CERRITO, CA 945300000  
- **Gen County:** Not reported  
- **TSD EPA ID:** CAD044003556  
- **TSD County:** Not reported  
- **Waste Category:** Unspecified oil-containing waste  
- **Disposal Method:** Transfer Station  
- **Tons:** .6255  
- **Facility County:** 7

---

TC4412365.1s Page 14
CITY EL CERRITO - FIRE DEPT (Continued)  

| Facility County: | 5.0000 |
| Facility County: | Tons: |
| Facility County: | Recycler |
| Facility County: | Disposal Method: |
| Facility County: | Waste Category: |
| Facility County: | TSD County: |
| Facility County: | TSD County: |
| Facility County: | Waste Category: |
| Facility County: | Disposal Method: |
| Facility County: | Tons: |
| Facility County: | 5.0000 |
| Facility County: | 7 |

---

**C11 - EL CERRITO PUBLIC SAFETY BLDG**

| UST | 10900 SAN PABLO AVE | 1999 |
| UST | EL CERRITO, CA 94530 | CONTRA COSTA COUNTY |
| UST | Site 4 of 10 in cluster C | 770141 |
| UST | Facility ID: | CONTRA COSTA COUNTY |
| UST | Permitting Agency: | 37.9174575 |
| UST | Latitude: | -122.310029 |
| UST | Longitude: | 37.9174575 |
| UST | 446 ft. | 69 ft. |
| UST | Relative: | Higher |
| UST | Actual: | 69 ft. |

---

**C12 - EL CERRITO CITY OF PUBLIC SAFETY BUILDIN**

| LUST | 10900 SAN PABLO AVE | N/A |
| LUST | EL CERRITO, CA 94530 | CONTRA COSTA COUNTY |
| LUST | Site 5 of 10 in cluster C | 770141 |
| LUST | Facility ID: | CONTRA COSTA COUNTY |
| LUST | Permitting Agency: | 37.916233 |
| LUST | Latitude: | -122.311359 |
| LUST | Longitude: | 37.916233 |
| LUST | 446 ft. | 69 ft. |
| LUST | Relative: | Higher |
| LUST | Actual: | 69 ft. |
EL CERRITO CITY OF PUBLIC SAFETY BUILDING (Continued)

Potential Media Affect: Other Groundwater (uses other than drinking water)
Potential Contaminants of Concern: Gasoline
Site History: Not reported

Click here to access the California GeoTracker records for this facility:

Contact:
- Global Id: T0601300737
- Contact Type: Regional Board Caseworker
- Contact Name: BARBARA SIEMINSKI
- Organization Name: SAN FRANCISCO BAY RWQCB (REGION 2)
- Address: 1515 CLAY STREET, SUITE 1400
- City: OAKLAND
- Email: bsieminski@waterboards.ca.gov
- Phone Number: Not reported

Status History:
- Global Id: T0601300737
- Status: Completed - Case Closed
- Status Date: 11/11/2003

- Global Id: T0601300737
- Status: Open - Case Begin Date
- Status Date: 09/01/1999

- Global Id: T0601300737
- Status: Open - Site Assessment
- Status Date: 10/26/1999

- Global Id: T0601300737
- Status: Open - Site Assessment
- Status Date: 12/13/2001

- Global Id: T0601300737
- Status: Open - Site Assessment
- Status Date: 04/30/2002

- Global Id: T0601300737
- Status: Open - Case Begin Date
- Status Date: 07/12/2002

- Global Id: T0601300737
- Status: Open - Verification Monitoring
- Status Date: 09/22/2003

Regulatory Activities:
- Global Id: T0601300737
- Action Type: Other
### EL CERRITO CITY OF PUBLIC SAFETY BUILDIN (Continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Action</th>
<th>Global Id</th>
<th>Action Type</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Action</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>09/01/1999</td>
<td>Leak Discovery</td>
<td>T0601300737</td>
<td>RESPONSE</td>
<td>04/22/2002</td>
<td>Other Report / Document</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09/01/1999</td>
<td>Leak Reported</td>
<td>T0601300737</td>
<td>ENFORCEMENT</td>
<td>08/28/2001</td>
<td>Staff Letter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09/01/1999</td>
<td>Leak Stopped</td>
<td>T0601300737</td>
<td>RESPONSE</td>
<td>07/01/2002</td>
<td>Other Workplan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10/24/2003</td>
<td>Other Report / Document</td>
<td>T0601300737</td>
<td>RESPONSE</td>
<td>01/31/2003</td>
<td>File review</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07/11/2002</td>
<td>Staff Letter</td>
<td>T0601300737</td>
<td>ENFORCEMENT</td>
<td>07/11/2002</td>
<td>Staff Letter</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### EL CERRITO CITY OF PUBLIC SAFETY BUILDIN (Continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Global Id</th>
<th>T0601300737</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Action Type</td>
<td>ENFORCEMENT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>01/10/2002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Action</td>
<td>Staff Letter</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Global Id</th>
<th>T0601300737</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Action Type</td>
<td>ENFORCEMENT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>07/12/2002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Action</td>
<td>Site Visit / Inspection / Sampling</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Global Id</th>
<th>T0601300737</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Action Type</td>
<td>ENFORCEMENT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>04/30/2002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Action</td>
<td>Staff Letter</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Global Id</th>
<th>T0601300737</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Action Type</td>
<td>ENFORCEMENT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>11/11/2003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Action</td>
<td>Closure/No Further Action Letter</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Global Id</th>
<th>T0601300737</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Action Type</td>
<td>ENFORCEMENT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>07/31/2003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Action</td>
<td>Staff Letter</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**LUST REG 2:**

- Region: 2
- Facility Id: 07-0794
- Facility Status: Case Closed
- Case Number: 70141
- How Discovered: Tank Closure
- Leak Cause: UNK
- Leak Source: UNK
- Date Leak Confirmed: 10/26/1999
- Oversight Program: LUST

**Preliminary Site Assessment Workplan Submitted:** 12/13/2001
**Preliminary Site Assessment Began:** 4/30/2002
**Pollution Characterization Began:** 7/12/2002
**Pollution Remediation Plan Submitted:** Not reported
**Date Remediation Action Underway:** Not reported
**Date Post Remedial Action Monitoring Began:** 9/22/2003

**SWEEPS UST:**

- Status: Active
- Comp Number: 70141
- Number: 9
- Board Of Equalization: 44-002328
- Referral Date: 06-20-88
- Action Date: Not reported
- Created Date: 07-22-88
- Owner Tank Id: Not reported
- SWRCB Tank Id: 07-000-070141-000001
- Tank Status: A
- Capacity: 10000
- Active Date: 06-20-88
### EL CERRITO CITY OF PUBLIC SAFETY BUIDLIN (Continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Field</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tank Use</td>
<td>M.V. FUEL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STG</td>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Content</td>
<td>REG UNLEADED</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number Of Tanks</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Status</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comp Number</td>
<td>70141</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Board Of Equalization</td>
<td>44-002328</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Referral Date</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Action Date</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Created Date</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Owner Tank Id</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SWRCB Tank Id</td>
<td>07-000-070141-000002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tank Status</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capacity</td>
<td>2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active Date</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tank Use</td>
<td>M.V. FUEL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STG</td>
<td>PRODUCT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Content</td>
<td>DIESEL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number Of Tanks</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Field</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Region</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facility Id</td>
<td>249410</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agency Name</td>
<td>El Cerrito City</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Place Type</td>
<td>Facility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Place Subtype</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facility Type</td>
<td>All other facilities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agency Type</td>
<td>City Agency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># Of Agencies</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Place Latitude</td>
<td>37.915746</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Place Longitude</td>
<td>-122.311591</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIC Code 1</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIC Desc 1</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIC Code 2</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIC Desc 2</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIC Code 3</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIC Desc 3</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAICS Code 1</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAICS Desc 1</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAICS Code 2</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAICS Desc 2</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAICS Code 3</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAICS Desc 3</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># Of Places</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Source Of Facility</td>
<td>Reg Meas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Design Flow</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Threat To Water Quality</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complexity</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pretreatment</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facility Waste Type</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facility Waste Type 2</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facility Waste Type 3</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facility Waste Type 4</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Program</td>
<td>UST</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Program Category1</td>
<td>TANKS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**EPA ID Number:** S104566437
EL CERRITO CITY OF PUBLIC SAFETY BUILDIN (Continued)

Program Category2: TANKS
# Of Programs: 1
WDID: 2 07-0794
Reg Measure Id: 169157
Reg Measure Type: Unregulated
Region: 2
Order #: Not reported
Npdes# CA#: Not reported
Major-Minor: Not reported
Npdes Type: Not reported
Reclamation: Not reported
Dredge Fill Fee: Not reported
301H: Not reported
Application Fee Amt Received: Not reported
Status: Never Active
Status Date: 02/20/2013
Effective Date: Not reported
Expiration/Review Date: Not reported
Termination Date: Not reported
WDR Review - Amend: Not reported
WDR Review - Revise/Renew: Not reported
WDR Review - Rescind: Not reported
WDR Review - No Action Required: Not reported
WDR Review - Pending: Not reported
WDR Review - Planned: Not reported
Status Enrollee: N
Individual/General: I
Fee Code: Not reported
Direction/Voice: Passive
Enforcement Id(EID): 249059
Region: 2
Order / Resolution Number: UNKNOWN
Enforcement Action Type: 13267 Letter
Effective Date: 07/11/2002
Adoption/Issuance Date: Not reported
Achieve Date: Not reported
Termination Date: Not reported
ACL Issuance Date: Not reported
EPL Issuance Date: Not reported
Status: Active
Title: Enforcement - 2 07-0794
Description: Not reported
Program: UST
Latest Milestone Completion Date: Not reported
# Of Programs1: 1
Total Assessment Amount: 0.00
Initial Assessed Amount: 0.00
Liability $ Amount: 0.00
Project $ Amount: 0.00
Liability $ Paid: 0.00
Project $ Completed: 0.00
Total $ Paid/Completed Amount: 0.00

Region: 2
Facility Id: 249410
Agency Name: El Cerrito City
Place Type: Facility
EL CERRITO CITY OF PUBLIC SAFETY BUILDIN (Continued)

Place Subtype: Not reported
Facility Type: All other facilities
Agency Type: City Agency
# Of Agencies: 1
Place Latitude: 37.915746
Place Longitude: -122.311591
SIC Code 1: Not reported
SIC Desc 1: Not reported
SIC Code 2: Not reported
SIC Desc 2: Not reported
SIC Code 3: Not reported
SIC Desc 3: Not reported
NAICS Code 1: Not reported
NAICS Desc 1: Not reported
NAICS Code 2: Not reported
NAICS Desc 2: Not reported
NAICS Code 3: Not reported
NAICS Desc 3: Not reported
# Of Places: 1
Source Of Facility: Reg Meas
Design Flow: Not reported
Threat To Water Quality: Not reported
Complexity: Not reported
Pretreatment: Not reported
Facility Waste Type: Not reported
Facility Waste Type 2: Not reported
Facility Waste Type 3: Not reported
Facility Waste Type 4: Not reported
Program: UST
Program Category1: TANKS
Program Category2: TANKS
# Of Programs: 1
WDID: 207-0794
Reg Measure Id: 169157
Reg Measure Type: Unregulated
Region: 2
Order #: Not reported
Npdes# CA#: Not reported
Major-Minor: Not reported
Npdes Type: Not reported
Reclamation: Not reported
Dredge Fill Fee: Not reported
301H: Not reported
Application Fee Amt Received: Not reported
Status: Never Active
Status Date: 02/20/2013
Effective Date: Not reported
Expiration/Review Date: Not reported
Termination Date: Not reported
WDR Review - Amend: Not reported
WDR Review - Revise/ Renew: Not reported
WDR Review - Rescind: Not reported
WDR Review - No Action Required: Not reported
WDR Review - Pending: Not reported
WDR Review - Planned: Not reported
Status Enrollee: N
Individual/General: I
EL CERRITO CITY OF PUBLIC SAFETY BUILDIN  (Continued) S104566437

Fee Code: Not reported
Direction/Voice: Passive
Enforcement Id(EID): 241646
Region: 2
Order / Resolution Number: UNKNOWN
Enforcement Action Type: 13267 Letter
Effective Date: 04/30/2002
Adoption/Issuance Date: Not reported
Achieve Date: Not reported
Termination Date: Not reported
ACLIssuance Date: Not reported
EPLIssuance Date: Not reported
Status: Active
Title: Enforcement - 2 07-0794
Description: Not reported
Program: UST
Latest Milestone Completion Date: Not reported
# Of Programs1: 1
Total Assessment Amount: 0.00
Initial Assessed Amount: 0.00
Liability $ Amount: 0.00
Project $ Amount: 0.00
Liability $ Paid: 0.00
Project $ Completed: 0.00
Total $ Paid/Completed Amount: 0.00

Region: 2
Facility Id: 249410
Agency Name: El Cerrito City
Place Type: Facility
Place Subtype: Not reported
Facility Type: All other facilities
Agency Type: City Agency
# Of Agencies: 1
Place Latitude: 37.915746
Place Longitude: -122.311591
SIC Code 1: Not reported
SIC Desc 1: Not reported
SIC Code 2: Not reported
SIC Desc 2: Not reported
SIC Code 3: Not reported
SIC Desc 3: Not reported
NAICS Code 1: Not reported
NAICS Desc 1: Not reported
NAICS Code 2: Not reported
NAICS Desc 2: Not reported
NAICS Code 3: Not reported
NAICS Desc 3: Not reported
# Of Places: 1
Source Of Facility: Reg Meas
Design Flow: Not reported
Threat To Water Quality: Not reported
Complexity: Not reported
Pretreatment: Not reported
Facility Waste Type: Not reported
Facility Waste Type 2: Not reported
Facility Waste Type 3: Not reported
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Facility Waste Type 4:</th>
<th>Not reported</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Program:</td>
<td>UST</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Program Category1:</td>
<td>TANKS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Program Category2:</td>
<td>TANKS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># Of Programs:</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WDID:</td>
<td>207-0794</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reg Measure Id:</td>
<td>169157</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reg Measure Type:</td>
<td>Unregulated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Region:</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Order #:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Npdes# CA#:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Major-Minor:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Npdes Type:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reclamation:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dredge Fill Fee:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>301H:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Application Fee Amt Received:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Status:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Status Date:</td>
<td>02/20/2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effective Date:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expiration/Review Date:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Termination Date:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WDR Review - Amend:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WDR Review - Revise/Renew:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WDR Review - Rescind:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WDR Review - No Action Required:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WDR Review - Pending:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WDR Review - Planned:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Status Enrollee:</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Individual/General:</td>
<td>I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fee Code:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Direction/Voice:</td>
<td>Passive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enforcement Id(EID):</td>
<td>239398</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Region:</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Order / Resolution Number:</td>
<td>UNKNOWN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enforcement Action Type:</td>
<td>13267 Letter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effective Date:</td>
<td>01/10/2002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adoption/Issuance Date:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Achieve Date:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Termination Date:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACL Issuance Date:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EPL Issuance Date:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Status:</td>
<td>Active</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Title:</td>
<td>Enforcement - 2 07-0794</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Description:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Program:</td>
<td>UST</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latest Milestone Completion Date:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># Of Programs1:</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Assessment Amount:</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial Assessed Amount:</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liability $ Amount:</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project $ Amount:</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liability $ Paid:</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project $ Completed:</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total $ Paid/Completed Amount:</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Region:</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## EL CERRITO CITY OF PUBLIC SAFETY BUILDIN (Continued) S104566437

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Facility Id:</th>
<th>249410</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Agency Name:</td>
<td>El Cerrito City</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Place Type:</td>
<td>Facility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Place Subtype:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facility Type:</td>
<td>All other facilities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agency Type:</td>
<td>City Agency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># Of Agencies:</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Place Latitude:</td>
<td>37.915746</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Place Longitude:</td>
<td>-122.311591</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIC Code 1:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIC Desc 1:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIC Code 2:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIC Desc 2:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIC Code 3:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIC Desc 3:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAICS Code 1:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAICS Desc 1:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAICS Code 2:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAICS Desc 2:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAICS Code 3:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAICS Desc 3:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Program:</td>
<td>UST</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Program Category 1:</td>
<td>TANKS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Program Category 2:</td>
<td>TANKS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># Of Programs:</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WDID:</td>
<td>207-0794</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reg Measure Id:</td>
<td>169157</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reg Measure Type:</td>
<td>Unregulated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Region:</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Order #:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Npdes# CA#:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Major-Minor:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Npdes Type:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reclamation:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dredge Fill Fee:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>301H:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Application Fee Amt Received:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Status:</td>
<td>Never Active</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Status Date:</td>
<td>02/20/2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effective Date:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expiration/Review Date:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Termination Date:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WDR Review - Amend:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WDR Review - Revise/Renew:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WDR Review - Rescind:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WDR Review - No Action Required:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WDR Review - Pending:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
EL CERRITO CITY OF PUBLIC SAFETY BUILDIN (Continued)  

WDR Review - Planned: Not reported  
Status Enrollee: N  
Individual/General: I  
Fee Code: Not reported  
Direction/Voice: Passive  
Enforcement Id(EID): 238603  
Region: 2  
Order / Resolution Number: UNKNOWN  
Enforcement Action Type: 13267 Letter  
Effective Date: 11/05/2001  
Adoption/Issuance Date: Not reported  
Achieve Date: Not reported  
Termination Date: Not reported  
ACL Issuance Date: Not reported  
EPL Issuance Date: Not reported  
Status: Historical  
Title: Enforcement - 2 07-0794  
Description: Not reported  
Program: UST  
Latest Milestone Completion Date: Not reported  
# Of Programs1: 1  
Total Assessment Amount: 0.00  
Initial Assessed Amount: 0.00  
Liability $ Amount: 0.00  
Project $ Amount: 0.00  
Liability $ Paid: 0.00  
Project $ Completed: 0.00  
Total $ Paid/Completed Amount: 0.00  

Region: 2  
Facility Id: 249410  
Agency Name: El Cerrito City  
Place Type: Facility  
Place Subtype: Not reported  
Facility Type: All other facilities  
Agency Type: City Agency  
# Of Agencies: 1  
Place Latitude: 37.915746  
Place Longitude: -122.311591  
SIC Code 1: Not reported  
SIC Desc 1: Not reported  
SIC Code 2: Not reported  
SIC Desc 2: Not reported  
SIC Code 3: Not reported  
SIC Desc 3: Not reported  
NAICS Code 1: Not reported  
NAICS Desc 1: Not reported  
NAICS Code 2: Not reported  
NAICS Desc 2: Not reported  
NAICS Code 3: Not reported  
NAICS Desc 3: Not reported  
# Of Places: 1  
Source Of Facility: Reg Meas  
Design Flow: Not reported  
Threat To Water Quality: Not reported  
Complexity: Not reported  
Pretreatment: Not reported
EL CERRITO CITY OF PUBLIC SAFETY BUILDIN  (Continued)  S104566437

| Facility Waste Type: | Not reported |
| Facility Waste Type 2: | Not reported |
| Facility Waste Type 3: | Not reported |
| Facility Waste Type 4: | Not reported |
| Program: | UST |
| Program Category1: | TANKS |
| Program Category2: | TANKS |
| # Of Programs: | 1 |
| WDID: | 2.07-0794 |
| Reg Measure Id: | 169157 |
| Reg Measure Type: | Unregulated |
| Region: | 2 |
| Order #: | Not reported |
| Npdes# CA#: | Not reported |
| Major-Minor: | Not reported |
| Npdes Type: | Not reported |
| Reclamation: | Not reported |
| Dredge Fill Fee: | Not reported |
| 301H: | Not reported |
| Application Fee Amt Received: | Not reported |
| Status: | Never Active |
| Status Date: | 02/20/2013 |
| Effective Date: | Not reported |
| Expiration/Review Date: | Not reported |
| Termination Date: | Not reported |
| WDR Review - Amend: | Not reported |
| WDR Review - Revise/Renew: | Not reported |
| WDR Review - Rescind: | Not reported |
| WDR Review - No Action Required: | Not reported |
| WDR Review - Pending: | Not reported |
| WDR Review - Planned: | Not reported |
| Status Enrollee: | N |
| Individual/General: | I |
| Fee Code: | Not reported |
| Direction/Voice: | Passive |
| Enforcement Id(EID): | 237604 |
| Region: | 2 |
| Order / Resolution Number: | UNKNOWN |
| Enforcement Action Type: | 13267 Letter |
| Effective Date: | 08/28/2001 |
| Adoption/Issuance Date: | Not reported |
| Achieve Date: | 10/22/2001 |
| Termination Date: | Not reported |
| ACL Issuance Date: | Not reported |
| EPL Issuance Date: | Not reported |
| Status: | Historical |
| Title: | Enforcement - 2 07-0794 |
| Description: | Not reported |
| Program: | UST |
| Latest Milestone Completion Date: | 10/22/2001 |
| # Of Programs1: | 1 |
| Total Assessment Amount: | 0.00 |
| Initial Assessed Amount: | 0.00 |
| Liability $ Amount: | 0.00 |
| Project $ Amount: | 0.00 |
| Liability $ Paid: | 0.00 |
| Project $ Completed: | 0.00 |
EL CERRITO CITY OF PUBLIC SAFETY BUILDIN (Continued)  S104566437

Total $ Paid/Completed Amount:  0.00

Region:  2
Facility Id:  249410
Agency Name:  El Cerrito City
Place Type:  Facility
Place Subtype:  Not reported
Facility Type:  All other facilities
Agency Type:  City Agency
# Of Agencies:  1
Place Latitude:  37.915746
Place Longitude:  -122.311591
SIC Code 1:  Not reported
SIC Desc 1:  Not reported
SIC Code 2:  Not reported
SIC Desc 2:  Not reported
SIC Code 3:  Not reported
SIC Desc 3:  Not reported
NAICS Code 1:  Not reported
NAICS Desc 1:  Not reported
NAICS Code 2:  Not reported
NAICS Desc 2:  Not reported
NAICS Code 3:  Not reported
NAICS Desc 3:  Not reported
# Of Places:  1
Source Of Facility:  Reg Meas
Design Flow:  Not reported
Threat To Water Quality:  Not reported
Complexity:  Not reported
Pretreatment:  Not reported
Facility Waste Type:  Not reported
Facility Waste Type 2:  Not reported
Facility Waste Type 3:  Not reported
Facility Waste Type 4:  Not reported
Program:  UST
Program Category1:  TANKS
Program Category2:  TANKS
# Of Programs:  1
WDID:  207-0794
Reg Measure Id:  169157
Reg Measure Type:  Unregulated
Region:  2
Order #:  Not reported
Npdes# CA#:  Not reported
Major-Minor:  Not reported
Npdes Type:  Not reported
Reclamation:  Not reported
Dredge Fill Fee:  Not reported
301H:  Not reported
Application Fee Amt Received:  Not reported
Status:  Never Active
Status Date:  02/20/2013
Effective Date:  Not reported
Expiration/Review Date:  Not reported
Termination Date:  Not reported
WDR Review - Amend:  Not reported
WDR Review - Revise/Renew:  Not reported
EL CERRITO CITY OF PUBLIC SAFETY BUILDING (Continued)

WDR Review - Rescind: Not reported
WDR Review - No Action Required: Not reported
WDR Review - Pending: Not reported
WDR Review - Planned: Not reported
Status Enrollee: N
Individual/General: I
Fee Code: Passive
Direction/Voice: Passive
Enforcement Id(EID): 236735
Region: 2
Order / Resolution Number: UNKNOWN
Enforcement Action Type: 13267 Letter
Effective Date: Not reported
Adoption/Issuance Date: Not reported
Achieve Date: Not reported
Termination Date: Not reported
ACL Issuance Date: Not reported
EPL Issuance Date: Not reported
Status: Historical
Title: Enforcement - 2 07-0794
Description: Not reported
Program: UST
Latest Milestone Completion Date: Not reported
# Of Programs1: 1
Total Assessment Amount: 0.00
Initial Assessed Amount: 0.00
Liability $ Amount: 0.00
Project $ Amount: 0.00
Liability $ Paid: 0.00
Project $ Completed: 0.00
Total $ Paid/Completed Amount: 0.00

HIST CORTESE:
Region: CORTESE
Facility County Code: 7
Reg By: LTNKA
Reg Id: 07-0794

CONTRA COSTA CO. SITE LIST:
Facility ID: 770141
Billing Status: ACTIVE, BILLABLE
Program Status: CONTRA COSTA CO. SITE LIST
Program/Elements: HMMP: >10K-100K LBS, 20+ EMPLOYEES
Region: CONTRA COSTA

Facility ID: 770141
Billing Status: ACTIVE, BILLABLE
Program Status: CONTRA COSTA CO. SITE LIST
Program/Elements: HMMP: >10K-100K LBS, 20+ EMPLOYEES
Region: CONTRA COSTA

Facility ID: 770141
Billing Status: ACTIVE, BILLABLE
Program Status: CONTRA COSTA CO. SITE LIST
Program/Elements: UNDERGROUND STORAGE TANK SITE
Region: CONTRA COSTA
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C13  CITY OF EL CERRITO PUBLIC SAFETY BUILDING UST LOCTAION  HAZNET  S113158467

SE  10900 SAN PABLO AVE  N/A
<1/8  EL CERRITO, CA  94530
0.084 mi.  N/A
446 ft.  C13

Site 6 of 10 in cluster C

Relative: HAZNET:
Higher

Actual:
69 ft.

envid:  S113158467
Year:  2012
GEPaid:  CAL000351962
Contact:  WILLIAM (BILL) DRISCOLL
Telephone:  5105597039
Mailing Name:  Not reported
Mailing Address:  10890 SAN PABLO AVE
Mailing City,St,Zip:  EL CERRITO, CA 945302321
Gen County:  Contra Costa
TSD EPA ID:  CAD980884183
TSD County:  Sacramento
Waste Category:  Not reported
Disposal Method:  Storage, Bulking, And/Or Transfer Off Site--No Treatment/Reovery
(H010-H129) Or (H131-H135)
Tons:  0.65469
Facility County:  Contra Costa

envid:  S113158467
Year:  2012
GEPaid:  CAL000351962
Contact:  WILLIAM (BILL) DRISCOLL
Telephone:  5105597039
Mailing Name:  Not reported
Mailing Address:  10890 SAN PABLO AVE
Mailing City,St,Zip:  EL CERRITO, CA 945302321
Gen County:  Contra Costa
TSD EPA ID:  CAD980884183
TSD County:  Sacramento
Waste Category:  Not reported
Disposal Method:  Storage, Bulking, And/Or Transfer Off Site--No Treatment/Reovery
(H010-H129) Or (H131-H135)
Tons:  0.02919
Facility County:  Contra Costa

envid:  S113158467
Year:  2012
GEPaid:  CAL000351962
Contact:  WILLIAM (BILL) DRISCOLL
Telephone:  5105597039
Mailing Name:  Not reported
Mailing Address:  10890 SAN PABLO AVE
Mailing City,St,Zip:  EL CERRITO, CA 945302321
Gen County:  Contra Costa
TSD EPA ID:  NVT330010000
TSD County:  99
Waste Category:  Not reported
Disposal Method:  Landfill Or Surface Impoundment That Will Be Closed As Landfill( To
Include On-Site Treatment And/Or Stabilization)
Tons:  0.125
Facility County:  Contra Costa

envid:  S113158467
CITY OF EL CERRITO PUBLIC SAFETY BUILDING UST LOCATIONS (Continued)

Year: 2011
GEPAID: CAL000351962
Contact: WILLIAM (BILL) DRISCOLL
Telephone: 5105597039
Mailing Name: Not reported
Mailing Address: 10890 SAN PABLO AVE
Mailing City, St, Zip: EL CERRITO, CA 945302321
Gen County: Not reported
TSD EPA ID: CAT000646117
TSD County: Not reported
Waste Category: Other organic solids
Disposal Method: Landfill Or Surface Impoundment That Will Be Closed As Landfill (To Include On-Site Treatment And/Or Stabilization)
Tons: 12.642
Facility County: Contra Costa

evii: S113158467
Year: 2010
GEPAID: CAL000351962
Contact: WILLIAM (BILL) DRISCOLL
Telephone: 5105597039
Mailing Name: Not reported
Mailing Address: 10890 SAN PABLO AVE
Mailing City, St, Zip: EL CERRITO, CA 945302321
Gen County: Not reported
TSD EPA ID: CAD059494310
TSD County: Not reported
Waste Category: Other organic solids
Disposal Method: Storage, Bulking, And/Or Transfer Off Site--No Treatment/Recovery
(H010-H129) Or (H131-H135)
Tons: 0.0765
Facility County: Contra Costa

Click this hyperlink while viewing on your computer to access
2 additional CA_HAZNET: record(s) in the EDR Site Report.

B14 DESALVO CHIROPRACTIC HAZNET S113051002
NNW 11100 SAN PABLO AVENUE #104 N/A
< 1/8 EL CERRITO, CA 94530
0.093 mi. Site 2 of 2 in cluster B
490 ft.

Relative: Lower
Actual: 59 ft.

HAZNET:
envi: S113051002
Year: 1998
GEPAID: CAL000076703
Contact: DOUGLAS A DE SALVO
Telephone: 0000000000
Mailing Name: Not reported
Mailing Address: 11100 SAN PABLO AVE STE 104
Mailing City, St, Zip: EL CERRITO, CA 945300000
Gen County: Not reported
TSD EPA ID: CAL000121946
TSD County: Not reported
Waste Category: Photochemicals/photoprocessing waste
Disposal Method: Recycler
Tons: .1042
Facility County: Riverside
DESALVO CHIROPRACTIC  (Continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>evid:</th>
<th>S113051002</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Year:</td>
<td>1997</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GEPAID:</td>
<td>CAL000076703</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contact:</td>
<td>DOUGLAS A DE SALVO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telephone:</td>
<td>0000000000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mailing Name:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mailing Address:</td>
<td>11100 SAN PABLO AVE STE 104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City,St,Zip:</td>
<td>EL CERRITO, CA 945300000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gen County:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TSD County:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waste Category:</td>
<td>Photochemicals/photoprocessing waste</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disposal Method:</td>
<td>Recycler</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tons:</td>
<td>.1459</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facility County:</td>
<td>Riverside</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>evid:</th>
<th>S113051002</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Year:</td>
<td>1996</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GEPAID:</td>
<td>CAL000076703</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contact:</td>
<td>DOUGLAS A DE SALVO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telephone:</td>
<td>0000000000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mailing Name:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mailing Address:</td>
<td>11100 SAN PABLO AVE STE 104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City,St,Zip:</td>
<td>EL CERRITO, CA 945300000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gen County:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TSD County:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waste Category:</td>
<td>Photochemicals/photoprocessing waste</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disposal Method:</td>
<td>Recycler</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tons:</td>
<td>.0625</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facility County:</td>
<td>Riverside</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>evid:</th>
<th>S113051002</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Year:</td>
<td>1993</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GEPAID:</td>
<td>CAL000076703</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contact:</td>
<td>DOUGLAS A DE SALVO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telephone:</td>
<td>0000000000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mailing Name:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mailing Address:</td>
<td>11100 SAN PABLO AVE STE 104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City,St,Zip:</td>
<td>EL CERRITO, CA 945300000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gen County:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TSD County:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waste Category:</td>
<td>Photochemicals/photoprocessing waste</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disposal Method:</td>
<td>Recycler</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tons:</td>
<td>2.079999999999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facility County:</td>
<td>Riverside</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
C15  DOUGHERTY TRUCK LINES  
SE  10895 SAN PABLO AVENUE  
< 1/8  EL CERRITO, CA  94530  
0.096 mi.  508 ft.  Site 7 of 10 in cluster C  
Relative:  
Higher  
Actual:  
69 ft.  
HAZNET:  
-vid:  S113043952  
Year:  1993  
GPEAID:  CAL000057048  
Contact:  DOUGHERTY TRUCK LINES  
Telephone:  5102346025  
Mailing Name:  Not reported  
Mailing Address:  10895 SAN PABLO AVENUE  
Mailing City,St,Zip:  EL CERRITO, CA  945300000  
Gen County:  Not reported  
TSD EPA ID:  CAD009452657  
TSD County:  Not reported  
Waste Category:  Unspecified organic liquid mixture  
Disposal Method:  Recycler  
Tons:  0.208499999999  
Facility County:  7  
ENV NET:  
-122.3104562  Longitude:  37.9168135  
CONTRA COSTA COUNTY  
Permitting Agency:  770131  
Facility ID:  UST:  770131  
CONTRA COSTA COUNTY  
Permitting Agency:  UST:  S113043952  
N/A  
C16  DOHERTY’S TRUCK & AUTO RENTALS  
SE  10895 SAN PABLO AVE  
< 1/8  EL CERRITO, CA  94530  
0.096 mi.  508 ft.  Site 8 of 10 in cluster C  
Relative:  
Higher  
Actual:  
69 ft.  
UST:  
Facility ID:  770131  
Permitting Agency:  CONTRA COSTA COUNTY  
Latitude:  37.9168135  
Longitude:  -122.3104562  
C17  DOHERTY’S RENTAL  
SE  10895 SAN PABLO AVE  
< 1/8  EL CERRITO, CA  94530  
0.096 mi.  508 ft.  Site 9 of 10 in cluster C  
Relative:  
Higher  
Actual:  
69 ft.  
LUST:  
Region:  STATE  
Global Id:  T0601300501  
Latitude:  37.9154229  
Longitude:  -122.312059  
Case Type:  Not reported  
Status:  Completed - Case Closed  
Status Date:  04/26/2002  
Lead Agency:  Not reported  
Case Worker:  BGS  
Local Agency:  Not reported  
RB Case Number:  07-0541  
LOC Case Number:  Not reported  
File Location:  Not reported  
Potential Media Affect:  Other Groundwater (uses other than drinking water)  
Potential Contaminants of Concern:  Gasoline  
Site History:  Not reported  
HIST CORTESE  
CONTRA COSTA CO. SITE LIST  
S102428661  
N/A  
HIST CORTESE  
CONTRA COSTA CO. SITE LIST  
TC4412365.1s  Page 32
### DOHERTY’S RENTAL (Continued)

Click here to access the California GeoTracker records for this facility:

#### Contact:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Global Id:</th>
<th>T0601300501</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Contact Type:</td>
<td>Regional Board Caseworker</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contact Name:</td>
<td>BARBARA SIEMINSKI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organization Name:</td>
<td>SAN FRANCISCO BAY RWQCB (REGION 2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Address:</td>
<td>1515 CLAY STREET, SUITE 1400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City:</td>
<td>OAKLAND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Email:</td>
<td><a href="mailto:sloyd@hsd.co.contra-costa.ca.us">sloyd@hsd.co.contra-costa.ca.us</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phone Number:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Status History:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Global Id:</th>
<th>T0601300501</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Status:</td>
<td>Completed - Case Closed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Status Date:</td>
<td>04/26/2002</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Global Id:</th>
<th>T0601300501</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Status:</td>
<td>Open - Case Begin Date</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Status Date:</td>
<td>08/29/1989</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Global Id:</th>
<th>T0601300501</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Status:</td>
<td>Open - Site Assessment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Status Date:</td>
<td>06/22/1994</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Global Id:</th>
<th>T0601300501</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Status:</td>
<td>Open - Site Assessment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Status Date:</td>
<td>07/01/1997</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Regulatory Activities:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Global Id:</th>
<th>T0601300501</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Action Type:</td>
<td>Other</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date:</td>
<td>08/29/1989</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Global Id:</th>
<th>T0601300501</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Action Type:</td>
<td>RESPONSE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date:</td>
<td>04/12/2002</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Global Id:</th>
<th>T0601300501</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Action Type:</td>
<td>Other Report / Document</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Global Id:</th>
<th>T0601300501</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Action Type:</td>
<td>Other</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date:</td>
<td>08/29/1989</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Global Id:</th>
<th>T0601300501</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Action Type:</td>
<td>Other</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
DOHERTY’S RENTAL (Continued)

Date: 08/29/1989
Action: Leak Stopped

Global Id: T0601300501
Action Type: RESPONSE
Date: 04/12/2002
Action: Preliminary Site Assessment Report

Global Id: T0601300501
Action Type: ENFORCEMENT
Date: 04/19/2002
Action: Site Visit / Inspection / Sampling

Global Id: T0601300501
Action Type: ENFORCEMENT
Date: 03/08/2002
Action: Staff Letter

Global Id: T0601300501
Action Type: ENFORCEMENT
Date: 12/12/2002
Action: Staff Letter

LUST REG 2:
Region: 2
Facility Id: 07-0541
Facility Status: Case Closed
Case Number: 70131
How Discovered: Tank Closure
Leak Cause: UNK
Leak Source: UNK
Date Leak Confirmed: 6/22/1994
Oversight Program: LUST
Prelim. Site Assessment Wokplan Submitted: Not reported
Preliminary Site Assessment Began: 7/1/1997
Pollution Characterization Began: Not reported
Pollution Remediation Plan Submitted: Not reported
Date Remediation Action Underway: Not reported
Date Post Remedial Action Monitoring Began: Not reported

SWEEPS UST:
Status: Not reported
Comp Number: 70131
Number: Not reported
Board Of Equalization: 44-002587
Referral Date: Not reported
Action Date: Not reported
Created Date: Not reported
Owner Tank Id: Not reported
SWRCB Tank Id: 07-000-070131-000001
Tank Status: Not reported
Capacity: 5000
Active Date: Not reported
Tank Use: M.V. FUEL
STG: PRODUCT
### DOHERTY’S RENTAL (Continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Content:</th>
<th>REG UNLEADED</th>
<th>Number Of Tanks:</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Status:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>Comp Number:</td>
<td>70131</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>Number Of Tanks:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Board Of Equalization:</td>
<td>44-002587</td>
<td>Referral Date:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Action Date:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>Created Date:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Owner Tank Id:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>SWRCB Tank Id:</td>
<td>07-000-070131-000002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tank Status:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>Capacity:</td>
<td>5000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active Date:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>Tank Use:</td>
<td>M.V. FUEL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STG:</td>
<td>PRODUCT</td>
<td>Content:</td>
<td>REG UNLEADED</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number Of Tanks:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### ENF:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region:</th>
<th>2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Facility Id:</td>
<td>221465</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agency Name:</td>
<td>Doherty’s Truck &amp; Auto Rental</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Place Type:</td>
<td>Facility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Place Subtype:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facility Type:</td>
<td>All other facilities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agency Type:</td>
<td>Privately-Owned Business</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># Of Agencies:</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Place Latitude:</td>
<td>37.915528</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Place Longitude:</td>
<td>-122.311602</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIC Code 1:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIC Desc 1:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIC Code 2:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIC Desc 2:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIC Code 3:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIC Desc 3:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAICS Code 1:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAICS Desc 1:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAICS Code 2:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Map ID</td>
<td>Direction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**DOHERTY’S RENTAL (Continued)**

- **NAICS Desc 2:** Not reported
- **NAICS Code 3:** Not reported
- **NAICS Desc 3:** Not reported
- **# Of Places:** 1
- **Source Of Facility:** Reg Meas
- **Design Flow:** Not reported
- **Threat To Water Quality:** Not reported
- **Complexity:** Not reported
- **Pretreatment:** Not reported
- **Facility Waste Type:** Not reported
- **Facility Waste Type 2:** Not reported
- **Facility Waste Type 3:** Not reported
- **Facility Waste Type 4:** Not reported
- **Program:** UST
- **Program Category1:** TANKS
- **Program Category2:** TANKS
- **# Of Programs:** 1
- **WDID:** 2 07-0541
- **Reg Measure Id:** 167688
- **Reg Measure Type:** Unregulated
- **Region:** 2
- **Order #:** Not reported
- **Npdes# CA#:** Not reported
- **Major-Minor:** Not reported
- **Npdes Type:** Not reported
- **Reclamation:** Not reported
- **Dredge Fill Fee:** Not reported
- **301H:** Not reported
- **Application Fee Amt Received:** Not reported
- **Status:** Never Active
- **Status Date:** 02/20/2013
- **Effective Date:** Not reported
- **Expiration/Review Date:** Not reported
- **Termination Date:** Not reported
- **WDR Review - Amend:** Not reported
- **WDR Review - Revise/Renew:** Not reported
- **WDR Review - Rescind:** Not reported
- **WDR Review - No Action Required:** Not reported
- **WDR Review - Pending:** Not reported
- **WDR Review - Planned:** Not reported
- **Status Enrollee:** N
- **Individual/General:** I
- **Fee Code:** Not reported
- **Direction/Voice:** Passive
- **Enforcement Id(EID):** 238709
- **Region:** 2
- **Order / Resolution Number:** UNKNOWN
- **Enforcement Action Type:** 13267 Letter
- **Effective Date:** 12/12/2001
- **Adoption/Issuance Date:** Not reported
- **Achieve Date:** Not reported
- **Termination Date:** Not reported
- **ACL Issuance Date:** Not reported
- **EPL Issuance Date:** Not reported
- **Status:** Active
- **Title:** Enforcement - 2 07-0541
- **Description:** Not reported
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>DOHERTY’S RENTAL (Continued)</strong></th>
<th><strong>S102428861</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Program: UST</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latest Milestone Completion Date: Not reported</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># Of Programs 1: 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Assessment Amount: 0.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial Assessed Amount: 0.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liability $ Amount: 0.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project $ Amount: 0.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liability $ Paid: 0.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project $ Completed: 0.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total $ Paid/Completed Amount: 0.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Region: 2                        | Facility Name: Doherty’s Truck & Auto Rental |
| Facility Id: 221465               | Place Type: Facility |
| Place Subtype: Not reported      | Facility Type: All other facilities |
| Facility Type: All other facilities | Agency Type: Privately-Owned Business |
| # Of Agencies: 1                 | Place Latitude: 37.915528 |
| Place Longitude: -122.311602      | Place Subtype: Facility |
| SIC Code 1: Not reported         | Place Type: Doherty’s Truck & Auto Rental |
| SIC Desc 1: Not reported         | Agency Name: 221465 |
| SIC Code 2: Not reported         | Facility Id: 2 |
| SIC Desc 2: Not reported         | Region: 0.00 |
| SIC Code 3: Not reported         | Total $ Paid/Completed Amount: 0.00 |
| SIC Desc 3: Not reported         | Program Type: UST |
| NAICS Code 1: Not reported       | Program Category: TANKS |
| NAICS Desc 1: Not reported       | # Of Programs: 1 |
| NAICS Code 2: Not reported       | WDID: 2 07-0541 |
| NAICS Desc 2: Not reported       | Reg Measure Id: 167688 |
| NAICS Code 3: Not reported       | Reg Measure Type: Unregulated |
| NAICS Desc 3: Not reported       | Region: 2 |
| # Of Places: 1                   | Order #: Not reported |
| Source Of Facility: Reg Meas     | Npdes# CA#: Not reported |
| Design Flow: Not reported        | Major-Minor: Not reported |
| Threat To Water Quality: Not reported | Npdes Type: Not reported |
| Complexity: Not reported         | Reclamation: Not reported |
| Pretreatment: Not reported       | Dredge Fill Fee: Not reported |
DOHERTY'S RENTAL (Continued)  S102428861

301H: Not reported
Application Fee Amt Received: Not reported
Status: Never Active
Status Date: 02/20/2013
Effective Date: Not reported
Expiration/Review Date: Not reported
Termination Date: Not reported
WDR Review - Amend: Not reported
WDR Review - Revise/Renew: Not reported
WDR Review - Rescind: Not reported
WDR Review - No Action Required: Not reported
WDR Review - Pending: Not reported
WDR Review - Planned: Not reported
Status Enrollee: N
Individual/General: I
Fee Code: Not reported
Direction/Voice: Passive
Enforcement Id(EID): 237769
Region: 2
Order / Resolution Number: UNKNOWN
Enforcement Action Type: 13267 Letter
Effective Date: 09/13/2001
Adoption/Issuance Date: Not reported
Achieve Date: Not reported
Termination Date: Not reported
ACL Issuance Date: Not reported
EPL Issuance Date: Not reported
Status: Historical
Title: Enforcement - 2 07-0541
Description: Not reported
Program: UST
Latest Milestone Completion Date: 11/28/2001
# Of Programs: 1
Total Assessment Amount: 0.00
Initial Assessed Amount: 0.00
Liability $ Amount: 0.00
Project $ Amount: 0.00
Liability $ Paid: 0.00
Project $ Completed: 0.00
Total $ Paid/Completed Amount: 0.00

HIST CORTESE:
Region: CORTESE
Facility County Code: 7
Reg By: LTNKA
Reg Id: 07-0541

CONTRA COSTA CO. SITE LIST:
Facility ID: 770131
Billing Status: INACTIVE, NON-BILLABLE
Program Status: CONTRA COSTA CO. SITE LIST
Program/Elements: HMBP: 1K-10K LBS, 0-19 EMPLOYEES
Region: CONTRA COSTA

Facility ID: 770131
Billing Status: INACTIVE, NON-BILLABLE
DOHERTY’S RENTAL (Continued)

Program Status: CONTRA COSTA CO. SITE LIST
Program/Elements: HWG: LESS THAN 5 TONS/YEAR
Region: CONTRA COSTA

Facility ID: 770131
Billing Status: INACTIVE, NON-BILLABLE
Program Status: CONTRA COSTA CO. SITE LIST
Program/Elements: UNDERGROUND STORAGE TANK SITE
Region: CONTRA COSTA

C18 DOHERTY’S TRUCK & AUTO RENTAL
SE 10895 SAN PABLO AVE
< 1/8
0.096 mi.
508 ft.
Site 10 of 10 in cluster C

Relative: Higher
Actual: 69 ft.

HAZNET:
envid: S113056196
Year: 2007
GEPAID: CAL000091582
Contact: BEATRICE DOHERTY/PRES-OWNER
Telephone: 5102346025
Mailing Name: Not reported
Mailing Address: 10895 SAN PABLO AVE
Mailing City,St,Zip: EL CERRITO, CA 94530
Gen County: Not reported
TSD EPA ID: CAD98087418
TSD County: Not reported
Waste Category: Unspecified oil-containing waste
Disposal Method: Discharge To Sewer/Potw Or Npdes(With Prior Storage--With Or Without Treatment)
Tons: 0.58
Facility County: Contra Costa

envid: S113056196
Year: 2003
GEPAID: CAL000091582
Contact: BEATRICE DOHERTY/PRES-OWNER
Telephone: 5102346025
Mailing Name: Not reported
Mailing Address: 10895 SAN PABLO AVE
Mailing City,St,Zip: EL CERRITO, CA 94530
Gen County: Not reported
TSD EPA ID: CAT00013352
TSD County: Not reported
Waste Category: Unspecified organic liquid mixture
Disposal Method: Recycler
Tons: 1.66
Facility County: Contra Costa

envid: S113056196
Year: 1997
GEPAID: CAL000091582
Contact: BEATRICE DOHERTY
Telephone: 5102346025
Mailing Name: Not reported
Mailing Address: 10895 SAN PABLO AVE
Mailing City,St,Zip: EL CERRITO, CA 94530

### DOHERTY'S TRUCK & AUTO RENTAL (Continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Elevation</th>
<th>Site Name</th>
<th>Gen County</th>
<th>TSD EPA ID</th>
<th>TSD County</th>
<th>Waste Category</th>
<th>Disposal Method</th>
<th>Tons</th>
<th>Facility County</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>HAZNET</td>
<td>STATE OF CA - DEPT. MOTOR VEHICLES</td>
<td>EL CERRITO, CA 00000</td>
<td>6400 MANILA AVENUE</td>
<td>S112856867</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>1/8</td>
<td>0.109 mi.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>HAZNET</td>
<td>STATE OF CA - DEPT. MOTOR VEHICLES</td>
<td>EL CERRITO, CA 00000</td>
<td>6400 MANILA AVENUE</td>
<td>S112856867</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>1/8</td>
<td>0.109 mi.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Click this hyperlink while viewing on your computer to access 4 additional CA_HAZNET: record(s) in the EDR Site Report.
### STATE OF CA - DEPT. MOTOR VEHICLES (Continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gen County:</th>
<th>Not reported</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TSD EPA ID:</td>
<td>CAL00027741</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TSD County:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waste Category:</td>
<td>Asbestos containing waste</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disposal Method:</td>
<td>Disposal, Land Fill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tons:</td>
<td>55.6248</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facility County:</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| evid:               | S112856867   |
| Year:               | 1994         |
| GEPAID:             | CAC000965344 |
| Contact:            | STATE OF CALIF/DMV |
| Telephone:          | 0000000000  |
| Mailing Name:       | Not reported |
| Mailing Address:    | 6400 MANILA AVENUE |
| Mailing City,St,Zip| EL CERRITO, CA 000000000 |
| Gen County:         | Not reported |
| TSD EPA ID:         | NYN20000044  |
| TSD County:         | Not reported |
| Waste Category:     | Polychlorinated biphenyls and material containing PCBs |
| Disposal Method:    | Recycler     |
| Tons:               | .9124        |
| Facility County:    | 7            |

| evid:               | S112856867   |
| Year:               | 1994         |
| GEPAID:             | CAC000965344 |
| Contact:            | STATE OF CALIF/DMV |
| Telephone:          | 0000000000  |
| Mailing Name:       | Not reported |
| Mailing Address:    | 6400 MANILA AVENUE |
| Mailing City,St,Zip| EL CERRITO, CA 000000000 |
| Gen County:         | Not reported |
| TSD EPA ID:         | NYN20000044  |
| TSD County:         | Not reported |
| Waste Category:     | Not reported |
| Disposal Method:    | Treatment, Incineration |
| Tons:               | .0000        |
| Facility County:    | 7            |

### EL CERRITO STEEL

**CONTRA COSTA CO. SITE LIST**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>20 North North</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 1/8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.112 mi.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>593 ft.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Relative:**
- Higher  

**Actual:**
- 62 ft.  

**CONTRA COSTA CO. SITE LIST:**

**Facility ID:** 772190  
**Billing Status:** ACTIVE, BILLABLE  
**Program Status:** CONTRA COSTA CO. SITE LIST  
**Program/Elements:** HMBP: LESS THAN 1000 LBS  
**Region:** CONTRA COSTA  

**Facility ID:** 772190  
**Billing Status:** INACTIVE, NON-BILLABLE  
**Program Status:** CONTRA COSTA CO. SITE LIST  
**Program/Elements:** HWG GENERAL  
**Region:** CONTRA COSTA
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site 1 of 3 in cluster D</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>LUST:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Region:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Global Id:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latitude:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Longitude:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case Type:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Status:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Status Date:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lead Agency:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case Worker:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Agency:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RB Case Number:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOC Case Number:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>File Location:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potential Media Affect:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potential Contaminants of Concern:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site History:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Click here to access the California GeoTracker records for this facility:

**Contact:**
- **Global Id:** T0601300718
- **Contact Type:** Local Agency Caseworker
- **Contact Name:** SUE LOYD
- **Organization Name:** CONTRA COSTA COUNTY
- **Address:** 4333 PACHECO BLVD.
- **City:** MARTINEZ
- **Email:** slloyd@hsd.co.contra-cost.ca.us
- **Phone Number:** Not reported

**Contact:**
- **Global Id:** T0601300718
- **Contact Type:** Regional Board Caseworker
- **Contact Name:** BARBARA SIEMINSKI
- **Organization Name:** SAN FRANCISCO BAY RWQCB (REGION 2)
- **Address:** 1515 CLAY STREET, SUITE 1400
- **City:** OAKLAND
- **Email:** bsieminski@waterboards.ca.gov
- **Phone Number:** Not reported

**Status History:**
- **Global Id:** T0601300718
  - **Status:** Open - Case Begin Date
  - **Status Date:** 12/07/1998

- **Global Id:** T0601300718
  - **Status:** Completed - Case Closed
  - **Status Date:** 05/19/2000
### COMMERCIAL (Continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Regulatory Activities:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Global Id:</td>
<td>T0601300718</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Action Type:</td>
<td>Other</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date:</td>
<td>12/07/1998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Action:</td>
<td>Leak Discovery</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Global Id:            | T0601300718 |
| Action Type:          | Other |
| Date:                 | 12/30/1998 |
| Action:               | Leak Reported |

| Global Id:            | T0601300718 |
| Action Type:          | Other |
| Date:                 | 12/07/1998 |
| Action:               | Leak Stopped |

### HIST CORTESE:

| Region:               | CORTESE |
| Facility County Code: | 7       |
| Reg By:               | LTNKA   |
| Reg Id:               | 07-0772 |

### CONTRA COSTA CO. SITE LIST:

| Facility ID:          | 772748 |
| Billing Status:       | INACTIVE, NON-BILLABLE |
| Program Status:       | CONTRA COSTA CO. SITE LIST |
| Program/Elements:     | UNDERGROUND STORAGE TANK SITE |
| Region:               | CONTRA COSTA |

---

**HAZNET**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Relative: Higher</th>
<th>Actual: 68 ft.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HAZNET:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>envid:</td>
<td>S112897358</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year:</td>
<td>1999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GEPAID:</td>
<td>CAC002105048</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contact:</td>
<td>ESTATE OF LAILA JAMMAL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telephone:</td>
<td>9256251616</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mailing Name:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mailing Address:</td>
<td>10879 SAN PABLO AVE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mailing City,St,Zip:</td>
<td>RICHMOND, CA 945300000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gen County:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TSD EPA ID:</td>
<td>CAL000161743</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TSD County:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waste Category:</td>
<td>Waste oil and mixed oil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disposal Method:</td>
<td>Transfer Station</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tons:</td>
<td>13.3440</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facility County:</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| envid:          | S112897358     |
| Year:           | 1999           |
| GEPAID:         | CAC002105048   |
ESTATE OF LAILA JAMMAL (Continued)  S112897358

Contact: ESTATE OF LAILA JAMMAL
Telephone: 9256251616
Mailing Name: Not reported
Mailing Address: 10879 SAN PABLO AVE
Mailing City,St,Zip: RICHMOND, CA 945300000
Gen County: Not reported
TSD EPA ID: CAT000646117
TSD County: Not reported
Waste Category: Contaminated soil from site clean-up
Disposal Method: Disposal, Land Fill
Tons: 15.1704
Facility County: 7

envid: S112897358
Year: 1998
GEPAID: CAC002105048
Contact: ESTATE OF LAILA JAMMAL
Telephone: 9256251616
Mailing Name: Not reported
Mailing Address: 10879 SAN PABLO AVE
Mailing City,St,Zip: RICHMOND, CA 945300000
Gen County: Not reported
TSD EPA ID: CAD0009452657
TSD County: Not reported
Waste Category: Unspecified oil-containing waste
Disposal Method: Recycler
Tons: .1751
Facility County: 7

envid: S112897358
Year: 1998
GEPAID: CAC002105048
Contact: ESTATE OF LAILA JAMMAL
Telephone: 9256251616
Mailing Name: Not reported
Mailing Address: 10879 SAN PABLO AVE
Mailing City,St,Zip: RICHMOND, CA 945300000
Gen County: Not reported
TSD EPA ID: CAT0000161743
TSD County: Not reported
Waste Category: Waste oil and mixed oil
Disposal Method: Transfer Station
Tons: 9.6535
Facility County: 7

envid: S112897358
Year: 1998
GEPAID: CAC002105048
Contact: ESTATE OF LAILA JAMMAL
Telephone: 9256251616
Mailing Name: Not reported
Mailing Address: 10879 SAN PABLO AVE
Mailing City,St,Zip: RICHMOND, CA 945300000
Gen County: Not reported
TSD EPA ID: CAD0009466392
TSD County: Not reported
Waste Category: Other empty containers 30 gallons or more
## ESTATE OF LAILA JAMMAL (Continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Disposal Method</th>
<th>Recycler</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tons</td>
<td>1.6000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facility County</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Click this hyperlink while viewing on your computer to access additional CA_HAZNET: detail in the EDR Site Report.

### D23 COMMERCIAL

- **Location:** 10879 SAN PABLO AVE, EL CERRITO, CA 94530
- **Distance:** < 1/8 mi.
- **Elevation:** 0.123 mi.
- **Site:** 647 ft., Site 3 of 3 in cluster D

### Site Status

- **Relative:** Higher
- **Actual:** 68 ft.

### Oversight Program

- LUST REG 2:
  - Region: 2
  - Facility Id: 07-0772
  - Facility Status: Case Closed
  - Case Number: 72748
  - How Discovered: Tank Closure
  - Leak Cause: UNK
  - Leak Source: UNK
  - Date Leak Confirmed: 4/5/1999
  - Oversight Program: LUST
  - Prelim. Site Assesment Wokplan Submitted: Not reported
  - Preliminary Site Assesment Began: Not reported
  - Pollution Characterization Began: Not reported
  - Pollution Remediation Plan Submitted: Not reported
  - Date Remediation Action Underway: Not reported
  - Date Post Remedial Action Monitoring Began: Not reported

### ENF:

- Region: 2
- Facility Id: 218137
- Agency Name: Commercial
- Place Type: Facility
- Place Subtype: Not reported
- Facility Type: All other facilities
- Agency Type: Privately-Owned Business
- # Of Agencies: 1
- Place Latitude: Not reported
- Place Longitude: Not reported
- SIC Code 1: Not reported
- SIC Desc 1: Not reported
- SIC Code 2: Not reported
- SIC Desc 2: Not reported
- SIC Code 3: Not reported
- SIC Desc 3: Not reported
- NAICS Code 1: Not reported
- NAICS Desc 1: Not reported
- NAICS Code 2: Not reported
- NAICS Desc 2: Not reported
- NAICS Code 3: Not reported
- NAICS Desc 3: Not reported
- # Of Places: 1
- Source Of Facility: Reg Meas
- Design Flow: Not reported
- Threat To Water Quality: Not reported
**COMMERCIAL (Continued)**

**Complexity:** Not reported
**Pretreatment:** Not reported
**Facility Waste Type:** Not reported
**Facility Waste Type 2:** Not reported
**Facility Waste Type 3:** Not reported
**Facility Waste Type 4:** Not reported
**Program:** UST
**Program Category1:** TANKS
**Program Category2:** TANKS
**# Of Programs:** 1
**WDID:** 2 07-0772
**Reg Measure Id:** 168523
**Reg Measure Type:** Unregulated
**Region:** 2
**Order #:** Not reported
**Npdes# CA#:** Not reported
**Major-Minor:** Not reported
**Npdes Type:** Not reported
**Reclamation:** Not reported
**Dredge Fill Fee:** Not reported
**301H:** Not reported
**Application Fee Amt Received:** Not reported
**Status:** Never Active
**Status Date:** 02/20/2013
**Effective Date:** 02/20/2013
**Expiration/Review Date:** Not reported
**Termination Date:** Not reported
**WDR Review - Amend:** Not reported
**WDR Review - Revise/Renew:** Not reported
**WDR Review - Rescind:** Not reported
**WDR Review - No Action Required:** Not reported
**WDR Review - Pending:** Not reported
**WDR Review - Planned:** Not reported
**Status Enrollee:** N
**Individual/General:** I
**Fee Code:** Not reported
**Direction/Voice:** Passive
**Enforcement Id(EID):** 236336
**Region:** 2
**Order / Resolution Number:** UNKNOWN
**Enforcement Action Type:** 13287 Letter
**Effective Date:** 01/12/2000
**Adoption/Issuance Date:** Not reported
**Achieve Date:** Not reported
**Termination Date:** Not reported
**ACL Issuance Date:** Not reported
**EPL Issuance Date:** Not reported
**Status:** Historical
**Title:** Enforcement - 2 07-0772
**Description:** Not reported
**Program:** UST
**Latest Milestone Completion Date:** Not reported
**# Of Programs1:** 1
**Total Assessment Amount:** 0.00
**Initial Assessed Amount:** 0.00
**Liability $ Amount:** 0.00
**Project $ Amount:** 0.00
COMMERCIAL (Continued)  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Liability $ Paid:</th>
<th>0.00</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project $ Completed:</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total $ Paid/Completed Amount:</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region:</th>
<th>2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Facility Id:</td>
<td>218137</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agency Name:</td>
<td>Commercial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Place Type:</td>
<td>Facility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Place Subtype:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facility Type:</td>
<td>All other facilities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agency Type:</td>
<td>Privately-Owned Business</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># Of Agencies:</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Place Latitude:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Place Longitude:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIC Code 1:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIC Desc 1:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIC Code 2:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIC Desc 2:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIC Code 3:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIC Desc 3:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAICS Code 1:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAICS Desc 1:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAICS Code 2:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAICS Desc 2:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAICS Code 3:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAICS Desc 3:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># Of Places:</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Source Of Facility:</td>
<td>Reg Meas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Design Flow:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Threat To Water Quality:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complexity:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pretreatment:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facility Waste Type:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facility Waste Type 2:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facility Waste Type 3:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facility Waste Type 4:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Program:</td>
<td>UST</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Program Category1:</td>
<td>TANKS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Program Category2:</td>
<td>TANKS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># Of Programs:</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WDID:</td>
<td>2 07-0772</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reg Measure Id:</td>
<td>168523</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reg Measure Type:</td>
<td>Unregulated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Region:</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Order #:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Npdes# CA#:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Major-Minor:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Npdes Type:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reclamation:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dredge Fill Fee:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>301H:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Application Fee Amt Received:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Status:</td>
<td>Never Active</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Status Date:</td>
<td>02/20/2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effective Date:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expiration/Review Date:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Termination Date:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
COMMERICAL (Continued)

WDR Review - Amend: Not reported
WDR Review - Revise/Renew: Not reported
WDR Review - Rescind: Not reported
WDR Review - No Action Required: Not reported
WDR Review - Pending: Not reported
WDR Review - Planned: Not reported
Status Enrollee: N
Individual/General: I
Fee Code: Not reported
Direction/Voice: Passive
Enforcement Id(EID): 236335
Region: 2
Order / Resolution Number: UNKNOWN
Enforcement Action Type: 13267 Letter
Effective Date: 09/02/1999
Adoption/Issuance Date: Not reported
Achieve Date: Not reported
Termination Date: Not reported
ACL Issuance Date: Not reported
EPL Issuance Date: Not reported
Status: Historical
Title: Enforcement - 2 07-0772
Description: Not reported
Program: UST
Latest Milestone Completion Date: Not reported
# Of Programs1: 1
Total Assessment Amount: 0.00
Initial Assessed Amount: 0.00
Liability $ Amount: 0.00
Project $ Amount: 0.00
Liability $ Paid: 0.00
Project $ Completed: 0.00
Total $ Paid/Completed Amount: 0.00

24 CNTRY OF CONTRA COSTA, BUILDING MAINT HAZNET S112923184
WWN 1001 S 57TH ST N/A
< 1/8 RICHMOND, CA  94804 0.125 mi.
660 ft.
Relative: Actual:
Lower 51 ft.

HAZNET:
envid: S112923184
Year: 2003
GEPAID: CAC002553739
Contact: ROYLAND HINDSMAN
Telephone: 9253137077
Mailing Name: Not reported
Mailing Address: 2467 WATERBIRD WY
Mailing City,St,Zip: MARTINEZ, CA 94553
Gen County: Not reported
TSD EPA ID: CAD982042475
TSD County: Not reported
Waste Category: Asbestos containing waste
Disposal Method: Disposal, Land Fill
Tons: 0.84
Facility County: Contra Costa

envid: S112923184
CNTY OF CONTRA COSTA, BUILDING MAINT (Continued)

Year: 2002
G EPAID: CAC002553739
Contact: ROYLAND HINDSMAN
Telephone: 9253137077
Mailing Name: Not reported
Mailing Address: 2467 WATERBIRD WY
Mailing City,St,Zip: MARTINEZ, CA 94553
Gen County: Not reported
TSD EPA ID: CAD982042475
TSD County: Not reported
Waste Category: Asbestos containing waste
Disposal Method: Disposal, Land Fill
Tons: 0.84
Facility County: Contra Costa

envid: S112923184
Year: 2002
G EPAID: CAC002553739
Contact: ROYLAND HINDSMAN
Telephone: 9253137077
Mailing Name: Not reported
Mailing Address: 2467 WATERBIRD WY
Mailing City,St,Zip: MARTINEZ, CA 94553
Gen County: Not reported
TSD EPA ID: CAD028409019
TSD County: Not reported
Waste Category: Other inorganic solid waste
Disposal Method: Transfer Station
Tons: 0.03
Facility County: Contra Costa

25
North
1/8-1/4
0.173 mi.
911 ft.
Relative:
Lower
Actual:
61 ft.

SWEEPS UST:
Status: Not reported
Comp Number: 8507
Number: Not reported
Board Of Equalization: Not reported
Referral Date: Not reported
Action Date: Not reported
Created Date: Not reported
Owner Tank Id: Not reported
SWRCB Tank Id: 07-000-008507-000001
Tank Status: Not reported
Capacity: 1000
Active Date: Not reported
Tank Use: M.V. FUEL
STG: PRODUCT
Content: REG UNLEADED
Number Of Tanks: 1

HIST UST:
Region: STATE
STATIC ELECTRIC, INC.  (Continued)

Facility ID: 00000008507
Facility Type: Other
Other Type: ELECTRICAL CONTRACTOR
Contact Name: DOUGLAS H. WATSON
Telephone: 4152330777
Owner Name: STATIC ELECTRIC, INC.
Owner Address: 1490 KEARNEY ST.
Owner City,St,Zip: EL CERRITO, CA 94530
Total Tanks: 0001

Tank Num: 001
Container Num: 257543
Year Installed: 1965
Tank Capacity: 00001000
Tank Used for: PRODUCT
Type of Fuel: UNLEADED
Container Construction Thickness: Not reported
Leak Detection: None

CONTRA COSTA CO. SITE LIST:
Facility ID: 708507
Billing Status: INACTIVE, NON-BILLABLE
Program Status: CONTRA COSTA CO. SITE LIST
Program/Elements: UNDERGROUND STORAGE TANK SITE
Region: CONTRA COSTA

E26
SE
10812 SAN PABLO AVE
EL CERRITO, CA 94530
0.201 mi.
1059 ft.
Site 1 of 6 in cluster E

Relative: Higher
Actual: 69 ft.

CONTRA COSTA CO. SITE LIST:
Facility ID: 707736
Billing Status: INACTIVE, NON-BILLABLE
Program Status: CONTRA COSTA CO. SITE LIST
Program/Elements: HMBP: 1K-10K LBS, 20+ EMPLOYEES
Region: CONTRA COSTA

Facility ID: 707736
Billing Status: INACTIVE, NON-BILLABLE
Program Status: CONTRA COSTA CO. SITE LIST
Program/Elements: HWG: REPORTED ZERO
Region: CONTRA COSTA

Facility ID: 707736
Billing Status: INACTIVE, NON-BILLABLE
Program Status: CONTRA COSTA CO. SITE LIST
Program/Elements: UNDERGROUND STORAGE TANK SITE
Region: CONTRA COSTA
ENVIROSTOR:
Facility ID: 7240025
Status: Certified
Site Code: 201395
Site Type: Voluntary Cleanup
Site Type Detailed: Voluntary Cleanup
Acres: 4.1
NPL: NO
Regulatory Agencies: SMBRP
Lead Agency: SMBRP
Program Manager: Ryan Miya
Supervisor: Denise Tsuji
Assembly: 15
Senate: 09
Special Program: Voluntary Cleanup Program
Restricted Use: NO
Site Mgmt Req: NONE SPECIFIED
Funding: Responsible Party
Longitude: -122.3080
APN: 503-010-005, 503-010-011, 503-020-005, 503-020-006, 503-121-001, 503-121-002, 503-130-016, 503130016
Past Use: MANUFACTURING - LUMBER/WOOD PRODUCTS
Potential COC: Arsenic Benzene Lead TPH-diesel TPH-MOTOR OIL
Confirmed COC: Arsenic Benzene Lead TPH-diesel TPH-MOTOR OIL
Potential Description: OTH, SOIL
Alias Name: Not reported
Alias Type: Not reported
Completed Info:
Completed Area Name: Not reported
Completed Sub Area Name: Not reported
Completed Document Type: Not reported
Completed Date: Not reported
Comments: Not reported
Future Area Name: Not reported
Future Sub Area Name: Not reported
Future Document Type: Not reported
Future Due Date: Not reported
Schedule Area Name: Not reported
Schedule Sub Area Name: Not reported
Schedule Document Type: Not reported
Schedule Due Date: Not reported
Schedule Revised Date: Not reported

VCP:
Facility ID: 7240025
Site Type: Voluntary Cleanup
Site Type Detail: Voluntary Cleanup
Site Mgmt. Req.: NONE SPECIFIED
EL CERRITO MILL AND LUMBER (Continued) S112889167

Acres: 4.1
National Priorities List: NO
Cleanup Oversight Agencies: SMBRP
Lead Agency: SMBRP
Lead Agency Description: DTSC - Site Cleanup Program
Project Manager: Ryan Miya
Supervisor: Denise Tsuji
Division Branch: Cleanup Berkeley
Site Code: 201395
Assembly: 15
Senate: 09
Special Programs Code: Voluntary Cleanup Program
Status: Certified
Status Date: 08/02/2004
Restricted Use: NO
Funding: Responsible Party
Lat/Long: 37.91460 / -122.3080
APN: 503-010-005, 503-010-011, 503-020-005, 503-020-006, 503-121-001, 503-121-002, 503-130-016, 503130016
Past Use: MANUFACTURING - LUMBER/WOOD PRODUCTS
Potential COC: 30001, 30003, 30013, 30024, 3002502
Confirmed COC: 30001,30003,30013,30024,3002502
Potential Description: OTH, SOIL
Alias Name: Not reported
Alias Type: Not reported
Completed Info:
Completed Area Name: Not reported
Completed Sub Area Name: Not reported
Completed Document Type: Not reported
Completed Date: Not reported
Comments: Not reported
Future Area Name: Not reported
Future Sub Area Name: Not reported
Future Document Type: Not reported
Future Due Date: Not reported
Schedule Area Name: Not reported
Schedule Sub Area Name: Not reported
Schedule Document Type: Not reported
Schedule Due Date: Not reported
Schedule Revised Date: Not reported

HAZNET:
envid: S112889167
Year: 1998
GEPAD: CAC001410848
Contact: JACK FREETHY
Telephone: 0000000000
Mailing Name: Not reported
Mailing Address: 10812 SAN PABLO
Mailing City,St,Zip: EL CERRITO, CA 945300000
Gen County: Not reported
TSD EPA ID: CAD009466392
TSD County: Not reported
Waste Category: Other empty containers 30 gallons or more
Disposal Method: Recycler
EL CERRITO MILL AND LUMBER (Continued)  S112889167

Tons:  .2500
Facility County:  7

E28  EL CERRITO MILL & LUMBER CO  HIST UST  U001596712
SE  10812 SAN PABLO AVE  N/A
1/8-1/4  EL CERRITO, CA  94530
0.201 mi.  N/A
1059 ft.  E28
Site 3 of 6 in cluster E
Relative:  Higher
Actual:  69 ft.

E29  MARSHALLS 0496  CONTRA COSTA CO. SITE LIST  S117047868
SE  10794 SAN PABLO AVE  N/A
1/8-1/4  EL CERRITO, CA  94530
0.222 mi.  N/A
1174 ft.  E29
Site 4 of 6 in cluster E
Relative:  Higher
Actual:  70 ft.

E30  S & T SERVICE  CONTRA COSTA CO. SITE LIST  S106229886
SSE  10793 SAN PABLO AVE  N/A
1/8-1/4  EL CERRITO, CA  94530
0.245 mi.  N/A
1291 ft.  E30
Site 5 of 6 in cluster E
Relative:  Higher
Actual:  67 ft.
### S & T SERVICE (Continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Status History:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Global Id:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Status:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Status Date:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Global Id:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Status:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Status Date:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Global Id:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Status:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Status Date:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Global Id:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Status:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Status Date:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Global Id:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Status:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Status Date:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Global Id:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Status:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Status Date:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contact:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Global Id:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contact Type:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contact Name:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organization Name:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Address:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Email:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phone Number:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contact:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Global Id:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contact Type:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contact Name:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organization Name:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Address:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Email:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phone Number:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Click here to access the California GeoTracker records for this facility:

**Status History:**
- Not reported

**Contact:**
- Global Id: T0601347816
- Contact Type: Local Agency Caseworker
- Contact Name: PAUL ANDREWS
- Organization Name: CONTRA COSTA CO. ENVIR. HEALTH DIV.
- Address: 4333 PACHECO BOULEVARD
- City: MARTINEZ
- Email: pandrews@hsd.co.contra-costa.ca.us
- Phone Number: Not reported

**Contact:**
- Global Id: T0601347816
- Contact Type: Regional Board Caseworker
- Contact Name: BARBARA SIEMINSKI
- Organization Name: SAN FRANCISCO BAY RWQCB (REGION 2)
- Address: 1515 CLAY STREET, SUITE 1400
- City: OAKLAND
- Email: bsieminski@waterboards.ca.gov
- Phone Number: Not reported

**Site History:**
- Gasoline
- Other Groundwater (uses other than drinking water)
- Not reported

**File Location:**
- Not reported

**LOC Case Number:** 07-0845

**RB Case Number:** Not reported

**Local Agency:** BGS

**Lead Agency:** Not reported

**Status History:**
- Open - Site Assessment
- Open - Case Begin Date
- Completed - Case Closed

**Status Date:**
- 03/04/2004
- 03/05/2004
- 03/29/2004
- 05/10/2004

**Status:**
- Completed - Case Closed
- Open - Case Begin Date
- Open - Site Assessment

**Organization Name:** BARBARA SIEMINSKI

**Contact Type:** Regional Board Caseworker

**Contact Name:** PAUL ANDREWS

**Address:** 4333 PACHECO BOULEVARD

**City:** MARTINEZ

**Email:** pandrews@hsd.co.contra-costa.ca.us

**Phone Number:** Not reported

**Site History:**
- Gasoline
- Other Groundwater (uses other than drinking water)
- Not reported

**File Location:**
- Not reported

**LOC Case Number:** 07-0845

**RB Case Number:** Not reported

**Local Agency:** BGS

**Lead Agency:** Not reported

**Status History:**
- Open - Site Assessment
- Open - Case Begin Date
- Completed - Case Closed

**Status Date:**
- 03/04/2004
- 03/05/2004
- 03/29/2004
- 05/10/2004

**Status:**
- Completed - Case Closed
- Open - Case Begin Date
- Open - Site Assessment

**Organization Name:** BARBARA SIEMINSKI

**Contact Type:** Regional Board Caseworker

**Contact Name:** PAUL ANDREWS

**Address:** 4333 PACHECO BOULEVARD

**City:** MARTINEZ

**Email:** pandrews@hsd.co.contra-costa.ca.us

**Phone Number:** Not reported

**Site History:**
- Gasoline
- Other Groundwater (uses other than drinking water)
- Not reported

**File Location:**
- Not reported
S & T SERVICE (Continued)

Regulatory Activities:

Global Id: T0601347816
Action Type: Other
Date: 12/16/2003
Action: Leak Discovery

Global Id: T0601347816
Action Type: ENFORCEMENT
Date: 05/26/2004
Action: Closure/No Further Action Letter

Global Id: T0601347816
Action Type: Other
Date: 02/09/2004
Action: Leak Reported

Global Id: T0601347816
Action Type: Other
Date: 12/16/2004
Action: Leak Stopped

Global Id: T0601347816
Action Type: ENFORCEMENT
Date: 05/18/2004
Action: * Verbal Communication

Global Id: T0601347816
Action Type: RESPONSE
Date: 07/02/2004
Action: Other Report / Document

Global Id: T0601347816
Action Type: ENFORCEMENT
Date: 04/02/2004
Action: Staff Letter

LUST REG 2:

Region: 2
Facility Id: 07-0845
Facility Status: Case Closed
Case Number: Not reported
How Discovered: Tank Closure
Leak Cause: UNK
Leak Source: UNK
Date Leak Confirmed: 3/29/2004
Oversight Program: LUST
Preliminary Site Assessment Began: 3/5/2004
Pollution Characterization Began: 5/10/2004
Pollution Remediation Plan Submitted: Not reported
Date Remediation Action Underway: Not reported
Date Post Remedial Action Monitoring Began: Not reported

CONTRA COSTA CO. SITE LIST:
Facility ID: 771097
S & T SERVICE (Continued)  

Billing Status: INACTIVE, NON-BILLABLE  
Program Status: CONTRA COSTA CO. SITE LIST  
Program/Elements: HWG: REPORTED ZERO  
Region: CONTRA COSTA  

---  

E31  
SSE  
10793 SAN PABLO AVE  
EL CERRITO, CA 94530  
0.245 mi.  
1291 ft.  
Site 6 of 6 in cluster E  
Relative: Higher  
Actual: 67 ft.  
CONTRA COSTA CO. SITE LIST:  

Facility ID: 773262  
Billing Status: ACTIVE, BILLABLE  
Program Status: CONTRA COSTA CO. SITE LIST  
Program/Elements: HMBP: 1K-10K LBS, 0-19 EMPLOYEES  
Region: CONTRA COSTA  

---  

32  
NNW  
11299 SAN PABLO AVENUE  
EL CERRITO, CA 94530  
0.260 mi.  
1371 ft.  
Relative: Lower  
Actual: 53 ft.  
ENVIROSTOR:  

Facility ID: 60001445  
Status: Active  
Status Date: 04/15/2011  
Site Code: 201907  
Site Type: Voluntary Cleanup  
Site Type Detailed: Voluntary Cleanup  
Acres: 2.32  
NPL: NO  
Regulatory Agencies: SMBRP  
Lead Agency: SMBRP  
Program Manager: Milly Pekke  
Supervisor: Daniel Murphy  
Division Branch: Cleanup Berkeley  
Assembly: 15  
Senate: 09  
Special Program: Not reported  
Restricted Use: NO  
Site Mgmt Req: NONE SPECIFIED  
Funding: Responsible Party  

---
### Map Findings

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Map ID</th>
<th>Direction</th>
<th>Distance</th>
<th>Elevation</th>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Database(s)</th>
<th>EPA ID Number</th>
<th>EDR ID Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Completed Info:**

- **Completed Area Name:** PROJECT WIDE
- **Completed Sub Area Name:** Not reported
- **Completed Document Type:** Fieldwork
- **Completed Date:** 03/01/2012
- **Comments:** The well was purged and sampled on March 1, 2012.

#### DEL NORTE CLEANERS (Continued)

| Latitude: | 37.92001 |
| Longitude: | -122.3156 |
| APN: | NONE SPECIFIED |
| Past Use: | DRY CLEANING |
| Potential COC: | Perchlorate Tetrachloroethylene (PCE) Trichloroethylene (TCE) Acetone Methylene chloride Toluene 1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene 1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene Xylenes |
| Confirmed COC: | 30017-NO 1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene Tetrachloroethylene (PCE) Acetone Methylene chloride Toluene Xylenes 1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene Trichloroethylene (TCE) |
| Potential Description: | OTH, SOIL, SV |
| Alias Type: | 201907 |
| Alias Name: | Project Code (Site Code) |
| Alias Name: | 60001445 |
| Alias Type: | Envirostor ID Number |

- **Completed Area Name:** PROJECT WIDE
- **Completed Sub Area Name:** Not reported
- **Completed Document Type:** Remedial Investigation Report
- **Completed Date:** 05/17/2012
- **Comments:** RI Report approved 5/17/2012 and recommended further investigations.

- **Completed Area Name:** PROJECT WIDE
- **Completed Sub Area Name:** Not reported
- **Completed Document Type:** Site Characterization Workplan
- **Completed Date:** 08/23/2012
- **Comments:** Workplan approved on 8/21/2012.

- **Completed Area Name:** PROJECT WIDE
- **Completed Sub Area Name:** Not reported
- **Completed Document Type:** Site Characterization Report
- **Completed Date:** 03/21/2013
- **Comments:** Volatile Organic Compound concentrations in soil gas and groundwater beneath the site continue to be of concern to DTSC as they may pose potential impacts to indoor-air quality. Therefore, the DTSC requests further investigation in the vicinity of the former dry-cleaners.

- **Completed Area Name:** PROJECT WIDE
- **Completed Sub Area Name:** Not reported
- **Completed Document Type:** Remedial Investigation Report
- **Completed Date:** 08/02/2012
- **Comments:** Approved.

- **Completed Area Name:** PROJECT WIDE
- **Completed Sub Area Name:** Not reported
- **Completed Document Type:** Fieldwork
- **Completed Date:** 12/18/2012
- **Comments:** Fieldwork was completed 12/18/2012

- **Completed Area Name:** PROJECT WIDE
- **Completed Sub Area Name:** Not reported
### DEL NORTE CLEANERS (Continued) | S109935669

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Completed Document Type</th>
<th>Completed Date</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Site Characterization Workplan</td>
<td>04/19/2013</td>
<td>This workplan proposes: (1) The collection of two soil gas samples near the former dry cleaning machine on the Site at 5 feet and 8.5 feet; The objective is to evaluate if PCE concentrations in soil gas are still at levels that pose a health risk via indoor air Vapor (2) The installation, development, and sampling of one additional groundwater monitoring well down gradient on the Site; to evaluate migration of contamination down gradient.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monitoring Report</td>
<td>09/17/2013</td>
<td>The revisions adequately address DTSC comments.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site Characterization Report</td>
<td>08/26/2013</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pilot Study/Treatability Workplan</td>
<td>09/26/2013</td>
<td>The revised plan adequately addressed DTSC comments.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fieldwork</td>
<td>01/20/2014</td>
<td>Groundwater monitoring well MW-6 and injection well IW-1 were installed on October 28 and 29, 2013, and all seven site wells were purged and sampled on December 19, 2013. New wells MW-6 and IW-1 were surveyed on January 7, 2014. Soil gas sampling was conducted on January 20, 2014. No document is required.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Remedial Investigation Report | 05/23/2014          | The soil gas sample collected at 5.0 feet in depth during this sampling event showed a PCE concentration (4,500 ug/m^3) which is above the environmental screening level (ESL) of 2,100 ug/m^3 for
DEL NORTE CLEANERS (Continued)

vapor intrusion concerns in commercial land use settings. In order to confirm this result, Gribil Associates will conduct another soil gas sampling event.

Completed Area Name: PROJECT WIDE
Completed Sub Area Name: Not reported
Completed Document Type: Annual Oversight Cost Estimate
Completed Date: 09/13/2012
Comments: Completed 9/13/2012

Completed Area Name: PROJECT WIDE
Completed Sub Area Name: Not reported
Completed Document Type: Annual Oversight Cost Estimate
Completed Date: 10/01/2014
Comments: Cost Estimates Cover Letter for FSY 2014/2015

Completed Area Name: PROJECT WIDE
Completed Sub Area Name: Not reported
Completed Document Type: Annual Oversight Cost Estimate
Completed Date: 11/15/2011
Comments: Completed November 15, 2011.

Completed Area Name: PROJECT WIDE
Completed Sub Area Name: Not reported
Completed Document Type: Annual Oversight Cost Estimate
Completed Date: 09/04/2013

Completed Area Name: PROJECT WIDE
Completed Sub Area Name: Not reported
Completed Document Type: Amendment - Order/Agreement
Completed Date: 09/17/2012
Comments: Completed.

Completed Area Name: PROJECT WIDE
Completed Sub Area Name: Not reported
Completed Document Type: Other Report
Completed Date: 05/13/2011
Comments: Not reported

Completed Area Name: PROJECT WIDE
Completed Sub Area Name: Not reported
Completed Document Type: Preliminary Endangerment Assessment Workplan
Completed Date: 06/16/2011
Comments: RP Draft was changed to RP final.

Completed Area Name: PROJECT WIDE
Completed Sub Area Name: Not reported
Completed Document Type: Remedial Investigation Workplan
Completed Date: 06/27/2011
Comments: Not reported

Completed Area Name: PROJECT WIDE
Completed Sub Area Name: Not reported
Completed Document Type: Remedial Investigation / Feasibility Study
Completed Date: 11/08/2011
### DEL NORTE CLEANERS (Continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Completed Area Name:</th>
<th>PROJECT WIDE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Completed Sub Area Name:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completed Document Type:</td>
<td>Fieldwork</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completed Date:</td>
<td>07/19/2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comments:</td>
<td>Fieldwork was completed.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Completed Area Name:</th>
<th>PROJECT WIDE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Completed Sub Area Name:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completed Document Type:</td>
<td>Site Characterization Report</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completed Date:</td>
<td>09/07/2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comments:</td>
<td>Review completed 9/8/2011</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Completed Area Name:</th>
<th>PROJECT WIDE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Completed Sub Area Name:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completed Document Type:</td>
<td>Remedial Investigation Workplan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completed Date:</td>
<td>02/22/2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comments:</td>
<td>Completed 2/22/2012</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Completed Area Name:</th>
<th>PROJECT WIDE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Completed Sub Area Name:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completed Document Type:</td>
<td>Voluntary Cleanup Agreement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completed Date:</td>
<td>04/28/2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comments:</td>
<td>The VCA has been signed by both parties.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Completed Area Name:</th>
<th>PROJECT WIDE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Completed Sub Area Name:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completed Document Type:</td>
<td>Monitoring Report</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completed Date:</td>
<td>09/04/2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comments:</td>
<td>DTSC requested for at least one more round of sampling to confirm that the vinyl chloride is degrading and that the chlorinated solvent concentrations remain below actionable levels.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Completed Area Name:</th>
<th>PROJECT WIDE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Completed Sub Area Name:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completed Document Type:</td>
<td>Fieldwork</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completed Date:</td>
<td>06/18/2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comments:</td>
<td>Fieldwork was on June 18, 2014</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Completed Area Name:</th>
<th>PROJECT WIDE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Completed Sub Area Name:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completed Document Type:</td>
<td>Pilot/Treatability Study Report</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completed Date:</td>
<td>09/12/2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comments:</td>
<td>Further investigations required due to increased soil gas concentrations.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Completed Area Name:</th>
<th>PROJECT WIDE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Completed Sub Area Name:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completed Document Type:</td>
<td>Monitoring Report</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completed Date:</td>
<td>03/27/2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comments:</td>
<td>DTSC recommends another round of sampling to include evidence of degradation of Vinyl chloride in groundwater.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Completed Area Name: | PROJECT WIDE |
DEL NORTE CLEANERS (Continued)

Completed Sub Area Name: Not reported
Completed Document Type: Pilot/Treatability Study Report
Completed Date: 09/12/2014
Comments: The most recent data showing increased soil vapor concentrations warrant further investigations.

Future Area Name: PROJECT WIDE
Future Sub Area Name: Not reported
Future Document Type: Certification
Future Due Date: 2016
Future Area Name: PROJECT WIDE
Future Sub Area Name: Not reported
Future Document Type: Removal Action Completion Report
Future Due Date: 2017
Schedule Area Name: PROJECT WIDE
Schedule Sub Area Name: Not reported
Schedule Document Type: Fact Sheets
Schedule Due Date: 12/14/2015
Schedule Revised Date: 07/15/2016
Schedule Area Name: PROJECT WIDE
Schedule Sub Area Name: Not reported
Schedule Document Type: CEQA - Notice of Exemption
Schedule Due Date: 01/05/2016
Schedule Revised Date: Not reported
Schedule Area Name: PROJECT WIDE
Schedule Sub Area Name: Not reported
Schedule Document Type: Voluntary Cleanup
Schedule Due Date: 12/28/2015
Schedule Revised Date: Not reported

VCP:
Facility ID: 60001445
Site Type: Voluntary Cleanup
Site Type Detail: Voluntary Cleanup
Site Mgmt. Req.: NONE SPECIFIED
Acres: 2.32
National Priorities List: NO
Cleanup Oversight Agencies: SMBRP
Lead Agency: SMBRP
Lead Agency Description: DTSC - Site Cleanup Program
Project Manager: Milly Pekke
Supervisor: Daniel Murphy
Division Branch: Cleanup Berkeley
Site Code: 201907
Assembly: 15
Senate: 09
Special Programs Code: Not reported
Status: Active
Status Date: 04/15/2011
Restricted Use: NO
Funding: Responsible Party
Lat/Long: 37.92001 / -122.3156
APN: NONE SPECIFIED
Past Use: DRY CLEANING
Potential COC: 30017, 30022, 30027, 30032, 30384, 30550, 30577, 30578, 30593
Confirmed COC: 30017-NO,30578,30022,30032,30384,30550,30593,30577,30027
Potential Description: OTH, SOIL, SV
Alias Name: 201907
Alias Type: Project Code (Site Code)
Alias Name: 60001445
Alias Type: Envirostor ID Number
Completed Info:
Completed Area Name: PROJECT WIDE
Completed Sub Area Name: Not reported
Completed Document Type: Fieldwork
Completed Date: 03/01/2012
Comments: The well was purged and sampled on March 1, 2012.

Completed Area Name: PROJECT WIDE
Completed Sub Area Name: Not reported
Completed Document Type: Remedial Investigation Report
Completed Date: 05/17/2012
Comments: RI Report approved 5/17/2012 and recommended further investigations.

Completed Area Name: PROJECT WIDE
Completed Sub Area Name: Not reported
Completed Document Type: Site Characterization Workplan
Completed Date: 08/23/2012
Comments: Workplan approved on 8/21/2012.

Completed Area Name: PROJECT WIDE
Completed Sub Area Name: Not reported
Completed Document Type: Site Characterization Report
Completed Date: 03/21/2013
Comments: Volatile Organic Compound concentrations in soil gas and groundwater beneath the site continue to be of concern to DTSC as they may pose potential impacts to indoor-air quality. Therefore, the DTSC requests further investigation in the vicinity of the former dry-cleaners.

Completed Area Name: PROJECT WIDE
Completed Sub Area Name: Not reported
Completed Document Type: Remedial Investigation Report
Completed Date: 08/02/2012
Comments: Approved.

Completed Area Name: PROJECT WIDE
Completed Sub Area Name: Not reported
Completed Document Type: Fieldwork
Completed Date: 12/18/2012
Comments: Fieldwork was completed 12/18/2012

Completed Area Name: PROJECT WIDE
Completed Sub Area Name: Not reported
Completed Document Type: Site Characterization Workplan
Completed Date: 04/19/2013
Comments: This workplan proposes: (1) The collection of two soil gas samples near the former dry cleaning machine on the Site at 5 feet and 8.5 feet; The objective is to evaluate if PCE concentrations in soil gas are still at levels that pose a health risk via indoor air Vapor (2)
The installation, development, and sampling of one additional groundwater monitoring well down gradient on the Site; to evaluate migration of contamination down gradient.

Completed Area Name: PROJECT WIDE
Completed Sub Area Name: Not reported
Completed Document Type: Monitoring Report
Completed Date: 09/17/2013
Comments: The revisions adequately address DTSC comments.

Completed Area Name: PROJECT WIDE
Completed Sub Area Name: Not reported
Completed Document Type: Fieldwork
Completed Date: 06/06/2013
Comments: No document required.

Completed Area Name: PROJECT WIDE
Completed Sub Area Name: Not reported
Completed Document Type: Site Characterization Report
Completed Date: 08/26/2013
Comments: Not reported

Completed Area Name: PROJECT WIDE
Completed Sub Area Name: Not reported
Completed Document Type: Pilot Study/Treatability Workplan
Completed Date: 09/26/2013
Comments: The revised plan adequately addressed DTSC comments.

Completed Area Name: PROJECT WIDE
Completed Sub Area Name: Not reported
Completed Document Type: Fieldwork
Completed Date: 01/20/2014
Comments: Groundwater monitoring well MW-6 and injection well IW-1 were installed on October 28 and 29, 2013, and all seven site wells were purged and sampled on December 19, 2013. New wells MW-6 and IW-1 were surveyed on January 7, 2014. Soil gas sampling was conducted on January 20, 2014. No document is required.

Completed Area Name: PROJECT WIDE
Completed Sub Area Name: Not reported
Completed Document Type: Monitoring Report
Completed Date: 06/13/2014
Comments: Report Approved June 13 2014

Completed Area Name: PROJECT WIDE
Completed Sub Area Name: Not reported
Completed Document Type: Remedial Investigation Report
Completed Date: 05/23/2014
Comments: The soil gas sample collected at 5.0 feet in depth during this sampling event showed a PCE concentration (4,500 ug/m^3) which is above the environmental screening level (ESL) of 2,100 ug/m^3 for vapor intrusion concerns in commercial land use settings. In order to confirm this result, Gribi Associates will conduct another soil gas sampling event.
DEL NORTE CLEANERS (Continued)  S109935669

Completed Document Type: Annual Oversight Cost Estimate  
Completed Date: 09/13/2012  
Comments: Completed 9/13/2012

Completed Area Name: PROJECT WIDE  
Completed Sub Area Name: Not reported  
Completed Document Type: Annual Oversight Cost Estimate  
Completed Date: 11/15/2011  
Comments: Completed November 15, 2011.

Completed Document Type: Annual Oversight Cost Estimate  
Completed Date: 09/04/2013  

Completed Area Name: PROJECT WIDE  
Completed Sub Area Name: Not reported  
Completed Document Type: Amendment - Order/Agreement  
Completed Date: 09/17/2012  
Comments: Completed.

Completed Document Type: Other Report  
Completed Date: 05/13/2011  
Comments: Not reported

Completed Area Name: PROJECT WIDE  
Completed Sub Area Name: Not reported  
Completed Document Type: Preliminary Endangerment Assessment Workplan  
Completed Date: 06/16/2011  
Comments: RP Draft was changed to RP final.

Completed Area Name: PROJECT WIDE  
Completed Sub Area Name: Not reported  
Completed Document Type: Remedial Investigation Workplan  
Completed Date: 06/27/2011  
Comments: Not reported

Completed Area Name: PROJECT WIDE  
Completed Sub Area Name: Not reported  
Completed Document Type: Remedial Investigation / Feasibility Study  
Completed Date: 11/08/2011  
Comments: Additional work was required therefore a workplan was submitted as a different activity-“Workplan to Install and Sample Groundwater Monitoring Well”.

Completed Area Name: PROJECT WIDE  
Completed Sub Area Name: Not reported
### DEL NORTE CLEANERS (Continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Completed Document Type</th>
<th>Completed Date</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fieldwork</td>
<td>07/19/2011</td>
<td>Fieldwork was completed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Wide</td>
<td>02/22/2012</td>
<td>Completed 2/22/2012.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monitoring</td>
<td>04/28/2011</td>
<td>The VCA has been signed by both parties.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remedial Investigation</td>
<td>09/04/2014</td>
<td>DTSC requested for at least one more round of sampling to confirm that the vinyl chloride is degrading and that the chlorinated solvent concentrations remain below actionable levels.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fieldwork</td>
<td>06/18/2014</td>
<td>Fieldwork was completed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pilot/Treatability Study Report</td>
<td>09/12/2014</td>
<td>Further investigations required due to increased soil gas concentrations.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pilot/Treatability Study Report</td>
<td>09/12/2014</td>
<td>DTSC recommends another round of sampling to include evidence of degradation of Vinyl chloride in groundwater.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pilot/Treatability Study Report</td>
<td>09/12/2014</td>
<td>The most recent data showing increased soil vapor concentrations warrant further investigations.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
DEL NORTE CLEANERS (Continued)

Future Area Name: PROJECT WIDE
Future Sub Area Name: Not reported
Future Document Type: Certification
Future Due Date: 2016
Future Area Name: PROJECT WIDE
Future Sub Area Name: Not reported
Future Document Type: Removal Action Completion Report
Future Due Date: 2017
Schedule Area Name: PROJECT WIDE
Schedule Sub Area Name: Not reported
Schedule Document Type: Fact Sheets
Schedule Due Date: 12/14/2015
Schedule Revised Date: 07/15/2016
Schedule Area Name: PROJECT WIDE
Schedule Sub Area Name: Not reported
Schedule Document Type: Public Notice
Schedule Due Date: 08/10/2015
Schedule Revised Date: 05/11/2016
Schedule Area Name: PROJECT WIDE
Schedule Sub Area Name: Not reported
Schedule Document Type: CEQA - Notice of Exemption
Schedule Due Date: 01/05/2016
Schedule Revised Date: Not reported
Schedule Area Name: PROJECT WIDE
Schedule Sub Area Name: Not reported
Schedule Document Type: Removal Action Workplan
Schedule Due Date: 12/28/2015
Schedule Revised Date: Not reported

DRYCLEANERS:
EPA Id: CAL000121968
NAICS Code: 81232
NAICS Description: Drycleaning and Laundry Services (except Coin-Operated)
SIC Code: 7211
SIC Description: Power Laundries, Family and Commercial
Create Date: 04/22/1994
Facility Active: No
Inactive Date: 06/30/2006
Facility Addr2: Not reported
Owner Name: AHMAD ENFERADI
Owner Address: 11299 SAN PABLO AVE SUITE G
Owner Address 2: Not reported
Owner Telephone: 5102334000
Contact Name: AHMAD ENFERADI
Contact Address: PO BOX 1227
Contact Address 2: Not reported
Contact Telephone: 5102334000
Mailing Name: Not reported
Mailing Address 1: 11299 SAN PABLO AVE SUITE G
Mailing Address 2: Not reported
Mailing City: EL CERRITO
Mailing State: CA
Mailing Zip: 945300000
Owner Fax: Not reported
Region Code: Not reported
**MAP FINDINGS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Map ID</th>
<th>Direction</th>
<th>Distance</th>
<th>Database(s)</th>
<th>EPA ID Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>F33</td>
<td>SE</td>
<td>1427 ft.</td>
<td>CONTRA COSTA CO. SITE LIST</td>
<td>1001610627</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### SUNSHINE CLEANERS & COIN LAUND

**Address:**
10750 SAN PABLO AVENUE
EL CERRITO, CA  94530

**Site Information:**
- **Facility ID:** 60002171
- **Status:** Active
- **Status Date:** 04/01/2015
- **Site Type:** Voluntary Cleanup
- **Site Type Detailed:** Voluntary Cleanup
- **Acres:** 0.38
- **NPL:** NO
- **Regulatory Agencies:** SMBRP
- **Lead Agency:** SMBRP
- **Program Manager:** Milly Pekke
- **Supervisor:** Daniel Murphy
- **Division Branch:** Cleanup Berkeley
- **Assembly:** , 15
- **Senate:** , 09
- **Special Program:** Not reported
- **Restricted Use:** NO
- **Site Mgmt Req:** NONE SPECIFIED
- **Funding:** Responsible Party
- **Latitude:** 37.91398
- **Longitude:** -122.3092
- **APN:** 503121022
- **Past Use:** DRY CLEANING, LAUNDRY SERVICES
- **Potential COC:**
  - Tetrachloroethylene (PCE TPH-gas) Trichloroethylene (TCE)
  - 1,2-Dichloroethylene (cis)
- **Confirmed COC:**
  - Tetrachloroethylene (PCE TPH-gas)
  - 1,2-Dichloroethylene (cis)
  - Trichloroethylene (TCE)
- **Potential Description:** IA, SOIL, SV
- **Alias Name:** 503121022
- **Alias Type:** APN
- **Alias Name:** 202033
- **Alias Type:** Project Code (Site Code)
- **Alias Name:** 60002171
- **Alias Type:** Envirostor ID Number

### Completed Info:
- **Completed Area Name:** Not reported
- **Completed Sub Area Name:** Not reported
- **Completed Document Type:** Not reported
- **Completed Date:** Not reported
- **Comments:** Not reported

### Future Access:
- **Future Area Name:** Not reported
- **Future Sub Area Name:** Not reported
- **Future Document Type:** Not reported
- **Future Due Date:** Not reported
- **Schedule Area Name:** Not reported
- **Schedule Sub Area Name:** Not reported
- **Schedule Document Type:** Not reported
- **Schedule Due Date:** Not reported
- **Schedule Revised Date:** Not reported
**SUNSHINE CLEANERS & COIN LAUND (Continued)**

**VCP:**
- Facility ID: 60002171
- Site Type: Voluntary Cleanup
- Site Type Detail: Voluntary Cleanup
- Site Mgmt. Req.: NONE SPECIFIED
- Acres: 0.38
- National Priorities List: NO
- Cleanup Oversight Agencies: SMBRP
- Lead Agency: SMBRP
- Lead Agency Description: DTSC - Site Cleanup Program
- Project Manager: Milly Pekke
- Supervisor: Daniel Murphy
- Division Branch: Cleanup Berkeley
- Site Code: 202033
- Assembly: , 15
- Senate: , 09
- Special Programs Code: Not reported
- Status: Active
- Status Date: 04/01/2015
- Restricted Use: NO
- Funding: Responsible Party
- Lat/Long: 37.91398 / -122.3092
- APN: 503121022
- Past Use: DRY CLEANING, LAUNDRY SERVICES
- Potential COC: 30022, 30025, 30027, 30195
- Confirmed COC: 30022,30025,30195,30027
- Potential Description: IA, SOIL, SV
- Alias Name: 503121022
- Alias Type: APN
- Alias Name: 202033
- Alias Type: Project Code (Site Code)
- Alias Name: 60002171
- Alias Type: Envirostor ID Number

**Completed Info:**
- Completed Area Name: Not reported
- Completed Sub Area Name: Not reported
- Completed Document Type: Not reported
- Completed Date: Not reported
- Comments: Not reported

**Future Area Name:** Not reported
**Future Sub Area Name:** Not reported
**Future Document Type:** Not reported
**Future Due Date:** Not reported
**Schedule Area Name:** Not reported
**Schedule Sub Area Name:** Not reported
**Schedule Document Type:** Not reported
**Schedule Due Date:** Not reported
**Schedule Revised Date:** Not reported

**DRYCLEANERS:**
- EPA Id: CAD076538016
- NAICS Code: 81232
- NAICS Description: Drycleaning and Laundry Services (except Coin-Operated)
- SIC Code: 7211
- SIC Description: Power Laundries, Family and Commercial
### SUNSHINE CLEANERS & COIN LAUND (Continued)

| Create Date: | 05/10/1983 |
| Facility Active: | No |
| Inactive Date: | 06/30/2005 |
| Facility Addr2: | Not reported |
| Owner Name: | KHONE PHOUMMETHEP & TONY WINSPEAR |
| Owner Address: | 1035 KEY ROUTE BLVD |
| Owner Address 2: | Not reported |
| Owner Telephone: | 5105267615 |
| Contact Name: | TONY WINSPEAR |
| Contact Address: | 1035 KEY ROUTE BLVD |
| Contact Address 2: | Not reported |
| Contact Telephone: | 5106040004 |
| Mailing Name: | Not reported |
| Mailing Address 1: | 1035 KEY ROUTE BLVD |
| Mailing Address 2: | Not reported |
| Mailing City: | ALBANY |
| Mailing State: | CA |
| Mailing Zip: | 94706 |
| Owner Fax: | Not reported |
| Region Code: | Not reported |

**EMI:**

| Year: | 1987 |
| County Code: | 7 |
| Air Basin: | SF |
| Facility ID: | 2178 |
| Air District Name: | BA |
| SIC Code: | 7216 |
| Air District Name: | BAY AREA AQMD |
| Community Health Air Pollution Info System: | Not reported |
| Consolidated Emission Reporting Rule: | Not reported |
### SUNSHINE CLEANERS & COIN LAUND (Continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>County Code</th>
<th>Air Basin</th>
<th>Facility ID</th>
<th>Air District Name</th>
<th>SIC Code</th>
<th>Air District Name</th>
<th>Community Health Air Pollution Info System</th>
<th>Consolidated Emission Reporting Rule</th>
<th>Total Organic Hydrocarbon Gases Tons/Yr</th>
<th>Reactive Organic Gases Tons/Yr</th>
<th>Carbon Monoxide Emissions Tons/Yr</th>
<th>NOX - Oxides of Nitrogen Tons/Yr</th>
<th>SOX - Oxides of Sulphur Tons/Yr</th>
<th>Particulate Matter Tons/Yr</th>
<th>Part. Matter 10 Micrometers &amp; Smllr Tons/Yr</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1990</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>SF</td>
<td>2178</td>
<td>BA</td>
<td>7216</td>
<td>BAY AREA AQMD</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1995</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>SF</td>
<td>2178</td>
<td>BA</td>
<td>7216</td>
<td>BAY AREA AQMD</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1996</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>SF</td>
<td>2178</td>
<td>BA</td>
<td>7216</td>
<td>BAY AREA AQMD</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### SUNSHINE CLEANERS & COIN LAUND (Continued)

<p>| Year          | County Code | Air Basin | Facility ID | Air District Name | SIC Code | Air District Name | Community Health Air Pollution Info System | Consolidated Emission Reporting Rule | Total Organic Hydrocarbon Gases Tons/Yr | Reactive Organic Gases Tons/Yr | Carbon Monoxide Emissions Tons/Yr | NOX - Oxides of Nitrogen Tons/Yr | SOX - Oxides of Sulphur Tons/Yr | Particulate Matter Tons/Yr | Part. Matter 10 Micrometers &amp; Smlr Tons/Yr |
|---------------|-------------|-----------|-------------|-------------------|----------|-------------------|---------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------------|
| 1997          | 7           | SF        | 2178        | BA                | 7216     | BAY AREA AQMD     | Not reported                               | Not reported                        | 0                               | 0                             | 0                              | 0                               | 0                           | 0                             |
| 1998          | 7           | SF        | 2178        | BA                | 7216     | BAY AREA AQMD     | Not reported                               | Not reported                        | 0                               | 0                             | 0                              | 0                               | 0                           | 0                             |
| 1999          | 7           | SF        | 2178        | BA                | 7216     | BAY AREA AQMD     | Not reported                               | Not reported                        | 0                               | 0                             | 0                              | 0                               | 0                           | 0                             |
| 2000          | 7           | SF        | 2178        | BA                |          |                  |                                             |                                     |                                  |                               |                                |                                |                              |                                |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Elevation</th>
<th>Database(s)</th>
<th>EPA ID Number</th>
<th>EDR ID Number</th>
<th>County Code</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Air Basin</th>
<th>Facility ID</th>
<th>Air District Name</th>
<th>SIC Code</th>
<th>Air District Name</th>
<th>Community Health Air Pollution Info System</th>
<th>Consolidated Emission Reporting Rule</th>
<th>Total Organic Hydrocarbon Gases Tons/Yr</th>
<th>Reactive Organic Gases Tons/Yr</th>
<th>Carbon Monoxide Emissions Tons/Yr</th>
<th>NOX - Oxides of Nitrogen Tons/Yr</th>
<th>SOX - Oxides of Sulphur Tons/Yr</th>
<th>Particulate Matter Tons/Yr</th>
<th>Part. Matter 10 Micrometers &amp; Smlr Tons/Yr</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>BAY AREA AQMD</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>BAY AREA AQMD</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>BAY AREA AQMD</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>BAY AREA AQMD</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>BAY AREA AQMD</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>BAY AREA AQMD</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**SUNSHINE CLEANERS & COIN LAUND** (Continued)
SUNSHINE CLEANERS & COIN LAUND (Continued) 1001610627

Reactive Organic Gases Tons/Yr: 0
Carbon Monoxide Emissions Tons/Yr: 0
NOX - Oxides of Nitrogen Tons/Yr: 0
SOX - Oxides of Sulphur Tons/Yr: 0
Particulate Matter Tons/Yr: 0
Part. Matter 10 Micrometers & Smllr Tons/Yr: 0

Year: 2004
County Code: 7
Air Basin: SF
Facility ID: 2178
Air District Name: BA
SIC Code: 7216
Air District Name: BAY AREA AQMD
Community Health Air Pollution Info System: Not reported
Consolidated Emission Reporting Rule: Not reported
Total Organic Hydrocarbon Gases Tons/Yr: 0.526
Reactive Organic Gases Tons/Yr: 0
Carbon Monoxide Emissions Tons/Yr: 0
NOX - Oxides of Nitrogen Tons/Yr: 0
SOX - Oxides of Sulphur Tons/Yr: 0
Particulate Matter Tons/Yr: 0
Part. Matter 10 Micrometers & Smllr Tons/Yr: 0

Year: 2005
County Code: 7
Air Basin: SF
Facility ID: 16695
Air District Name: BA
SIC Code: 7216
Air District Name: BAY AREA AQMD
Community Health Air Pollution Info System: Not reported
Consolidated Emission Reporting Rule: Not reported
Total Organic Hydrocarbon Gases Tons/Yr: 0
Reactive Organic Gases Tons/Yr: 0
Carbon Monoxide Emissions Tons/Yr: 0
NOX - Oxides of Nitrogen Tons/Yr: 0
SOX - Oxides of Sulphur Tons/Yr: 0
Particulate Matter Tons/Yr: 0
Part. Matter 10 Micrometers & Smllr Tons/Yr: 0

Year: 2006
County Code: 7
Air Basin: SF
Facility ID: 16695
Air District Name: BA
SIC Code: 7216
Air District Name: BAY AREA AQMD
Community Health Air Pollution Info System: Not reported
Consolidated Emission Reporting Rule: Not reported
Total Organic Hydrocarbon Gases Tons/Yr: 0.007
Reactive Organic Gases Tons/Yr: 0
Carbon Monoxide Emissions Tons/Yr: 0
NOX - Oxides of Nitrogen Tons/Yr: 0
SOX - Oxides of Sulphur Tons/Yr: 0
Particulate Matter Tons/Yr: 0
Part. Matter 10 Micrometers & Smllr Tons/Yr: 0
### SUNSHINE CLEANERS & COIN LAUND (Continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>2007</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>County Code</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air Basin</td>
<td>SF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facility ID</td>
<td>16695</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air District Name</td>
<td>BA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIC Code</td>
<td>7216</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air District Name</td>
<td>BAY AREA AQMD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Health Air Pollution Info System</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consolidated Emission Reporting Rule</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Organic Hydrocarbon Gases Tons/Yr</td>
<td>.067</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reactive Organic Gases Tons/Yr</td>
<td>.0468062</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbon Monoxide Emissions Tons/Yr</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOX - Oxides of Nitrogen Tons/Yr</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOX - Oxides of Sulphur Tons/Yr</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Particulate Matter Tons/Yr</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part. Matter 10 Micrometers &amp; Smllr Tons/Yr</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

### CONTRA COSTA CO. SITE LIST:

| Facility ID | 770367 |
| Billing Status | INACTIVE, NON-BILLABLE |
| Program Status | CONTRA COSTA CO. SITE LIST |
| Program/Elements | HMBP: 1K-10K LBS, 0-19 EMPLOYEES |
| Region | CONTRA COSTA |

---

### SILVERMAN/SAN PABLO AVENUE INVESTORS PROPERTIES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LUST</th>
<th>S106162402</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SSE</td>
<td>10734-10766 SAN PABLO AVENUE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/4/1/2</td>
<td>EL CERRITO, CA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.287 mi.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1516 ft.</td>
<td>Site 2 of 2 in cluster F</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Relative:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LUST:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lower Region: STATE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Global Id: T0601352645</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actual Latitude: 37.913823</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Longitude: -122.310238
Case Type: Not reported
Status: Completed - Case Closed
Status Date: 01/23/2004
Lead Agency: Not reported
Case Worker: BGS
Local Agency: Not reported
RB Case Number: 07-0840
LOC Case Number: Not reported
File Location: Regional Board
Potential Media Affect: Other Groundwater (uses other than drinking water)
Potential Contaminants of Concern: Diesel, Waste Oil / Motor / Hydraulic / Lubricating,
Tetrachloroethylene (PCE)
Site History: Not reported

Click here to access the California GeoTracker records for this facility:

Contact:
Global Id: T0601352645
Contact Type: Local Agency Caseworker
Contact Name: PAUL ANDREWS
Organization Name: CONTRA COSTA COUNTY
Address: 4333 PACHECO BOULEVARD
City: MARTINEZ
Email: pandrews@hsd.co.contra-cost.ca.us
Phone Number: 925-646-2286

Global Id: T0601352645
Contact Type: Regional Board Caseworker
Contact Name: BARBARA SIEMINSKI
Organization Name: SAN FRANCISCO BAY RWQCB (REGION 2)
Address: 1515 CLAY STREET, SUITE 1400
City: OAKLAND
Email: bsieminski@waterboards.ca.gov
Phone Number: Not reported

Status History:
Global Id: T0601352645
Status: Completed - Case Closed
Status Date: 01/23/2004

Global Id: T0601352645
Status: Open - Case Begin Date
Status Date: 08/11/2003

Global Id: T0601352645
Status: Open - Site Assessment
Status Date: 12/19/2003

Regulatory Activities:
Global Id: T0601352645
Action Type: Other
Date: 08/11/2003
Action: Leak Discovery

Global Id: T0601352645
Action Type: Other
SILVERMAN/SAN PABLO AVENUE INVESTORS PROPERTIES (Continued)  S106162402

Date: 09/04/2003
Action: Leak Reported

Global Id: T0601352645
Action Type: ENFORCEMENT
Date: 01/23/2004
Action: File Review - Closure

Global Id: T0601352645
Action Type: ENFORCEMENT
Date: 01/23/2004
Action: Closure/No Further Action Letter

LUST REG 2:
Region: 2
Facility Id: 07-0840
Facility Status: Case Closed
Case Number: Not reported
How Discovered: PST
Leak Cause: UNK
Leak Source: UNK
Date Leak Confirmed: 12/19/2003
Oversight Program: LUST
Prelim. Site Assessment Workplan Submitted: Not reported
Preliminary Site Assessment Began: Not reported
Pollution Characterization Began: 12/19/2003
Pollution Remediation Plan Submitted: Not reported
Date Remediation Action Underway: Not reported
Date Post Remedial Action Monitoring Began: Not reported

G35  SALKHI PETROLEUM INC DBA EL CERRITO CHEVRON  LUST  S110060428
NNW  11319 SAN PABLO AVE  HAZNET  N/A
1/4-1/2  EL CERRITO, CA  94530  HIST CORTESE
0.311 mi.  CONTRA COSTA CO. SITE LIST
1642 ft.  Site 1 of 5 in cluster G

Relative: Lower
Actual: 52 ft.

LUST:
Region: STATE
Global Id: T0601300049
Latitude: 37.921068
Longitude: -122.315764
Case Type: Not reported
Status: Completed - Case Closed
Status Date: 06/20/2001
Lead Agency: Not reported
Case Worker: BGS
Local Agency: Not reported
RB Case Number: 07-0052
LOC Case Number: Not reported
File Location: Not reported
Potential Media Affect: Other Groundwater (uses other than drinking water)
Potential Contaminants of Concern: Gasoline
Site History: Not reported

Click here to access the California GeoTracker records for this facility:
SALKHI PETROLEUM INC DBA EL CERRITO CHEVRON  (Continued)

Contact:
Global Id: T0601300049
Contact Type: Regional Board Caseworker
Contact Name: BARBARA SIEMINSKI
Organization Name: SAN FRANCISCO BAY RWQCB (REGION 2)
Address: 1515 CLAY STREET, SUITE 1400
City: OAKLAND
Email: bsieminski@waterboards.ca.gov
Phone Number: Not reported

Global Id: T0601300049
Contact Type: Local Agency Caseworker
Contact Name: SUE LOYD
Organization Name: CONTRA COSTA COUNTY
Address: 4333 PACHECO BLVD.
City: MARTINEZ
Email: sloyd@hsd.co.contra-costa.ca.us
Phone Number: Not reported

Status History:
Global Id: T0601300049
Status: Completed - Case Closed
Status Date: 06/20/2001

Global Id: T0601300049
Status: Open - Case Begin Date
Status Date: 02/03/1998

Global Id: T0601300049
Status: Open - Site Assessment
Status Date: 03/10/1995

Global Id: T0601300049
Status: Open - Site Assessment
Status Date: 02/15/1996

Global Id: T0601300049
Status: Open - Verification Monitoring
Status Date: 10/25/1995

Regulatory Activities:
Global Id: T0601300049
Action Type: ENFORCEMENT
Date: 03/11/1997
Action: * Historical Enforcement

Global Id: T0601300049
Action Type: Other
Date: 11/30/1994
Action: Leak Stopped

Global Id: T0601300049
Action Type: Other
Date: 02/03/1988
Action: Leak Reported
SALKHI PETROLEUM INC DBA EL CERRITO CHEVRON (Continued)

Global Id: T0601300049
Action Type: Other
Date: 02/03/1988
Action: Leak Discovery

HAZNET:
envid: S110060428
Year: 2013
GPEAID: CAL000384704
Contact: ARASH SALKHI
Telephone: 4157104004
Mailing Name: Not reported
Mailing Address: 7474 REDWOOD BLVD
Mailing City,St,Zip: NOVATO, CA 94945
Gen County: Contra Costa
TSD EPA ID: CAT080013352
TSD County: Los Angeles
Waste Category: Not reported
Disposal Method: Other Recovery Of Reclamation For Reuse Including Acid Regeneration, Organics Recovery Ect
Tons: 0.6255
Facility County: Not reported

HIST CORTESE:
Region: CORTESE
Facility County Code: 7
Reg By: LTNKA
Reg Id: 07-0052

CONTRA COSTA CO. SITE LIST:
Facility ID: 762940
Billing Status: ACTIVE, BILLABLE
Program Status: CONTRA COSTA CO. SITE LIST
Program/Elements: HMBP: >100K-250K LBS, 0-19 EMPLOYEES
Region: CONTRA COSTA

Facility ID: 762940
Billing Status: ACTIVE, BILLABLE
Program Status: CONTRA COSTA CO. SITE LIST
Program/Elements: HWG: REPORTED ZERO
Region: CONTRA COSTA

Facility ID: 762940
Billing Status: ACTIVE, BILLABLE
Program Status: CONTRA COSTA CO. SITE LIST
Program/Elements: UNDERGROUND STORAGE TANK SITE
Region: CONTRA COSTA
Site 2 of 5 in cluster G

Relative: Lower

Actual: 52 ft.

SWEEPS UST:
Status: Active
Comp Number: 62940
Number: 2
Board Of Equalization: 44-031913
Referral Date: 12-08-92
Action Date: 03-08-93
Created Date: 07-22-88
Owner Tank Id: 4
SWRCB Tank Id: 07-000-062940-000001
Tank Status: A
Capacity: 1000
Active Date: 06-19-92
Tank Use: OIL
STG: W
Content: WASTE OIL
Number Of Tanks: 4

Status: Active
Comp Number: 62940
Number: 2
Board Of Equalization: 44-031913
Referral Date: 12-08-92
Action Date: 03-08-93
Created Date: 07-22-88
Owner Tank Id: 1
SWRCB Tank Id: 07-000-062940-000002
Tank Status: A
Capacity: 10000
Active Date: 12-08-92
Tank Use: M.V. FUEL
STG: P
Content: REG UNLEADED
Number Of Tanks: Not reported

Status: Active

SWEEPS UST:
Status: Active
Comp Number: 62940
Number: 2
Board Of Equalization: 44-031913
Referral Date: 12-08-92
Action Date: 03-08-93
Created Date: 07-22-88
Owner Tank Id: 4
SWRCB Tank Id: 07-000-062940-000001
Tank Status: A
Capacity: 1000
Active Date: 06-19-92
Tank Use: OIL
STG: W
Content: WASTE OIL
Number Of Tanks: 4

Status: Active
Comp Number: 62940
Number: 2
Board Of Equalization: 44-031913
Referral Date: 12-08-92
Action Date: 03-08-93
Created Date: 07-22-88
Owner Tank Id: 1
SWRCB Tank Id: 07-000-062940-000002
Tank Status: A
Capacity: 10000
Active Date: 12-08-92
Tank Use: M.V. FUEL
STG: P
Content: REG UNLEADED
Number Of Tanks: Not reported

Status: Active

SWEEPS UST:
Status: Active
Comp Number: 62940
Number: 2
Board Of Equalization: 44-031913
Referral Date: 12-08-92
Action Date: 03-08-93
Created Date: 07-22-88
Owner Tank Id: 4
SWRCB Tank Id: 07-000-062940-000001
Tank Status: A
Capacity: 1000
Active Date: 06-19-92
Tank Use: OIL
STG: W
Content: WASTE OIL
Number Of Tanks: 4

Status: Active
Comp Number: 62940
Number: 2
Board Of Equalization: 44-031913
Referral Date: 12-08-92
Action Date: 03-08-93
Created Date: 07-22-88
Owner Tank Id: 1
SWRCB Tank Id: 07-000-062940-000002
Tank Status: A
Capacity: 10000
Active Date: 12-08-92
Tank Use: M.V. FUEL
STG: P
Content: REG UNLEADED
Number Of Tanks: Not reported

Status: Active

SWEEPS UST:
Status: Active
Comp Number: 62940
Number: 2
Board Of Equalization: 44-031913
Referral Date: 12-08-92
Action Date: 03-08-93
Created Date: 07-22-88
Owner Tank Id: 4
SWRCB Tank Id: 07-000-062940-000001
Tank Status: A
Capacity: 1000
Active Date: 06-19-92
Tank Use: OIL
STG: W
Content: WASTE OIL
Number Of Tanks: 4

Status: Active
Comp Number: 62940
Number: 2
Board Of Equalization: 44-031913
Referral Date: 12-08-92
Action Date: 03-08-93
Created Date: 07-22-88
Owner Tank Id: 1
SWRCB Tank Id: 07-000-062940-000002
Tank Status: A
Capacity: 10000
Active Date: 12-08-92
Tank Use: M.V. FUEL
STG: P
Content: REG UNLEADED
Number Of Tanks: Not reported

Status: Active

SWEEPS UST:
Status: Active
Comp Number: 62940
Number: 2
Board Of Equalization: 44-031913
Referral Date: 12-08-92
Action Date: 03-08-93
Created Date: 07-22-88
Owner Tank Id: 4
SWRCB Tank Id: 07-000-062940-000001
Tank Status: A
Capacity: 1000
Active Date: 06-19-92
Tank Use: OIL
STG: W
Content: WASTE OIL
Number Of Tanks: 4

Status: Active
Comp Number: 62940
Number: 2
Board Of Equalization: 44-031913
Referral Date: 12-08-92
Action Date: 03-08-93
Created Date: 07-22-88
Owner Tank Id: 1
SWRCB Tank Id: 07-000-062940-000002
Tank Status: A
Capacity: 10000
Active Date: 12-08-92
Tank Use: M.V. FUEL
STG: P
Content: REG UNLEADED
Number Of Tanks: Not reported

Status: Active

SWEEPS UST:
Status: Active
Comp Number: 62940
Number: 2
Board Of Equalization: 44-031913
Referral Date: 12-08-92
Action Date: 03-08-93
Created Date: 07-22-88
Owner Tank Id: 4
SWRCB Tank Id: 07-000-062940-000001
Tank Status: A
Capacity: 1000
Active Date: 06-19-92
Tank Use: OIL
STG: W
Content: WASTE OIL
Number Of Tanks: 4

Status: Active
Comp Number: 62940
Number: 2
Board Of Equalization: 44-031913
Referral Date: 12-08-92
Action Date: 03-08-93
Created Date: 07-22-88
Owner Tank Id: 1
SWRCB Tank Id: 07-000-062940-000002
Tank Status: A
Capacity: 10000
Active Date: 12-08-92
Tank Use: M.V. FUEL
STG: P
Content: REG UNLEADED
Number Of Tanks: Not reported

Status: Active
CHEVRON #6967 (Continued)

Comp Number: 62940
Number: 2
Board Of Equalization: 44-031913
Referral Date: 12-08-92
Action Date: 03-08-93
Created Date: 07-22-88
Owner Tank Id: 2
SWRCB Tank Id: 07-000-062940-000003
Tank Status: A
Capacity: 10000
Active Date: 12-08-92
Tank Use: M.V. FUEL
STG: P
Content: PRM UNLEADED
Number Of Tanks: Not reported

Status: Active
Comp Number: 62940
Number: 2
Board Of Equalization: 44-031913
Referral Date: 12-08-92
Action Date: 03-08-93
Created Date: 07-22-88
Owner Tank Id: 3
SWRCB Tank Id: 07-000-062940-000004
Tank Status: A
Capacity: 10000
Active Date: 12-08-92
Tank Use: M.V. FUEL
STG: P
Content: PRM UNLEADED
Number Of Tanks: Not reported

ENVIROSTOR: Facility ID: 60001942
Status: No Further Action
Status Date: 02/13/2014
Site Code: 201989
Site Type: Voluntary Cleanup
Site Type Detailed: Voluntary Cleanup
Acres: 1
NPL: NO
Regulatory Agencies: SMBRP
Lead Agency: SMBRP
Program Manager: Patrick Hsieh
Supervisor: Daniel Murphy
Division Branch: Cleanup Berkeley
Assembly: 15
Senate: 09
Special Program: Not reported
Restricted Use: NO
Site Mgmt Req: NONE SPECIFIED
OHLONE GARDENS (Continued)

Funding: Responsible Party
Latitude: 37.91372
Longitude: -122.3081
APN: 503121019, 503121020
Past Use: RESIDENTIAL AREA, VEHICLE MAINTENANCE
Potential COC: Lead TPH-diesel TPH-MOTOR OIL, 1,2-Dichloroethane (EDC)
Confirmed COC: Lead 1,2,3-Trichloropropane TPH-diesel 1,2-Dichloroethane (EDC)
TPH-MOTOR OIL
Potential Description: OTH, SOIL
Alias Name: 503121019
Alias Type: APN
Alias Name: 503121020
Alias Type: APN
Alias Name: 201989
Alias Type: Project Code (Site Code)
Alias Name: 60001942
Alias Type: Envirostor ID Number

Completed Area Name: PROJECT WIDE
Completed Sub Area Name: Not reported
Completed Document Type: Application
Completed Date: 11/04/2013
Comments: Property owner’s application to DTSC and the Regional Waterboard requesting agency oversight of cleanup activities. Application lists site background information, history, known contamination, oversight services requested and planned use of the property.

Completed Area Name: PROJECT WIDE
Completed Sub Area Name: Not reported
Completed Document Type: Preliminary Endangerment Assessment Report
Completed Date: 02/13/2014
Comments: Not reported

Completed Area Name: PROJECT WIDE
Completed Sub Area Name: Not reported
Completed Document Type: Voluntary Cleanup Agreement Termination Notification
Completed Date: 06/03/2014
Comments: Not reported

Completed Area Name: PROJECT WIDE
Completed Sub Area Name: Not reported
Completed Document Type: Correspondence
Completed Date: 11/05/2013
Comments: DTSC letter to property owner informing them that DTSC and the Waterboards have received their application and have determined that DTSC will be the lead agency.

Completed Area Name: PROJECT WIDE
Completed Sub Area Name: Not reported
Completed Document Type: Voluntary Cleanup Agreement
Completed Date: 11/26/2013
Comments: Voluntary Cleanup Agreement between DTSC and property owner. The scope of the agreement is a DTSC review of the information and sampling data available at the site followed by a determination by DTSC if additional cleanup investigation or work is needed. DTSC and the proponent will then hold a scoping meeting to discuss what work

Completed Date: 11/26/2013
Completed Document Type: Voluntary Cleanup Agreement
Completed Sub Area Name: Not reported
Completed Area Name: PROJECT WIDE

will be needed and how it will be carried out.

Future Area Name: Not reported
Future Sub Area Name: Not reported
Future Document Type: Not reported
Future Due Date: Not reported
Schedule Area Name: Not reported
Schedule Sub Area Name: Not reported
Schedule Document Type: Not reported
Schedule Due Date: Not reported
Schedule Revised Date: Not reported

VCP:
Facility ID: 60001942
Site Type: Voluntary Cleanup
Site Type Detail: Voluntary Cleanup
Site Mgmt. Req.: NONE SPECIFIED
Acres: 1
National Priorities List: NO
Cleanup Oversight Agencies: SMBRP
Lead Agency: SMBRP
Lead Agency Description: DTSC - Site Cleanup Program
Project Manager: Patrick Hsieh
Supervisor: Daniel Murphy
Division Branch: Cleanup Berkeley
Site Code: 201989
Assembly: 15
Senate: 09
Special Programs Code: Not reported
Status: No Further Action
Status Date: 02/13/2014
Restricted Use: NO
Funding: Responsible Party
Lat/Long: 37.91372 / -122.3081
APN: 503121019, 503121020
Past Use: RESIDENTIAL AREA, VEHICLE MAINTENANCE
Potential COC: 30013, 30024, 3002502, 30193, 30571
Confirmed COC: 30013,30024,3002502,30193,30571
Potential Description: OTH, SOIL
Alias Name: 503121019, 503121020
Alias Type: APN
Alias Name: 503121019
Alias Type: APN
Alias Name: 503121020
Alias Type: APN
Alias Name: 201989
Alias Type: Project Code (Site Code)
Alias Name: 60001942
Alias Type: Envirostor ID Number
Completed Info:
Completed Area Name: PROJECT WIDE
Completed Sub Area Name: Not reported
Completed Document Type: Application
Completed Date: 11/04/2013
Comments: Property owner's application to DTSC and the Regional Waterboard requesting agency oversight of cleanup activities. Application lists site background information, history, known contamination, oversight services requested and planned use of the property.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Completed Area Name:</th>
<th>PROJECT WIDE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Completed Sub Area Name:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completed Document Type:</td>
<td>Preliminary Endangerment Assessment Report</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completed Date:</td>
<td>02/13/2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comments:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Completed Area Name:</th>
<th>PROJECT WIDE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Completed Sub Area Name:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completed Document Type:</td>
<td>Voluntary Cleanup Agreement Termination Notification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completed Date:</td>
<td>06/03/2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comments:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Completed Area Name:</th>
<th>PROJECT WIDE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Completed Sub Area Name:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completed Document Type:</td>
<td>Correspondence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completed Date:</td>
<td>11/05/2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comments:</td>
<td>DTSC letter to property owner informing them that DTSC and the Waterboards have received their application and have determined that DTSC will be the lead agency.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Future Area Name:</th>
<th>Not reported</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Future Sub Area Name:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Future Document Type:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Future Due Date:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schedule Area Name:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schedule Sub Area Name:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schedule Document Type:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schedule Due Date:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schedule Revised Date:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HAZNET:
- envid: S114002228
- Year: 2013
- GEPaid: CAC002754239
- Contact: OHLONE GARDENS LP
- Telephone: 5109444110
- Mailing Name: Not reported
- Mailing Address: 2220 OXFORD ST
- Mailing City, St, Zip: BERKELEY, CA 94704
- Gen County: Contra Costa
- TSD EPA ID: CAD982042475
- TSD County: Solano
- Waste Category: Not reported
- Disposal Method: Landfill Or Surface Impoundment That Will Be Closed As Landfill(To Include On-Site Treatment And/Or Stabilization)
- Tons: 10
### OHLONE GARDENS (Continued)

Facility County: Not reported

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Map ID</th>
<th>Direction</th>
<th>Distance</th>
<th>Elevation</th>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Database(s)</th>
<th>EPA ID Number</th>
<th>Map ID</th>
<th>Direction</th>
<th>Distance</th>
<th>Elevation</th>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Database(s)</th>
<th>EPA ID Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>G38</td>
<td>NW</td>
<td>0.321 mi.</td>
<td>Relative:</td>
<td>Site 3 of 5 in cluster G</td>
<td>SLIC</td>
<td>S106234936</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1696 ft.</td>
<td>Actual:</td>
<td>49 ft.</td>
<td>SLIC REG 2:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Region:</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Facility ID:</td>
<td>07S0161</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Facility Status:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Date Closed:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Local Case #:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>How Discovered:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Leak Cause:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Leak Source:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Date Confirmed:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Date Prelim Site Assmnt Workplan Submitted:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Date Preliminary Site Assessment Began:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Date Pollution Characterization Began:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Date Remediation Plan Submitted:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Date Remedial Action Underway:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Date Post Remedial Action Monitoring Began:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### A&N COMP DRYCLEANERS

Facility County: STATE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region:</th>
<th>Status Date:</th>
<th>Global Id:</th>
<th>Lead Agency:</th>
<th>Lead Agency Case Number:</th>
<th>Latitude:</th>
<th>Longitude:</th>
<th>Case Type:</th>
<th>Case Worker:</th>
<th>Local Agency:</th>
<th>RB Case Number:</th>
<th>File Location:</th>
<th>Potential Media Affected:</th>
<th>Potential Contaminants of Concern:</th>
<th>Site History:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>STATE</td>
<td>05/01/2003</td>
<td>SL0601385412</td>
<td>SAN FRANCISCO BAY RWQCB (REGION 2)</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>37.921189</td>
<td>-122.316482</td>
<td>Cleanup Program Site</td>
<td>UUU</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>07S0161</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>Other Groundwater (uses other than drinking water)</td>
<td>Tetrachloroethylene (PCE)</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Click here to access the California GeoTracker records for this facility:

RCRA NonGen / NLR: 1000108397

Date form received by agency: 02/02/1987

Facility name: A&N COMP DRYCLEANERS

Facility address: 6109 POTRERO AVE

EL CERRITO, CA 94530
A&N COMP DRYCLEANERS (Continued)

EPA ID: CAD981966120
Mailing address: POTRERO AVE
Contact: ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGER
Contact address: 6109 POTRERO AVE
Contact country: US
Contact telephone: (415) 548-9091
Contact email: Not reported
EPA Region: 09
Classification: Non-Generator
Description: Handler: Non-Generators do not presently generate hazardous waste

Owner/Operator Summary:
Owner/operator name: A&N COMPANY
Owner/operator address: NOT REQUIRED
Owner/operator country: Not reported
Owner/operator telephone: (415) 555-1212
Legal status: Private
Owner/Operator Type: Owner
Owner/Op start date: Not reported
Owner/Op end date: Not reported

Owner/operator name: NOT REQUIRED
Owner/operator address: NOT REQUIRED
Owner/operator country: Not reported
Owner/operator telephone: (415) 555-1212
Legal status: Private
Owner/Operator Type: Operator
Owner/Op start date: Not reported
Owner/Op end date: Not reported

Handler Activities Summary:
U.S. importer of hazardous waste: No
Mixed waste (haz. and radioactive): No
Recycler of hazardous waste: No
Transporter of hazardous waste: No
Treater, storer or disposer of HW: No
Underground injection activity: No
On-site burner exemption: No
Furnace exemption: No
Used oil fuel burner: No
Used oil processor: No
User oil refiner: No
Used oil fuel marketer to burner: No
Used oil Specification marketer: No
Used oil transfer facility: No
Used oil transporter: No
Violation Status: No violations found

DRYCLEANERS:
EPA Id: CAL000259064
NAICS Code: 81232
NAICS Description: Drycleaning and Laundry Services (except Coin-Operated)
### A&N Comp Drycleaners (Continued)

- **SIC Code:** 7211
- **SIC Description:** Power Laundries, Family and Commercial
- **Create Date:** 09/11/2002
- **Facility Active:** No
- **Inactive Date:** 06/30/2004
- **Facility Addr2:** Not reported
- **Owner Name:** OK CLEANERS
- **Owner Address:** 6109 POTRERO AVE
- **Owner Address 2:** Not reported
- **Owner Telephone:** 5102365681
- **Contact Name:** XING XIAN HUANG
- **Contact Address:** 6109 POTRERO AVE
- **Contact Address 2:** Not reported
- **Contact Telephone:** 5102365681
- **Mailing Name:** OK CLEANERS SERVICES
- **Mailing Address 1:** 6109 POTRERO AVE
- **Mailing Address 2:** Not reported
- **Mailing City:** EL CERRITO
- **Mailing State:** CA
- **Mailing Zip:** 945300000
- **Owner Fax:** Not reported
- **Owner Telephone:** Not reported
- **Owner Address:** OK CLEANERS
- **Owner Address 2:** Not reported
- **Facility Addr2:** 06/30/2004
- **Inactive Date:** No
- **Facility Active:** 09/11/2002
- **Create Date:** Power Laundries, Family and Commercial
- **SIC Description:** 7211
- **SIC Code:**
- **Global Id:** T0601300088
- **Latitude:** 37.921
- **Longitude:** -122.3162
- **Case Type:** Not reported
- **Status:** Completed - Case Closed
- **Status Date:** 03/18/2005
- **Lead Agency:** Not reported
- **Case Worker:** BGS
- **Local Agency:** Not reported
- **RB Case Number:** 07-0092
- **LOC Case Number:** Not reported
- **File Location:** Not reported
- **Potential Media Affect:** Other Groundwater (uses other than drinking water)
EL CERRITO CITY OF (Continued)  S102427973

Potential Contaminants of Concern: Gasoline
Site History: Not reported

Click here to access the California GeoTracker records for this facility:

Contact:
Global Id: T0601300088
Contact Type: Regional Board Caseworker
Contact Name: BARBARA SIEMINSKI
Organization Name: SAN FRANCISCO BAY RWQCB (REGION 2)
Address: 1515 CLAY STREET, SUITE 1400
City: OAKLAND
Email: bsieminski@waterboards.ca.gov
Phone Number: Not reported

Global Id: T0601300088
Contact Type: Local Agency Caseworker
Contact Name: SUE LOYD
Organization Name: CONTRA COSTA COUNTY
Address: 4333 PACHECO BLVD.
City: MARTINEZ
Email: slloyd@hsd.co.contra-costa.ca.us
Phone Number: Not reported

Status History:
Global Id: T0601300088
Status: Completed - Case Closed
Status Date: 03/18/2005

Global Id: T0601300088
Status: Open - Case Begin Date
Status Date: 12/10/1985

Global Id: T0601300088
Status: Open - Site Assessment
Status Date: 03/24/1994

Regulatory Activities:
Global Id: T0601300088
Action Type: ENFORCEMENT
Date: 03/18/2005
Action: Closure/No Further Action Letter

Global Id: T0601300088
Action Type: ENFORCEMENT
Date: 05/01/2000
Action: * Historical Enforcement

Global Id: T0601300088
Action Type: Other
Date: 01/02/1986
Action: Leak Stopped

Global Id: T0601300088
Action Type: ENFORCEMENT
Date: 06/17/2003
Action: Site Visit / Inspection / Sampling
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### EL CERRITO CITY OF (Continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Global Id:</th>
<th>T0601300088</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Action Type:</td>
<td>Other</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date:</td>
<td>05/13/1987</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Action:</td>
<td>Leak Reported</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Global Id:</th>
<th>T0601300088</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Action Type:</td>
<td>Other</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date:</td>
<td>12/10/1985</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Action:</td>
<td>Leak Discovery</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### LUST REG 2:

| Region: | 2 |
| Facility Id: | 07-0092 |
| Facility Status: | Leak being confirmed |
| Case Number: | 54032 |
| How Discovered: | OM |
| Leak Cause: | UNK |
| Leak Source: | UNK |
| Date Leak Confirmed: | 3/24/1994 |
| Oversight Program: | LUST |
| Prelim. Site Assessment Workplan Submitted: | Not reported |
| Preliminary Site Assessment Began: | Not reported |
| Pollution Characterization Began: | Not reported |
| Pollution Remediation Plan Submitted: | Not reported |
| Date Remediation Action Underway: | Not reported |
| Date Post Remedial Action Monitoring Began: | Not reported |

### HIST CORTESE:

| Region: | CORTESE |
| Facility County Code: | 7 |
| Reg By: | LTNKA |
| Reg Id: | 07-0092 |

### CONTRA COSTA CO. SITE LIST:

| Facility ID: | 774118 |
| Billing Status: | INACTIVE, NON-BILLABLE |
| Program Status: | CONTRA COSTA CO. SITE LIST |
| Program/Elements: | HMPS: LESS THAN 1000 LBS |
| Region: | CONTRA COSTA |

| Facility ID: | 754032 |
| Billing Status: | INACTIVE, NON-BILLABLE |
| Program Status: | CONTRA COSTA CO. SITE LIST |
| Program/Elements: | UNDERGROUND STORAGE TANK SITE |
| Region: | CONTRA COSTA |
Site 5 of 5 in cluster G

Relative:
  Lower

Actual:
  56 ft.

11402 SAN PABLO AVE
EL CERRITO, CA 94530

LUST:
  Region: STATE
  Global Id: T0601300593
  Latitude: 37.92198
  Longitude: -122.31486
  Case Type: Not reported
  Status: Completed - Case Closed
  Status Date: 06/04/1998
  Lead Agency: Not reported
  Case Worker: BGS
  Local Agency: Not reported
  RB Case Number: 07-0642
  LOC Case Number: Not reported
  File Location: Not reported
  Potential Media Affect: Soil
  Potential Contaminants of Concern: Gasoline

Click here to access the California GeoTracker records for this facility:

Contact:
  Global Id: T0601300593
  Contact Type: Local Agency Caseworker
  Contact Name: SUE LOYD
  Organization Name: CONTRA COSTA COUNTY
  Address: 4333 PACHECO BLVD.
  City: MARTINEZ
  Email: slloyd@hsd.co.contra-costa.ca.us
  Phone Number: Not reported

  Global Id: T0601300593
  Contact Type: Regional Board Caseworker
  Contact Name: BARBARA SIEMINSKI
  Organization Name: SAN FRANCISCO BAY RWQCB (REGION 2)
  Address: 1515 CLAY STREET, SUITE 1400
  City: OAKLAND
  Email: bsieminski@waterboards.ca.gov
  Phone Number: Not reported

Status History:
  Global Id: T0601300593
  Status: Completed - Case Closed
  Status Date: 06/04/1998

  Global Id: T0601300593
  Status: Open - Case Begin Date
  Status Date: 07/23/1991

  Global Id: T0601300593
  Status: Open - Site Assessment
  Status Date: 11/01/1994
### TARGET (Continued)

#### Regulatory Activities:
- **Global Id:** T0601300593
- **Action Type:** Other
- **Date:** 01/29/1992
- **Action:** Leak Discovery

- **Global Id:** T0601300593
- **Action Type:** Other
- **Date:** 01/29/1992
- **Action:** Leak Reported

- **Global Id:** T0601300593
- **Action Type:** Other
- **Date:** 07/23/1991
- **Action:** Leak Stopped

- **Global Id:** T0601300593
- **Action Type:** ENFORCEMENT
- **Date:** 06/04/1998
- **Action:** Closure/No Further Action Letter

#### LUST REG 2:
- **Region:** 2
- **Facility Id:** 07-0642
- **Facility Status:** Case Closed
- **Case Number:** 07-0642
- **How Discovered:** OM
- **Leak Cause:** UNK
- **Leak Source:** UNK
- **Date Leak Confirmed:** 11/1/1994
- **Oversight Program:** LUST
- **Prelim. Site Assesment Workplan Submitted:** Not reported
- **Preliminary Site Assessment Began:** Not reported
- **Pollution Characterization Began:** Not reported
- **Pollution Remediation Plan Submitted:** Not reported
- **Date Remediation Action Underway:** Not reported
- **Date Post Remedial Action Monitoring Began:** Not reported

#### HIST CORTESE:
- **Region:** CORTESE
- **Facility County Code:** 7
- **Reg By:** LTNKA
- **Reg Id:** 07-0642

---

**42**
**EL CERRITO SHELL**
**10602 SAN PABLO AVE**
**EL CERRITO, CA 94530**

**LUST**
**SWEEPS UST**
**HIST UST**
**CONTRA COSTA CO. SITE LIST**

**U001596714**
**N/A**

**Relative:** Lower
**Actual:** 58 ft.

**Relative:** Lower
**Actual:** 58 ft.

**Global Id:** T0601391657
**Latitude:** 37.91152
**Longitude:** -122.308405
### EL CERRITO SHELL (Continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case Type:</th>
<th>Not reported</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Status:</td>
<td>Completed - Case Closed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Status Date:</td>
<td>07/26/2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lead Agency:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case Worker:</td>
<td>BGS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Agency:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RB Case Number:</td>
<td>07-0865</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOC Case Number:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>File Location:</td>
<td>Regional Board</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potential Media Affect:</td>
<td>Other Groundwater (uses other than drinking water)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potential Contaminants of Concern:</td>
<td>Gasoline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site History:</td>
<td>No active remediation required. The site is a low risk case. The residual hydrocarbon concentrations are low, and are expected to attenuate naturally.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Click here to access the California GeoTracker records for this facility:

**Contact:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Global Id:</th>
<th>T0601391657</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Contact Type:</td>
<td>Local Agency Caseworker</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contact Name:</td>
<td>PAUL ANDREWS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organization Name:</td>
<td>CONTRA COSTA CO. ENVIR. HEALTH DIV.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Address:</td>
<td>4333 PACHECO BOULEVARD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City:</td>
<td>MARTINEZ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Email:</td>
<td><a href="mailto:pandrews@hsd.co.contra-costa.ca.us">pandrews@hsd.co.contra-costa.ca.us</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phone Number:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Global Id:</th>
<th>T0601391657</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Contact Type:</td>
<td>Regional Board Caseworker</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contact Name:</td>
<td>BARBARA SIEMINSKI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organization Name:</td>
<td>SAN FRANCISCO BAY RWQCB (REGION 2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Address:</td>
<td>1515 CLAY STREET, SUITE 1400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City:</td>
<td>OAKLAND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Email:</td>
<td><a href="mailto:bsieminski@waterboards.ca.gov">bsieminski@waterboards.ca.gov</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phone Number:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Status History:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Global Id:</th>
<th>T0601391657</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Status:</td>
<td>Completed - Case Closed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Status Date:</td>
<td>07/23/2010</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Global Id:</th>
<th>T0601391657</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Status:</td>
<td>Completed - Case Closed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Status Date:</td>
<td>07/26/2010</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Global Id:</th>
<th>T0601391657</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Status:</td>
<td>Open - Case Begin Date</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Status Date:</td>
<td>06/09/2004</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Global Id:</th>
<th>T0601391657</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Status:</td>
<td>Open - Site Assessment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Status Date:</td>
<td>07/10/2006</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Global Id:</th>
<th>T0601391657</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Status:</td>
<td>Open - Site Assessment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Status Date:</td>
<td>11/28/2006</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
EL CERRITO SHELL (Continued)

Global Id: T0601391657
Status: Open - Site Assessment
Status Date: 03/05/2007

Regulatory Activities:
Global Id: T0601391657
Action Type: ENFORCEMENT
Date: 07/23/2010
Action: Closure/No Further Action Letter

Global Id: T0601391657
Action Type: RESPONSE
Date: 08/13/2008
Action: Monitoring Report - Quarterly

Global Id: T0601391657
Action Type: Other
Date: 06/09/2004
Action: Leak Discovery

Global Id: T0601391657
Action Type: RESPONSE
Date: 10/30/2007
Action: Other Report / Document

Global Id: T0601391657
Action Type: RESPONSE
Date: 06/13/2007
Action: Other Workplan

Global Id: T0601391657
Action Type: RESPONSE
Date: 03/30/2007
Action: Electronic Reporting Submittal Due

Global Id: T0601391657
Action Type: RESPONSE
Date: 02/28/2007
Action: Preliminary Site Assessment Report

Global Id: T0601391657
Action Type: RESPONSE
Date: 12/28/2006
Action: Electronic Reporting Submittal Due

Global Id: T0601391657
Action Type: RESPONSE
Date: 03/10/2008
Action: Other Report / Document

Global Id: T0601391657
Action Type: RESPONSE
Date: 04/30/2009
Action: Monitoring Report - Other

Global Id: T0601391657
Action Type: RESPONSE
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Action Type</th>
<th>Global Id</th>
<th>Action Type</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Action Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10/10/2006</td>
<td></td>
<td>Action</td>
<td>T0601391657</td>
<td>RESPONSE</td>
<td>03/10/2008</td>
<td>Fact Sheets - Public Participation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Workplan</td>
<td></td>
<td>Action</td>
<td>T0601391657</td>
<td>RESPONSE</td>
<td>04/30/2008</td>
<td>Monitoring Report - Quarterly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Action</td>
<td>T0601391657</td>
<td>Other</td>
<td>06/05/2006</td>
<td>Leak Reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Action</td>
<td>T0601391657</td>
<td>ENFORCEMENT</td>
<td>02/08/2008</td>
<td>13267 Requirement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Action</td>
<td>T0601391657</td>
<td>ENFORCEMENT</td>
<td>11/28/2006</td>
<td>13267 Requirement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Action</td>
<td>T0601391657</td>
<td>ENFORCEMENT</td>
<td>07/13/2006</td>
<td>13267 Requirement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Action</td>
<td>T0601391657</td>
<td>ENFORCEMENT</td>
<td>07/11/2006</td>
<td>Site Visit / Inspection / Sampling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Action</td>
<td>T0601391657</td>
<td>RESPONSE</td>
<td>01/30/2009</td>
<td>Monitoring Report - Quarterly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Action</td>
<td>T0601391657</td>
<td>ENFORCEMENT</td>
<td>07/27/2009</td>
<td>File review</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Action</td>
<td>T0601391657</td>
<td>RESPONSE</td>
<td>01/30/2010</td>
<td>Monitoring Report - Semi-Anually</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Action</td>
<td>T0601391657</td>
<td>RESPONSE</td>
<td>10/10/2006</td>
<td>Other Workplan</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

EL CERRITO SHELL (Continued) U001596714
EL CERRITO SHELL (Continued)

Global Id: T0601391657
Action Type: ENFORCEMENT
Date: 03/14/2007
Action: 13267 Requirement

Global Id: T0601391657
Action Type: RESPONSE
Date: 10/22/2008
Action: Monitoring Report - Quarterly

Global Id: T0601391657
Action Type: ENFORCEMENT
Date: 07/31/2007
Action: 13267 Requirement

Global Id: T0601391657
Action Type: RESPONSE
Date: 07/30/2009
Action: Monitoring Report - Quarterly

SWEEPS UST:

Status: Active
Comp Number: 7032
Number: 2
Board Of Equalization: 44-002205
Referral Date: 02-11-92
Action Date: 02-11-92
Created Date: 07-22-88
Owner Tank Id: 1
SWRCB Tank Id: 07-000-007032-000001
Tank Status: A
Capacity: 10000
Active Date: 02-11-92
Tank Use: M.V. FUEL
STG: P
Content: REG UNLEADED
Number Of Tanks: 4

Status: Active
Comp Number: 7032
Number: 2
Board Of Equalization: 44-002205
Referral Date: 02-11-92
Action Date: 02-11-92
Created Date: 07-22-88
Owner Tank Id: 2
SWRCB Tank Id: 07-000-007032-000002
Tank Status: A
Capacity: 10000
Active Date: 02-11-92
Tank Use: M.V. FUEL
STG: P
Content: LEADED
Number Of Tanks: Not reported

Status: Active
EL CERRITO SHELL (Continued)

Comp Number: 7032
Number: 2
Board Of Equalization: 44-002205
Referral Date: 02-11-92
Action Date: 02-11-92
Created Date: 07-22-88
Owner Tank Id: 3
SWRCB Tank Id: 07-000-007032-000003
Tank Status: A
Capacity: 10000
Active Date: 02-11-92
Tank Use: M.V. FUEL
STG: P
Content: REG UNLEADED
Number Of Tanks: Not reported

Status: Active
Comp Number: 7032
Number: 2
Board Of Equalization: 44-002205
Referral Date: 02-11-92
Action Date: 02-11-92
Created Date: 07-22-88
Owner Tank Id: 4
SWRCB Tank Id: 07-000-007032-000004
Tank Status: A
Capacity: 550
Active Date: 02-11-92
Tank Use: OIL
STG: W
Content: WASTE OIL
Number Of Tanks: Not reported

HIST UST:
Region: STATE
Facility ID: 00000007032
Facility Type: Gas Station
Other Type: Not reported
Contact Name: MEHRAN (RON) PEJOOH
Telephone: 4155242585
Owner Name: SHELL OIL COMPANY
Owner Address: P.O. BOX 4848
Owner City,St,Zip: ANAHEIM, CA 92803
Total Tanks: 0003

Tank Num: 001
Container Num: 1
Year Installed: Not reported
Tank Capacity: 00010000
Tank Used for: PRODUCT
Type of Fuel: UNLEADED
Container Construction Thickness: 1/4
Leak Detection: Stock Inventor, 10

Tank Num: 002
Container Num: 2
Year Installed: Not reported
EL CERRITO SHELL (Continued)  U001596714

Tank Capacity: 00010000
Tank Used for: PRODUCT
Type of Fuel: REGULAR
Container Construction Thickness: 1/4
Leak Detection: Stock Inventor, 10

Tank Num: 003
Container Num: 3
Year Installed: Not reported
Tank Capacity: 00010000
Tank Used for: PRODUCT
Type of Fuel: PREMIUM
Container Construction Thickness: 1/4
Leak Detection: Stock Inventor, 10

CONTRA COSTA CO. SITE LIST:
Facility ID: 707032
Billing Status: ACTIVE, BILLABLE
Program Status: CONTRA COSTA CO. SITE LIST
Program/Elements: HMBP: >100K-250K LBS, 0-19 EMPLOYEES
Region: CONTRA COSTA
Facility ID: 707032
Billing Status: ACTIVE, BILLABLE
Program Status: CONTRA COSTA CO. SITE LIST
Program/Elements: HWG: REPORTED ZERO
Region: CONTRA COSTA
Facility ID: 707032
Billing Status: ACTIVE, BILLABLE
Program Status: CONTRA COSTA CO. SITE LIST
Program/Elements: UNDERGROUND STORAGE TANK SITE
Region: CONTRA COSTA

EL CERRITO REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY
1718 EASTSHORE BLVD
EL CERRITO, CA  94530

1/4-1/2
0.424 mi.
2239 ft.
Site 1 of 3 in cluster H

Relative: LUST REG 2:
Lower
Region: 2
Facility Id: 07-0123
Facility Status: Case Closed
Case Number: 70654
How Discovered: Tank Closure
Leak Cause: Structure Failure
Leak Source: Tank
Date Leak Confirmed: Not reported
Oversight Program: LUST
Prelim. Site Assessment Wokplan Submitted: Not reported
Preliminary Site Assessment Began: 9/19/1991
Pollution Characterization Began: 9/7/1994
Pollution Remediation Plan Submitted: Not reported
Date Remediation Action Underway: Not reported
Date Post Remedial Action Monitoring Began: 11/5/2001
H44  EL CERRITO REDEVELOPMENT  LUST  S102429153
NW  1718 EASTSHORE  ENF  N/A
1/4-1/2  EL CERRITO, CA  94530  HIST CORTESE
0.424 mi.  CONTRA COSTA CO. SITE LIST
2239 ft.  Site 2 of 3 in cluster H

Relative:  Actual:
Lower  55 ft.

Region:  STATE
Global Id:  T0601300114
Latitude:  37.922028
Longitude:  -122.316847
Case Type:  Not reported
Status:  Completed - Case Closed
Status Date:  04/25/2003
Lead Agency:  Not reported
Case Worker:  BGS
Local Agency:  Not reported
RB Case Number:  07-0123
LOC Case Number:  Not reported
File Location:  Regional Board
Potential Media Affect:  Other Groundwater (uses other than drinking water)
Potential Contaminants of Concern:  Gasoline
Site History:  Not reported

Click here to access the California GeoTracker records for this facility:

Contact:
Global Id:  T0601300114
Contact Type:  Regional Board Caseworker
Contact Name:  BARBARA SIEMINSKI
Organization Name:  SAN FRANCISCO BAY RWQCB (REGION 2)
Address:  1515 CLAY STREET, SUITE 1400
City:  OAKLAND
Email:  bsieminski@waterboards.ca.gov
Phone Number:  Not reported

Global Id:  T0601300114
Contact Type:  Local Agency Caseworker
Contact Name:  SUE LOYD
Organization Name:  CONTRA COSTA COUNTY
Address:  4333 PACHECO BLVD.
City:  MARTINEZ
Email:  slloyd@hsd.co.contra-cost.ca.us
Phone Number:  Not reported

Status History:
Global Id:  T0601300114
Status:  Completed - Case Closed
Status Date:  04/25/2003

Global Id:  T0601300114
Status:  Open - Case Begin Date
Status Date:  07/18/1988

Global Id:  T0601300114
Status:  Open - Site Assessment
Status Date:  09/19/1991

Global Id:  T0601300114
EL CERRITO REDEVELOPMENT (Continued)  S102429153

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Open - Site Assessment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Status Date</td>
<td>09/07/1994</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Global Id</th>
<th>T0601300114</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Status</td>
<td>Open - Verification Monitoring</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Status Date</td>
<td>11/05/2001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Regulatory Activities:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Global Id</th>
<th>T0601300114</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Action Type</td>
<td>ENFORCEMENT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>05/24/2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Action</td>
<td>Staff Letter</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Global Id</th>
<th>T0601300114</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Action Type</td>
<td>ENFORCEMENT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>06/30/2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Action</td>
<td>Staff Letter</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Global Id</th>
<th>T0601300114</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Action Type</td>
<td>Other</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>07/18/1988</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Action</td>
<td>Leak Reported</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Global Id</th>
<th>T0601300114</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Action Type</td>
<td>ENFORCEMENT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>07/26/2001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Action</td>
<td>Staff Letter</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Global Id</th>
<th>T0601300114</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Action Type</td>
<td>ENFORCEMENT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>09/05/2002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Action</td>
<td>Staff Letter</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Global Id</th>
<th>T0601300114</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Action Type</td>
<td>ENFORCEMENT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>02/28/2002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Action</td>
<td>Staff Letter</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Global Id</th>
<th>T0601300114</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Action Type</td>
<td>ENFORCEMENT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>11/06/2001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Action</td>
<td>Staff Letter</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Global Id</th>
<th>T0601300114</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Action Type</td>
<td>Other</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>03/05/1991</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Action</td>
<td>Leak Stopped</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Global Id</th>
<th>T0601300114</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Action Type</td>
<td>ENFORCEMENT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>12/01/2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Action</td>
<td>Staff Letter</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Global Id</th>
<th>T0601300114</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Action Type</td>
<td>RESPONSE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>12/31/2002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Action</td>
<td>Other Report / Document</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
EL CERRITO REDEVELOPMENT (Continued)  

Global Id: T0601300114  
Action Type: ENFORCEMENT  
Date: 03/28/2002  
Action: Site Visit / Inspection / Sampling

Global Id: T0601300114  
Action Type: ENFORCEMENT  
Date: 03/06/2002  
Action: Site Visit / Inspection / Sampling

Global Id: T0601300114  
Action Type: ENFORCEMENT  
Date: 01/31/2003  
Action: File review

Global Id: T0601300114  
Action Type: ENFORCEMENT  
Date: 09/05/2002  
Action: File review

Global Id: T0601300114  
Action Type: ENFORCEMENT  
Date: 12/05/2002  
Action: File review

Global Id: T0601300114  
Action Type: ENFORCEMENT  
Date: 04/25/2003  
Action: Closure/No Further Action Letter

Global Id: T0601300114  
Action Type: RESPONSE  
Date: 09/02/2004  
Action: Other Report / Document

Global Id: T0601300114  
Action Type: ENFORCEMENT  
Date: 05/08/2002  
Action: Staff Letter

Global Id: T0601300114  
Action Type: RESPONSE  
Date: 08/15/2002  
Action: Other Workplan

Global Id: T0601300114  
Action Type: RESPONSE  
Date: 04/08/2002  
Action: Other Workplan

Global Id: T0601300114  
Action Type: RESPONSE  
Date: 04/08/2002  
Action: Monitoring Report - Quarterly

Global Id: T0601300114  
Action Type: ENFORCEMENT  
Date: 04/23/2003  
Action: File review

Global Id: T0601300114  
Action Type: Other
EL CERRITO REDEVELOPMENT (Continued)

Date: 03/05/1991
Action: Leak Discovery

ENF:
Region: 2
Facility Id: 226269
Agency Name: El Cerrito Redevelopment Agency
Place Type: Facility
Place Subtype: Not reported
Facility Type: All other facilities
Agency Type: City Agency
# Of Agencies: 1
Place Latitude: 37.922211
Place Longitude: -122.317444
SIC Code 1: Not reported
SIC Desc 1: Not reported
SIC Code 2: Not reported
SIC Desc 2: Not reported
SIC Code 3: Not reported
SIC Desc 3: Not reported
NAICS Code 1: Not reported
NAICS Desc 1: Not reported
NAICS Code 2: Not reported
NAICS Desc 2: Not reported
NAICS Code 3: Not reported
NAICS Desc 3: Not reported
# Of Places: 1
Source Of Facility: Reg Meas
Design Flow: Not reported
Threat To Water Quality: Not reported
Complexity: Not reported
Pretreatment: Not reported
Facility Waste Type: Not reported
Facility Waste Type 2: Not reported
Facility Waste Type 3: Not reported
Facility Waste Type 4: Not reported
Program: UST
Program Category1: TANKS
Program Category2: TANKS
# Of Programs: 1
WDID: 2 07-0123
Reg Measure Id: 168286
Reg Measure Type: Unregulated
Region: 2
Order #: Not reported
Npdes# CA#: Not reported
Major-Minor: Not reported
Npdes Type: Not reported
Reclamation: Not reported
Dredge Fill Fee: Not reported
301H: Not reported
Application Fee Amt Received: Not reported
Status: Never Active
Status Date: 02/20/2013
Effective Date: Not reported
Expiration/Review Date: Not reported
EL CERRITO REDEVELOPMENT (Continued)

Termination Date: Not reported
WDR Review - Amend: Not reported
WDR Review - Revise/Renew: Not reported
WDR Review - Rescind: Not reported
WDR Review - No Action Required: Not reported
WDR Review - Pending: Not reported
WDR Review - Planned: Not reported
Status Enrollee: N
Individual/General: I
Fee Code: Not reported
Direction/Voice: Passive
Enforcement Id(EID): 242171
Region: 2
Order / Resolution Number: UNKNOWN
Enforcement Action Type: 13267 Letter
Effective Date: 05/09/2002
Adoption/Issuance Date: Not reported
Achieve Date: Not reported
Termination Date: Not reported
ACL Issuance Date: Not reported
EPL Issuance Date: Not reported
Status: Active
Title: Enforcement - 2 07-0123
Description: Not reported
Program: UST
Latest Milestone Completion Date: Not reported
# Of Programs1: 1
Total Assessment Amount: 0.00
Initial Assessed Amount: 0.00
Liability $ Amount: 0.00
Project $ Amount: 0.00
Liability $ Paid: 0.00
Project $ Completed: 0.00
Total $ Paid/Completed Amount: 0.00
Region: 2
Facility Id: 226269
Agency Name: El Cerrito Redevelopment Agency
Place Type: Facility
Place Subtype: Not reported
Facility Type: All other facilities
Agency Type: City Agency
# Of Agencies: 1
Place Latitude: 37.922211
Place Longitude: -122.317444
SIC Code 1: Not reported
SIC Desc 1: Not reported
SIC Code 2: Not reported
SIC Desc 2: Not reported
SIC Code 3: Not reported
SIC Desc 3: Not reported
NAICS Code 1: Not reported
NAICS Desc 1: Not reported
NAICS Code 2: Not reported
NAICS Desc 2: Not reported
NAICS Code 3: Not reported
NAICS Desc 3: Not reported
EL CERRITO REDEVELOPMENT (Continued)

# Of Places: 1
Source Of Facility: Reg Meas
Design Flow: Not reported
Threat To Water Quality: Not reported
Complexity: Not reported
 pretreatment: Not reported
Facility Waste Type: Not reported
Facility Waste Type 2: Not reported
Facility Waste Type 3: Not reported
Facility Waste Type 4: Not reported
Program: UST
Program Category 1: TANKS
Program Category 2: TANKS
# Of Programs: 1
WDID: 2 07-0123
Reg Measure Id: 168286
Reg Measure Type: Unregulated
Region: 2
Order #: Not reported
Npdes# CA#: Not reported
Major-Minor: Not reported
Npdes Type: Not reported
Reclamation: Not reported
Dredge Fill Fee: Not reported
301H: Not reported
Application Fee Amt Received: Not reported
Status: Never Active
Status Date: 02/20/2013
Effective Date: Not reported
Expiration/Review Date: Not reported
Termination Date: Not reported
WDR Review - Amend: Not reported
WDR Review - Revise/Renew: Not reported
WDR Review - Rescind: Not reported
WDR Review - No Action Required: Not reported
WDR Review - Pending: Not reported
WDR Review - Planned: Not reported
Status Enrollee: N
Individual/General: I
Fee Code: Not reported
Direction/Voice: Passive
Enforcement Id(EID): 240638
Region: 2
Order / Resolution Number: UNKNOWN
Enforcement Action Type: 13267 Letter
Effective Date: 03/07/2002
Adoption/Issuance Date: Not reported
Achieve Date: Not reported
Termination Date: Not reported
ACL Issuance Date: Not reported
EPL Issuance Date: Not reported
Status: Active
Title: Enforcement - 2 07-0123
Description: Not reported
Program: UST
Latest Milestone Completion Date: Not reported
# Of Programs: 1
EL CERRITO REDEVELOPMENT (Continued)

Total Assessment Amount: 0.00
Initial Assessed Amount: 0.00
Liability $ Amount: 0.00
Project $ Amount: 0.00
Liability $ Paid: 0.00
Project $ Completed: 0.00
Total $ Paid/Completed Amount: 0.00

Region: 2
Facility Id: 226269
Agency Name: El Cerrito Redevelopment Agency
Place Type: Facility
Place Subtype: Not reported
Facility Type: All other facilities
Agency Type: City Agency
# Of Agencies: 1
Place Latitude: 37.922211
Place Longitude: -122.317444
SIC Code 1: Not reported
SIC Desc 1: Not reported
SIC Code 2: Not reported
SIC Desc 2: Not reported
SIC Code 3: Not reported
SIC Desc 3: Not reported
NAICS Code 1: Not reported
NAICS Desc 1: Not reported
NAICS Code 2: Not reported
NAICS Desc 2: Not reported
NAICS Code 3: Not reported
NAICS Desc 3: Not reported
# Of Places: 1
Source Of Facility: Reg Meas
Design Flow: Not reported
Threat To Water Quality: Not reported
Complexity: Not reported
Pretreatment: Not reported
Facility Waste Type: Not reported
Facility Waste Type 2: Not reported
Facility Waste Type 3: Not reported
Facility Waste Type 4: Not reported
Program: UST
Program Category1: TANKS
Program Category2: TANKS
# Of Programs: 1
WDID: 07-0123
Reg Measure Id: 168286
Reg Measure Type: Unregulated
Region: 2
Order #: Not reported
Npdes# CA#: Not reported
Major-Minor: Not reported
Npdes Type: Not reported
Reclamation: Not reported
Dredge Fill Fee: Not reported
301H: Not reported
Application Fee Amt Received: Not reported
Status: Never Active

S102429153
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Status Date: 02/20/2013
Effective Date: Not reported
Expiration/Review Date: Not reported
Termination Date: Not reported
WDR Review - Amend: Not reported
WDR Review - Revise/Renew: Not reported
WDR Review - Rescind: Not reported
WDR Review - No Action Required: Not reported
WDR Review - Pending: Not reported
WDR Review - Planned: Not reported
Status Enrollee: N
Individual/General: I
Fee Code: Not reported
Direction/Voice: Passive
Enforcement Id(EID): 236880
Region: 2
Order / Resolution Number: UNKNOWN
Enforcement Action Type: 13267 Letter
Effective Date: 11/06/2001
Adoption/Issuance Date: Not reported
Achieve Date: Not reported
Termination Date: Not reported
ACL Issuance Date: Not reported
EPL Issuance Date: Not reported
Status: Historical
Title: Enforcement - 2 07-0123
Description: Not reported
Program: UST
Latest Milestone Completion Date: Not reported
# Of Programs1: 1
Total Assessment Amount: 0.00
Initial Assessed Amount: 0.00
Liability $ Amount: 0.00
Project $ Amount: 0.00
Liability $ Paid: 0.00
Project $ Completed: 0.00
Total $ Paid/Completed Amount: 0.00
Region: 2
Facility Id: 226269
Agency Name: El Cerrito Redevelopment Agency
Place Type: Facility
Place Subtype: Not reported
Facility Type: All other facilities
Agency Type: City Agency
# Of Agencies: 1
Place Latitude: 37.922211
Place Longitude: -122.317444
SIC Code 1: Not reported
SIC Desc 1: Not reported
SIC Code 2: Not reported
SIC Desc 2: Not reported
SIC Code 3: Not reported
SIC Desc 3: Not reported
NAICS Code 1: Not reported
NAICS Desc 1: Not reported
NAICS Code 2: Not reported
NAICS Desc 2: Not reported
### EL CERRITO REDEVELOPMENT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAICS Desc 2:</th>
<th>Not reported</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NAICS Code 3:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAICS Desc 3:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># Of Places:</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Source Of Facility:</td>
<td>Reg Meas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Design Flow:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Threat To Water Quality:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complexity:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pretreatment:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facility Waste Type:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facility Waste Type 2:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facility Waste Type 3:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facility Waste Type 4:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Program:</td>
<td>UST</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Program Category1:</td>
<td>TANKS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Program Category2:</td>
<td>TANKS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># Of Programs:</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WDID:</td>
<td>2 07-0123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reg Measure Id:</td>
<td>168286</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reg Measure Type:</td>
<td>Unregulated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Region:</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Order #:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Npdes# CA#:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Major-Minor:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Npdes Type:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reclamation:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dredge Fill Fee:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>301H:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Application Fee Amt Received:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Status:</td>
<td>Never Active</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Status Date:</td>
<td>02/20/2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effective Date:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expiration/Review Date:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Termination Date:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WDR Review - Amend:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WDR Review - Revise/ Renew:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WDR Review - Rescind:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WDR Review - No Action Required:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WDR Review - Pending:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WDR Review - Planned:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Status Enrollee:</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Individual/General:</td>
<td>I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fee Code:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Direction/Voice:</td>
<td>Passive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enforcement Id(EID):</td>
<td>238433</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Region:</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Order / Resolution Number:</td>
<td>UNKNOWN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enforcement Action Type:</td>
<td>13267 Letter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effective Date:</td>
<td>06/13/2001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adoption/Issuance Date:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Achieve Date:</td>
<td>6/29/2001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Termination Date:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACL Issuance Date:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EPL Issuance Date:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Status:</td>
<td>Historical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Title:</td>
<td>Enforcement - 2 07-0123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Description:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
EL CERRITO REDEVELOPMENT (Continued)

Program: UST
Latest Milestone Completion Date: 6/29/2001
# Of Programs: 1
Total Assessment Amount: 0.00
Initial Assessed Amount: 0.00
Liability $ Amount: 0.00
Project $ Amount: 0.00
Liability $ Paid: 0.00
Project $ Completed: 0.00
Total $ Paid/Completed Amount: 0.00

Region: 2
Facility Id: 226269
Agency Name: El Cerrito Redevelopment Agency
Place Type: Facility
Place Subtype: Not reported
Facility Type: All other facilities
Agency Type: City Agency
# Of Agencies: 1
Place Latitude: 37.922211
Place Longitude: -122.317444
SIC Code 1: Not reported
SIC Desc 1: Not reported
SIC Code 2: Not reported
SIC Desc 2: Not reported
SIC Code 3: Not reported
SIC Desc 3: Not reported
NAICS Code 1: Not reported
NAICS Desc 1: Not reported
NAICS Code 2: Not reported
NAICS Desc 2: Not reported
NAICS Code 3: Not reported
NAICS Desc 3: Not reported
# Of Places: 1
Source Of Facility: Reg Meas
Design Flow: Not reported
Threat To Water Quality: Not reported
Complexity: Not reported
Pretreatment: Not reported
Facility Waste Type: Not reported
Facility Waste Type 2: Not reported
Facility Waste Type 3: Not reported
Facility Waste Type 4: Not reported
Program: UST
Program Category1: TANKS
Program Category2: TANKS
# Of Programs: 1
WDID: 2 07-0123
Reg Measure Id: 168826
Reg Measure Type: Unregulated
Region: 2
Order #: Not reported
Npdes# CA#: Not reported
Major-Minor: Not reported
Npdes Type: Not reported
Reclamation: Not reported
Dredge Fill Fee: Not reported
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Map ID</th>
<th>Direction</th>
<th>Distance</th>
<th>Elevation</th>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Database(s)</th>
<th>EPA ID Number</th>
<th>EDR ID Number</th>
<th>MAP FINDINGS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**EL CERRITO REDEVELOPMENT (Continued)**

301H: Not reported  
Application Fee Amt Received: Not reported  
Status: Never Active  
Status Date: 02/20/2013  
Effective Date: Not reported  
Expiration/Review Date: Not reported  
Termination Date: Not reported  
WDR Review - Amend: Not reported  
WDR Review - Revise/ Renew: Not reported  
WDR Review - Rescind: Not reported  
WDR Review - No Action Required: Not reported  
WDR Review - Pending: Not reported  
WDR Review - Planned: Not reported  
Status Enrollee: N  
Individual/General: 1  
Fee Code: Not reported  
Direction/Voice: Passive  

**Enforcement Id (EID):** 237479  
Region: 2  
Order / Resolution Number: UNKNOWN  
Enforcement Action Type: 13267 Letter  
Effective Date: 07/26/2001  
Adoption/Issuance Date: Not reported  
Achieve Date: 10/23/2001  
Termination Date: Not reported  
ACL Issuance Date: Not reported  
EPL Issuance Date: Not reported  
Status: Historical  
Title: Enforcement - 2 07-0123  
Description: Not reported  
Program: UST  
Latest Milestone Completion Date: 10/23/2001  

**# Of Programs 1:** 1  
**Total Assessment Amount:** 0.00  
**Initial Assessed Amount:** 0.00  
**Liability $ Amount:** 0.00  
**Project $ Amount:** 0.00  
**Liability $ Paid:** 0.00  
**Project $ Completed:** 0.00  
**Total $ Paid/Completed Amount:** 0.00  

Region: 2  
Facility Id: 226269  
Agency Name: El Cerrito Redevelopment Agency  
Place Type: Facility  
Place Subtype: Not reported  
Facility Type: All other facilities  
Agency Type: City Agency  

**# Of Agencies:** 1  
Place Latitude: 37.922211  
Place Longitude: -122.317444  
SIC Code 1: Not reported  
SIC Desc 1: Not reported  
SIC Code 2: Not reported  
SIC Desc 2: Not reported  
SIC Code 3: Not reported  
SIC Desc 3: Not reported  
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NAICS Code 1: Not reported
NAICS Desc 1: Not reported
NAICS Code 2: Not reported
NAICS Desc 2: Not reported
NAICS Code 3: Not reported
NAICS Desc 3: Not reported
# Of Places: 1
Source Of Facility: Reg Meas
Design Flow: Not reported
Threat To Water Quality: Not reported
Complexity: Not reported
Pretreatment: Not reported
Facility Waste Type: Not reported
Facility Waste Type 2: Not reported
Facility Waste Type 3: Not reported
Facility Waste Type 4: Not reported
Program: UST
Program Category1: TANKS
Program Category2: TANKS
# Of Programs: 1
WDID: 2 07-0123
Reg Measure Id: 168286
Reg Measure Type: Unregulated
Region: 2
Order #: Not reported
Npdes# CA#: Not reported
Major-Minor: Not reported
Npdes Type: Not reported
Reclamation: Not reported
Dredge Fill Fee: Not reported
301H: Not reported
Application Fee Amt Received: Not reported
Status: Never Active
Status Date: 02/20/2013
Effective Date: Not reported
Expiration/Review Date: Not reported
Termination Date: Not reported
WDR Review - Amend: Not reported
WDR Review - Revise/Renew: Not reported
WDR Review - Rescind: Not reported
WDR Review - No Action Required: Not reported
WDR Review - Pending: Not reported
WDR Review - Planned: Not reported
Status Enrollee: N
Individual/General: I
Fee Code: Not reported
Direction/Voice: Passive
Enforcement Id(EID): 236175
Region: 2
Order / Resolution Number: UNKNOWN
Enforcement Action Type: 13267 Letter
Effective Date: 12/01/2000
Adoption/Issuance Date: Not reported
Achieve Date: 6/13/2001
Termination Date: Not reported
ACL Issuance Date: Not reported
EPL Issuance Date: Not reported
EL CERRITO REDEVELOPMENT  (Continued)

Status: Historical
Title: Enforcement - 2 07-0123
Description: Not reported
Program: UST
Latest Milestone Completion Date: 6/13/2001
# Of Programs1: 1
Total Assessment Amount: 0.00
Initial Assessed Amount: 0.00
Liability $ Amount: 0.00
Project $ Amount: 0.00
Liability $ Paid: 0.00
Project $ Completed: 0.00
Total $ Paid/Completed Amount: 0.00

HIST CORTESE:
Region: CORTESE
Facility County Code: 7
Reg By: LTNKA
Reg Id: 07-0123

CONTRA COSTA CO. SITE LIST:
Facility ID: 770654
Billing Status: INACTIVE, NON-BILLABLE
Program Status: CONTRA COSTA CO. SITE LIST
Program/Elements: UNDERGROUND STORAGE TANK SITE
Region: CONTRA COSTA
PAY N PAK STORE #229 (Continued) S101306924

Organization Name: CONTRA COSTA COUNTY
Address: 4333 PACHECO BLVD.
City: MARTINEZ
Phone Number: Not reported

Global Id: T0601300215
Contact Type: Regional Board Caseworker
Name: BARBARA SIEMINSKI
Location: SAN FRANCISCO BAY RWQCB (REGION 2)
Address: 1515 CLAY STREET, SUITE 1400
City: OAKLAND
Email: bsieminski@waterboards.ca.gov

Status History:
Global Id: T0601300215
Status: Completed - Case Closed
Status Date: 04/14/1995

Global Id: T0601300215
Status: Open - Case Begin Date
Status Date: 01/08/1986

Global Id: T0601300215
Status: Open - Site Assessment
Status Date: 01/31/1986

Global Id: T0601300215
Status: Open - Site Assessment
Status Date: 04/21/1986

Regulatory Activities:
Global Id: T0601300215
Action Type: Other
Date: 01/08/1986
Action: Leak Reported

Global Id: T0601300215
Action Type: Other
Date: 01/08/1986
Action: Leak Stopped

Global Id: T0601300215
Action Type: ENFORCEMENT
Date: 03/07/1986
Action: Staff Letter

Global Id: T0601300215
Action Type: RESPONSE
Date: 12/18/1985
Action: Other Report / Document

Global Id: T0601300215
Action Type: RESPONSE
Date: 06/13/1986
PAY N PAK STORE #229 (Continued)

Action: Well Installation Report
Global Id: T0601300215
Action Type: RESPONSE
Date: 02/11/1986
Action: Other Report / Document

Global Id: T0601300215
Action Type: RESPONSE
Date: 02/06/1986
Action: Other Report / Document

Global Id: T0601300215
Action Type: ENFORCEMENT
Date: 04/14/1995
Action: Closure/No Further Action Letter

Global Id: T0601300215
Action Type: ENFORCEMENT
Date: 01/08/1986
Action: Staff Letter

Global Id: T0601300215
Action Type: RESPONSE
Date: 01/08/1986
Action: Other Report / Document

Global Id: T0601300215
Action Type: Other
Date: 01/08/1986
Action: Leak Discovery

LUST REG 2:
Region: 2
Facility Id: 07-0232
Facility Status: Case Closed
Case Number: 07-0232
How Discovered: Tank Closure
Leak Cause: Structure Failure
Leak Source: Tank
Date Leak Confirmed: Not reported
Oversight Program: LUST
Prelim. Site Assesment Wokplan Submitted: 1/31/1986
Preliminary Site Assesment Began: 4/21/1986
Pollution Characterization Began: Not reported
Pollution Remediation Plan Submitted: Not reported
Date Remediation Action Underway: Not reported
Date Post Remedial Action Monitoring Began: Not reported

HIST CORTESE:
Region: CORTESE
Facility County Code: 7
Reg By: LTNKA
Reg Id: 07-0232
Site History:

USTs and product lines were replaced at the site in 1997. Four soil samples were collected from the base/sidewalls of the tank pit and 9 samples were collected from beneath the fuel island/line areas at depths of up to 10' bgs. Two samples were collected from the tank pit area at 18' bgs (after overexcavation). Concentrations in soil were up to 1,100 ppm TPH, 8.5 ppm benzene, and 4.9 ppm MTBE. A perched groundwater was encountered in the tank pit at 10' bgs. A grab groundwater sample indicated 2,000 ppb of benzene and 2,600 ppb of MTBE. Four soil borings were drilled at the site on 11/10/03, and two tank pit wells (MW-1 and MW-2) were sampled on 11/11/03. Soil samples from borings contained minor concentrations of HC (up to 45 ppm TPH, 0.2 ppm benzene, and 0.12 ppm MTBE). Oil and Grease was ND. Grab groundwater samples from borings located in the dispenser area indicated up to 120,000 ppb of TPH, 10,000 ppb benzene, and ND MTBE (<2,000 ppb). The boring drilled near the UST pit contained 500 ppm TPH, 0.69 benzene, and 120 ppb MTBE, but groundwater samples collected from the pit wells did not contain TPH or benzene and only up to 12 ppb MTBE. Groundwater was encountered at the site at depths ranging from 9 to 24.5' bgs. Sensitive receptor survey conducted February 2005 indicated that there are no surface waters or known domestic, municipal, industrial or irrigation wells within 2,000 feet of the site. CAP approved on 04/01/2009, proposing a combination of ozone and air sparge/soil vapor extraction for site remediation (five to seven ozone injection points along the eastern property boundary, and a 100-foot long and 2-foot wide shallow trench filled with permeable materials and containing horizontal air/ozone injection/vapor recovery lines along the southern property boundary).

Click here to access the California GeoTracker records for this facility:

Contact:

Global Id: T0601300483
Contact Type: Regional Board Caseworker
Contact Name: BARBARA SIEMINSKI
Organization Name: SAN FRANCISCO BAY RWQCB (REGION 2)
Address: 1515 CLAY STREET, SUITE 1400
City: OAKLAND
Email: bsieminski@waterboards.ca.gov
### UNOCAL (Continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phone Number:</th>
<th>Not reported</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Global Id:</td>
<td>T0601300483</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contact Type:</td>
<td>Local Agency Caseworker</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contact Name:</td>
<td>SUE LOYD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organization Name:</td>
<td>CONTRA COSTA COUNTY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Address:</td>
<td>4333 PACHECO BLVD.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City:</td>
<td>MARTINEZ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Email:</td>
<td><a href="mailto:slloyd@hsd.co.contra-cost.ca.us">slloyd@hsd.co.contra-cost.ca.us</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phone Number:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Status History:

| Global Id: | T0601300483 |
| Status: | Open - Site Assessment |
| Status Date: | 07/11/1997 |

| Global Id: | T0601300483 |
| Status: | Open - Eligible for Closure |
| Status Date: | 04/22/2013 |

| Global Id: | T0601300483 |
| Status: | Open - Remediation |
| Status Date: | 07/12/2007 |

| Global Id: | T0601300483 |
| Status: | Open - Case Begin Date |
| Status Date: | 06/25/1993 |

| Global Id: | T0601300483 |
| Status: | Completed - Case Closed |
| Status Date: | 07/02/2014 |

#### Regulatory Activities:

| Global Id: | T0601300483 |
| Action Type: | RESPONSE |
| Date: | 11/01/2008 |
| Action: | Soil and Water Investigation Report |

| Global Id: | T0601300483 |
| Action Type: | Other |
| Date: | 06/25/1993 |
| Action: | Leak Discovery |

| Global Id: | T0601300483 |
| Action Type: | RESPONSE |
| Date: | 10/30/2007 |
| Action: | Monitoring Report - Quarterly |

| Global Id: | T0601300483 |
| Action Type: | RESPONSE |
| Date: | 02/29/2008 |
| Action: | CAP/RAP - Feasibility Study Report |

| Global Id: | T0601300483 |
| Action Type: | RESPONSE |
| Date: | 02/04/2005 |
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Elevation</th>
<th>Database(s)</th>
<th>EPA ID Number</th>
<th>EDR ID Number</th>
<th>Action Type</th>
<th>Global Id</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Action:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>T0601300483</td>
<td>RESPONSE</td>
<td>T0601300483</td>
<td>04/30/2010</td>
<td>Sensitive Receptor Survey Report</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>T0601300483</td>
<td>ENFORCEMENT</td>
<td>T0601300483</td>
<td>01/13/2012</td>
<td>13267 Requirement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>T0601300483</td>
<td>ENFORCEMENT</td>
<td>T0601300483</td>
<td>12/22/2011</td>
<td>13267 Requirement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>T0601300483</td>
<td>ENFORCEMENT</td>
<td>T0601300483</td>
<td>07/21/2009</td>
<td>File review</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>T0601300483</td>
<td>RESPONSE</td>
<td>T0601300483</td>
<td>05/01/2009</td>
<td>Other Workplan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>T0601300483</td>
<td>RESPONSE</td>
<td>T0601300483</td>
<td>02/29/2008</td>
<td>Corrective Action Plan / Remedial Action Plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>T0601300483</td>
<td>RESPONSE</td>
<td>T0601300483</td>
<td>02/29/2008</td>
<td>Other Report / Document</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>T0601300483</td>
<td>RESPONSE</td>
<td>T0601300483</td>
<td>02/29/2008</td>
<td>Fact Sheets - Public Participation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>T0601300483</td>
<td>RESPONSE</td>
<td>T0601300483</td>
<td>12/29/2011</td>
<td>Correspondence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>T0601300483</td>
<td>RESPONSE</td>
<td>T0601300483</td>
<td>04/08/2011</td>
<td>Correspondence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>T0601300483</td>
<td>RESPONSE</td>
<td>T0601300483</td>
<td>10/30/2010</td>
<td>Monitoring Report - Semi-Annually</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Action Type:</td>
<td>T0601300483</td>
<td>Global Id:</td>
<td>T0601300483</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date:</td>
<td>08/01/2010</td>
<td>Response</td>
<td>Monitoring Report - Quarterly</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action Type:</th>
<th>T0601300483</th>
<th>Global Id:</th>
<th>T0601300483</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Date:</td>
<td>07/31/2007</td>
<td>Response</td>
<td>Monitoring Report - Quarterly</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action Type:</th>
<th>T0601300483</th>
<th>Global Id:</th>
<th>T0601300483</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Date:</td>
<td>07/08/2008</td>
<td>Enforcement</td>
<td>13267 Requirement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action Type:</th>
<th>T0601300483</th>
<th>Global Id:</th>
<th>T0601300483</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Date:</td>
<td>04/30/2008</td>
<td>Response</td>
<td>Monitoring Report - Quarterly</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action Type:</th>
<th>T0601300483</th>
<th>Global Id:</th>
<th>T0601300483</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Date:</td>
<td>10/30/2008</td>
<td>Response</td>
<td>Monitoring Report - Quarterly</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action Type:</th>
<th>T0601300483</th>
<th>Global Id:</th>
<th>T0601300483</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Date:</td>
<td>06/25/1993</td>
<td>Other</td>
<td>Leak Reported</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action Type:</th>
<th>T0601300483</th>
<th>Global Id:</th>
<th>T0601300483</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Date:</td>
<td>08/01/2008</td>
<td>Enforcement</td>
<td>13267 Requirement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action Type:</th>
<th>T0601300483</th>
<th>Global Id:</th>
<th>T0601300483</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Date:</td>
<td>04/30/2012</td>
<td>Response</td>
<td>Monitoring Report - Semi-Annually</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action Type:</th>
<th>T0601300483</th>
<th>Global Id:</th>
<th>T0601300483</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Date:</td>
<td>10/30/2012</td>
<td>Response</td>
<td>Monitoring Report - Semi-Annually</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action Type:</th>
<th>T0601300483</th>
<th>Global Id:</th>
<th>T0601300483</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Date:</td>
<td>01/30/2011</td>
<td>Other Report / Document</td>
<td>RESPONSE</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action Type:</th>
<th>T0601300483</th>
<th>Global Id:</th>
<th>T0601300483</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Date:</td>
<td>RESPONSE</td>
<td>RESPONSE</td>
<td>RESPONSE</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action Type:</th>
<th>T0601300483</th>
<th>Global Id:</th>
<th>T0601300483</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Date:</td>
<td>RESPONSE</td>
<td>RESPONSE</td>
<td>RESPONSE</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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UNOCAL (Continued)

Date: 02/03/2011
Action: Interim Remedial Action Report

Global Id: T0601300483
Action Type: RESPONSE
Date: 01/30/2010
Action: Monitoring Report - Semi-Annually

Global Id: T0601300483
Action Type: ENFORCEMENT
Date: 04/14/2008
Action: 13267 Requirement

Global Id: T0601300483
Action Type: ENFORCEMENT
Date: 11/28/2006
Action: Notice of Violation

Global Id: T0601300483
Action Type: Other
Date: 03/21/1997
Action: LeakStopped

Global Id: T0601300483
Action Type: RESPONSE
Date: 10/30/2011
Action: Monitoring Report - Semi-Annually

Global Id: T0601300483
Action Type: RESPONSE
Date: 04/30/2007
Action: Monitoring Report - Quarterly

Global Id: T0601300483
Action Type: RESPONSE
Date: 02/29/2008
Action: Other Report / Document

Global Id: T0601300483
Action Type: RESPONSE
Date: 06/11/2008
Action: Other Workplan

Global Id: T0601300483
Action Type: RESPONSE
Date: 10/01/2008
Action: Corrective Action Plan / Remedial Action Plan

Global Id: T0601300483
Action Type: RESPONSE
Date: 05/16/2013
Action: Well Destruction Report

Global Id: T0601300483
Action Type: ENFORCEMENT
Date: 04/22/2013
Action: Staff Letter
**UNOCAL (Continued)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Global Id:</th>
<th>1000167144</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Action Type:</td>
<td>RESPONSE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date:</td>
<td>07/08/2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Action:</td>
<td>Well Installation Report</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Global Id:</th>
<th>T0601300483</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Action Type:</td>
<td>ENFORCEMENT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date:</td>
<td>02/10/2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Action:</td>
<td>Notification - Public Notice of ROD/RAP/CAP</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Global Id:</th>
<th>T0601300483</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Action Type:</td>
<td>ENFORCEMENT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date:</td>
<td>01/12/2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Action:</td>
<td>Notice of Violation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Global Id:</th>
<th>T0601300483</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Action Type:</td>
<td>RESPONSE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date:</td>
<td>01/30/2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Action:</td>
<td>Monitoring Report - Quarterly</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Global Id:</th>
<th>T0601300483</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Action Type:</td>
<td>ENFORCEMENT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date:</td>
<td>03/18/2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Action:</td>
<td>Staff Letter</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Global Id:</th>
<th>T0601300483</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Action Type:</td>
<td>ENFORCEMENT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date:</td>
<td>06/13/2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Action:</td>
<td>File review</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Global Id:</th>
<th>T0601300483</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Action Type:</td>
<td>ENFORCEMENT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date:</td>
<td>10/01/2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Action:</td>
<td>Staff Letter</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Global Id:</th>
<th>T0601300483</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Action Type:</td>
<td>ENFORCEMENT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date:</td>
<td>07/20/2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Action:</td>
<td>Staff Letter</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Global Id:</th>
<th>T0601300483</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Action Type:</td>
<td>RESPONSE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date:</td>
<td>04/30/2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Action:</td>
<td>Monitoring Report - Semi-Annually</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Global Id:</th>
<th>T0601300483</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Action Type:</td>
<td>RESPONSE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date:</td>
<td>10/30/2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Action:</td>
<td>Monitoring Report - Semi-Annually</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Global Id:</th>
<th>T0601300483</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Action Type:</td>
<td>ENFORCEMENT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date:</td>
<td>11/30/2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Action:</td>
<td>File Review - Closure</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Global Id:</th>
<th>T0601300483</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Action Type:</td>
<td>ENFORCEMENT</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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UNOCAL (Continued)

Global Id: T0601300483
Action Type: RESPONSE
Date: 02/07/2008
Action: 13267 Monitoring Program

Global Id: T0601300483
Action Type: RESPONSE
Date: 07/30/2009
Action: Remedial Progress Report

Global Id: T0601300483
Action Type: ENFORCEMENT
Date: 09/25/2013
Action: 13267 Requirement

Global Id: T0601300483
Action Type: ENFORCEMENT
Date: 07/16/2013
Action: Staff Letter

Global Id: T0601300483
Action Type: ENFORCEMENT
Date: 04/01/2009
Action: 13267 Requirement

Global Id: T0601300483
Action Type: ENFORCEMENT
Date: 03/08/2012
Action: 13267 Requirement

Global Id: T0601300483
Action Type: ENFORCEMENT
Date: 05/15/2013
Action: Notification - Preclosure

Global Id: T0601300483
Action Type: RESPONSE
Date: 04/30/2006
Action: Monitoring Report - Quarterly

Global Id: T0601300483
Action Type: RESPONSE
Date: 03/30/2005
Action: Monitoring Report - Quarterly

Global Id: T0601300483
Action Type: RESPONSE
Date: 07/01/2013
Action: Correspondence

Global Id: T0601300483
Action Type: RESPONSE
Date: 10/02/2009

Global Id: T0601300483
Action Type: ENFORCEMENT
Date: 09/01/2005
UNOCAL (Continued)

Action: Staff Letter
Global Id: T0601300483
Action Type: ENFORCEMENT
Date: 07/12/2007
Action: 13267 Requirement

Global Id: T0601300483
Action Type: ENFORCEMENT
Date: 04/28/2006
Action: 13267 Requirement

Global Id: T0601300483
Action Type: ENFORCEMENT
Date: 09/18/2007
Action: 13267 Requirement

Global Id: T0601300483
Action Type: RESPONSE
Date: 08/28/2006
Action: Other Report / Document

Global Id: T0601300483
Action Type: RESPONSE
Date: 12/30/2004
Action: Other Workplan

Global Id: T0601300483
Action Type: RESPONSE
Date: 09/20/2005
Action: Other Workplan

Global Id: T0601300483
Action Type: RESPONSE
Date: 04/30/2009
Action: Monitoring Report - Quarterly

Global Id: T0601300483
Action Type: RESPONSE
Date: 08/07/2008
Action: Monitoring Report - Quarterly

Global Id: T0601300483
Action Type: RESPONSE
Date: 06/16/2011
Action: Other Report / Document

Global Id: T0601300483
Action Type: RESPONSE
Date: 03/30/2012
Action: Well Installation Report

Global Id: T0601300483
Action Type: RESPONSE
Date: 07/30/2011
Action: Remedial Progress Report - Regulator Responded
### UNOCAL (Continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Global Id:</th>
<th>T0601300483</th>
<th>Action Type:</th>
<th>RESPONSE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Date:</td>
<td>10/28/2011</td>
<td>Action:</td>
<td>Remedial Progress Report - Regulator Responded</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action Type:</th>
<th>RESPONSE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Date:</td>
<td>02/21/2012</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action</th>
<th>Other Workplan - Regulator Responded</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Date:</td>
<td>04/05/2013</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Action Type:      | RESPONSE                |
| Action:           | Request for Closure - Regulator Responded |
| Date:             | 05/01/2009               |

| Action:           | File review              |
| Date:             | 07/05/2011               |

| Action Type:      | RESPONSE                |
| Action:           | Monitoring Report - Quarterly |
| Date:             | 08/13/2008               |

| Action:           | 13267 Requirement       |
| Date:             | 08/28/2006              |

| Action Type:      | RESPONSE                |
| Action:           | Other Report / Document |
| Date:             | 05/01/2009              |

| Action Type:      | REMEDIATION             |
| Action:           | Soil Vapor Extraction (SVE) |
| Date:             | 04/20/2009              |

| Action:           | Closure/No Further Action Letter |
| Date:             | 07/02/2014               |

| Action Type:      | ENFORCEMENT             |
| Action:           | Staff Letter             |
| Date:             | 02/08/2005              |

| Action Type:      | ENFORCEMENT             |
| Action:           | Site Visit / Inspection / Sampling |
| Date:             | 02/08/2005              |

| Action Type:      | RESPONSE                |
| Date:             | 05/01/2009              |

| Action Type:      | ENFORCEMENT             |
| Action:           | 13267 Requirement       |
| Date:             | 08/28/2006              |

| Action Type:      | RESPONSE                |
| Date:             | 05/01/2009              |

| Action Type:      | ENFORCEMENT             |
| Action:           | Other Workplan - Regulator Responded |
| Date:             | 04/05/2013              |

| Action Type:      | Remedial Progress Report - Regulator Responded |
| Date:             | 10/28/2011              |

| Action Type:      | Request for Closure - Regulator Responded |
| Date:             | 04/05/2013              |

| Action Type:      | Site Visit / Inspection / Sampling |
| Date:             | 02/08/2005              |

| Action Type:      | RESPONSE                |
| Date:             | 05/01/2009              |

| Action Type:      | ENFORCEMENT             |
| Action:           | Staff Letter             |
| Date:             | 02/08/2005              |

| Action Type:      | Site Visit / Inspection / Sampling |
| Date:             | 02/08/2005              |

| Action Type:      | RESPONSE                |
| Date:             | 05/01/2009              |

| Action Type:      | ENFORCEMENT             |
| Action:           | Other Workplan - Regulator Responded |
| Date:             | 04/05/2013              |

| Action Type:      | Remedial Progress Report - Regulator Responded |
| Date:             | 10/28/2011              |

| Action Type:      | Request for Closure - Regulator Responded |
| Date:             | 04/05/2013              |

| Action Type:      | Site Visit / Inspection / Sampling |
| Date:             | 02/08/2005              |

| Action Type:      | RESPONSE                |
| Date:             | 05/01/2009              |

| Action Type:      | ENFORCEMENT             |
| Action:           | Other Workplan - Regulator Responded |
| Date:             | 04/05/2013              |

| Action Type:      | Remedial Progress Report - Regulator Responded |
| Date:             | 10/28/2011              |

| Action Type:      | Request for Closure - Regulator Responded |
| Date:             | 04/05/2013              |

| Action Type:      | Site Visit / Inspection / Sampling |
| Date:             | 02/08/2005              |

| Action Type:      | RESPONSE                |
| Date:             | 05/01/2009              |

| Action Type:      | ENFORCEMENT             |
| Action:           | Other Workplan - Regulator Responded |
| Date:             | 04/05/2013              |

| Action Type:      | Remedial Progress Report - Regulator Responded |
| Date:             | 10/28/2011              |

| Action Type:      | Request for Closure - Regulator Responded |
| Date:             | 04/05/2013              |

| Action Type:      | Site Visit / Inspection / Sampling |
| Date:             | 02/08/2005              |

| Action Type:      | RESPONSE                |
| Date:             | 05/01/2009              |
UNOCAL (Continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date:</th>
<th>01/30/2010</th>
<th>Action:</th>
<th>Monitoring Report - Semi-Annually</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Global Id:</td>
<td>T0601300483</td>
<td>Action Type:</td>
<td>ENFORCEMENT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date:</td>
<td>07/28/2009</td>
<td>Action:</td>
<td>13267 Requirement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Global Id:</td>
<td>T0601300483</td>
<td>Action Type:</td>
<td>ENFORCEMENT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date:</td>
<td>12/10/2013</td>
<td>Action:</td>
<td>13267 Requirement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Global Id:</td>
<td>T0601300483</td>
<td>Action Type:</td>
<td>RESPONSE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date:</td>
<td>04/30/2011</td>
<td>Action:</td>
<td>Monitoring Report - Semi-Annually</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Global Id:</td>
<td>T0601300483</td>
<td>Action Type:</td>
<td>RESPONSE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date:</td>
<td>10/30/2010</td>
<td>Action:</td>
<td>Monitoring Report - Semi-Annually</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Global Id:</td>
<td>T0601300483</td>
<td>Action Type:</td>
<td>RESPONSE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date:</td>
<td>07/31/2006</td>
<td>Action:</td>
<td>Monitoring Report - Quarterly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Global Id:</td>
<td>T0601300483</td>
<td>Action Type:</td>
<td>RESPONSE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date:</td>
<td>01/30/2007</td>
<td>Action:</td>
<td>Monitoring Report - Quarterly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Global Id:</td>
<td>T0601300483</td>
<td>Action Type:</td>
<td>REMEDIATION</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date:</td>
<td>08/13/2009</td>
<td>Action:</td>
<td>In Situ Physical/Chemical Treatment (other than SVE)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

LUST REG 2:

Region: 2
Facility Id: 07-0522
Facility Status: Leak being confirmed
Case Number: 08112
How Discovered: Tank Closure
Leak Cause: UNK
Leak Source: UNK
Date Leak Confirmed: 7/11/1997
Oversight Program: LUST
Prelim. Site Assessment Workplan Submitted: Not reported
Preliminary Site Assessment Began: Not reported
Pollution Characterization Began: Not reported
Pollution Remediation Plan Submitted: Not reported
Date Remediation Action Underway: Not reported
Date Post Remedial Action Monitoring Began: Not reported
UNOCAL (Continued)

SWEEPS UST:
Status: Active
Comp Number: 8112
Number: 2
Board Of Equalization: 44-001057
Referral Date: 12-04-91
Action Date: 12-04-91
Created Date: 07-22-88
Owner Tank Id: 3713-SU-1
SWRCB Tank Id: 07-000-008112-000002
Tank Status: A
Capacity: 10000
Active Date: 12-04-91
Tank Use: M.V. FUEL
STG: P
Content: REG UNLEADED
Number Of Tanks: 2

Status: Not reported
Comp Number: 8112
Number: Not reported
Board Of Equalization: 44-001057
Referral Date: Not reported
Action Date: Not reported
Created Date: Not reported
Owner Tank Id: Not reported
SWRCB Tank Id: 07-000-008112-000003
Tank Status: Not reported
Capacity: 550
Active Date: Not reported
Tank Use: OIL
STG: WASTE
Content: WASTE OIL
Number Of Tanks: 1

HIST UST:
Region: STATE
Facility ID: 00000008112
Facility Type: Gas Station
UNOCAL (Continued)

Other Type: Not reported
Contact Name: MAHMOOD SAIDI BEHNAM
Telephone: 4152371091
Owner Name: UNION OIL CO.
Owner Address: 1 CALIFORNIA ST. SUITE 2700
Owner City,St,Zip: SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111
Total Tanks: 0003

Tank Num: 001
Container Num: 3713-1-1
Year Installed: 1971
Tank Capacity: 00010000
Tank Used for: PRODUCT
Type of Fuel: UNLEADED
Container Construction Thickness: Not reported
Leak Detection: Stock Inventor, 10

Tank Num: 002
Container Num: 3713-2-1
Year Installed: 1971
Tank Capacity: 00010000
Tank Used for: PRODUCT
Type of Fuel: PREMIUM
Container Construction Thickness: Not reported
Leak Detection: Stock Inventor, 10

Tank Num: 003
Container Num: 3713-4-1
Year Installed: Not reported
Tank Capacity: 00000280
Tank Used for: WASTE
Type of Fuel: WASTE OIL
Container Construction Thickness: Not reported
Leak Detection: Stock Inventor

HIST CORTESE:
Region: CORTESE
Facility County Code: 7
Reg By: LTNKA
Reg Id: 07-0522

CONTRA COSTA CO. SITE LIST:
Facility ID: 708112
Billing Status: ACTIVE, BILLABLE
Program Status: CONTRA COSTA CO. SITE LIST
Program/Elements: HWG: REPORTED ZERO
Region: CONTRA COSTA

Facility ID: 708112
Billing Status: ACTIVE, BILLABLE
Program Status: CONTRA COSTA CO. SITE LIST
Program/Elements: HMBP: >100K-250K LBS, 0-19 EMPLOYEES
Region: CONTRA COSTA

Facility ID: 708112
Billing Status: ACTIVE, BILLABLE
Program Status: CONTRA COSTA CO. SITE LIST
Program/Elements: HMBP: REPORTED ZERO
Region: CONTRA COSTA

Facility ID: 708112
Billing Status: ACTIVE, BILLABLE
UNOCAL (Continued)

Program Status: CONTRA COSTA CO. SITE LIST
Program/Elements: UNDERGROUND STORAGE TANK SITE
Region: CONTRA COSTA

47
P G & E - EL CERRITO G SUBSTATION
East
7140 SCHMIDT LN
1/4-1/2
0.488 mi.
2576 ft.

Relative: SLIC REG 2:
Higher
Region:
2
Facility ID:
07S0127

Actual:
125 ft.

Facility Status:
Not reported
Date Closed:
Not reported
Local Case #:
Not reported
How Discovered:
RPR
Leak Cause:
Not reported
Leak Source:
Not reported
Date Confirmed:
Not reported
Date Prelim Site Assmnt Workplan Submitted:
Not reported
Date Preliminary Site Assessment Began:
Not reported
Date Pollution Characterization Began:
Not reported
Date Remediation Plan Submitted:
Not reported
Date Remedial Action Underway:
Not reported
Date Post Remedial Action Monitoring Began:
Not reported

48
LIQUID GOLD OIL CORP.
SW
HOFFMAN BLVD & S 47TH ST
1/2-1
0.734 mi.
3878 ft.

Relative: Delisted NPL:
Lower

Actual:
10 ft.

EPA ID:
CAT000646208
Site ID:
0902860
EPA Region:
9
Federal:
G
Deleted Date:
1996-09-11 00:00:00

Category Details:
NPL Status:
Deleted from the Final NPL
Category Description:
Depth To Aquifer-> 50 And <= 100 Feet
Category Value:
100

NPL Status:
Deleted from the Final NPL
Category Description:
Distance To Nearest Population-> 0 And <= 1/4 Mile
Category Value:
1320

Site Details:
Site Name:
LIQUID GOLD OIL CORP.
Site Status:
Deleted
Site Zip:
94804
LIQUID GOLD OIL CORP. (Continued)

Site City: RICHMOND
Site State: CA
Federal Site: No
Site County: CONTRA COSTA
EPA Region: 09
Date Proposed: 12/30/82
Date Deleted: 9/11/1996
Date Finalized: 09/08/83

Substance Details:
NPL Status: Deleted from the Final NPL
Substance ID: Not reported
Substance: Not reported
CAS #: Not reported
Pathway: Not reported
Scoring: Not reported

NPL Status: Deleted from the Final NPL
Substance ID: A020
Substance: CHROMIUM AND COMPOUNDS
CAS #: Not reported
Pathway: GROUND WATER PATHWAY
Scoring: 2

NPL Status: Deleted from the Final NPL
Substance ID: A046
Substance: POLYCHLORINATED BIPHENYLS
CAS #: 1336-36-3
Pathway: AIR PATHWAY
Scoring: 3

NPL Status: Deleted from the Final NPL
Substance ID: A046
Substance: POLYCHLORINATED BIPHENYLS
CAS #: 1336-36-3
Pathway: GROUND WATER PATHWAY
Scoring: 3

NPL Status: Deleted from the Final NPL
Substance ID: A046
Substance: POLYCHLORINATED BIPHENYLS
CAS #: 1336-36-3
Pathway: SURFACE WATER PATHWAY
Scoring: 4

NPL Status: Deleted from the Final NPL
Substance ID: C132
Substance: HYDROCARBONS
CAS #: 308067-53-0
Pathway: AIR PATHWAY
Scoring: 2

NPL Status: Deleted from the Final NPL
Substance ID: D004
Substance: ARSENIC
CAS #: 7440-38-2
Pathway: GROUND WATER PATHWAY
LIQUID GOLD OIL CORP. (Continued)

Scoring: 2
NPL Status: Deleted from the Final NPL
Substance ID: D008
Substance: LEAD (PB)
CAS #: 7439-92-1
Pathway: GROUND WATER PATHWAY
Scoring: 4

NPL Status: Deleted from the Final NPL
Substance ID: D008
Substance: LEAD (PB)
CAS #: 7439-92-1
Pathway: SURFACE WATER PATHWAY
Scoring: 3

NPL Status: Deleted from the Final NPL
Substance ID: U188
Substance: PHENOL
CAS #: 108-95-2
Pathway: AIR PATHWAY
Scoring: 2

NPL Status: Deleted from the Final NPL
Substance ID: U188
Substance: PHENOL
CAS #: 108-95-2
Pathway: GROUND WATER PATHWAY
Scoring: 2

NPL Status: Deleted from the Final NPL
Substance ID: W001
Substance: HEAVY METALS
CAS #: Not reported
Pathway: GROUND WATER PATHWAY
Scoring: 2

NPL Status: Deleted from the Final NPL
Substance ID: W005
Substance: WASTE OILS
CAS #: Not reported
Pathway: GROUND WATER PATHWAY
Scoring: 2

Summary Details:

Conditions at listing December 1982): The Liquid Gold Oil Corp. Site covers 17 acres of filled marshland within the City of Richmond, California. Liquid Gold was registered with the State of California as a waste oil pickup business. It purchased used oil and resold it for uses such as fuel, lubricating oil, and dust control. Several deteriorating buildings and 27 storage tanks of various sizes are on about 2 acres. Oily wastes found on the ground, as well as liquid wastes stored in the tanks, contain lead, chromium, nickel, and phenols. The site is within 1,000 feet of the San Francisco Bay and overlies shallow ground water, which has no known beneficial uses at present. Status July 1983): The site has been the subject of numerous State enforcement actions, and Liquid Gold has ceased operations at this location. The State is working with the landowner, Southern Pacific
LIQUID GOLD OIL CORP. (Continued)

Transportation Co., to clean up the Liquid Gold facility. In May 1983, Southern Pacific completed removing the storage tanks and their contents from the facility. The State expects to receive a cleanup plan from Southern Pacific in August 1983.

Site Status Details:
- NPL Status: Deleted
- Proposed Date: 12/30/1982
- Final Date: 09/08/1983
- Deleted Date: 09/11/1996

Narratives Details:
- NPL Name: LIQUID GOLD OIL CORP.
- City: RICHMOND
- State: CA

CERCLIS:
- Site ID: 0902660
- EPA ID: CAT000646208
- Facility County: CONTRA COSTA
- Short Name: LIQUID GOLD OIL CORP
- Congressional District: 11
- IFMS ID: 0947
- SMSA Number: 7360
- USGC Hydro Unit: 18050002
- Federal Facility: Not a Federal Facility
- DMNSN Number: 18.00000
- Site Orphan Flag: N
- RCRA ID: Y
- USGS Quadrangle: Not reported
- Site Init By Prog: Not reported
- NFRAP Flag: Not reported
- Parent ID: Not reported
- RST Code: Not reported
- EPA Region: 09
- Classification: Industrial Waste Treatment
- Site Settings Code: UR
- NPL Status: Deleted from the Final NPL
- DMNSN Unit Code: ACRE
- RBRAC Code: Not reported
- RResp Fed Agency Code: Not reported
- Non NPL Status: Not reported
- Non NPL Status Date: / / 
- Site Fips Code: 06013
- CC Concurrence Date: 09/27/95
- CC Concurrence FY: 1995
- Alias EPA ID: Not reported
- Site FUDS Flag: Not reported

CERCLIS Site Contact Name(s):
- Contact ID: 9000109.00000
- Contact Name: Richard Martyn
- Contact Tel: (415) 972-3038
- Contact Title: On-Scene Coordinator (OSC)
- Contact Email: Not reported
**LIQUID GOLD OIL CORP. (Continued)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contact ID:</th>
<th>13003854.00000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Contact Name:</td>
<td>Leslie Ramirez</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contact Tel:</td>
<td>(415) 972-3978</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contact Title:</td>
<td>Site Assessment Manager (SAM)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contact Email:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contact ID:</th>
<th>13003858.00000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Contact Name:</td>
<td>Sharon Murray</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contact Tel:</td>
<td>(415) 972-4250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contact Title:</td>
<td>Site Assessment Manager (SAM)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contact Email:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contact ID:</th>
<th>13004003.00000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Contact Name:</td>
<td>Carl Brickner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contact Tel:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contact Title:</td>
<td>Site Assessment Manager (SAM)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contact Email:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contact ID:</th>
<th>13004162.00000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Contact Name:</td>
<td>Rachelle Thompson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contact Tel:</td>
<td>(415) 972-3962</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contact Title:</td>
<td>Remedial Project Manager (RPM)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contact Email:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**CERCLIS Site Alias Name(s):**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Alias ID:</th>
<th>101</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alias Name:</td>
<td>LIQUID GOLD OIL CORP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alias Address:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Alias ID:</th>
<th>102</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alias Name:</td>
<td>LIQUID GOLD OIL CORP.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alias Address:</td>
<td>HOFFMAN BLVD &amp; S 47TH ST RICHMOND, CA 94804</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alias Comments:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Site Description:**

LIQUID GOLD, RICHMOND, CA, PURCHASED USED OIL & SOLD IT FOR FINED USES (FUEL/LUBRICATION OILS). LOCATED NEXT TO SF BAY AND MARSH. SAMPLING DISCLOSED CONTAMINATION OF SOIL AND GROUND WATERS. RESULT OF SPILLS & LEAKS FROM STORAGE TANKS.

**CERCLIS Assessment History:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action Code:</th>
<th>001</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Action:</td>
<td>DISCOVERY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date Started:</td>
<td>/ /</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date Completed:</td>
<td>10/01/79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Priority Level:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operable Unit:</td>
<td>SITEWIDE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary Responsibility:</td>
<td>EPA Fund-Financed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planning Status:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urgency Indicator:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Action Anomaly:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For detailed financial records, contact EDR for a Site Report:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action Code:</th>
<th>001</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Action:</td>
<td>PRELIMINARY ASSESSMENT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date Started:</td>
<td>/ /</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
LIQUID GOLD OIL CORP. (Continued)

Date Completed: 02/01/80
Priority Level: Higher priority for further assessment
Operable Unit: SITEWIDE
Primary Responsibility: EPA Fund-Financed
Planning Status: Not reported
Urgency Indicator: Not reported
Action Anomaly: Not reported

For detailed financial records, contact EDR for a Site Report.

Action Code: 001
Action: SITE INSPECTION
Date Started: 02/01/80
Date Completed: 12/01/82
Priority Level: Higher priority for further assessment
Operable Unit: SITEWIDE
Primary Responsibility: EPA Fund-Financed
Planning Status: Not reported
Urgency Indicator: Not reported
Action Anomaly: Not reported

For detailed financial records, contact EDR for a Site Report.

Action Code: 001
Action: HAZARD RANKING SYSTEM PACKAGE
Date Started: / / 
Date Completed: 12/01/82
Priority Level: Not reported
Operable Unit: SITEWIDE
Primary Responsibility: EPA Fund-Financed
Planning Status: Not reported
Urgency Indicator: Not reported
Action Anomaly: Not reported

For detailed financial records, contact EDR for a Site Report.

Action Code: 001
Action: PROPOSAL TO NATIONAL PRIORITIES LIST
Date Started: / / 
Date Completed: 12/30/82
Priority Level: Not reported
Operable Unit: SITEWIDE
Primary Responsibility: EPA Fund-Financed
Planning Status: Not reported
Urgency Indicator: Not reported
Action Anomaly: Not reported

For detailed financial records, contact EDR for a Site Report.

Action Code: 001
Action: FINAL LISTING ON NATIONAL PRIORITIES LIST
Date Started: / / 
Date Completed: 09/08/83
Priority Level: Not reported
Operable Unit: SITEWIDE
**LIQUID GOLD OIL CORP. (Continued)**

| Action Code | Action Code: 001 | Action: STATE ORDER | Date Started: / / | Date Completed: 12/09/87 | Priority Level: Not reported | Operable Unit: SITEWIDE | Primary Responsibility: State Enforcement | Planning Status: Not reported | Urgency Indicator: Not reported | Action Anomaly: Not reported |

For detailed financial records, contact EDR for a Site Report.

| Action Code | Action Code: 001 | Action: REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION/FEASIBILITY STUDY NEGOTIATIONS | Date Started: 11/18/87 | Date Completed: 01/13/88 | Priority Level: Not reported | Operable Unit: SITEWIDE | Primary Responsibility: State Enforcement | Planning Status: Primary | Urgency Indicator: Not reported | Action Anomaly: Not reported |

For detailed financial records, contact EDR for a Site Report.

| Action Code | Action Code: 002 | Action: REMOVAL ASSESSMENT | Date Started: 09/19/90 | Date Completed: 09/19/90 | Priority Level: Not reported | Operable Unit: SITEWIDE | Primary Responsibility: EPA Fund-Financed | Planning Status: Primary | Urgency Indicator: Not reported | Action Anomaly: Not reported |

For detailed financial records, contact EDR for a Site Report.
LIQUID GOLD OIL CORP. (Continued)

For detailed financial records, contact EDR for a Site Report:

Action Code: 001
Action: POTENTIALLY RESPONSIBLE PARTY REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION/FEASIBILITY STUDY
Date Started: 09/20/83
Date Completed: 06/21/93
Priority Level: Not reported
Operable Unit: OVERALL SITE
Primary Responsibility: PRP Response Under State
Planning Status: Primary
Urgency Indicator: Not reported
Action Anomaly: Not reported

For detailed financial records, contact EDR for a Site Report:

Action Code: 001
Action: ADMINISTRATIVE RECORDS
Date Started: 06/21/93
Date Completed: 06/21/93
Priority Level: Admin RecordCompiled for a Remedial Event
Operable Unit: OVERALL SITE
Primary Responsibility: EPA Fund-Financed
Planning Status: Primary
Urgency Indicator: Not reported
Action Anomaly: Not reported

For detailed financial records, contact EDR for a Site Report:

Action Code: 001
Action: REMEDIAL DESIGN/REMEDIAL ACTION NEGOTIATIONS
Date Started: / / 
Date Completed: 06/21/93
Priority Level: Not reported
Operable Unit: SITEWIDE
Primary Responsibility: State Enforcement
Planning Status: Alternate
Urgency Indicator: Not reported
Action Anomaly: Not reported

For detailed financial records, contact EDR for a Site Report:

Action Code: 001
Action: RECORD OF DECISION
Date Started: / / 
Date Completed: 06/21/93
Priority Level: Final Remedy Selected at Site
Operable Unit: OVERALL SITE
Primary Responsibility: Federal Enforcement
Planning Status: Primary
Urgency Indicator: Not reported
Action Anomaly: Not reported
LIQUID GOLD OIL CORP. (Continued)

For detailed financial records, contact EDR for a Site Report:

Action Code: 001
Action: POTENTIALLY RESPONSIBLE PARTY REMEDIAL DESIGN
Date Started: 06/18/93
Date Completed: 01/11/94
Priority Level: Not reported
Operable Unit: OVERALL SITE
Primary Responsibility: PRP Response Under State
Planning Status: Primary
Urgency Indicator: Not reported
Action Anomaly: Not reported

For detailed financial records, contact EDR for a Site Report:

Action Code: 001
Action: POTENTIALLY RESPONSIBLE PARTY REMEDIAL ACTION
Date Started: 01/11/94
Date Completed: 08/14/95
Priority Level: Not reported
Operable Unit: OVERALL SITE
Primary Responsibility: PRP Response Under State
Planning Status: Primary
Urgency Indicator: Not reported
Action Anomaly: Not reported

For detailed financial records, contact EDR for a Site Report:

Action Code: 001
Action: PRELIMINARY CLOSE-OUT REPORT PREPARED
Date Started: / / 
Date Completed: 09/27/95
Priority Level: Not reported
Operable Unit: OVERALL SITE
Primary Responsibility: EPA Fund-Financed
Planning Status: Not reported
Urgency Indicator: Not reported
Action Anomaly: Not reported

For detailed financial records, contact EDR for a Site Report:

Action Code: 001
Action: DELETION FROM NATIONAL PRIORITIES LIST
Date Started: / / 
Date Completed: 09/11/96
Priority Level: Not reported
Operable Unit: SITEWIDE
Primary Responsibility: EPA Fund-Financed
Planning Status: Primary
Urgency Indicator: Not reported
Action Anomaly: Not reported

For detailed financial records, contact EDR for a Site Report:

Action Code: 001
Action: ADMINISTRATIVE/VOLUNTARY COST RECOVERY

1009966369
LIQUID GOLD OIL CORP. (Continued)

Date Started: 03/01/10
Date Completed: 09/21/10
Priority Level: Not reported
Operable Unit: OVERALL SITE
Primary Responsibility: EPA Fund-Financed
Planning Status: Not reported
Urgency Indicator: Not reported
Action Anomaly: Not reported

For detailed financial records, contact EDR for a Site Report.

Action Code: 003
Action: FIVE-YEAR REVIEW
Date Started: 03/01/10
Date Completed: 09/21/10
Priority Level: Not reported
Operable Unit: OVERALL SITE
Primary Responsibility: EPA Fund-Financed
Planning Status: Not reported
Urgency Indicator: Not reported
Action Anomaly: Not reported

For detailed financial records, contact EDR for a Site Report.

Action Code: 002
Action: FIVE-YEAR REVIEW
Date Started: 03/01/05
Date Completed: 09/28/05
Priority Level: Not reported
Operable Unit: OVERALL SITE
Primary Responsibility: EPA Fund-Financed
Planning Status: Not reported
Urgency Indicator: Not reported
Action Anomaly: Not reported

For detailed financial records, contact EDR for a Site Report.

Action Code: 001
Action: PREPARATION OF COST DOCUMENT PACKAGE
Date Started: 08/01/00
Date Completed: 05/26/00
Priority Level: Not reported
Operable Unit: SITEWIDE
Primary Responsibility: Federal Enforcement
Planning Status: Not reported
Urgency Indicator: Not reported
Action Anomaly: Not reported

For detailed financial records, contact EDR for a Site Report.

Action Code: 001
Action: FIVE-YEAR REVIEW
Date Started: 08/01/00
Date Completed: 05/26/00
Priority Level: Not reported
Operable Unit: SITEWIDE
Primary Responsibility: Federal Enforcement
Planning Status: Not reported
Urgency Indicator: Not reported
Action Anomaly: Not reported

For detailed financial records, contact EDR for a Site Report.

Action Code: / /
### LIQUID GOLD OIL CORP. (Continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Elevation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RICHMOND, CA 94804</td>
<td>HOFFMAN BLVD &amp; S 47TH ST</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Address:** LIQUID GOLD OIL CORP.
- **Site ID:** 0902660
- **EPA ID:** CAT000646208
- **Primary Responsibility:** EPA Fund-Financed
- **Planning Status:** Primary
- **Urgency Indicator:** Not reported
- **Action Anomaly:** Not reported

For detailed financial records, contact EDR for a Site Report.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action Code</th>
<th>Action</th>
<th>Date Started</th>
<th>Date Completed</th>
<th>Priority Level</th>
<th>Operable Unit</th>
<th>Primary Responsibility</th>
<th>Planning Status</th>
<th>Urgency Indicator</th>
<th>Action Anomaly</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>001</td>
<td>OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE</td>
<td>09/27/95</td>
<td>/ /</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>OVERALL SITE</td>
<td>PRP Response Under State</td>
<td>Primary</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For detailed financial records, contact EDR for a Site Report.

- **Federal Register Details:**
  - Fed Register Date: 09/11/96
  - Fed Register Volume: 61
  - Page Number: 47825
  
  - Fed Register Date: 09/08/83
  - Fed Register Volume: 48
  - Page Number: 40658
  
  - Fed Register Date: 12/30/82
  - Fed Register Volume: 47
  - Page Number: 58476

[Click this hyperlink](#) while viewing on your computer to access
30 additional US CERCLIS Financial: record(s) in the EDR Site Report.

### CORRACTS:

- **EPA ID:** CAT000646208
- **EPA Region:** 09
- **Area Name:** ENTIRE FACILITY
- **Actual Date:** 19800201
- **Action:** CA075HI - CA Prioritization, Facility or area was assigned a high corrective action priority
- **NAICS Code(s):** Not reported
- **Original schedule date:** Not reported
- **Schedule end date:** Not reported

### US ENG CONTROLS:

- **EPA ID:** CAT000646208
- **Site ID:** 0902660
- **Name:** LIQUID GOLD OIL CORP.
- **Address:** HOFFMAN BLVD & S 47TH ST RICHMOND, CA 94804
LIQUID GOLD OIL CORP. (Continued)

EPA Region: 09
County: CONTRA COSTA
Event Code: Not reported
Actual Date: 06/30/1993
Contact Name: Not reported
Contact Phone and Ext: Not reported
Latitude: Not reported
Longitude: Not reported

Action ID: 001
Action Name: RECORD OF DECISION
Action Completion date: 06/21/1993
Operable Unit: 01
Contaminated Media: Debris
Engineering Control: Disposal
Contact Name: Not reported
Contact Phone and Ext: Not reported
Latitude: Not reported
Longitude: Not reported

Action ID: 001
Action Name: RECORD OF DECISION
Action Completion date: 06/21/1993
Operable Unit: 01
Contaminated Media: Groundwater
Engineering Control: Monitoring
Contact Name: Not reported
Contact Phone and Ext: Not reported
Latitude: Not reported
Longitude: Not reported

Action ID: 001
Action Name: RECORD OF DECISION
Action Completion date: 06/21/1993
Operable Unit: 01
Contaminated Media: Sediment
Engineering Control: Consolidate
Contact Name: Not reported
Contact Phone and Ext: Not reported
Latitude: Not reported
Longitude: Not reported

Action ID: 001
Action Name: RECORD OF DECISION
Action Completion date: 06/21/1993
Operable Unit: 01
Contaminated Media: Sediment
Engineering Control: Disposal
Contact Name: Not reported
Contact Phone and Ext: Not reported
Latitude: Not reported
Longitude: Not reported

Action ID: 001
Action Name: RECORD OF DECISION
Action Completion date: 06/21/1993
Operable Unit: 01
Contaminated Media: Debris
Engineering Control: Disposal
Contact Name: Not reported
Contact Phone and Ext: Not reported
Latitude: Not reported
Longitude: Not reported
<p>| Map ID | Direction | Distance | Elevation | Site | Database(s) | EPA ID Number | EDR ID Number | Site ID | Name | Action Name | Address | EPA Region | County | Event Code | Inst. Control | Actual Date | Complet. Date | Operable Unit | Contaminated Media | Contact Name | Contact Phone and Ext | Latitude | Longitude | Contact Phone and Ext | Contact Name | Engineering Control | Site ID | Name | Action Name | Address | EPA Region | County | Event Code | Inst. Control | Actual Date | Complet. Date | Operable Unit | Contaminated Media | Contact Name | Contact Phone and Ext | Latitude | Longitude | Contact Phone and Ext | Contact Name | Engineering Control |
|--------|-----------|----------|-----------|-------|-------------|---------------|---------------|---------|-----|-------------|----------|-------------|-------|------------|--------------|-------------|--------------|-------------|----------------|--------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|-----------------|----------------|-----------------|---------------|----------------|-----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|---------------- |----------------|
|        |           |          |           |      |             |               |               |         |    |             |          |             |       |            |              |             |              |             |                |              |                |                 |               |                |                |                |                |               |                |               |                |                |                |                |                |               |                |                |                |               |                |                |                |                |                |                |                |               |                |                |                |                |                |                |                |                |                |               |                |                |                |                |                |                |                |                |                |                |                |                |  |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>EDR ID Number</th>
<th>Database(s)</th>
<th>EPA ID Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1009966369</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### LIQUID GOLD OIL CORP. (Continued)

- **Actual Date:** 06/30/1993
- **Complet. Date:** 06/21/1993
- **Operable Unit:** 01
- **Contaminated Media:** Groundwater
- **Contact Name:** Not reported
- **Contact Phone and Ext:** Not reported
- **Latitude:** Not reported
- **Longitude:** Not reported

**EPA ID:** CAT000646208  
**Site ID:** 0902660  
**Name:** LIQUID GOLD OIL CORP.  
**Action Name:** RECORD OF DECISION  
**Address:** HOFFMAN BLVD & S 47TH ST  
RICHMOND, CA 94804  
**EPA Region:** 09  
**County:** CONTRA COSTA  
**Event Code:** Not reported  
**Inst. Control:** Institutional Controls, (N.O.S.)  
**Actual Date:** 06/30/1993  
**Complet. Date:** 06/21/1993  
**Operable Unit:** 01

### Groundwater

- **Contaminated Media:** Groundwater
- **Contact Name:** Not reported
- **Contact Phone and Ext:** Not reported
- **Latitude:** Not reported
- **Longitude:** Not reported

**EPA ID:** CAT000646208  
**Site ID:** 0902660  
**Name:** LIQUID GOLD OIL CORP.  
**Action Name:** RECORD OF DECISION  
**Address:** HOFFMAN BLVD & S 47TH ST  
RICHMOND, CA 94804  
**EPA Region:** 09  
**County:** CONTRA COSTA  
**Event Code:** Not reported  
**Inst. Control:** Deed Restriction  
**Actual Date:** 06/30/1993  
**Complet. Date:** 06/21/1993  
**Operable Unit:** 01

### Sediment

- **Contaminated Media:** Sediment
- **Contact Name:** Not reported
- **Contact Phone and Ext:** Not reported
- **Latitude:** Not reported
- **Longitude:** Not reported

**EPA ID:** CAT000646208  
**Site ID:** 0902660  
**Name:** LIQUID GOLD OIL CORP.  
**Action Name:** RECORD OF DECISION  
**Address:** HOFFMAN BLVD & S 47TH ST  
RICHMOND, CA 94804  
**EPA Region:** 09  
**County:** CONTRA COSTA  
**Event Code:** Not reported  
**Inst. Control:** Institutional Controls, (N.O.S.)
## LIQUID GOLD OIL CORP. (Continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Actual Date:</th>
<th>06/30/1993</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Complet. Date:</td>
<td>06/21/1993</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operable Unit:</td>
<td>01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contaminated Media :</td>
<td>Sediment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contact Name :</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contact Phone and Ext :</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latitude :</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Longitude :</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### EPA ID:
CAT000646208

### Site ID:
0902660

### Name:
LIQUID GOLD OIL CORP.

### Action Name:
RECORD OF DECISION

### Address:
HOFFMAN BLVD & S 47TH ST
RICHMOND, CA 94804

### EPA Region:
09

### County:
CONTRA COSTA

### Event Code:
Not reported

### Inst. Control:
Deed Restriction

### Actual Date:
06/30/1993

### Complet. Date:
06/21/1993

### Operable Unit:
01

### Contaminated Media :
Soil

### Contact Name :
Not reported

### Contact Phone and Ext :
Not reported

### Latitude :
Not reported

### Longitude :
Not reported

---

**RCRA NonGen / NLR:**

Date form received by agency: 08/14/1980

Facility name: LIQUID GOLD OIL CORP.

Facility address: HOFFMAN BLVD AND SO. 47TH ST.
RICHMOND, CA 94804

EPA ID: CAT000646208

Mailing address: 1696 MARTINEZ ST.
SAN LEANDRO, CA 94577

Contact: ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGER

Contact address: HOFFMAN BLVD AND SO. 47TH ST.
### LIQUID GOLD OIL CORP. (Continued)

- **Address**: RICHMOND, CA 94804
- **Map ID**: 1009966369

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Map ID</th>
<th>Direction</th>
<th>Distance</th>
<th>Elevation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Database(s)</th>
<th>EPA ID Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Corrective Action Summary:
- **Event date**: 02/01/1980
  - **Event**: CA029SF
- **Event**: 02/01/1980
  - **Event**: CA0449PA
- **Event**: 02/01/1980
  - **Event**: CA Prioritization, Facility or area was assigned a high corrective

---

**Owner/Operator Summary**:
- **Owner/operator name**: BRYAN FABIAN
- **Owner/operator address**: 1696 MARTINEZ ST., SAN LEANDRO, CA 94577
- **Owner/operator country**: Not reported
- **Owner/operator telephone**: (415) 635-5626
- **Legal status**: Private

**Owner/Operator**
- **Owner/operator name**: BRYAN FABIAN
- **Owner/operator address**: 1696 MARTINEZ ST., CITY NOT REPORTED, CA 99999
- **Owner/operator country**: Not reported
- **Owner/operator telephone**: (415) 635-5626
- **Legal status**: Private

---

**Handler Activities Summary**:
- **U.S. importer of hazardous waste**: No
- **Mixed waste (haz. and radioactive)**: No
- **Recycler of hazardous waste**: No
- **Transporter of hazardous waste**: Yes
- **Treater, storer or disposer of HW**: No
- **Underground injection activity**: No
- **On-site burner exemption**: No
- **Furnace exemption**: No
- **Used oil fuel burner**: No
- **Used oil processor**: No
- **User oil refiner**: No
- **Used oil fuel marketer to burner**: No
- **Used oil Specification marketer**: No
- **Used oil transfer facility**: No
- **Used oil transporter**: No

---

**Event date**: 02/01/1980
- **Event**: CA029SF

**Event date**: 02/01/1980
- **Event**: CA0449PA

**Event date**: 02/01/1980
- **Event**: CA Prioritization, Facility or area was assigned a high corrective
**MAP FINDINGS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Map ID</th>
<th>Direction</th>
<th>Distance</th>
<th>Elevation</th>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Database(s)</th>
<th>EPA ID Number</th>
<th>EDR ID Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**LIQUID GOLD OIL CORP. (Continued)** 1009966369

- **Event date:** 02/01/1980
- **Event:** CA074HI

- **Event date:** 12/01/1982
- **Event:** CA049SI

**Facility Has Received Notices of Violations:**
- **Regulation violated:** Not reported
- **Area of violation:** Generators - General
- **Date violation determined:** 09/08/1987
- **Date achieved compliance:** 12/03/1987
- **Violation lead agency:** State
  - **Enforcement action:** WRITTEN INFORMAL
  - **Enforcement action date:** 10/05/1987
  - **Enf. disposition status:** Not reported
  - **Enf. disp. status date:** Not reported
  - **Enforcement lead agency:** State
  - **Proposed penalty amount:** Not reported
  - **Final penalty amount:** Not reported
  - **Paid penalty amount:** Not reported

**Evaluation Action Summary:**
- **Evaluation date:** 09/08/1987
- **Evaluation:** NON-FINANCIAL RECORD REVIEW
- **Area of violation:** Generators - General
- **Date achieved compliance:** 12/03/1987
- **Evaluation lead agency:** State

- **Evaluation date:** 11/29/1986
- **Evaluation:** COMPLIANCE EVALUATION INSPECTION ON-SITE
- **Area of violation:** Not reported
- **Date achieved compliance:** Not reported
- **Evaluation lead agency:** State

- **Evaluation date:** 11/20/1985
- **Evaluation:** COMPLIANCE EVALUATION INSPECTION ON-SITE
- **Area of violation:** Not reported
- **Date achieved compliance:** Not reported
- **Evaluation lead agency:** State

**ROD:**
- Full-text of USEPA Record of Decision(s) is available from EDR.

---

**49**  
**BLAIR SOUTHERN PACIFIC LANDFILL**  
**LS 21**  
**1/2-1**  
**0.794 mi.**  
**4190 ft.**  
**RESPONSE:** ENVIRONMENTAL CORTESE  
**RESPONSE:** N/A

**RESPONSE:**  
- **Facility ID:** 7490012  
- **Site Type:** State Response  
- **Site Type Detail:** State Response or NPL  
- **Acres:** 3.3  
- **National Priorities List:** NO

---

**TC4412365.1s Page 140**
BLAIR SOUTHERN PACIFIC LANDFILL (Continued)

Cleanup Oversight Agencies: SMBRP
Lead Agency Description: DTSC - Site Cleanup Program
Project Manager: Lynn Nakashima
Supervisor: Barbara Cook
Division Branch: Cleanup Berkeley
Site Code: 202002
Site Mgmt. Req.: NONE SPECIFIED
Assembly: 15
Senate: 09
Special Program Status: Not reported
Status: Active
Status Date: 12/22/2005
Restricted Use: NO
Funding: Responsible Party
Latitude: 37.91037
Longitude: -122.3266
APN: 560010043
Past Use: LANDFILL - CONSTRUCTION, MANUFACTURING - CHEMICALS
Potential COC: * ORGANIC LIQUIDS WITH METALS Asbestos Containing Materials (ACM
Confirmed COC: NONE SPECIFIED
Potential Description: SOIL
Alias Name: Not reported
Alias Type: Not reported
Completed Info:
Completed Area Name: Not reported
Completed Sub Area Name: Not reported
Completed Document Type: Not reported
Completed Date: Not reported
Comments: Not reported
Future Area Name: Not reported
Future Sub Area Name: Not reported
Future Document Type: Not reported
Future Due Date: Not reported
Schedule Area Name: Not reported
Schedule Sub Area Name: Not reported
Schedule Document Type: Not reported
Schedule Due Date: Not reported
Schedule Revised Date: Not reported

ENVIROSTOR:
Facility ID: 7490012
Status: Active
Status Date: 12/22/2005
Site Code: 202002
Site Type: State Response
Site Type Detailed: State Response or NPL
Acres: 3.3
NPL: NO
Regulatory Agencies: SMBRP
Lead Agency: SMBRP
Program Manager: Lynn Nakashima
Supervisor: Barbara Cook
Division Branch: Cleanup Berkeley
Assembly: 15
Senate: 09
### Blair Southern Pacific Landfill (Continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Field</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Special Program</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Restricted Use</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site Mgmt Req</td>
<td>NONE SPECIFIED</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Funding</td>
<td>Responsible Party</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Past Use</td>
<td>LANDFILL - CONSTRUCTION, MANUFACTURING - CHEMICALS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potential COC</td>
<td>ORGANIC LIQUIDS WITH METALS, Asbestos Containing Materials (ACM)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Confirmed COC</td>
<td>NONE SPECIFIED</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potential Description</td>
<td>SOIL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completed Info</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completed Area Name</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completed Sub Area Name</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completed Document Type</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completed Date</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comments</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Future Area Name</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Future Sub Area Name</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Future Document Type</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Future Due Date</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schedule Area Name</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schedule Sub Area Name</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schedule Document Type</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schedule Due Date</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schedule Revised Date</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Cortese

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Field</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Region</td>
<td>CORTESE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Envirostor Id</td>
<td>7490012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site/Facility Type</td>
<td>STATE RESPONSE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cleanup Status</td>
<td>ACTIVE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Status Date</td>
<td>12/22/2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site Code</td>
<td>200060, 202001, 202002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latitude</td>
<td>37.91037</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Longitude</td>
<td>-122.3266</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Owner</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enf Type</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swat R</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flag</td>
<td>envirostor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Order No</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waste Discharge System No</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effective Date</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Region 2</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WID Id</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solid Waste Id No</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waste Management Ulit Name</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Map ID</td>
<td>Direction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>SW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Relative:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>Lower</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Actual:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>8 ft.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**MAP FINDINGS**

| Facility ID:     | 80001815 |
| Status:          | Refer: SMBRP |
| Status Date:     | 10/19/2012 |
| Site Code:       | 200060 |
| Site Type:       | Corrective Action |
| Site Type Detailed: | Corrective Action |
| Acres:           | 0.5 |
| NPL:             | DELISTED |
| Regulatory Agencies: | SMBRP |
| Lead Agency:     | SMBRP |
| Program Manager: | Lynn Nakashima |
| Supervisor:      | Barbara Cook |
| Division Branch: | Cleanup Berkeley |
| Assembly:        | 15 |
| Senate:          | 09 |
| Special Program: | Not reported |
| Restricted Use:  | NO |
| Site Mgmt Req:   | NONE SPECIFIED |
| Funding:         | Not reported |
| Latitude:        | 37.90956 |
| Longitude:       | -122.3236 |
| APN:             | NONE SPECIFIED |
| Past Use:        | MANUFACTURING - OTHER, RECYCLING - USED OIL |
| Potential COC:   | Lead Polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) Polynuclear aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) TPH-MOTOR OIL Chromium VI Mercury and compounds Nickel Zinc |
| Confirmed COC:   | Lead Polynuclear aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) Mercury and compounds |
| Potential Description: | SOIL |
| Alias Name:      | CAT000646208 |
| Alias Type:      | EPA Identification Number |
| Alias Name:      | 200060 |
| Alias Type:      | Project Code (Site Code) |
| Alias Name:      | 07290039 |
| Alias Type:      | Envirostor ID Number |
| Alias Name:      | 80001815 |
| Alias Type:      | Envirostor ID Number |

**Completed Info:**

| Completed Area Name: | PROJECT WIDE |
| Completed Sub Area Name: | Not reported |
| Completed Document Type: | Preliminary Assessment Report |
| Completed Date: | 02/01/1980 |
| Comments: | Not reported |

| Completed Area Name: | PROJECT WIDE |
| Completed Sub Area Name: | Not reported |
| Completed Document Type: | Preliminary Assessment Report |
| Completed Date: | 12/01/1982 |
| Comments: | Not reported |

Future Area Name: Not reported
Future Sub Area Name: Not reported
LIQUID GOLD OIL CORP (Continued) S101272697

Future Document Type: Not reported
Future Due Date: Not reported
Schedule Area Name: Not reported
Schedule Sub Area Name: Not reported
Schedule Document Type: Not reported
Schedule Due Date: Not reported
Schedule Revised Date: Not reported

Facility ID: 7290039
Status: Active
Status Date: 06/13/2013
Site Code: 200060
Site Type: Federal Superfund
Site Type Detailed: State Response or NPL
Acres: 18
NPL: DELISTED
Regulatory Agencies: SMBRP, US EPA
Lead Agency: SMBRP
Program Manager: Lynn Nakashima
Supervisor: Barbara Cook
Division Branch: Cleanup Berkeley
Assembly: 15
Senate: 09
Special Program: Not reported
Restricted Use: YES
Site Mgmt Req: DAY, ELD, HOS, FEN, EX, GW, NOWN, NDAM, NUSE, NDEV, NSUB, SCH, FOOD, RES
Funding: Responsible Party
Latitude: 37.91010
Longitude: -122.3235
APN: 40992-1, 560010043
Past Use: MANUFACTURING - OTHER, RECYCLING - USED OIL
Potential COC: Lead Polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs TPH-MOTOR OIL Chromium VI Mercury and compounds Nickel Polynuclear aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs Zinc
Confirmed COC: Polynuclear aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs Polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs Chromium VI Mercury and compounds Nickel Lead TPH-MOTOR OIL Zinc
Potential Description: Not reported
Alias Name: SED, SOIL
Alias Type: Not reported
Completed Info:
Completed Area Name: Not reported
Completed Sub Area Name: Not reported
Completed Document Type: Not reported
Completed Date: Not reported
Comments: Not reported

Future Area Name: Not reported
Future Sub Area Name: Not reported
Future Document Type: Not reported
Future Due Date: Not reported
Schedule Area Name: Not reported
Schedule Sub Area Name: Not reported
Schedule Document Type: Not reported
Schedule Due Date: Not reported
Schedule Revised Date: Not reported
LIQUID GOLD OIL CORP (Continued)

Calsite:
Region: BERKELEY
Facility ID: 07290039
Facility Type: NPRP
Type: NPL SITE, RP-FUNDED
Branch: NC
Branch Name: NORTH COAST
File Name: Not reported
State Senate District: 06012005
Status: ANNUAL WORKPLAN (AWP) - ACTIVE SITE
Status Name: ANNUAL WORKPLAN - ACTIVE SITE
Lead Agency: DEPT OF TOXIC SUBSTANCES CONTROL
NPL: Delisted
SIC Code: 29
SIC Name: MANU - PETROLEUM & COAL PRODUCTS
Access: Not reported
Cortese: Not reported
Hazardous Ranking Score: Not reported
Date Site Hazard Ranked: Not reported
Groundwater Contamination: Not reported
Staff Member Responsible for Site: HATIQEE
Supervisor Responsible for Site: Not reported
Region Water Control Board: SF
Region Water Control Board Name: SAN FRANCISCO BAY
Lat/Long Direction: Not reported
Lat/Long (dms): 0 0 0 / 0 0 0
Lat/Long Method: Not reported
Lat/Long Description: Not reported
State Assembly District Code: 14
State Senate District Code: 09
Facility ID: 07290039
Activity: RA
Activity Name: REMOVAL ACTION
AWP Code: TANK
Proposed Budget: 0
AWP Completion Date: Not reported
Revised Due Date: Not reported
Comments Date: 10311982
Est Person-Yrs to complete: 0
Estimated Size: Not reported
Request to Delete Activity: Not reported
Activity Status: COM
Definition of Status: CERTIFIED / OPERATION & MAINTENANCE
Liquids Removed (Gals): 0
Liquids Treated (Gals): 0
Action Included Capping: Not reported
Well Decommissioned: Not reported
Action Included Fencing: Not reported
Removal Action Certification: Not reported
Activity Comments: Not reported
For Commercial Reuse: 0
For Industrial Reuse: 0
For Residential Reuse: 0
Unknown Type: 0
Facility ID: 07290039
Activity: RA
Activity Name: REMOVAL ACTION
LIQUID GOLD OIL CORP (Continued)

AWP Code: TANK
Proposed Budget: 0
AWP Completion Date: Not reported
Revised Due Date: Not reported
Comments Date: 05301983
Est Person-Yrs to complete: 0
Estimated Size: Not reported
Request to Delete Activity: Not reported
Activity Status: COM
Definition of Status: CERTIFIED / OPERATION & MAINTENANCE
Liquids Removed (Gals): 0
Liquids Treated (Gals): 0
Action Included Capping: Not reported
Well Decommissioned: Not reported
Action Included Fencing: Not reported
Removal Action Certification: Not reported
Activity Comments: Not reported
For Commercial Reuse: 0
For Industrial Reuse: 0
For Residential Reuse: 0
Unknown Type: 0
Facility ID: 07290039
Activity: RA
Activity Name: REMOVAL ACTION
AWP Code: SOIL
Proposed Budget: 0
AWP Completion Date: Not reported
Revised Due Date: Not reported
Comments Date: 12301985
Est Person-Yrs to complete: 0
Estimated Size: Not reported
Request to Delete Activity: Not reported
Activity Status: COM
Definition of Status: CERTIFIED / OPERATION & MAINTENANCE
Liquids Removed (Gals): 0
Liquids Treated (Gals): 0
Action Included Capping: Not reported
Well Decommissioned: Not reported
Action Included Fencing: Not reported
Removal Action Certification: Not reported
Activity Comments: Not reported
For Commercial Reuse: 0
For Industrial Reuse: 0
For Residential Reuse: 0
Unknown Type: 0
Facility ID: 07290039
Activity: ORDER
Activity Name: I/SE, IORSE, FFA, FFSRA, VCA, EA
AWP Code: CNSNT
Proposed Budget: 0
AWP Completion Date: Not reported
Revised Due Date: Not reported
Comments Date: 01301988
Est Person-Yrs to complete: 0
Estimated Size: Not reported
Request to Delete Activity: Not reported
Activity Status: COM
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Definition of Status:</th>
<th>CERTIFIED / OPERATION &amp; MAINTENANCE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Liquids Removed (Gals):</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liquids Treated (Gals):</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Action Included Capping:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Well Decommissioned:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Action Included Fencing:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Removal Action Certification:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activity Comments:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>For Commercial Reuse:</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>For Industrial Reuse:</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>For Residential Reuse:</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown Type:</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facility ID:</td>
<td>07290039</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activity:</td>
<td>RA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activity Name:</td>
<td>REMOVAL ACTION</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AWP Code:</td>
<td>BLDG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposed Budget:</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AWP Completion Date:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revised Due Date:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comments Date:</td>
<td>12301989</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Est Person-Yrs to complete:</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimated Size:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Request to Delete Activity:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activity Status:</td>
<td>COM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Definition of Status:</td>
<td>CERTIFIED / OPERATION &amp; MAINTENANCE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liquids Removed (Gals):</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liquids Treated (Gals):</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Action Included Capping:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Well Decommissioned:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Action Included Fencing:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Removal Action Certification:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activity Comments:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>For Commercial Reuse:</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>For Industrial Reuse:</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>For Residential Reuse:</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown Type:</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facility ID:</td>
<td>07290039</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activity:</td>
<td>PPP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activity Name:</td>
<td>PUBLIC PARTICIPATION PLAN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AWP Code:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposed Budget:</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AWP Completion Date:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revised Due Date:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comments Date:</td>
<td>06301988</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Est Person-Yrs to complete:</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimated Size:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Request to Delete Activity:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activity Status:</td>
<td>COM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Definition of Status:</td>
<td>CERTIFIED / OPERATION &amp; MAINTENANCE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liquids Removed (Gals):</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liquids Treated (Gals):</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Action Included Capping:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Well Decommissioned:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Action Included Fencing:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Removal Action Certification:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activity Comments:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CONTAINASBESTOS. QUANTITIES UNKNOWN.

REMOVAL OF WOODEN BUILDING AND ROLLS OF ROOFING MATERIAL, BOTH CONTAINASBESTOS. QUANTITIES UNKNOWN.
**LIQUID GOLD OIL CORP (Continued)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Facility ID:</th>
<th>07290039</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Activity:</td>
<td>CEQA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activity Name:</td>
<td>CEQA INCLUDING NEGATIVE DECS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AWP Code:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposed Budget:</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AWP Completion Date:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revised Due Date:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comments Date:</td>
<td>06081993</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Est Person-Yrs to complete:</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimated Size:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Request to Delete Activity:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activity Status:</td>
<td>COM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Definition of Status:</td>
<td>CERTIFIED / OPERATION &amp; MAINTENANCE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liquids Removed (Gals):</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liquids Treated (Gals):</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Action Included Capping:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Well Decommissioned:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Action Included Fencing:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Removal Action Certification:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activity Comments:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>For Commercial Reuse:</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>For Industrial Reuse:</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>For Residential Reuse:</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown Type:</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**RAP**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Facility ID:</th>
<th>07290039</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Activity:</td>
<td>RAP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activity Name:</td>
<td>REMEDIAL ACTION PLAN / RECORD OF DECISION</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AWP Code:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposed Budget:</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**LIQUID GOLD OIL CORP (Continued)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Facility ID:</th>
<th>07290039</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Activity:</td>
<td>RIFS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activity Name:</td>
<td>REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION / FEASIBILITY STUDY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AWP Code:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposed Budget:</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AWP Completion Date:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revised Due Date:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comments Date:</td>
<td>12041992</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Est Person-Yrs to complete:</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimated Size:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Request to Delete Activity:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activity Status:</td>
<td>COM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Definition of Status:</td>
<td>CERTIFIED / OPERATION &amp; MAINTENANCE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liquids Removed (Gals):</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liquids Treated (Gals):</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Action Included Capping:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Well Decommissioned:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Action Included Fencing:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Removal Action Certification:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activity Comments:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>For Commercial Reuse:</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>For Industrial Reuse:</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>For Residential Reuse:</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown Type:</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Field</td>
<td>Value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AWP Completion Date</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revised Due Date</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comments Date</td>
<td>06081993</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Est Person-Yrs to complete</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimated Size</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Request to Delete Activity</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activity Status</td>
<td>COM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Definition of Status</td>
<td>CERTIFIED / OPERATION &amp; MAINTENANCE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liquids Removed (Gals)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liquids Treated (Gals)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Action Included Capping</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Well Decommissioned</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Action Included Fencing</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Removal Action Certification</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activity Comments</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>For Commercial Reuse</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>For Industrial Reuse</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>For Residential Reuse</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown Type</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facility ID</td>
<td>07290039</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activity</td>
<td>DES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activity Name</td>
<td>DESIGN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AWP Code</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposed Budget</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AWP Completion Date</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revised Due Date</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comments Date</td>
<td>01101994</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Est Person-Yrs to complete</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimated Size</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Request to Delete Activity</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activity Status</td>
<td>COM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Definition of Status</td>
<td>CERTIFIED / OPERATION &amp; MAINTENANCE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liquids Removed (Gals)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liquids Treated (Gals)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Action Included Capping</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Well Decommissioned</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Action Included Fencing</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Removal Action Certification</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activity Comments</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>For Commercial Reuse</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>For Industrial Reuse</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>For Residential Reuse</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown Type</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facility ID</td>
<td>07290039</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activity</td>
<td>DES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activity Name</td>
<td>DESIGN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AWP Code</td>
<td>COVER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposed Budget</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AWP Completion Date</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revised Due Date</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comments Date</td>
<td>01101994</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Est Person-Yrs to complete</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimated Size</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Request to Delete Activity</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activity Status</td>
<td>COM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Definition of Status</td>
<td>CERTIFIED / OPERATION &amp; MAINTENANCE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liquids Removed (Gals)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Elevation</th>
<th>Database(s)</th>
<th>EPA ID Number</th>
<th>EDR ID Number</th>
<th>Directions</th>
<th>Distance</th>
<th>Map ID</th>
<th>Site ID</th>
<th>Elevation</th>
<th>Database(s)</th>
<th>EPA ID Number</th>
<th>EDR ID Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**MAP FINDINGS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Document Details</th>
<th>Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Map ID</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Directions</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distance</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elevation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**LIQUID GOLD OIL CORP (Continued)**

- **Liquids Treated (Gals):** 0
- **Action Included Capping:** Not reported
- **Well Decommissioned:** Not reported
- **Action Included Fencing:** Not reported
- **Removal Action Certification:** Not reported
- **Activity Comments:** Not reported
- **For Commercial Reuse:** 0
- **For Industrial Reuse:** 0
- **For Residential Reuse:** 0
- **Unknown Type:** 0
- **Facility ID:** 07290039
- **Activity:** RMDL
- **Activity Name:** REMEDIAL ACTION (RAP REQUIRED)
- **AWP Code:** CAP
- **Proposed Budget:** 0
- **AWP Completion Date:** Not reported
- **Revised Due Date:** Not reported
- **Comments Date:** 08141995
- **Est Person-Yrs to complete:** 0
- **Estimated Size:** Not reported
- **Request to Delete Activity:** Not reported
- **Activity Status:** COM
- **Definition of Status:** CERTIFIED / OPERATION & MAINTENANCE
- **Liquids Removed (Gals):** 0
- **Liquids Treated (Gals):** 0
- **Action Included Capping:** X
- **Well Decommissioned:** Not reported
- **Action Included Fencing:** Not reported
- **Removal Action Certification:** N
- **Activity Comments:** LETTER APPROVING REMEDIAL ACTION. CAP WAS REGRADED IN AREAS WHERE PONDING HAD OCCURRED IN 02/95. CONFIRMATION INSPECTION.
- **For Commercial Reuse:** 0
- **For Industrial Reuse:** 0
- **For Residential Reuse:** 0
- **Unknown Type:** 0
- **Facility ID:** 07290039
- **Activity:** DEED
- **Activity Name:** DEED RESTRICTIONS
- **AWP Code:** Not reported
- **Proposed Budget:** 0
- **AWP Completion Date:** Not reported
- **Revised Due Date:** Not reported
- **Comments Date:** 09131995
- **Est Person-Yrs to complete:** 0
- **Estimated Size:** Not reported
- **Request to Delete Activity:** Not reported
- **Activity Status:** COM
- **Definition of Status:** CERTIFIED / OPERATION & MAINTENANCE
- **Liquids Removed (Gals):** 0
- **Liquids Treated (Gals):** 0
- **Action Included Capping:** Not reported
- **Well Decommissioned:** Not reported
- **Action Included Fencing:** Not reported
- **Removal Action Certification:** Not reported
- **Activity Comments:** Not reported
- **For Commercial Reuse:** 0
- **For Industrial Reuse:** 0
LIQUID GOLD OIL CORP (Continued)

For Residential Reuse: 0
Unknown Type: 0
Facility ID: 07290039
Activity: CERT
Activity Name: CERTIFICATION
AWP Code: Not reported
Proposed Budget: 0
AWP Completion Date: Not reported
Revised Due Date: Not reported
Comments Date: 09231995
Est Person-Yrs to complete: 0
Estimated Size: L
Request to Delete Activity: Not reported
Activity Status: COM
Definition of Status: CERTIFIED / OPERATION & MAINTENANCE
Liquids Removed (Gals): 770
Liquids Treated (Gals): 0
Action Included Capping: X
Well Decommissioned: Not reported
Action Included Fencing: Not reported
Removal Action Certification: N
Activity Comments: INTRERIM REMEDIAL MEASURE EXCAVATED AND DISPOSED HIGHLY CONTAMINATED SOIL AND REMAINING BUILDINGS ON THE SITE. THE SITE HAS A TWO FOOTED VEGETATED SOIL CAP.

For Commercial Reuse: 0
For Industrial Reuse: 18
For Residential Reuse: 0
Unknown Type: 0
Facility ID: 07290039
Activity: ORDER
Activity Name: I/SE, IORSE, FFA, FFSRA, VCA, EA
AWP Code: OM
Proposed Budget: 0
AWP Completion Date: Not reported
Revised Due Date: Not reported
Comments Date: 09231995
Est Person-Yrs to complete: 0
Estimated Size: Not reported
Request to Delete Activity: Not reported
Activity Status: COM
Definition of Status: CERTIFIED / OPERATION & MAINTENANCE
Liquids Removed (Gals): 0
Liquids Treated (Gals): 0
Action Included Capping: Not reported
Well Decommissioned: Not reported
Action Included Fencing: Not reported
Removal Action Certification: Not reported
Activity Comments: Not reported

For Commercial Reuse: 0
For Industrial Reuse: 0
For Residential Reuse: 0
Unknown Type: 0
Facility ID: 07290039
Activity: 5YEAR
Activity Name: FIVE-YEAR REVIEW REQUIRED BY CERCLA
AWP Code: Not reported
Proposed Budget: 0
LIQUID GOLD OIL CORP (Continued)  

AWP Completion Date: Not reported  
Revised Due Date: Not reported  
Comments Date: 06301998  
Est Person-Yrs to complete: 0  
Estimated Size: Not reported  
Request to Delete Activity: Not reported  
Activity Status: COM  
Definition of Status: CERTIFIED / OPERATION & MAINTENANCE  
Liquids Removed (Gals): 0  
Liquids Treated (Gals): 0  
Action Included Capping: Not reported  
Well Decommissioned: Not reported  
Action Included Fencing: Not reported  
Removal Action Certification: Not reported  
Activity Comments: Not reported  
For Commercial Reuse: 0  
For Industrial Reuse: 0  
For Residential Reuse: 0  
Unknown Type: 0  
Facility ID: 07290039  
Activity: 5YEAR  
Activity Name: FIVE-YEAR REVIEW REQUIRED BY CERCLA  
AWP Code: Not reported  
Proposed Budget: 0  
AWP Completion Date: Not reported  
Revised Due Date: Not reported  
Comments Date: 06272003  
Est Person-Yrs to complete: 0  
Estimated Size: Not reported  
Request to Delete Activity: Not reported  
Activity Status: COM  
Definition of Status: CERTIFIED / OPERATION & MAINTENANCE  
Liquids Removed (Gals): 0  
Liquids Treated (Gals): 0  
Action Included Capping: Not reported  
Well Decommissioned: Not reported  
Action Included Fencing: Not reported  
Removal Action Certification: Not reported  
Activity Comments: Not reported  
For Commercial Reuse: 0  
For Industrial Reuse: 0  
For Residential Reuse: 0  
Unknown Type: 0  
Facility ID: 07290039  
Activity: 5YEAR  
Activity Name: FIVE-YEAR REVIEW REQUIRED BY CERCLA  
AWP Code: Not reported  
Proposed Budget: 0  
AWP Completion Date: 06302008  
Revised Due Date: Not reported  
Comments Date: Not reported  
Est Person-Yrs to complete: 0  
Estimated Size: Not reported  
Request to Delete Activity: Not reported  
Activity Status: COM  
Definition of Status: CERTIFIED / OPERATION & MAINTENANCE  
Liquids Removed (Gals): 0
LIQUID GOLD OIL CORP (Continued)

Liquids Treated (Gals): 0
Action Included Capping: Not reported
Well Decommissioned: Not reported
Action Included Fencing: Not reported
Removal Action Certification: Not reported
Activity Comments: Not reported
For Commercial Reuse: 0
For Industrial Reuse: 0
For Residential Reuse: 0
Unknown Type: 0
Alternate Address: WEST OF BAYVIEW EXIT ON I-580
Alternate City,St,Zip: RICHMOND, CA 94804
Alternate Address: HOFFMAN BLVD & S 47TH ST
Alternate City,St,Zip: RICHMOND, CA 94804

Background Info: The site encompasses about 22 acres along San Francisco Bay and is owned by the Southern Pacific Transportation Company. From 1974 to 1982, the site was leased by Liquid Gold Oil Corporation to store, re-refine and recycle oil and other substances. The hazardous substances stored in over 20 storage tanks and drums included waste motor oil, organic solvents, bunker oil, diesel fuel, oil-water emulsions and mixtures and tank bottom waste. As a result of site operations, hazardous substances leaked or spilled onto the ground and were discharged into ponds, sumps and ditches and drained into wetland areas. Soil, sediments, surface water and groundwater were contaminated with oil and grease, phenols, lead, nickel, copper, chromium, zinc, polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs), and other substances.

Comments Date: 08141995
Comments: vegetated cover and the construction of fencing around the
Comments Date: 08141995
Comments: vegetated cover.
Comments Date: 09011983
Comments: The Site was listed on the Federal National Priorities List
Comments Date: 09011983
Comments: (NPL).
Comments Date: 09111996
Comments: Delisted from the National Priorities List (NPL) by the US EPA.
Comments Date: 09131995
Comments: Recorded Deed Restriction limiting use to park, open space,
Comments Date: 09231995
Comments: commercial or industrial uses.
Comments Date: 09231995
Comments: Certified Site. Signed O&M Agreement which provides for ongoing
Comments Date: 09231995
Comments: groundwater monitoring and maintenance of cap.
Comments Date: 12301985
Comments: Excavation and removal of 760 cubic yards of oil-contaminated
Comments Date: 12301985
Comments: soils.
Comments Date: 03011991
Comments: Completed RA. A wooden building contaminated with lead and
Comments Date: 03011991
Comments: asbestos was removed from the site.
Comments Date: 05301983
Comments: Conducted stabilization actions that included removal of 25
Comments Date: 05301983
Comments: storage tanks.
LIQUID GOLD OIL CORP (Continued)

Comments Date: 06012005
Comments: Change in site status - Cert to AWP. Union Pacific identified to

Comments Date: 06012005
Comments: DTSC that sampling in a closed Gun Club located on this property

Comments Date: 06012005
Comments: has found elevated levels of lead. Union Pacific has submitted

Comments Date: 06012005
Comments: a Removal Action Workplan to clean up this contamination.

Comments Date: 06012005
Comments: Document is currently under review.

Comments Date: 0601993
Comments: Approved RAP.

Comments Date: 06272003
Comments: Completed Five-Year Review. The groundwater monitoring network

Comments Date: 06272003
Comments: at the site has been reduced to six wells which are to be

Comments Date: 06272003
Comments: sampled every two years. Cap inspections are to be done every

Comments Date: 06272003
Comments: two years.

Comments Date: 06301998
Comments: Completed Five-Year Review.

Comments Date: 08141995
Comments: Completed RA which consisted of the excavation and removal of

Comments Date: 08141995
Comments: sediments from selected wetland areas, the construction of a

ID Name: CALSTARS CODE
ID Value: 200060

ID Name: BEP DATABASE PCODE
ID Value: P21038

Alternate Name: LIQUID GOLD OIL CORP
Special Programs Code: Not reported
Special Programs Name: Not reported

DEED:
Envirostor ID: 7290039
Area: PROJECT WIDE
Sub Area: Not reported
Site Type: FEDERAL SUPERFUND
Status: ACTIVE
Agency: Not reported
Covenant Uploaded: Not reported
Deed Date(s): 09/13/1995

CORTESCE:
Region: CORTESCE
Envirostor Id: 7290039
Site/Facility Type: FEDERAL SUPERFUND - DELISTED
Cleanup Status: ACTIVE - LAND USE RESTRICTIONS
Status Date: 06/13/2013
Site Code: 200060
Latitude: 37.910109
Longitude: -122.32353
Owner: Not reported
Enf Type: Not reported
Swat R: Not reported
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Flag: envirostor
Order No: Not reported
Waste Discharge System No: Not reported
Effective Date: Not reported
Region 2: Not reported
WID Id: Not reported
Solid Waste Id No: Not reported
Waste Management Util Name: Not reported

HWP:
EPA Id: CAT000646208
Cleanup Status: UNKNOWN
Latitude: 37.90825
Longitude: -122.3260
Facility Type: Historical - Non-Operating
Facility Size: Not reported
Team: Not reported
Supervisor: Not reported
Site Code: Not reported
Assembly District: 15
Senate District: 09
Public Information Officer: Not reported

Alias:
EPA Id: CAT000646208
Facility Type: Historical - Non-Operating
Alias Type: Envirostor ID Number
Alias: 07290039

51 HARBORFRONT TRACT RESPONSE S107736425
WSW MEADE SOUTH 49TH EAST MONTGOMERY ENVIROSTOR Cortese
1/2-1 RICHMOND, CA 94804 N/A
0.860 mi. 4542 ft.
0.860 mi. 4542 ft.

Relative: Lower
Actual: 13 ft.

RESPONSE:
Facility ID: 70000178
Site Type: State Response
Site Type Detail: State Response or NPL
Acres: 10
National Priorities List: NO
Cleanup Oversight Agencies: SMBRP
Lead Agency Description: DTSC - Site Cleanup Program
Project Manager: Lynn Nakashima
Supervisor: Barbara Cook
Division Branch: Cleanup Berkeley
Site Code: 201734
Site Mgmt. Req.: NONE SPECIFIED
Assembly: 15
Senate: 09
Special Program Status: Not reported
Status: Active
Status Date: 07/01/2005
Restricted Use: NO
Funding: Orphan Funds
Latitude: 37.91234
Longitude: -122.3281
### MAP FINDINGS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MAP FINDINGS</th>
<th>MAP FINDINGS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Map ID</strong></td>
<td><strong>Site</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Direction</strong></td>
<td><strong>Elevation</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Distance</strong></td>
<td><strong>EDR ID Number</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Database(s)</strong></td>
<td><strong>EPA ID Number</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### HARBORFRONT TRACT (Continued)

**APN:** NONE SPECIFIED  
**Past Use:** METAL PLATING - CHROME, METAL PLATING - CHROME  
**Potential COC:** Benzene Trichloroethylene (TCE) Chromium VI, 1,2-Dichloroethane (EDC)  
**Confirmed COC:** Trichloroethylene (TCE), Chromium VI, 1,2-Dichloroethane (EDC)  
**Potential Description:** OTH, UE  
**Alias Name:** Pacific Hard Chrome  
**Alias Type:** Alternate Name  
**Alias Name:** 110033613711  
**Alias Type:** EPA (FRS #)  
**Alias Name:** 201598  
**Alias Type:** Site Code - Historical  
**Alias Name:** 201734  
**Alias Type:** Project Code (Site Code)  
**Alias Name:** 70000178  
**Alias Type:** Envirostor ID Number

#### Completed Info:

**Completed Area Name:** Pacific Hard Chrome  
**Completed Sub Area Name:** Not reported  
**Completed Document Type:** State/Federal Funded Site Work Order  
**Completed Date:** 01/12/2011  
**Comments:** Stop work order/termination of contract letter issued requesting final invoices within 30 days.

**Completed Area Name:** Pacific Hard Chrome  
**Completed Sub Area Name:** Not reported  
**Completed Document Type:** State/Federal Funded Site Work Order  
**Completed Date:** 05/26/2011  
**Comments:** Signed work order No. 1

**Completed Area Name:** Pacific Hard Chrome  
**Completed Sub Area Name:** Not reported  
**Completed Document Type:** Correspondence  
**Completed Date:** 07/28/2010  
**Comments:** DTSC letter responding to comments received from property owner’s environmental consultant.

**Completed Area Name:** Pacific Hard Chrome  
**Completed Sub Area Name:** Not reported  
**Completed Document Type:** State/Federal Funded Site Work Order  
**Completed Date:** 11/12/2009  
**Comments:** Work Order

**Completed Area Name:** Pacific Hard Chrome  
**Completed Sub Area Name:** Not reported  
**Completed Document Type:** Correspondence  
**Completed Date:** 05/18/2010  
**Comments:** Letter to waste management

**Completed Area Name:** Pacific Hard Chrome  
**Completed Sub Area Name:** Not reported  
**Completed Document Type:** Correspondence  
**Completed Date:** 01/16/2013  
**Comments:** Letter identifying that ERRG has completed all work and ordered to stop work, and submit final invoices.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Completed Date</th>
<th>Completed Document Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>04/04/2011</td>
<td>State/Federal Funded Site Contract Fiscal Approval (CFA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05/16/2011</td>
<td>State/Federal Funded Site Contract</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05/08/2014</td>
<td>Letter - Demand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01/14/2010</td>
<td>Access Agreement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04/17/2008</td>
<td>*Site Inspection (SI) Workplan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10/10/2006</td>
<td>Site Characterization Report</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01/02/2007</td>
<td>Information Request Letter</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments:
- Approved CFA
- Executed contract 10-T1123
- Letter to property owner requesting payment agreed to in settlement agreement.
- Access agreement to allow DTSC to conduct sampling and pilot test on Pacific Hard Chrome property.
- Workplan for investigation of business area located to the east of Zeneca/Former Stauffer Chemical site. Includes soil, groundwater and soil gas sampling to be conducted in two phases.
- Workplan Amendment No. 1 adds additional laboratory analysis to original workplan.
- Final approval via email.
HARBORFRONT TRACT (Continued)

Comments: Consultant to property owner provided decommissioning and UST Closure Summary to DTSC.

Completed Area Name: PROJECT WIDE
Completed Sub Area Name: Not reported
Completed Document Type: Well Installation Workplan
Completed Date: 02/02/2007
Comments: Workplan to install 6 shallow groundwater wells, 3 CPT and surface soil sampling. Also includes quarterly groundwater sampling.

Completed Area Name: PROJECT WIDE
Completed Sub Area Name: Not reported
Completed Document Type: Fact Sheets
Completed Date: 02/02/2007
Comments: DTSC prepared fact sheet. Describes previous sampling conducted and proposed sampling activities.

Completed Area Name: PROJECT WIDE
Completed Sub Area Name: Not reported
Completed Document Type: Well Completion Report
Completed Date: 11/15/2007
Comments: Approved final document was based on revisions provided on Oct. 11, Nov. 1 and Nov. 2

Completed Area Name: Pacific Hard Chrome
Completed Sub Area Name: Not reported
Completed Document Type: *Correspondence - Received
Completed Date: 07/06/2007
Comments: Not reported

Completed Area Name: Pacific Hard Chrome
Completed Sub Area Name: Not reported
Completed Document Type: Site Characterization Workplan
Completed Date: 05/12/2008
Comments: Approval letter for workplan

Completed Area Name: PROJECT WIDE
Completed Sub Area Name: Not reported
Completed Document Type: Monitoring Report
Completed Date: 12/06/2007
Comments: Not reported

Completed Area Name: PROJECT WIDE
Completed Sub Area Name: Not reported
Completed Document Type: Imminent and/or Subst. Endangerment Determination
Completed Date: 05/01/2006
Comments: Not reported

Completed Area Name: Pacific Hard Chrome
Completed Sub Area Name: Not reported
Completed Document Type: State/Federal Funded Site Contract
Completed Date: 06/29/2010
Comments: Contract extension.

Completed Area Name: PROJECT WIDE
Completed Sub Area Name: Not reported
Completed Document Type: Monitoring Report
### MAP FINDINGS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Completed Area Name</th>
<th>Completed Sub Area Name</th>
<th>Completed Document Type</th>
<th>Completed Date</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PROJECT WIDE</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>Site Characterization Report</td>
<td>06/30/2008</td>
<td>Final document approval included with stop work order and completion of contract.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pacific Hard Chrome</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>Site Characterization Report</td>
<td>07/08/2009</td>
<td>Letter approves final report and includes stop work order. A ground water pilot study will be prepared to evaluate treatment options for hexavalent chromium found in the ground water.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pacific Hard Chrome</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>Pilot Study/Treatability Workplan</td>
<td>10/15/2009</td>
<td>DTSC prepared document in-house. No DTSC letter was prepared.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pacific Hard Chrome</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>Fieldwork</td>
<td>02/22/2010</td>
<td>Field work began week of 2/22/2010.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Completed Date: 03/20/2008  
Comments: Approved g.w. monitoring report letter.
HARBORFRONT TRACT (Continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Completed Document Type</th>
<th>Completed Date</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pilot Study/Treatability Workplan</td>
<td>08/25/2010</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fieldwork</td>
<td>08/10/2010</td>
<td>No DTSC letter - field implementation began.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fact Sheets</td>
<td>08/05/2010</td>
<td>Work Notice hand delivered to surrounding businesses.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Report</td>
<td>11/10/2010</td>
<td>No DTSC letter. Consultation with GSU was done.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fieldwork</td>
<td>12/04/2010</td>
<td>Field work was completed. No DTSC letter needed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monitoring Report</td>
<td>01/20/2012</td>
<td>Report approved as submitted.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pilot/Treatability Study Report</td>
<td>06/01/2012</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completed Area Name:</td>
<td>Pacific Hard Chrome</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completed Sub Area Name:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completed Document Type:</td>
<td>Fieldwork</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completed Date:</td>
<td>07/07/2011</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comments:</td>
<td>No DTSC letter required or RP submittal.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Completed Area Name:</th>
<th>Pacific Hard Chrome</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Completed Sub Area Name:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completed Document Type:</td>
<td>Fieldwork</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completed Date:</td>
<td>10/06/2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comments:</td>
<td>No letter prepared. Field work conducted and completed.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Completed Area Name:</th>
<th>Pacific Hard Chrome</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Completed Sub Area Name:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completed Document Type:</td>
<td>Fieldwork</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completed Date:</td>
<td>01/17/2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comments:</td>
<td>No DTSC letter - field work was conducted by ERRG.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Completed Area Name:</th>
<th>Pacific Hard Chrome</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Completed Sub Area Name:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completed Document Type:</td>
<td>Work Notice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completed Date:</td>
<td>01/20/2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comments:</td>
<td>No final DTSC letter.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Completed Area Name:</th>
<th>Pacific Hard Chrome</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Completed Sub Area Name:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completed Document Type:</td>
<td>Work Notice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completed Date:</td>
<td>06/27/2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comments:</td>
<td>Work notice for groundwater sampling</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Completed Area Name:</th>
<th>Pacific Hard Chrome</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Completed Sub Area Name:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completed Document Type:</td>
<td>Well Decommissioning Workplan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completed Date:</td>
<td>09/07/2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comments:</td>
<td>Revised Work Plan approved.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Completed Area Name:</th>
<th>Pacific Hard Chrome</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Completed Sub Area Name:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completed Document Type:</td>
<td>Work Notice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completed Date:</td>
<td>10/26/2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comments:</td>
<td>Work Notice for Well Closures</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Completed Area Name:</th>
<th>Pacific Hard Chrome</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Completed Sub Area Name:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completed Document Type:</td>
<td>Well Decommissioning Report</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completed Date:</td>
<td>12/21/2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comments:</td>
<td>Report describes the steps taken to decommission eight on-site wells and two wells located within the Harbor Front Tract Area.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Completed Area Name:</th>
<th>Pacific Hard Chrome</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Completed Sub Area Name:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completed Document Type:</td>
<td>State/Federal Funded Site Contract</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completed Date:</td>
<td>04/29/2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comments:</td>
<td>Executed Agreement - Time Extension Only</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Completed Area Name:</th>
<th>Pacific Hard Chrome</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Completed Sub Area Name:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Completed Document Type: State/Federal Funded Site Contract
Completed Date: 04/26/2007
Comments: ERRG 2007 Contract

Completed Area Name: Pacific Hard Chrome
Completed Sub Area Name: Not reported
Completed Document Type: State/Federal Funded Site Contract Fiscal Approval (CFA)
Completed Date: 11/28/2009
Comments: Contract extension to 6/30/2010

Completed Area Name: Pacific Hard Chrome
Completed Sub Area Name: Not reported
Completed Document Type: State/Federal Funded Site Contract Fiscal Approval (CFA)
Completed Date: 04/07/2012
Comments: Contract extension approved on 4/7/2012

Completed Area Name: Pacific Hard Chrome
Completed Sub Area Name: Not reported
Completed Document Type: State/Federal Funded Site Contract
Completed Date: 12/30/2009
Comments: Contract amendment to add funding and extend term of contract.

Completed Area Name: PROJECT WIDE
Completed Sub Area Name: Not reported
Completed Document Type: State/Federal Funded Site Contract
Completed Date: 09/25/2007
Comments: ERRG contract

Completed Area Name: Pacific Hard Chrome
Completed Sub Area Name: Not reported
Completed Document Type: State/Federal Funded Site Contract
Completed Date: 05/08/2008
Comments: Contract amendment 1 for time extension to 1/31/2009

Completed Area Name: Pacific Hard Chrome
Completed Sub Area Name: Not reported
Completed Document Type: State/Federal Funded Site Contract
Completed Date: 12/08/2008
Comments: Contract Amendment to add time.

Future Area Name: Not reported
Future Sub Area Name: Not reported
Future Document Type: Not reported
Future Due Date: Not reported
Schedule Area Name: Not reported
Schedule Sub Area Name: Not reported
Schedule Document Type: Not reported
Schedule Due Date: Not reported
Schedule Revised Date: Not reported

ENVIROSTOR:
Facility ID: 70000178
Status: Active
Status Date: 07/01/2005
Site Code: 201734
Site Type: State Response
Site Type Detailed: State Response or NPL
HARBORFRONT TRACT (Continued)

Completed Info:
- Completed Area Name: Pacific Hard Chrome
- Completed Sub Area Name: Not reported
- Completed Document Type: State/Federal Funded Site Work Order
- Completed Date: 01/12/2011
- Comments: Stop work order/termination of contract letter issued requesting final invoices within 30 days.

Completed Info:
- Completed Area Name: Pacific Hard Chrome
- Completed Sub Area Name: Not reported
- Completed Document Type: State/Federal Funded Site Work Order
- Completed Date: 05/26/2011
- Comments: Signed work order No. 1

Completed Info:
- Completed Area Name: Pacific Hard Chrome
- Completed Sub Area Name: Not reported
- Completed Document Type: Correspondence
- Completed Date: 07/28/2010
- Comments: DTSC letter responding to comments received from property owner’s environmental consultant.

Completed Info:
- Completed Area Name: Pacific Hard Chrome
- Completed Sub Area Name: Not reported
- Completed Document Type: State/Federal Funded Site Work Order
- Completed Date: 11/12/2009
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comments:</th>
<th>Work Order</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Completed Area Name:</td>
<td>Pacific Hard Chrome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completed Sub Area Name:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completed Document Type:</td>
<td>Correspondence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completed Date:</td>
<td>05/18/2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comments:</td>
<td>Letter to waste management</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comments:</th>
<th>Letter identifying that ERRG has completed all work and ordered to stop work, and submit final invoices.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Completed Area Name:</td>
<td>Pacific Hard Chrome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completed Sub Area Name:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completed Document Type:</td>
<td>Correspondence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completed Date:</td>
<td>01/16/2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comments:</td>
<td>Letter identifying that ERRG has completed all work and ordered to stop work, and submit final invoices.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comments:</th>
<th>Approved CFA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Completed Area Name:</td>
<td>Pacific Hard Chrome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completed Sub Area Name:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completed Document Type:</td>
<td>State/Federal Funded Site Contract Fiscal Approval (CFA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completed Date:</td>
<td>04/04/2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comments:</td>
<td>Approved CFA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comments:</th>
<th>Executed contract 10-T1123</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Completed Area Name:</td>
<td>Pacific Hard Chrome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completed Sub Area Name:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completed Document Type:</td>
<td>State/Federal Funded Site Contract</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completed Date:</td>
<td>05/16/2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comments:</td>
<td>Executed contract 10-T1123</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comments:</th>
<th>Letter to property owner requesting payment agreed to in settlement agreement.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Completed Area Name:</td>
<td>Pacific Hard Chrome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completed Sub Area Name:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completed Document Type:</td>
<td>Letter - Demand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completed Date:</td>
<td>05/08/2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comments:</td>
<td>Letter to property owner requesting payment agreed to in settlement agreement.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comments:</th>
<th>Access agreement to allow DTSC to conduct sampling and pilot test on Pacific Hard Chrome property.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Completed Area Name:</td>
<td>Pacific Hard Chrome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completed Sub Area Name:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completed Document Type:</td>
<td>Access Agreement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completed Date:</td>
<td>01/14/2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comments:</td>
<td>Access agreement to allow DTSC to conduct sampling and pilot test on Pacific Hard Chrome property.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comments:</th>
<th>CFA for contract extension.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Completed Area Name:</td>
<td>Pacific Hard Chrome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completed Sub Area Name:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completed Document Type:</td>
<td>State/Federal Funded Site Contract Fiscal Approval (CFA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completed Date:</td>
<td>04/17/2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comments:</td>
<td>CFA for contract extension.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comments:</th>
<th>Workplan for investigation of business area located to the east of Zeneca/Former Stauffer Chemical site. Includes soil, groundwater and soil gas sampling to be conducted in two phases.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Completed Area Name:</td>
<td>PROJECT WIDE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completed Sub Area Name:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completed Document Type:</td>
<td>*Site Inspection (SI) Workplan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completed Date:</td>
<td>10/07/2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comments:</td>
<td>Workplan for investigation of business area located to the east of Zeneca/Former Stauffer Chemical site. Includes soil, groundwater and soil gas sampling to be conducted in two phases.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### HARBORFRONT TRACT (Continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Completed Document Type</th>
<th>Completed Date</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Supplemental Site Investigation Workplan</td>
<td>12/20/2005</td>
<td>Workplan Amendment No. 1 adds additional laboratory analysis to original workplan.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site Characterization Report</td>
<td>10/10/2006</td>
<td>Final approval via email.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Information Request Letter</td>
<td>01/02/2007</td>
<td>Consultant to property owner provided decommissioning and UST Closure Summary to DTSC.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Well Installation Workplan</td>
<td>02/02/2007</td>
<td>Workplan to install 6 shallow groundwater wells, 3 CPT and surface soil sampling. Also includes quarterly groundwater sampling.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fact Sheets</td>
<td>02/02/2007</td>
<td>DTSC prepared fact sheet. Describes previous sampling conducted and proposed sampling activities.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Well Completion Report</td>
<td>11/15/2007</td>
<td>Approved final document was based on revisions provided on Oct. 11, Nov. 1 and Nov. 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Correspondence - Received</td>
<td>07/06/2007</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site Characterization Workplan</td>
<td>05/12/2008</td>
<td>Approval letter for workplan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monitoring Report</td>
<td>12/06/2007</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completed Area Name</td>
<td>Completed Sub Area Name</td>
<td>Completed Document Type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PROJECT WIDE</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>Imminent and/or Subst. Endangerment Determination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pacific Hard Chrome</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>State/Federal Funded Site Contract</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PROJECT WIDE</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>Monitoring Report</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PROJECT WIDE</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>Site Characterization Report</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pacific Hard Chrome</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>Monitoring Report</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PROJECT WIDE</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>Site Characterization Report</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PROJECT WIDE</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>Public Participation Plan / Community Relations Plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pacific Hard Chrome</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>Pilot Study/Treatability Workplan</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments:
- 02/03/2010
- 10/15/2009
- 05/05/2006
- 06/29/2010
- 03/20/2008
- 06/30/2008
- 05/08/2008
- 07/08/2009
- 05/05/2009
- 02/03/2010
- 06/29/2010
- 03/20/2008
- 06/30/2008
- 05/08/2008
- 07/08/2009
- 05/05/2009
- 02/03/2010
- 06/29/2010
- 03/20/2008
- 06/30/2008
- 05/08/2008
- 07/08/2009
- 05/05/2009
- 02/03/2010
HARBORFRONT TRACT (Continued)  

Completed Area Name: Pacific Hard Chrome  
Completed Sub Area Name: Not reported  
Completed Document Type: Fieldwork  
Completed Date: 02/22/2010  
Comments: Field work began week of 2/22/2010.

Completed Area Name: Pacific Hard Chrome  
Completed Sub Area Name: Not reported  
Completed Document Type: Other Report  
Completed Date: 05/28/2010  
Comments: Approval of Pre-Design Characterization Data

Completed Area Name: Pacific Hard Chrome  
Completed Sub Area Name: Not reported  
Completed Document Type: Pilot Study/Treatability Workplan  
Completed Date: 08/25/2010  
Comments: Not reported

Completed Area Name: Pacific Hard Chrome  
Completed Sub Area Name: Not reported  
Completed Document Type: Fieldwork  
Completed Date: 08/10/2010  
Comments: No DTSC letter - field implementation began.

Completed Area Name: Pacific Hard Chrome  
Completed Sub Area Name: Not reported  
Completed Document Type: Pilot/Treatability Study Report  
Completed Date: 11/08/2011  
Comments: Report approved as submitted.

Completed Area Name: Pacific Hard Chrome  
Completed Sub Area Name: Not reported  
Completed Document Type: Fact Sheets  
Completed Date: 08/05/2010  
Comments: Work Notice hand delivered to surrounding businesses.

Completed Area Name: Pacific Hard Chrome  
Completed Sub Area Name: Not reported  
Completed Document Type: Fact Sheets  
Completed Date: 08/23/2010  
Comments: Work notice was distributed on 8/5/2010.

Completed Area Name: PROJECT WIDE  
Completed Sub Area Name: Not reported  
Completed Document Type: Other Report  
Completed Date: 11/10/2010  
Comments: No DTSC letter. Consultation with GSU was done.

Completed Area Name: Pacific Hard Chrome  
Completed Sub Area Name: Not reported  
Completed Document Type: Fieldwork  
Completed Date: 12/04/2010  
Comments: Field work was completed. No DTSC letter needed.

Completed Area Name: Pacific Hard Chrome  
Completed Sub Area Name: Not reported  
Completed Document Type: Monitoring Report
## HARBOURFRONT TRACT (Continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Completed Date</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01/20/2012</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01/17/2012</td>
<td>No letter prepared.  Field work conducted and completed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10/06/2011</td>
<td>No DTSC letter required or RP submittal.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/01/2012</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07/07/2011</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No letter prepared.  Field work conducted and completed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/01/2012</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Report approved as submitted.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01/20/2012</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01/20/2012</td>
<td>No final DTSC letter.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/27/2011</td>
<td>Work notice for groundwater sampling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/27/2011</td>
<td>Work notice for groundwater sampling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09/07/2012</td>
<td>Revised Work Plan approved.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10/26/2012</td>
<td>Work Notice for Well Closures</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Completed Area Name:** Pacific Hard Chrome

**Completed Sub Area Name:** Not reported

**Completed Document Type:** Monitoring Report, Pilot/Treatability Study Report, Fieldwork

**Comments:** Not reported, No letter prepared.  Field work conducted and completed. No DTSC letter required or RP submittal. No letter prepared.  Field work conducted and completed. No DTSC letter - field work was conducted by ERRG. No final DTSC letter.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Completed Area Name:</th>
<th>Pacific Hard Chrome</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Completed Sub Area Name:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completed Document Type:</td>
<td>State/Federal Funded Site Contract</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completed Date:</td>
<td>04/29/2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comments:</td>
<td>Executed Agreement - Time Extension Only</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Completed Area Name:</th>
<th>Pacific Hard Chrome</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Completed Sub Area Name:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completed Document Type:</td>
<td>State/Federal Funded Site Contract</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completed Date:</td>
<td>04/26/2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comments:</td>
<td>ERRG 2007 Contract</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Completed Area Name:</th>
<th>Pacific Hard Chrome</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Completed Sub Area Name:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completed Document Type:</td>
<td>State/Federal Funded Site Contract Fiscal Approval (CFA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completed Date:</td>
<td>11/28/2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comments:</td>
<td>Contract extension to 6/30/2010</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Completed Area Name:</th>
<th>Pacific Hard Chrome</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Completed Sub Area Name:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completed Document Type:</td>
<td>State/Federal Funded Site Contract Fiscal Approval (CFA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completed Date:</td>
<td>04/07/2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comments:</td>
<td>Contract extension approved on 4/7/2012</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Completed Area Name:</th>
<th>Pacific Hard Chrome</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Completed Sub Area Name:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completed Document Type:</td>
<td>State/Federal Funded Site Contract</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completed Date:</td>
<td>12/30/2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comments:</td>
<td>Contract amendment to add funding and extend term of contract.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Completed Area Name:</th>
<th>PROJECT WIDE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Completed Sub Area Name:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completed Document Type:</td>
<td>State/Federal Funded Site Contract</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completed Date:</td>
<td>09/25/2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comments:</td>
<td>ERRG contract</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Completed Area Name:</th>
<th>Pacific Hard Chrome</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Completed Sub Area Name:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completed Document Type:</td>
<td>State/Federal Funded Site Contract</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completed Date:</td>
<td>05/08/2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comments:</td>
<td>Contract amendment 1 for time extension to 1/31/2009</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Completed Area Name:</th>
<th>Pacific Hard Chrome</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Completed Sub Area Name:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completed Document Type:</td>
<td>State/Federal Funded Site Contract</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completed Date:</td>
<td>12/08/2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comments:</td>
<td>Contract Amendment to add time.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Future Area Name:</th>
<th>Not reported</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Future Sub Area Name:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
HARBORFRONT TRACT (Continued)

Future Document Type: Not reported  
Future Due Date: Not reported  
Schedule Area Name: Not reported  
Schedule Sub Area Name: Not reported  
Schedule Document Type: Not reported  
Schedule Date: Not reported  
Schedule Revised Date: Not reported

CORTÉSE:
Region: CORTÉSE  
Envirostor Id: 70000178  
Site/Facility Type: STATE RESPONSE  
Cleanup Status: ACTIVE  
Status Date: 07/01/2005  
Site Code: 201734  
Latitude: 37.912343  
Longitude: -122.32812  
Owner: Not reported  
Enf Type: Not reported  
Swat R: Not reported  
Flag: envirostor  
Order No: Not reported  
Waste Discharge System No: Not reported  
Effective Date: Not reported  
Region 2: Not reported  
WID Id: Not reported  
Solid Waste Id No: Not reported  
Waste Management Util Name: Not reported

I52  
ICI AMERICAS INC AG PLANT  
RESPONSE  
ENVIROSTOR  
SLIC  
SLIC  
DEED  
Cortese  
ENVIROSTOR  
N/A  
ENVIROSTOR

WSW  
1415 47TH ST S  
RICHMOND, CA 94804  
1/2-1

0.968 mi.  
5111 ft.  
Site 1 of 3 in cluster I  
Site Mgmt. Req.: 201955

Relative: Lower  
Actual: 18 ft.

Facility ID: 7280002  
Site Type: State Response  
Site Type Detail: State Response or NPL  
Acres: 86  
National Priorities List: NO

Cleanup Oversight Agencies: SMBRP  
Lead Agency Description: DTSC - Site Cleanup Program  
Project Manager: Lynn Nakashima  
Supervisor: Barbara Cook  
Division Branch: Cleanup Berkeley  
Site Code: 201955

Site Mgmt. Req.: DAY, ELD, HOS, LUC, EX, GW, NOWN, NDAM, SCH, RES, DAY, ELD, HOS, LUC, EX, GW, NOWN, NDAM, SCH, RES, DAY, ELD, HOS, LUC, EX, GW, NOWN, NUSE, SCH, RES

Assembly: 15  
Senate: 09  
Special Program Status: Not reported  
Status: Active  
Status Date: 11/06/2004
ICI AMERICAS INC AG PLANT (Continued) S102628252

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Restricted Use:</th>
<th>YES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Funding:</td>
<td>Responsible Party</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latitude:</td>
<td>37.91189</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Longitude:</td>
<td>-122.3314</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Past Use:</td>
<td>ILLEGAL DUMPING, MANUFACTURING - CHEMICALS, MANUFACTURING - PESTICIDES, RESEARCH - WEAPONS, WASTE - INDUSTRIAL TREATMENT FACILITY, WASTE WATER PONDS, HAZARDOUS WASTE STORAGE - TANKS/CONTAINERS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potential COC :</td>
<td>Arsenic Benzene DDD DDE DDT Lead Mercury (elemental Polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs Polynuclear aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs Tetrachloroethylene (PCE Trichloroethylene (TCE Vinyl chloride Copper and compounds Nickel Selenium Sulfuric Acid Toluene Zinc 1,2-Dichloroethylene (cis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Confirmed COC:</td>
<td>Sulfuric Acid Selenium Toluene Polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs Polynuclear aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs Tetrachloroethylene (PCE Trichloroethylene (TCE Vinyl chloride Beryllium and compounds Cadmium and compounds Carbon disulfide Copper and compounds Nickel Arsenic Benzene DDD DDE DDT Lead Mercury (elemental Zinc 1,2-Dichloroethylene (cis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potential Description:</td>
<td>OTH, SED, SOIL, SV, SOIL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alias Name:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alias Type:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Completed Info:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Completed Area Name:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completed Sub Area Name:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completed Document Type:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completed Date:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comments:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Future Area Name: | Not reported |
| Future Sub Area Name: | Not reported |
| Future Document Type: | Not reported |
| Future Due Date: | Not reported |
| Schedule Area Name: | Not reported |
| Schedule Sub Area Name: | Not reported |
| Schedule Document Type: | Not reported |
| Schedule Due Date: | Not reported |
| Schedule Revised Date: | Not reported |

ENVIRONMENTAL: Facility ID: 7280002 Status: Active Status Date: 11/06/2004 Site Code: 201955 Site Type: Site Type Detailed: State Response or NPL Acres: 86 NPL: NO Regulatory Agencies: SMBRP Lead Agency: SMBRP Program Manager: Lynn Nakashima
ICI AMERICAS INC AG PLANT (Continued)  S102628252

Supervisor: Barbara Cook
Division Branch: Cleanup Berkeley
Assembly: 15
Senate: 09
Special Program: Not reported
Restricted Use: YES
Site Mgmt Req: DAY, ELD, HOS, LUC, EX, GW, NOWN, NDAM, SCH, RES, DAY, ELD, HOS, LUC, EX, GW, NOWN, NDAM, SCH, RES, DAY, ELD, HOS, LUC, EX, GW, NOWN, NUSE, SCH, RES
Funding: Responsible Party
Latitude: 37.91189
Longitude: -122.3314
APN: 560-050-007, 560-050-016, 560-050-020, 560-050-021, 560-050-022, 5600100046, 5600100047, 560022019, 560022026, 560022026, 560022002, 560022005, 560022007, 560050007, 560050008, 560050019, 560050021, 560050022, 560050023
Past Use: ILLEGAL DUMPING, MANUFACTURING - CHEMICALS, MANUFACTURING - PESTICIDES, RESEARCH - WEAPONS, WASTE - INDUSTRIAL TREATMENT FACILITY, WASTE WATER PONDS, HAZARDOUS WASTE STORAGE - TANKS/CONTAINERS
Potential COC: Arsenic Benzene DDD DDE DDT Lead Mercury (elemental Polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs Polynuclear aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs Tetrachloroethylene (PCE Trichloroethylene (TCE Vinyl chloride Beryllium and compounds Cadmium and compounds Carbon disulfide Copper and compounds Nickel Selenium Sulfuric Acid Toluene Zinc Arsenic Polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs Tetrachloroethylene (PCE Trichloroethylene (TCE 1,2-Dichloroethylene (cis Confirmed COC: Sulfuric Acid Selenium Toluene Polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs Polynuclear aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs Tetrachloroethylene (PCE Trichloroethylene (TCE Vinyl chloride Beryllium and compounds Cadmium and compounds Carbon disulfide Copper and compounds Nickel Arsenic Benzene DDD DDE DDT Lead Mercury (elemental Zinc Arsenic Tetrachloroethylene (PCE 1,2-Dichloroethylene (cis Polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs Trichloroethylene (TCE Potential Description: OTH, SED, SOIL, SV, SOIL
Completed Info:
Completed Area Name: Not reported
Completed Sub Area Name: Not reported
Completed Document Type: Not reported
Comments: Not reported
Future Area Name: Not reported
Future Sub Area Name: Not reported
Future Document Type: Not reported
Future Due Date: Not reported
Schedule Area Name: Not reported
Schedule Sub Area Name: Not reported
Schedule Document Type: Not reported
Schedule Due Date: Not reported
Schedule Revised Date: Not reported

LUST:
Region: STATE
ICI AMERICAS INC AG PLANT (Continued)  

Global Id: T0601300531  
Latitude: 37.9149179  
Longitude: -122.3331764  
Case Type: Not reported  
Status: Completed - Case Closed  
Status Date: 05/18/1998  
Lead Agency: Not reported  
Case Worker: BGS  
Local Agency: Not reported  
RB Case Number: 07-0575  
LOC Case Number: Not reported  
File Location: Not reported  
Potential Media Affect: Other Groundwater (uses other than drinking water)  
Potential Contaminants of Concern: Gasoline  
Site History: Not reported

Click here to access the California GeoTracker records for this facility:

Contact:

Global Id: T0601300531  
Contact Type: Regional Board Caseworker  
Contact Name: BARBARA SIEMINSKI  
Organization Name: SAN FRANCISCO BAY RWQCB (REGION 2)  
Address: 1515 CLAY STREET, SUITE 1400  
City: OAKLAND  
Email: bsieminski@waterboards.ca.gov  
Phone Number: Not reported

Global Id: T0601300531  
Contact Type: Local Agency Caseworker  
Contact Name: SUE LOYD  
Organization Name: CONTRA COSTA COUNTY  
Address: 4333 PACHECO BLVD.  
City: MARTINEZ  
Email: slloyd@hsd.co.contra-costa.ca.us  
Phone Number: Not reported

Status History:

Global Id: T0601300531  
Status: Completed - Case Closed  
Status Date: 05/18/1998

Global Id: T0601300531  
Status: Open - Case Begin Date  
Status Date: 09/07/1991

Global Id: T0601300531  
Status: Open - Site Assessment  
Status Date: 07/27/1994

Regulatory Activities:

Global Id: T0601300531  
Action Type: Other  
Date: 09/07/1991  
Action: Leak Discovery

Global Id: T0601300531
ICl AMERICAS INC AG PLANT (Continued)

Action Type: Other
Date: 06/30/1992
Action: Leak Reported

Global Id: T0601300531
Action Type: Other
Date: 09/07/1991
Action: Leak Stopped

Global Id: T0601300531
Action Type: ENFORCEMENT
Date: 05/18/1998
Action: Clean Up Fund - Case Closure Review Summary Report (RSR) - #2118.11

LUST REG 2:
Region: 2
Facility Id: 07-0575
Facility Status: Case Closed
Case Number: 04650
How Discovered: Tank Closure
Leak Cause: UNK
Leak Source: UNK
Date Leak Confirmed: Not reported
Oversight Program: LUST
Prelim. Site Assessment Workplan Submitted: Not reported
Preliminary Site Assessment Began: 7/27/1994
Pollution Characterization Began: Not reported
Pollution Remediation Plan Submitted: Not reported
Date Remediation Action Underway: Not reported
Date Post Remedial Action Monitoring Began: Not reported

SLIC:
Region: STATE
Facility Status: Open - Remediation
Status Date: 07/01/2002
Global Id: SL20250868
Lead Agency: SAN FRANCISCO BAY RWQCB (REGION 2)
Lead Agency Case Number: Not reported
Latitude: 37.9181503365907
Longitude: -122.328107357025
Case Type: Cleanup Program Site
Case Worker: CSF
Local Agency: Not reported
RB Case Number: 07S0147
File Location: Not reported
Potential Media Affected: Not reported
Potential Contaminants of Concern: Not reported
Site History: Case transferred to DTSC in 2005

Click here to access the California GeoTracker records for this facility:

DEED:
Envirostor ID: 7280002
Area: LOT 2
Sub Area: Not reported
ICI AMERICAS INC AG PLANT (Continued)

Site Type: STATE RESPONSE
Status: ACTIVE
Agency: Not reported
Covenant Uploaded: Not reported
Deed Date(s): 04/26/2004

Envirostor ID: 7280002
Area: LOT 3
Sub Area: Not reported
Site Type: STATE RESPONSE
Status: ACTIVE
Agency: Not reported
Covenant Uploaded: Not reported
Deed Date(s): 04/26/2004

Envirostor ID: 7280002
Area: LOT 1
Sub Area: Not reported
Site Type: STATE RESPONSE
Status: ACTIVE
Agency: Not reported
Covenant Uploaded: Not reported
Deed Date(s): 04/26/2004

CORTES:  
Region: CORTESE
Envirostor Id: 7280002
Site/Facility Type: STATE RESPONSE
Cleanup Status: ACTIVE - LAND USE RESTRICTIONS
Status Date: 11/06/2004
Site Code: 201567, 201621, 201622, 201623, 201624, 201629, 201955
Latitude: 37.911897
Longitude: -122.33147
Owner: Not reported
Enf Type: Not reported
Swat R: Not reported
Flag: envirostor
Order No: Not reported
Waste Discharge System No: Not reported
Effective Date: Not reported
Region 2: Not reported
WID Id: Not reported
Solid Waste Id No: Not reported
Waste Management Unit Name: Not reported

HIST CORTESE:  
Region: CORTESE
Facility County Code: 7
Reg By: LTNKA
Reg Id: 07-0575

Region: CORTESE
Facility County Code: 7
Reg By: LTNKA
Reg Id: 3200
### MAP FINDINGS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Map ID</th>
<th>Direction</th>
<th>Distance</th>
<th>Elevation</th>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Database(s)</th>
<th>EPA ID Number</th>
<th>EDR ID Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I53</td>
<td>WSW</td>
<td>0.968 mi.</td>
<td>5111 ft.</td>
<td>Site 2 of 3 in cluster I</td>
<td>HIST Cal-Sites</td>
<td>S106800169</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Calsite:**
- **Region:** BERKELEY
- **Facility ID:** 07280002
- **Type:** RESPONSIBLE PARTY
- **Branch:** NC
- **Branch Name:** NORTH COAST
- **File Name:** Not reported
- **State Senate District:** 11062004
- **Status:** ANNUAL WORKPLAN (AWP) - ACTIVE SITE
- **Status Name:** ANNUAL WORKPLAN - ACTIVE SITE
- **Lead Agency:** DEPT OF TOXIC SUBSTANCES CONTROL
- **NPL:** Not Listed
- **SIC Code:** 28
- **SIC Name:** MANU - CHEMICALS & ALLIED PRODUCTS
- **Access:** Controlled
- **Cortese:** Not reported
- **Groundwater Contamination:** Confirmed
- **Staff Member Responsible for Site:** LNAKASHI
- **Region Water Control Board:** SF
- **Region Water Control Board Name:** SAN FRANCISCO BAY
- **Lat/Long Direction:** Not reported
- **Lat/Long (dms):** 0 0 0 / 0 0 0
- **Lat/Long Method:** Not reported
- **Lat/Long Description:** Not reported
- **State Assembly District Code:** 14
- **State Senate District Code:** 09
- **Facility ID:** 07280002
- **Activity:** DISC
- **Activity Name:** DISCOVERY
- **AWP Code:** Not reported
- **Proposed Budget:** 0
- **AWP Completion Date:** Not reported
- **Revised Due Date:** Not reported
- **Comments Date:** 01061980
- **Est Person-Yrs to complete:** 0
- **Estimated Size:** Not reported
- **Request to Delete Activity:** Not reported
- **Activity Status:** REFERW
- **Definition of Status:** PROPERTY/SITE REFERRED TO RWQCB
- **Liquids Removed (Gals):** 0
- **Liquids Treated (Gals):** 0
- **Action Included Capping:** Not reported
- **Well Decommissioned:** Not reported
- **Action Included Fencing:** Not reported
- **Removal Action Certification:** Not reported
- **Activity Comments:** Not reported
- **For Commercial Reuse:** 0
- **For Industrial Reuse:** 0
- **For Residential Reuse:** 0
Facility identified. Questionnaire sent.

The Site is located south of Interstate 580, east of the University of California Berkeley Richmond Field Station and along the San Francisco Bay shoreline. Historically, this site was divided into three main areas: the manufacturing plant area, the Western Research Center and the unimproved open space area. Stauffer Chemical Co., and later Zeneca Inc. manufactured sulfuric acid and pesticides at the site from the late 1800's until the late 1990's. Cherokee Simeon Ventures (CSV) purchased the 76 acre site from Zeneca Inc. in 2002 to develop the property. The property is divided into four areas, now known as Lots 1, 2, 3, and East Stege Marsh (also referred to as the "marsh" or habitat enhancement area). Lot 1 was developed into commercial space and is now known as the Campus Bay Business Park. Lots 2 and 3 are currently undeveloped and are zoned for light and heavy industry uses. Collectively, Lots 1, 2 and 3 generally make up what is known as the Upland area. East Stege Marsh is located between Lot 1 and San Francisco Bay and is currently undergoing habitat restoration. The San Francisco Regional Water Quality Control Board (Water Board) was the lead environmental agency overseeing the restoration and cleanup of the entire Zeneca Site. However, in November 2004, the regulatory oversight of the Upland Area (Lots 1, 2 and 3) was transferred to DTSC. This change was made based upon the experience and expertise of each regulatory agency. The Water Board continues to be responsible for managing the work associated with the habitat restoration and the management of sediments in the marsh and freshwater lagoons of East Stege Marsh.

Facility identified. Questionnaire sent.
### ZENECA RICHMOND AG PRODUCTS (Continued)

**Comments Date:** 02081980  
**Comments:** Questionnaire received. Past & present RWQCB monitoring.

**Comments Date:** 02081980  
**Comments:** Hauler: IT Corp, Martinez. Permit: NPDES 402-0006157.

**Comments Date:** 03181987  
**Comments:** Completed Site Screening. CERCLIS Site. US EPA is recommending further action and is, therefore, the lead agency.

**Comments Date:** 05061980  
**Comments:** Facility drive-by to determine site boundaries.

**Comments Date:** 06061980  
**Comments:** Site visit.

**Comments Date:** 06301981  
**Comments:** Disposal area of Abandoned Site Program (ASP) interest

**Comments Date:** 06301981  
**Comments:** closed in 1973.

**Comments Date:** 08281981  
**Comments:** Stauffer is an identified abandoned site.

**Comments Date:** 11062004  
**Comments:** The regulatory oversight of the Upland Area (Lots 1,2 and 3) was transferred to DTSC.

**ID Name:** EPA IDENTIFICATION NUMBER  
**ID Value:** transferred to DTSC.

**ID Name:** CALSTARS CODE  
**ID Value:** transferred to DTSC.

**Alternate Name:** STAUFFER CHEMICAL CO - RICHMONDZENECA RICHMOND AG PRODUCTSCAMPUS BAYZENECA INC.

**Special Programs Code:** Not reported  
**Special Programs Name:** Not reported

---

**ICI AMERICAS, RICHMOND**  
**1415 S 47TH STREET**  
**RICHMOND, CA 94804**

**Relative:**  
**Actual:**

**TOXIC PITS:**

- **Region:** 02
- **Task #:** 82033
- **Owner:** ICI AMERICAS
- **1/2 Mi Limit:** Y
- **Num. of Pits:** 2
- **Cease Discharge Due:** 06/30/88
- **Cease Discharge Complete:** 06/30/88
- **Closure Due:** 12/31/91
- **Closure Completed:** 05/20/91
- **Status:** CLOSED
- **Hydro Geological Assessment Report Due:** 04/30/91
- **Final Hydro Geological Assessment Review Completed:** / /
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>City</th>
<th>EDR ID</th>
<th>Site Name</th>
<th>Site Address</th>
<th>Zip</th>
<th>Database(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EL CERRITO</td>
<td>S112980385</td>
<td>FE FORBES COMPANY</td>
<td>5926 ALAMEDA AVE</td>
<td>94530</td>
<td>HAZNET</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EL CERRITO</td>
<td>1010693352</td>
<td>JUSPO PROPERTY</td>
<td>723 AND 725 LIBERTY</td>
<td>94530</td>
<td>FTTS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EL CERRITO</td>
<td>S117286204</td>
<td>THERESA HOUSKA</td>
<td>1238 LIBERTY STREET UNIT'S A &amp; B</td>
<td>94530</td>
<td>HAZNET</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EL CERRITO</td>
<td>S114600084</td>
<td>CHEVRON</td>
<td>11319 SAN PABLO</td>
<td>94804</td>
<td>RGA LUST</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EL CERRITO</td>
<td>S114633153</td>
<td>HOME DEPOT</td>
<td>11909 939 SAN PABLO AVE</td>
<td>94530</td>
<td>HAZNET</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EL CERRITO</td>
<td>S114690439</td>
<td>SHELL</td>
<td>9889 SAN PABLO</td>
<td>94804</td>
<td>RGA LUST</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EL CERRITO</td>
<td>S114633152</td>
<td>HOME DEPOT</td>
<td>11909 93 SAN PABLO AVE</td>
<td>94804</td>
<td>RGA LUST</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EL CERRITO</td>
<td>S113068673</td>
<td>THE HOME DEPOT #643</td>
<td>11939 SAN PABLO AVE</td>
<td>94530</td>
<td>HAZNET</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EL CERRITO</td>
<td>S114633149</td>
<td>HOME DEPOT</td>
<td>0 SAN PABLO AVE</td>
<td>94530</td>
<td>RGA LUST</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EL CERRITO</td>
<td>S113069999</td>
<td>CHEVRON 96463</td>
<td>10192 SAN PABLO AVE</td>
<td>94530</td>
<td>HAZNET</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EL CERRITO</td>
<td>S114614017</td>
<td>EL CERRITO REDEVELOPMENT AGNCY</td>
<td>SAN PABLO &amp; EASTSHORE</td>
<td>94804</td>
<td>RGA LUST</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EL CERRITO AND RICHMC</td>
<td>S109548271</td>
<td>MACDONALD SAN PABLO WALL 45TH PLUME</td>
<td>SAN PABLO WALL 45TH PLUME</td>
<td>94804</td>
<td>RESPONSE, ENVIROSTOR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RICHMON D</td>
<td>1010736034</td>
<td>CATELLUS COMMERCIAL CENTER</td>
<td>23RD STREET RAILYARD</td>
<td>94804</td>
<td>FINDS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RICHMON D</td>
<td>1003877990</td>
<td>PETER KIEWET &amp; SONS</td>
<td>SOUTH 26TH ST &amp; PIERSON AVE</td>
<td>94804</td>
<td>CERC-NFRAP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RICHMON D</td>
<td>1003878426</td>
<td>DREW SALES</td>
<td>7TH &amp; CASTRO</td>
<td>94804</td>
<td>CERC-NFRAP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RICHMON D</td>
<td>1001231329</td>
<td>CALTRANS</td>
<td>HWY_580 AT CENTRAL AVE</td>
<td>94804</td>
<td>RCRA-SOG, HAZNET</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RICHMON D</td>
<td>S110732887</td>
<td>CARLSON BLVD IMPROVEMENTS</td>
<td>CARLSON BLVD FROM TEHAMA AVE TO SAN JOSE AVE</td>
<td>94804</td>
<td>NPDES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RICHMON D</td>
<td>1003879389</td>
<td>AMERICAN STANDARD-RICHMOND</td>
<td>CORNER OF HENSLEY &amp; CASTRO ST</td>
<td>94804</td>
<td>CERC-NFRAP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RICHMON D</td>
<td>1003878557</td>
<td>KAISER ALUM &amp; CHEM CO SHI PYARD #2</td>
<td>CUTTING AND WRIGHT TO THE BAY</td>
<td>94804</td>
<td>CERC-NFRAP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RICHMON D</td>
<td>1003878419</td>
<td>BLA IR SOUTHERN PACIFIC LDSL</td>
<td>FOOT OF S 51ST ST</td>
<td>94804</td>
<td>CERC-NFRAP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RICHMON D</td>
<td>1003878423</td>
<td>COOPERS CHEMICAL CO</td>
<td>2 GIANT RD</td>
<td>94804</td>
<td>CERC-NFRAP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RICHMON D</td>
<td>S100633414</td>
<td>LIQUID GOLD</td>
<td>WEST OF BAYVIEW EXIT ON I-580</td>
<td>94804</td>
<td>CA BOND EXP. PLAN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RICHMON D</td>
<td>S113180651</td>
<td>S. COREY CUNNINGHAM</td>
<td>SAN PABLO ST @ CENTRAL RICH-</td>
<td>94804</td>
<td>HAZNET</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RICHMON D</td>
<td>S113074345</td>
<td>FUTURE IMAGING SYSTEMS</td>
<td>344 B SAN MATEO STREET</td>
<td>94804</td>
<td>HAZNET</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RICHMON D</td>
<td>1000434401</td>
<td>CHEVRON USA RICHMOND REF</td>
<td>841 STANDARD AVE</td>
<td>94804</td>
<td>CERC-NFRAP, CORRACTS, RAATS, FINDS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
To maintain currency of the following federal and state databases, EDR contacts the appropriate governmental agency on a monthly or quarterly basis, as required.

**Number of Days to Update:** Provides confirmation that EDR is reporting records that have been updated within 90 days from the date the government agency made the information available to the public.

### STANDARD ENVIRONMENTAL RECORDS

**Federal NPL site list**

NPL: National Priority List

National Priorities List (Superfund). The NPL is a subset of CERCLIS and identifies over 1,200 sites for priority cleanup under the Superfund Program. NPL sites may encompass relatively large areas. As such, EDR provides polygon coverage for over 1,000 NPL site boundaries produced by EPA's Environmental Photographic Interpretation Center (EPIC) and regional EPA offices.

| Date of Government Version: 03/26/2015 | Source: EPA |
| Date Data Arrived at EDR: 04/08/2015 | Telephone: N/A |
| Date Made Active in Reports: 06/22/2015 | Last EDR Contact: 07/09/2015 |
| Number of Days to Update: 75 | Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 10/19/2015 |

NPL Site Boundaries

Sources:

EPA’s Environmental Photographic Interpretation Center (EPIC)
Telephone: 202-564-7333

EPA Region 1
Telephone 617-918-1143
EPA Region 6
Telephone: 214-655-6659

EPA Region 3
Telephone 215-814-5418
EPA Region 7
Telephone 913-551-7247

EPA Region 4
Telephone 404-562-8033
EPA Region 8
Telephone: 303-312-6774

EPA Region 5
Telephone 312-886-6686
EPA Region 9
Telephone: 415-947-4246

EPA Region 10
Telephone 206-553-8665

**Proposed NPL:** Proposed National Priority List Sites

A site that has been proposed for listing on the National Priorities List through the issuance of a proposed rule in the Federal Register. EPA then accepts public comments on the site, responds to the comments, and places on the NPL those sites that continue to meet the requirements for listing.

| Date of Government Version: 03/26/2015 | Source: EPA |
| Date Data Arrived at EDR: 04/08/2015 | Telephone: N/A |
| Date Made Active in Reports: 06/22/2015 | Last EDR Contact: 07/09/2015 |
| Number of Days to Update: 75 | Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 10/19/2015 |

**NPL LIENS:** Federal Superfund Liens

Federal Superfund Liens. Under the authority granted the USEPA by CERCLA of 1980, the USEPA has the authority to file liens against real property in order to recover remedial action expenditures or when the property owner received notification of potential liability. USEPA compiles a listing of filed notices of Superfund Liens.

| Date of Government Version: 10/15/1991 | Source: EPA |
| Date Data Arrived at EDR: 02/02/1994 | Telephone: 202-564-4267 |
| Date Made Active in Reports: 03/30/1994 | Last EDR Contact: 08/15/2011 |
| Number of Days to Update: 56 | Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 11/28/2011 |

Data Release Frequency: No Update Planned
Federal Delisted NPL site list

Delisted NPL: National Priority List Deletions

The National Oil and Hazardous Substances Pollution Contingency Plan (NCP) establishes the criteria that the EPA uses to delete sites from the NPL. In accordance with 40 CFR 300.425.(e), sites may be deleted from the NPL where no further response is appropriate.

Date of Government Version: 03/26/2015 Source: EPA
Date Data Arrived at EDR: 04/08/2015 Telephone: N/A
Date Made Active in Reports: 06/22/2015 Last EDR Contact: 07/09/2015
Number of Days to Update: 75 Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 10/19/2015
Data Release Frequency: Quarterly

Federal CERCLIS list

FEDERAL FACILITY: Federal Facility Site Information listing

A listing of National Priority List (NPL) and Base Realignment and Closure (BRAC) sites found in the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Information System (CERCLIS) Database where EPA Federal Facilities Restoration and Reuse Office is involved in cleanup activities.

Date of Government Version: 03/26/2015 Source: Environmental Protection Agency
Date Data Arrived at EDR: 04/08/2015 Telephone: 703-603-8704
Date Made Active in Reports: 06/11/2015 Last EDR Contact: 07/10/2015
Number of Days to Update: 64 Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 10/19/2015
Data Release Frequency: Varies

CERCLIS: Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Information System

CERCLIS contains data on potentially hazardous waste sites that have been reported to the USEPA by states, municipalities, private companies and private persons, pursuant to Section 103 of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA). CERCLIS contains sites which are either proposed to or on the National Priorities List (NPL) and sites which are in the screening and assessment phase for possible inclusion on the NPL.

Date of Government Version: 10/25/2013 Source: EPA
Date Data Arrived at EDR: 11/11/2013 Telephone: 703-412-9810
Date Made Active in Reports: 02/13/2014 Last EDR Contact: 05/29/2015
Number of Days to Update: 94 Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 09/07/2015
Data Release Frequency: Quarterly

Federal CERCLIS NFRAP site List

CERCLIS-NFRAP: CERCLIS No Further Remedial Action Planned

Archived sites are sites that have been removed and archived from the inventory of CERCLIS sites. Archived status indicates that, to the best of EPA’s knowledge, assessment at a site has been completed and that EPA has determined no further steps will be taken to list this site on the National Priorities List (NPL), unless information indicates this decision was not appropriate or other considerations require a recommendation for listing at a later time. This decision does not necessarily mean that there is no hazard associated with a given site; it only means that, based upon available information, the location is not judged to be a potential NPL site.

Date of Government Version: 10/25/2013 Source: EPA
Date Data Arrived at EDR: 11/11/2013 Telephone: 703-412-9810
Date Made Active in Reports: 02/13/2014 Last EDR Contact: 05/29/2015
Number of Days to Update: 94 Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 09/07/2015
Data Release Frequency: Quarterly

Federal RCRA CORRACTS facilities list

CORRACTS: Corrective Action Report

CORRACTS identifies hazardous waste handlers with RCRA corrective action activity.
Federal RCRA non-CORRACTS TSD facilities list

RCRA-TSDF: RCRA - Treatment, Storage and Disposal
RCRAInfo is EPA’s comprehensive information system, providing access to data supporting the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) of 1976 and the Hazardous and Solid Waste Amendments (HSWA) of 1984. The database includes selective information on sites which generate, transport, store, treat and/or dispose of hazardous waste as defined by the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). Transporters are individuals or entities that move hazardous waste from the generator offsite to a facility that can recycle, treat, store, or dispose of the waste. TSDFs treat, store, or dispose of the waste.

Federal RCRA generators list

RCRA-LQG: RCRA - Large Quantity Generators
RCRAInfo is EPA’s comprehensive information system, providing access to data supporting the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) of 1976 and the Hazardous and Solid Waste Amendments (HSWA) of 1984. The database includes selective information on sites which generate, transport, store, treat and/or dispose of hazardous waste as defined by the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). Large quantity generators (LQGs) generate over 1,000 kilograms (kg) of hazardous waste, or over 1 kg of acutely hazardous waste per month.

RCRA-SQG: RCRA - Small Quantity Generators
RCRAInfo is EPA’s comprehensive information system, providing access to data supporting the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) of 1976 and the Hazardous and Solid Waste Amendments (HSWA) of 1984. The database includes selective information on sites which generate, transport, store, treat and/or dispose of hazardous waste as defined by the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). Small quantity generators (SQGs) generate between 100 kg and 1,000 kg of hazardous waste per month.

RCRA-CESQG: RCRA - Conditionally Exempt Small Quantity Generators
RCRAInfo is EPA’s comprehensive information system, providing access to data supporting the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) of 1976 and the Hazardous and Solid Waste Amendments (HSWA) of 1984. The database includes selective information on sites which generate, transport, store, treat and/or dispose of hazardous waste as defined by the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). Conditionally exempt small quantity generators (CESQGs) generate less than 100 kg of hazardous waste, or less than 1 kg of acutely hazardous waste per month.
Federal institutional controls / engineering controls registries

LUCIS: Land Use Control Information System
LUCIS contains records of land use control information pertaining to the former Navy Base Realignment and Closure properties.

Date of Government Version: 05/28/2015
Date Data Arrived at EDR: 05/29/2015
Date Made Active in Reports: 06/11/2015
Number of Days to Update: 13

Source: Department of the Navy
Telephone: 843-820-7326
Last EDR Contact: 08/12/2015
Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 11/30/2015
Data Release Frequency: Varies

US ENG CONTROLS: Engineering Controls Sites List
A listing of sites with engineering controls in place. Engineering controls include various forms of caps, building foundations, liners, and treatment methods to create pathway elimination for regulated substances to enter environmental media or effect human health.

Date of Government Version: 06/09/2015
Date Data Arrived at EDR: 06/26/2015
Date Made Active in Reports: 09/02/2015
Number of Days to Update: 68

Source: Environmental Protection Agency
Telephone: 703-603-0695
Last EDR Contact: 08/31/2015
Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 12/14/2015
Data Release Frequency: Varies

US INST CONTROL: Sites with Institutional Controls
A listing of sites with institutional controls in place. Institutional controls include administrative measures, such as groundwater use restrictions, construction restrictions, property use restrictions, and post remediation care requirements intended to prevent exposure to contaminants remaining on site. Deed restrictions are generally required as part of the institutional controls.

Date of Government Version: 06/09/2015
Date Data Arrived at EDR: 06/26/2015
Date Made Active in Reports: 09/02/2015
Number of Days to Update: 68

Source: Environmental Protection Agency
Telephone: 703-603-0695
Last EDR Contact: 08/31/2015
Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 12/14/2015
Data Release Frequency: Varies

Federal ERNS list

ERNS: Emergency Response Notification System
Emergency Response Notification System. ERNS records and stores information on reported releases of oil and hazardous substances.

Date of Government Version: 03/30/2015
Date Data Arrived at EDR: 03/31/2015
Date Made Active in Reports: 06/02/2015
Number of Days to Update: 63

Source: National Response Center, United States Coast Guard
Telephone: 202-267-2180
Last EDR Contact: 06/26/2015
Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 10/12/2015
Data Release Frequency: Annually

State- and tribal - equivalent NPL

RESPONSE: State Response Sites
Identifies confirmed release sites where DTSC is involved in remediation, either in a lead or oversight capacity. These confirmed release sites are generally high-priority and high potential risk.

Date of Government Version: 08/03/2015
Date Data Arrived at EDR: 08/04/2015
Date Made Active in Reports: 09/03/2015
Number of Days to Update: 30

Source: Department of Toxic Substances Control
Telephone: 916-323-3400
Last EDR Contact: 08/04/2015
Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 11/16/2015
Data Release Frequency: Quarterly

State- and tribal - equivalent CERCLIS
ENVIROSTOR: EnviroStor Database

The Department of Toxic Substances Control’s (DTSC’s) Site Mitigation and Brownfields Reuse Program’s (SMBRP’s) EnviroStor database identifies sites that have known contamination or sites for which there may be reasons to investigate further. The database includes the following site types: Federal Superfund sites (National Priorities List (NPL)); State Response, including Military Facilities and State Superfund; Voluntary Cleanup; and School sites. EnviroStor provides similar information to the information that was available in CalSites, and provides additional site information, including, but not limited to, identification of formerly-contaminated properties that have been released for reuse, properties where environmental deed restrictions have been recorded to prevent inappropriate land uses, and risk characterization information that is used to assess potential impacts to public health and the environment at contaminated sites.

- **Date of Government Version:** 08/03/2015
- **Source:** Department of Toxic Substances Control
- **Telephone:** 916-323-3400
- **Last EDR Contact:** 08/04/2015
- **Next Scheduled EDR Contact:** 11/16/2015
- **Data Release Frequency:** Quarterly

**State and tribal landfill and/or solid waste disposal site lists**

SWF/LF (SWIS): Solid Waste Information System

Active, Closed and Inactive Landfills. SWF/LF records typically contain an inventory of solid waste disposal facilities or landfills. These may be active or inactive facilities or open dumps that failed to meet RCRA Section 4004 criteria for solid waste landfills or disposal sites.

- **Date of Government Version:** 08/17/2015
- **Source:** Department of Resources Recycling and Recovery
- **Telephone:** 916-341-6320
- **Last EDR Contact:** 08/18/2015
- **Next Scheduled EDR Contact:** 11/30/2015
- **Data Release Frequency:** Quarterly

**State and tribal leaking storage tank lists**

LUST REG 2: Fuel Leak List


- **Date of Government Version:** 09/30/2004
- **Source:** California Regional Water Quality Control Board San Francisco Bay Region (2)
- **Telephone:** 510-622-2433
- **Last EDR Contact:** 09/19/2011
- **Next Scheduled EDR Contact:** 01/02/2012
- **Data Release Frequency:** Quarterly

LUST REG 3: Leaking Underground Storage Tank Database

Leaking Underground Storage Tank locations. Monterey, San Benito, San Luis Obispo, Santa Barbara, Santa Cruz counties.

- **Date of Government Version:** 05/19/2003
- **Source:** California Regional Water Quality Control Board Central Coast Region (3)
- **Telephone:** 805-542-4786
- **Last EDR Contact:** 07/18/2011
- **Next Scheduled EDR Contact:** 10/31/2011
- **Data Release Frequency:** No Update Planned

LUST REG 4: Underground Storage Tank Leak List

Los Angeles, Ventura counties. For more current information, please refer to the State Water Resources Control Board’s LUST database.

- **Date of Government Version:** 09/07/2004
- **Source:** California Regional Water Quality Control Board Los Angeles Region (4)
- **Telephone:** 213-576-6710
- **Last EDR Contact:** 09/06/2011
- **Next Scheduled EDR Contact:** 12/19/2011
- **Data Release Frequency:** No Update Planned
LUST REG 5: Leaking Underground Storage Tank Database

Date of Government Version: 07/01/2008
Date Data Arrived at EDR: 07/22/2008
Date Made Active in Reports: 07/31/2008
Number of Days to Update: 9
Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 10/17/2011
Data Release Frequency: No Update Planned

Source: California Regional Water Quality Control Board Central Valley Region (5)
Telephone: 916-464-4834

LUST REG 6L: Leaking Underground Storage Tank Case Listing
For more current information, please refer to the State Water Resources Control Board’s LUST database.

Date of Government Version: 09/09/2003
Date Data Arrived at EDR: 09/10/2003
Date Made Active in Reports: 10/07/2003
Number of Days to Update: 27
Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 12/26/2011
Data Release Frequency: No Update Planned

Source: California Regional Water Quality Control Board Lahontan Region (6)
Telephone: 530-542-5572

LUST REG 1: Active Toxic Site Investigation
Del Norte, Humboldt, Lake, Mendocino, Modoc, Siskiyou, Sonoma, Trinity counties. For more current information, please refer to the State Water Resources Control Board’s LUST database.

Date of Government Version: 02/01/2001
Date Data Arrived at EDR: 02/28/2001
Date Made Active in Reports: 03/29/2001
Number of Days to Update: 29
Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 11/14/2011
Data Release Frequency: No Update Planned

Source: California Regional Water Quality Control Board North Coast (1)
Telephone: 707-570-3769

LUST: Geotracker’s Leaking Underground Fuel Tank Report
Leaking Underground Storage Tank Incident Reports. LUST records contain an inventory of reported leaking underground storage tank incidents. Not all states maintain these records, and the information stored varies by state. For more information on a particular leaking underground storage tank sites, please contact the appropriate regulatory agency.

Date of Government Version: 06/15/2015
Date Data Arrived at EDR: 06/17/2015
Date Made Active in Reports: 07/14/2015
Number of Days to Update: 27
Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 09/28/2015
Data Release Frequency: Quarterly

Source: State Water Resources Control Board
Telephone: see region list

LUST REG 7: Leaking Underground Storage Tank Case Listing
Leaking Underground Storage Tank locations. Imperial, Riverside, San Diego, Santa Barbara counties.

Date of Government Version: 02/26/2004
Date Data Arrived at EDR: 02/26/2004
Date Made Active in Reports: 03/24/2004
Number of Days to Update: 27
Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 11/14/2011
Data Release Frequency: No Update Planned

Source: California Regional Water Quality Control Board Colorado River Basin Region (7)
Telephone: 760-776-8943

LUST REG 6V: Leaking Underground Storage Tank Case Listing

Date of Government Version: 06/07/2005
Date Data Arrived at EDR: 06/07/2005
Date Made Active in Reports: 06/29/2005
Number of Days to Update: 22
Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 12/26/2011
Data Release Frequency: No Update Planned

Source: California Regional Water Quality Control Board Victorville Branch Office (6)
Telephone: 760-241-7365

Last EDR Contact: 09/12/2011
Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 12/26/2011
Data Release Frequency: No Update Planned

Source: California Regional Water Quality Control Board Colorado River Basin Region (7)
Telephone: 760-776-8943
LUST REG 8: Leaking Underground Storage Tanks
California Regional Water Quality Control Board Santa Ana Region (8). For more current information, please refer to the State Water Resources Control Board's LUST database.

Date of Government Version: 02/14/2005
Date Data Arrived at EDR: 02/15/2005
Date Made Active in Reports: 03/28/2005
Number of Days to Update: 41
Source: California Regional Water Quality Control Board Santa Ana Region (8)
Telephone: 909-782-4496
Last EDR Contact: 08/15/2011
Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 11/28/2011
Data Release Frequency: Varies

LUST REG 9: Leaking Underground Storage Tank Report
Orange, Riverside, San Diego counties. For more current information, please refer to the State Water Resources Control Board's LUST database.

Date of Government Version: 03/01/2001
Date Data Arrived at EDR: 04/23/2001
Date Made Active in Reports: 05/21/2001
Number of Days to Update: 28
Source: California Regional Water Quality Control Board San Diego Region (9)
Telephone: 858-637-5595
Last EDR Contact: 09/26/2011
Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 01/09/2012
Data Release Frequency: No Update Planned

INDIAN LUST R10: Leaking Underground Storage Tanks on Indian Land

Date of Government Version: 02/03/2015
Date Data Arrived at EDR: 02/12/2015
Date Made Active in Reports: 03/13/2015
Number of Days to Update: 29
Source: EPA Region 10
Telephone: 206-553-2857
Last EDR Contact: 07/22/2015
Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 11/09/2015
Data Release Frequency: Quarterly

INDIAN LUST R9: Leaking Underground Storage Tanks on Indian Land
LUSTs on Indian land in Arizona, California, New Mexico and Nevada

Date of Government Version: 01/08/2015
Date Data Arrived at EDR: 01/08/2015
Date Made Active in Reports: 02/09/2015
Number of Days to Update: 32
Source: Environmental Protection Agency
Telephone: 415-972-3372
Last EDR Contact: 07/31/2015
Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 11/09/2015
Data Release Frequency: Quarterly

INDIAN LUST R8: Leaking Underground Storage Tanks on Indian Land
LUSTs on Indian land in Colorado, Montana, North Dakota, South Dakota, Utah and Wyoming.

Date of Government Version: 04/30/2015
Date Data Arrived at EDR: 05/05/2015
Date Made Active in Reports: 06/22/2015
Number of Days to Update: 48
Source: EPA Region 8
Telephone: 303-312-6271
Last EDR Contact: 07/22/2015
Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 11/09/2015
Data Release Frequency: Quarterly

INDIAN LUST R7: Leaking Underground Storage Tanks on Indian Land
LUSTs on Indian land in Iowa, Kansas, and Nebraska

Date of Government Version: 03/30/2015
Date Data Arrived at EDR: 04/28/2015
Date Made Active in Reports: 06/22/2015
Number of Days to Update: 55
Source: EPA Region 7
Telephone: 913-551-7003
Last EDR Contact: 07/22/2015
Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 11/09/2015
Data Release Frequency: Quarterly

INDIAN LUST R6: Leaking Underground Storage Tanks on Indian Land
LUSTs on Indian land in New Mexico and Oklahoma.

Date of Government Version: 03/17/2015
Date Data Arrived at EDR: 05/01/2015
Date Made Active in Reports: 06/22/2015
Number of Days to Update: 52
Source: EPA Region 6
Telephone: 214-665-6597
Last EDR Contact: 07/22/2015
Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 11/09/2015
Data Release Frequency: Varies
INDIAN LUST R1: Leaking Underground Storage Tanks on Indian Land
A listing of leaking underground storage tank locations on Indian Land.
Date of Government Version: 02/03/2015  
Date Data Arrived at EDR: 04/30/2015  
Date Made Active in Reports: 06/22/2015  
Number of Days to Update: 53  
Source: EPA Region 1  
Telephone: 617-918-1313  
Last EDR Contact: 07/31/2015  
Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 11/09/2015  
Data Release Frequency: Varies

INDIAN LUST R4: Leaking Underground Storage Tanks on Indian Land
LUSTs on Indian land in Florida, Mississippi and North Carolina.
Date of Government Version: 09/30/2014  
Date Data Arrived at EDR: 03/03/2015  
Date Made Active in Reports: 03/13/2015  
Number of Days to Update: 10  
Source: EPA Region 4  
Telephone: 404-562-8677  
Last EDR Contact: 07/22/2015  
Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 11/09/2015  
Data Release Frequency: Semi-Annually

INDIAN LUST R5: Leaking Underground Storage Tanks on Indian Land
Leaking underground storage tanks located on Indian Land in Michigan, Minnesota and Wisconsin.
Date of Government Version: 04/30/2015  
Date Data Arrived at EDR: 05/29/2015  
Date Made Active in Reports: 06/22/2015  
Number of Days to Update: 24  
Source: EPA, Region 5  
Telephone: 312-886-7439  
Last EDR Contact: 07/22/2015  
Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 11/09/2015  
Data Release Frequency: Varies

SLIC: Statewide SLIC Cases
The SLIC (Spills, Leaks, Investigations and Cleanup) program is designed to protect and restore water quality from spills, leaks, and similar discharges.
Date of Government Version: 06/15/2015  
Date Data Arrived at EDR: 06/17/2015  
Date Made Active in Reports: 07/14/2015  
Number of Days to Update: 27  
Source: State Water Resources Control Board  
Telephone: 866-480-1028  
Last EDR Contact: 06/17/2015  
Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 09/28/2015  
Data Release Frequency: Varies

SLIC REG 1: Active Toxic Site Investigations
The SLIC (Spills, Leaks, Investigations and Cleanup) program is designed to protect and restore water quality from spills, leaks, and similar discharges.
Date of Government Version: 04/03/2003  
Date Data Arrived at EDR: 04/07/2003  
Date Made Active in Reports: 04/25/2003  
Number of Days to Update: 18  
Source: California Regional Water Quality Control Board, North Coast Region (1)  
Telephone: 707-576-2220  
Last EDR Contact: 08/01/2011  
Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 11/14/2011  
Data Release Frequency: No Update Planned

SLIC REG 2: Spills, Leaks, Investigation & Cleanup Cost Recovery Listing
The SLIC (Spills, Leaks, Investigations and Cleanup) program is designed to protect and restore water quality from spills, leaks, and similar discharges.
Date of Government Version: 09/30/2004  
Date Data Arrived at EDR: 10/20/2004  
Date Made Active in Reports: 11/19/2004  
Number of Days to Update: 30  
Source: Regional Water Quality Control Board San Francisco Bay Region (2)  
Telephone: 510-286-0457  
Last EDR Contact: 09/19/2011  
Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 01/02/2012  
Data Release Frequency: Quarterly

SLIC REG 3: Spills, Leaks, Investigation & Cleanup Cost Recovery Listing
The SLIC (Spills, Leaks, Investigations and Cleanup) program is designed to protect and restore water quality from spills, leaks, and similar discharges.
SLIC REG 4: Spills, Leaks, Investigation & Cleanup Cost Recovery Listing
The SLIC (Spills, Leaks, Investigations and Cleanup) program is designed to protect and restore water quality from spills, leaks, and similar discharges.

Date of Government Version: 11/17/2004
Date Data Arrived at EDR: 11/18/2004
Date Made Active in Reports: 01/04/2005
Number of Days to Update: 47

Source: Region Water Quality Control Board Los Angeles Region (4)
Telephone: 213-576-6600
Last EDR Contact: 07/01/2011
Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 10/17/2011
Data Release Frequency: Varies

SLIC REG 5: Spills, Leaks, Investigation & Cleanup Cost Recovery Listing
The SLIC (Spills, Leaks, Investigations and Cleanup) program is designed to protect and restore water quality from spills, leaks, and similar discharges.

Date of Government Version: 04/01/2005
Date Data Arrived at EDR: 04/05/2005
Date Made Active in Reports: 04/21/2005
Number of Days to Update: 16

Source: Regional Water Quality Control Board Central Valley Region (5)
Telephone: 916-464-3291
Last EDR Contact: 09/12/2011
Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 12/26/2011
Data Release Frequency: Semi-Annually

SLIC REG 6V: Spills, Leaks, Investigation & Cleanup Cost Recovery Listing
The SLIC (Spills, Leaks, Investigations and Cleanup) program is designed to protect and restore water quality from spills, leaks, and similar discharges.

Date of Government Version: 05/24/2005
Date Data Arrived at EDR: 05/25/2005
Date Made Active in Reports: 06/16/2005
Number of Days to Update: 22

Source: Regional Water Quality Control Board, Victorville Branch
Telephone: 619-241-6583
Last EDR Contact: 08/15/2011
Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 11/28/2011
Data Release Frequency: Semi-Annually

SLIC REG 6L: SLIC Sites
The SLIC (Spills, Leaks, Investigations and Cleanup) program is designed to protect and restore water quality from spills, leaks, and similar discharges.

Date of Government Version: 09/07/2004
Date Data Arrived at EDR: 09/07/2004
Date Made Active in Reports: 10/12/2004
Number of Days to Update: 35

Source: California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Lahontan Region
Telephone: 530-542-5574
Last EDR Contact: 08/15/2011
Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 11/28/2011
Data Release Frequency: No Update Planned

SLIC REG 7: SLIC List
The SLIC (Spills, Leaks, Investigations and Cleanup) program is designed to protect and restore water quality from spills, leaks, and similar discharges.

Date of Government Version: 11/24/2004
Date Data Arrived at EDR: 11/29/2004
Date Made Active in Reports: 01/04/2005
Number of Days to Update: 36

Source: California Regional Quality Control Board, Colorado River Basin Region
Telephone: 760-346-7491
Last EDR Contact: 08/01/2011
Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 11/14/2011
Data Release Frequency: No Update Planned

SLIC REG 8: Spills, Leaks, Investigation & Cleanup Cost Recovery Listing
The SLIC (Spills, Leaks, Investigations and Cleanup) program is designed to protect and restore water quality from spills, leaks, and similar discharges.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date of Government Version: 04/03/2008</th>
<th>Date Data Arrived at EDR: 04/03/2008</th>
<th>Date Made Active in Reports: 04/14/2008</th>
<th>Number of Days to Update: 11</th>
<th>Source: California Region Water Quality Control Board Santa Ana Region (8)</th>
<th>Telephone: 951-782-3298</th>
<th>Last EDR Contact: 09/12/2011</th>
<th>Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 12/26/2011</th>
<th>Data Release Frequency: Semi-Annually</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**SLIC REG 9: Spills, Leaks, Investigation & Cleanup Cost Recovery Listing**

The SLIC (Spills, Leaks, Investigations and Cleanup) program is designed to protect and restore water quality from spills, leaks, and similar discharges.

|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|

**State and tribal registered storage tank lists**

**FEMA UST: Underground Storage Tank Listing**

A listing of all FEMA owned underground storage tanks.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date of Government Version: 01/01/2010</th>
<th>Date Data Arrived at EDR: 02/16/2010</th>
<th>Date Made Active in Reports: 04/12/2010</th>
<th>Number of Days to Update: 55</th>
<th>Source: FEMA</th>
<th>Telephone: 202-646-5797</th>
<th>Last EDR Contact: 07/10/2015</th>
<th>Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 10/28/2015</th>
<th>Data Release Frequency: Varies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**UST: Active UST Facilities**

Active UST facilities gathered from the local regulatory agencies.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date of Government Version: 06/15/2015</th>
<th>Date Data Arrived at EDR: 06/17/2015</th>
<th>Date Made Active in Reports: 07/06/2015</th>
<th>Number of Days to Update: 19</th>
<th>Source: SWRCB</th>
<th>Telephone: 916-341-5851</th>
<th>Last EDR Contact: 06/17/2015</th>
<th>Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 09/28/2015</th>
<th>Data Release Frequency: Semi-Annually</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**AST: Aboveground Petroleum Storage Tank Facilities**

A listing of aboveground storage tank petroleum storage tank locations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date of Government Version: 08/01/2009</th>
<th>Date Data Arrived at EDR: 09/10/2009</th>
<th>Date Made Active in Reports: 10/01/2009</th>
<th>Number of Days to Update: 21</th>
<th>Source: California Environmental Protection Agency</th>
<th>Telephone: 916-327-5092</th>
<th>Last EDR Contact: 07/13/2015</th>
<th>Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 10/12/2015</th>
<th>Data Release Frequency: Quarterly</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**INDIAN UST R4: Underground Storage Tanks on Indian Land**

The Indian Underground Storage Tank (UST) database provides information about underground storage tanks on Indian land in EPA Region 4 (Alabama, Florida, Georgia, Kentucky, Mississippi, North Carolina, South Carolina, Tennessee and Tribal Nations).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date of Government Version: 09/30/2014</th>
<th>Date Data Arrived at EDR: 03/03/2015</th>
<th>Date Made Active in Reports: 03/13/2015</th>
<th>Number of Days to Update: 10</th>
<th>Source: EPA Region 4</th>
<th>Telephone: 404-562-9424</th>
<th>Last EDR Contact: 07/22/2015</th>
<th>Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 11/09/2015</th>
<th>Data Release Frequency: Semi-Annually</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**INDIAN UST R5: Underground Storage Tanks on Indian Land**

The Indian Underground Storage Tank (UST) database provides information about underground storage tanks on Indian land in EPA Region 5 (Michigan, Minnesota and Wisconsin and Tribal Nations).
INDIAN UST R6: Underground Storage Tanks on Indian Land
The Indian Underground Storage Tank (UST) database provides information about underground storage tanks on Indian
land in EPA Region 6 (Louisiana, Arkansas, Oklahoma, New Mexico, Texas and 65 Tribes).

Date of Government Version: 03/17/2015 Source: EPA Region 6
Date Data Arrived at EDR: 05/01/2015 Telephone: 214-665-7591
Date Made Active in Reports: 06/22/2015 Last EDR Contact: 07/22/2015
Number of Days to Update: 52 Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 11/09/2015
Data Release Frequency: Semi-Annually

INDIAN UST R7: Underground Storage Tanks on Indian Land
The Indian Underground Storage Tank (UST) database provides information about underground storage tanks on Indian
land in EPA Region 7 (Iowa, Kansas, Missouri, Nebraska, and 9 Tribal Nations).

Date of Government Version: 09/23/2014 Source: EPA Region 7
Date Data Arrived at EDR: 11/25/2014 Telephone: 913-551-7003
Date Made Active in Reports: 01/29/2015 Last EDR Contact: 07/22/2015
Number of Days to Update: 65 Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 11/09/2015
Data Release Frequency: Varies

INDIAN UST R8: Underground Storage Tanks on Indian Land
The Indian Underground Storage Tank (UST) database provides information about underground storage tanks on Indian
land in EPA Region 8 (Colorado, Montana, North Dakota, South Dakota, Utah, Wyoming and 27 Tribal Nations).

Date of Government Version: 04/30/2015 Source: EPA Region 8
Date Data Arrived at EDR: 05/05/2015 Telephone: 303-312-6137
Date Made Active in Reports: 06/22/2015 Last EDR Contact: 07/22/2015
Number of Days to Update: 48 Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 11/09/2015
Data Release Frequency: Quarterly

INDIAN UST R10: Underground Storage Tanks on Indian Land
The Indian Underground Storage Tank (UST) database provides information about underground storage tanks on Indian

Date of Government Version: 05/06/2015 Source: EPA Region 10
Date Data Arrived at EDR: 05/19/2015 Telephone: 206-553-2857
Date Made Active in Reports: 06/22/2015 Last EDR Contact: 07/22/2015
Number of Days to Update: 34 Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 11/09/2015
Data Release Frequency: Quarterly

INDIAN UST R1: Underground Storage Tanks on Indian Land
The Indian Underground Storage Tank (UST) database provides information about underground storage tanks on Indian
land in EPA Region 1 (Connecticut, Maine, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, Rhode Island, Vermont and ten Tribal
Nations).

Date of Government Version: 02/03/2015 Source: EPA, Region 1
Date Data Arrived at EDR: 04/30/2015 Telephone: 617-918-1313
Date Made Active in Reports: 06/22/2015 Last EDR Contact: 07/31/2015
Number of Days to Update: 53 Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 11/09/2015
Data Release Frequency: Varies

INDIAN UST R9: Underground Storage Tanks on Indian Land
The Indian Underground Storage Tank (UST) database provides information about underground storage tanks on Indian
land in EPA Region 9 (Arizona, California, Hawaii, Nevada, the Pacific Islands, and Tribal Nations).
State and tribal voluntary cleanup sites

INDIAN VCP R1: Voluntary Cleanup Priority Listing
A listing of voluntary cleanup priority sites located on Indian Land located in Region 1.

INDIAN VCP R7: Voluntary Cleanup Priority Listing
A listing of voluntary cleanup priority sites located on Indian Land located in Region 7.

VCP: Voluntary Cleanup Program Properties
Contains low threat level properties with either confirmed or unconfirmed releases and the project proponents have request that DTSC oversee investigation and/or cleanup activities and have agreed to provide coverage for DTSC's costs.

State and tribal Brownfields sites

BROWNFIELDS: Considered Brownfields Sites Listing
A listing of sites the SWRCB considers to be Brownfields since these are sites have come to them through the MOA Process.

ADDITIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL RECORDS

Local Brownfield lists

US BROWNFIELDS: A Listing of Brownfields Sites
Brownfields are real property, the expansion, redevelopment, or reuse of which may be complicated by the presence or potential presence of a hazardous substance, pollutant, or contaminant. Cleaning up and reinvesting in these properties takes development pressures off of undeveloped, open land, and both improves and protects the environment. Assessment, Cleanup and Redevelopment Exchange System (ACRES) stores information reported by EPA Brownfields grant recipients on brownfields properties assessed or cleaned up with grant funding as well as information on Targeted Brownfields Assessments performed by EPA Regions. A listing of ACRES Brownfield sites is obtained from Cleanups in My Community. Cleanups in My Community provides information on Brownfields properties for which information is reported back to EPA, as well as areas served by Brownfields grant programs.
Local Lists of Landfill / Solid Waste Disposal Sites

WMUDS/SWAT: Waste Management Unit Database
Waste Management Unit Database System. WMUDS is used by the State Water Resources Control Board staff and the Regional Water Quality Control Boards for program tracking and inventory of waste management units. WMUDS is composed of the following databases: Facility Information, Scheduled Inspections Information, Waste Management Unit Information, SWAT Program Information, SWAT Report Summary Information, SWAT Report Summary Data, Chapter 15 (formerly Subchapter 15) Information, Chapter 15 Monitoring Parameters, TPCA Program Information, RCRA Program Information, Closure Information, and Interested Parties Information.

Date of Government Version: 06/22/2015
Date Data Arrived at EDR: 06/24/2015
Date Made Active in Reports: 09/02/2015
Number of Days to Update: 70
Source: Environmental Protection Agency
Telephone: 202-566-2777
Last EDR Contact: 06/24/2015
Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 10/05/2015
Data Release Frequency: Semi-Annually

Date of Government Version: 04/01/2000
Date Data Arrived at EDR: 04/10/2000
Date Made Active in Reports: 05/10/2000
Number of Days to Update: 30
Source: State Water Resources Control Board
Telephone: 916-227-4448
Last EDR Contact: 06/04/2015
Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 11/23/2015
Data Release Frequency: No Update Planned

SWRCY: Recycler Database
A listing of recycling facilities in California.

Date of Government Version: 06/15/2015
Date Data Arrived at EDR: 06/17/2015
Date Made Active in Reports: 08/03/2015
Number of Days to Update: 47
Source: Department of Conservation
Telephone: 916-323-3836
Last EDR Contact: 08/12/2015
Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 11/28/2015
Data Release Frequency: Quarterly

HAULERS: Registered Waste Tire Haulers Listing
A listing of registered waste tire haulers.

Date of Government Version: 05/26/2015
Date Data Arrived at EDR: 05/28/2015
Date Made Active in Reports: 06/05/2015
Number of Days to Update: 8
Source: Integrated Waste Management Board
Telephone: 916-341-6422
Last EDR Contact: 08/12/2015
Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 11/30/2015
Data Release Frequency: Varies

INDIAN ODI: Report on the Status of Open Dumps on Indian Lands
Location of open dumps on Indian land.

Date of Government Version: 12/31/1998
Date Data Arrived at EDR: 12/03/2007
Date Made Active in Reports: 01/24/2008
Number of Days to Update: 52
Source: Environmental Protection Agency
Telephone: 703-308-8245
Last EDR Contact: 05/24/2015
Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 08/17/2015
Data Release Frequency: Varies

DEBRIS REGION 9: Torres Martinez Reservation Illegal Dump Site Locations
A listing of illegal dump sites location on the Torres Martinez Indian Reservation located in eastern Riverside County and northern Imperial County, California.

Date of Government Version: 01/12/2009
Date Data Arrived at EDR: 05/07/2009
Date Made Active in Reports: 09/21/2009
Number of Days to Update: 137
Source: EPA, Region 9
Telephone: 415-947-4219
Last EDR Contact: 07/22/2015
Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 11/09/2015
Data Release Frequency: No Update Planned
ODI: Open Dump Inventory
An open dump is defined as a disposal facility that does not comply with one or more of the Part 257 or Part 258 Subtitle D Criteria.

Date of Government Version: 06/30/1985
Source: Environmental Protection Agency
Date Data Arrived at EDR: 08/09/2004
Telephone: 800-424-9346
Date Made Active in Reports: 09/17/2004
Last EDR Contact: 06/09/2004
Number of Days to Update: 39
Next Scheduled EDR Contact: N/A
Data Release Frequency: No Update Planned

Local Lists of Hazardous waste / Contaminated Sites

US HIST CDL: National Clandestine Laboratory Register
A listing of clandestine drug lab locations. The U.S. Department of Justice ("the Department") provides this web site as a public service. It contains addresses of some locations where law enforcement agencies reported they found chemicals or other items that indicated the presence of either clandestine drug laboratories or dumpsites. In most cases, the source of the entries is not the Department, and the Department has not verified the entry and does not guarantee its accuracy. Members of the public must verify the accuracy of all entries by, for example, contacting local law enforcement and local health departments.

Date of Government Version: 02/25/2015
Source: Drug Enforcement Administration
Date Data Arrived at EDR: 03/10/2015
Telephone: 202-307-1000
Date Made Active in Reports: 03/25/2015
Last EDR Contact: 05/29/2015
Number of Days to Update: 15
Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 09/14/2015
Data Release Frequency: No Update Planned

HIST CAL-SITES: Calsites Database
The Calsites database contains potential or confirmed hazardous substance release properties. In 1996, California EPA reevaluated and significantly reduced the number of sites in the Calsites database. No longer updated by the state agency. It has been replaced by ENVIROSTOR.

Date of Government Version: 08/08/2005
Source: Department of Toxic Substance Control
Date Data Arrived at EDR: 08/03/2006
Telephone: 916-323-3400
Date Made Active in Reports: 08/24/2006
Last EDR Contact: 02/23/2009
Number of Days to Update: 21
Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 05/25/2009
Data Release Frequency: No Update Planned

SCH: School Property Evaluation Program
This category contains proposed and existing school sites that are being evaluated by DTSC for possible hazardous materials contamination. In some cases, these properties may be listed in the CalSites category depending on the level of threat to public health and safety or the environment they pose.

Date of Government Version: 08/03/2015
Source: Department of Toxic Substances Control
Date Data Arrived at EDR: 08/04/2015
Telephone: 916-323-3400
Date Made Active in Reports: 09/03/2015
Last EDR Contact: 08/04/2015
Number of Days to Update: 30
Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 11/16/2015
Data Release Frequency: Quarterly

CDL: Clandestine Drug Labs
A listing of drug lab locations. Listing of a location in this database does not indicate that any illegal drug lab materials were or were not present there, and does not constitute a determination that the location either requires or does not require additional cleanup work.

Date of Government Version: 12/31/2014
Source: Department of Toxic Substances Control
Date Data Arrived at EDR: 03/10/2015
Telephone: 916-255-6504
Date Made Active in Reports: 03/18/2015
Last EDR Contact: 08/07/2015
Number of Days to Update: 8
Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 10/28/2015
Data Release Frequency: Varies
TOXIC PITS: Toxic Pits Cleanup Act Sites

Toxic PITS Cleanup Act Sites. TOXIC PITS identifies sites suspected of containing hazardous substances where cleanup has not yet been completed.

Date of Government Version: 07/01/1995
Date Data Arrived at EDR: 08/30/1995
Date Made Active in Reports: 09/26/1995
Number of Days to Update: 27
Source: State Water Resources Control Board
Telephone: 916-227-4364
Last EDR Contact: 01/26/2009
Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 04/27/2009
Data Release Frequency: No Update Planned

US CDL: Clandestine Drug Labs

A listing of clandestine drug lab locations. The U.S. Department of Justice ("the Department") provides this web site as a public service. It contains addresses of some locations where law enforcement agencies reported they found chemicals or other items that indicated the presence of either clandestine drug laboratories or dumpsites. In most cases, the source of the entries is not the Department, and the Department has not verified the entry and does not guarantee its accuracy. Members of the public must verify the accuracy of all entries by, for example, contacting local law enforcement and local health departments.

Date of Government Version: 02/25/2015
Date Data Arrived at EDR: 03/10/2015
Date Made Active in Reports: 03/25/2015
Number of Days to Update: 15
Source: Drug Enforcement Administration
Telephone: 202-307-1000
Last EDR Contact: 05/29/2015
Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 09/14/2015
Data Release Frequency: Quarterly

Local Lists of Registered Storage Tanks

SWEEPS UST: SWEEPS UST Listing

Statewide Environmental Evaluation and Planning System. This underground storage tank listing was updated and maintained by a company contacted by the SWRCB in the early 1990's. The listing is no longer updated or maintained. The local agency is the contact for more information on a site on the SWEEPS list.

Date of Government Version: 06/01/1994
Date Data Arrived at EDR: 07/07/2005
Date Made Active in Reports: 08/11/2005
Number of Days to Update: 35
Source: State Water Resources Control Board
Telephone: N/A
Last EDR Contact: N/A
Next Scheduled EDR Contact: N/A
Data Release Frequency: No Update Planned

UST MENDOCINO: Mendocino County UST Database

A listing of underground storage tank locations in Mendocino County.

Date of Government Version: 09/23/2009
Date Data Arrived at EDR: 09/23/2009
Date Made Active in Reports: 10/01/2009
Number of Days to Update: 8
Source: Department of Public Health
Telephone: 707-463-4466
Last EDR Contact: 06/01/2015
Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 09/14/2015
Data Release Frequency: Annually

HIST UST: Hazardous Substance Storage Container Database

The Hazardous Substance Storage Container Database is a historical listing of UST sites. Refer to local/county source for current data.

Date of Government Version: 10/15/1990
Date Data Arrived at EDR: 01/25/1991
Date Made Active in Reports: 02/12/1991
Number of Days to Update: 18
Source: State Water Resources Control Board
Telephone: 916-341-5851
Last EDR Contact: 07/26/2001
Next Scheduled EDR Contact: N/A
Data Release Frequency: No Update Planned

CA FID UST: Facility Inventory Database

The Facility Inventory Database (FID) contains a historical listing of active and inactive underground storage tank locations from the State Water Resource Control Board. Refer to local/county source for current data.
Local Land Records

LIENS: Environmental Liens Listing
   A listing of property locations with environmental liens for California where DTSC is a lien holder.

   Date of Government Version: 06/11/2015
   Date Data Arrived at EDR: 06/16/2015
   Date Made Active in Reports: 07/14/2015
   Number of Days to Update: 28
   Source: Department of Toxic Substances Control
   Telephone: 916-323-3400
   Last EDR Contact: 06/05/2015
   Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 09/21/2015
   Data Release Frequency: Varies

LIENS 2: CERCLA Lien Information
   A Federal CERCLA ('Superfund') lien can exist by operation of law at any site or property at which EPA has spent Superfund monies. These monies are spent to investigate and address releases and threatened releases of contamination. CERCLIS provides information as to the identity of these sites and properties.

   Date of Government Version: 02/18/2014
   Date Data Arrived at EDR: 03/18/2014
   Date Made Active in Reports: 04/24/2014
   Number of Days to Update: 37
   Source: Environmental Protection Agency
   Telephone: 202-564-6023
   Last EDR Contact: 07/22/2015
   Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 11/09/2015
   Data Release Frequency: Varies

DEED: Deed Restriction Listing
   Site Mitigation and Brownfields Reuse Program Facility Sites with Deed Restrictions & Hazardous Waste Management Program Facility Sites with Deed / Land Use Restriction. The DTSC Site Mitigation and Brownfields Reuse Program (SMBRP) list includes sites cleaned up under the program's oversight and generally does not include current or former hazardous waste facilities that required a hazardous waste facility permit. The list represents deed restrictions that are active. Some sites have multiple deed restrictions. The DTSC Hazardous Waste Management Program (HWMP) has developed a list of current or former hazardous waste facilities that have a recorded land use restriction at the local county recorder's office. The land use restrictions on this list were required by the DTSC HWMP as a result of the presence of hazardous substances that remain on site after the facility (or part of the facility) has been closed or cleaned up. The types of land use restriction include deed notice, deed restriction, or a land use restriction that binds current and future owners.

   Date of Government Version: 06/08/2015
   Date Data Arrived at EDR: 06/09/2015
   Date Made Active in Reports: 07/14/2015
   Number of Days to Update: 35
   Source: DTSC and SWRCB
   Telephone: 916-323-3400
   Last EDR Contact: 06/09/2015
   Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 09/21/2015
   Data Release Frequency: Semi-Annually

Records of Emergency Release Reports

HMIRS: Hazardous Materials Information Reporting System
   Hazardous Materials Incident Report System. HMIRS contains hazardous material spill incidents reported to DOT.

   Date of Government Version: 06/24/2015
   Date Data Arrived at EDR: 06/26/2015
   Date Made Active in Reports: 09/02/2015
   Number of Days to Update: 68
   Source: U.S. Department of Transportation
   Telephone: 202-366-4555
   Last EDR Contact: 06/26/2015
   Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 10/12/2015
   Data Release Frequency: Annually

CHMIRS: California Hazardous Material Incident Report System
   California Hazardous Material Incident Reporting System. CHMIRS contains information on reported hazardous material incidents (accidental releases or spills).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date of Government Version</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Telephone</th>
<th>Last EDR Contact</th>
<th>Next Scheduled EDR Contact</th>
<th>Data Release Frequency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Date of Government Version: 06/15/2015</td>
<td>Source: State Water Quality Control Board</td>
<td>Telephone: 866-480-1028</td>
<td>Last EDR Contact: 06/17/2015</td>
<td>Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 09/28/2015</td>
<td>Data Release Frequency: Quarterly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date of Government Version: 06/15/2015</td>
<td>Source: FirstSearch</td>
<td>Telephone: N/A</td>
<td>Last EDR Contact: 01/03/2013</td>
<td>Next Scheduled EDR Contact: N/A</td>
<td>Data Release Frequency: No Update Planned</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date of Government Version: 03/10/2015</td>
<td>Source: Environmental Protection Agency</td>
<td>(415) 495-8895</td>
<td>Last EDR Contact: 06/26/2015</td>
<td>Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 10/12/2015</td>
<td>Data Release Frequency: Varies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date of Government Version: 06/06/2014</td>
<td>Source: U.S. Army Corps of Engineers</td>
<td>202-528-4285</td>
<td>Last EDR Contact: 07/08/2015</td>
<td>Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 09/21/2015</td>
<td>Data Release Frequency: Varies</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**LDS:** The Land Disposal program regulates waste discharge to land for treatment, storage, and disposal in waste management units.

**MCS:** The State Water Resources Control Board and nine Regional Water Quality Control Boards partner with the Department of Defense (DoD) through the Defense and State Memorandum of Agreement (DSMOA) to oversee the investigation and remediation of water quality issues at military facilities.

**SPILLS 90:** Spills 90 includes those spill and release records available exclusively from FirstSearch databases. Typically, they may include chemical, oil, or hazardous substance spills recorded after 1990. Duplicate records that are already included in EDR incident and release records are not included in Spills 90.

**RCRA NonGen / NLR:** RCRA - Non Generators / No Longer Regulated

RCRAInfo is EPA's comprehensive information system, providing access to data supporting the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) of 1976 and the Hazardous and Solid Waste Amendments (HSWA) of 1984. The database includes selective information on sites which generate, transport, store, treat, and/or dispose of hazardous waste as defined by the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). Non-Generators do not presently generate hazardous waste.

**FUDS:** The listing includes locations of Formerly Used Defense Sites properties where the US Army Corps of Engineers is actively working or will take necessary cleanup actions.
DOD: Department of Defense Sites
This data set consists of federally owned or administered lands, administered by the Department of Defense, that have any area equal to or greater than 640 acres of the United States, Puerto Rico, and the U.S. Virgin Islands.

Date of Government Version: 12/31/2005  Source: USGS
Date Data Arrived at EDR: 11/10/2006  Telephone: 888-275-8747
Date Made Active in Reports: 01/11/2007  Last EDR Contact: 07/14/2015
Number of Days to Update: 62  Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 10/28/2015
Data Release Frequency: Semi-Annually

FEDLAND: Federal and Indian Lands

Date Data Arrived at EDR: 02/06/2006  Telephone: 888-275-8747
Date Made Active in Reports: 01/11/2007  Last EDR Contact: 07/14/2015
Number of Days to Update: 339  Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 10/28/2015
Data Release Frequency: N/A

SCRD DRYCLEANERS: State Coalition for Remediation of Drycleaners Listing
The State Coalition for Remediation of Drycleaners was established in 1998, with support from the U.S. EPA Office of Superfund Remediation and Technology Innovation. It is comprised of representatives of states with established drycleaner remediation programs. Currently the member states are Alabama, Connecticut, Florida, Illinois, Kansas, Minnesota, Missouri, North Carolina, Oregon, South Carolina, Tennessee, Texas, and Wisconsin.

Date of Government Version: 03/07/2011  Source: Environmental Protection Agency
Date Data Arrived at EDR: 03/09/2011  Telephone: 615-532-8599
Date Made Active in Reports: 05/02/2011  Last EDR Contact: 05/21/2015
Number of Days to Update: 54  Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 08/31/2015
Data Release Frequency: Varies

US FIN ASSUR: Financial Assurance Information
All owners and operators of facilities that treat, store, or dispose of hazardous waste are required to provide proof that they will have sufficient funds to pay for the clean up, closure, and post-closure care of their facilities.

Date of Government Version: 03/09/2015  Source: Environmental Protection Agency
Date Data Arrived at EDR: 03/10/2015  Telephone: 202-566-1917
Date Made Active in Reports: 03/25/2015  Last EDR Contact: 08/12/2015
Number of Days to Update: 15  Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 11/30/2015
Data Release Frequency: Quarterly

EPA WATCH LIST: EPA WATCH LIST
EPA maintains a "Watch List" to facilitate dialogue between EPA, state and local environmental agencies on enforcement matters relating to facilities with alleged violations identified as either significant or high priority. Being on the Watch List does not mean that the facility has actually violated the law only that an investigation by EPA or a state or local environmental agency has led those organizations to allege that an unproven violation has in fact occurred. Being on the Watch List does not represent a higher level of concern regarding the alleged violations that were detected, but instead indicates cases requiring additional dialogue between EPA, state and local agencies - primarily because of the length of time the alleged violation has gone unaddressed or unresolved.

Date of Government Version: 08/30/2013  Source: Environmental Protection Agency
Date Data Arrived at EDR: 03/21/2014  Telephone: 617-520-3000
Date Made Active in Reports: 08/17/2014  Last EDR Contact: 08/04/2015
Number of Days to Update: 98  Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 11/23/2015
Data Release Frequency: Quarterly
2020 COR ACTION: 2020 Corrective Action Program List

The EPA has set ambitious goals for the RCRA Corrective Action program by creating the 2020 Corrective Action Universe. This RCRA cleanup baseline includes facilities expected to need corrective action. The 2020 universe contains a wide variety of sites. Some properties are heavily contaminated while others were contaminated but have since been cleaned up. Still others have not been fully investigated yet, and may require little or no remediation. Inclusion in the 2020 Universe does not necessarily imply failure on the part of a facility to meet its RCRA obligations.

TSCA: Toxic Substances Control Act

Toxic Substances Control Act. TSCA identifies manufacturers and importers of chemical substances included on the TSCA Chemical Substance Inventory list. It includes data on the production volume of these substances by plant site.

TRIS: Toxic Chemical Release Inventory System

Toxic Release Inventory System. TRIS identifies facilities which release toxic chemicals to the air, water and land in reportable quantities under SARA Title III Section 313.

SSTS: Section 7 Tracking Systems

Section 7 of the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide and Rodenticide Act, as amended (92 Stat. 829) requires all registered pesticide-producing establishments to submit a report to the Environmental Protection Agency by March 1st each year. Each establishment must report the types and amounts of pesticides, active ingredients and devices being produced, and those having been produced and sold or distributed in the past year.

ROD: Records Of Decision

Record of Decision. ROD documents mandate a permanent remedy at an NPL (Superfund) site containing technical and health information to aid in the cleanup.

RMP: Risk Management Plans

GOVERNMENT RECORDS SEARCHED / DATA CURRENCY TRACKING
When Congress passed the Clean Air Act Amendments of 1990, it required EPA to publish regulations and guidance for chemical accident prevention at facilities using extremely hazardous substances. The Risk Management Program Rule (RMP Rule) was written to implement Section 112(r) of these amendments. The rule, which built upon existing industry codes and standards, requires companies of all sizes that use certain flammable and toxic substances to develop a Risk Management Program, which includes a(n): Hazard assessment that details the potential effects of an accidental release, an accident history of the last five years, and an evaluation of worst-case and alternative accidental releases; Prevention program that includes safety precautions and maintenance, monitoring, and employee training measures; and Emergency response program that spells out emergency health care, employee training measures and procedures for informing the public and response agencies (e.g. the fire department) should an accident occur.

RAATS: RCRA Administrative Action Tracking System
RCRA Administration Action Tracking System. RAATS contains records based on enforcement actions issued under RCRA pertaining to major violators and includes administrative and civil actions brought by the EPA. For administration actions after September 30, 1995, data entry in the RAATS database was discontinued. EPA will retain a copy of the database for historical records. It was necessary to terminate RAATS because a decrease in agency resources made it impossible to continue to update the information contained in the database.

PRP: Potentially Responsible Parties
A listing of verified Potentially Responsible Parties

PADS: PCB Activity Database System
PCB Activity Database. PADS identifies generators, transporters, commercial storers and/or brokers and disposers of PCB's who are required to notify the EPA of such activities.

ICIS: Integrated Compliance Information System
The Integrated Compliance Information System (ICIS) supports the information needs of the national enforcement and compliance program as well as the unique needs of the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) program.
FTTS: FIFRA/ TSCA Tracking System - FIFRA (Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, & Rodenticide Act)/TSCA (Toxic Substances Control Act)
FTTS tracks administrative cases and pesticide enforcement actions and compliance activities related to FIFRA, TSCA and EPCRA (Emergency Planning and Community Right-to-Know Act). To maintain currency, EDR contacts the Agency on a quarterly basis.

Date of Government Version: 04/09/2009
Date Data Arrived at EDR: 04/16/2009
Date Made Active in Reports: 05/11/2009
Number of Days to Update: 25
Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 09/07/2015
Data Release Frequency: Quarterly

Source: EPA/Office of Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances
Telephone: 202-566-1667
Last EDR Contact: 05/20/2015

FTTS INSP: FIFRA/ TSCA Tracking System - FIFRA (Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, & Rodenticide Act)/TSCA (Toxic Substances Control Act)
A listing of FIFRA/TSCA Tracking System (FTTS) inspections and enforcements.

Date of Government Version: 04/09/2009
Date Data Arrived at EDR: 04/16/2009
Date Made Active in Reports: 05/11/2009
Number of Days to Update: 25
Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 09/07/2015
Data Release Frequency: Quarterly

Source: EPA
Telephone: 202-566-1667
Last EDR Contact: 05/20/2015

MLTS: Material Licensing Tracking System
MLTS is maintained by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission and contains a list of approximately 8,100 sites which possess or use radioactive materials and which are subject to NRC licensing requirements. To maintain currency, EDR contacts the Agency on a quarterly basis.

Date of Government Version: 03/31/2015
Date Data Arrived at EDR: 04/09/2015
Date Made Active in Reports: 06/11/2015
Number of Days to Update: 63
Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 09/21/2015
Data Release Frequency: Quarterly

Source: Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Telephone: 301-415-7169
Last EDR Contact: 06/04/2015

COAL ASH DOE: Steam-Electric Plant Operation Data
A listing of power plants that store ash in surface ponds.

Date of Government Version: 12/31/2005
Date Data Arrived at EDR: 08/07/2009
Date Made Active in Reports: 10/22/2009
Number of Days to Update: 76
Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 10/28/2015
Data Release Frequency: Varies

Source: Department of Energy
Telephone: 202-586-8719
Last EDR Contact: 07/13/2015

COAL ASH EPA: Coal Combustion Residues Surface Impoundments List
A listing of coal combustion residues surface impoundments with high hazard potential ratings.

Date of Government Version: 07/01/2014
Date Data Arrived at EDR: 09/10/2014
Date Made Active in Reports: 10/20/2014
Number of Days to Update: 40
Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 09/21/2015
Data Release Frequency: Varies

Source: Environmental Protection Agency
Telephone: N/A
Last EDR Contact: 06/12/2015

PCB TRANSFORMER: PCB Transformer Registration Database
The database of PCB transformer registrations that includes all PCB registration submittals.

Date of Government Version: 02/01/2011
Date Data Arrived at EDR: 10/19/2011
Date Made Active in Reports: 01/10/2012
Number of Days to Update: 83
Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 11/09/2015
Data Release Frequency: Varies

Source: Environmental Protection Agency
Telephone: 202-566-0517
Last EDR Contact: 07/31/2015

RADINFO: Radiation Information Database
The Radiation Information Database (RADINFO) contains information about facilities that are regulated by U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) regulations for radiation and radioactivity.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Record Type</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Telephone</th>
<th>Last EDR Contact</th>
<th>Next Scheduled EDR Contact</th>
<th>Data Release Frequency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HIST FTTS: FIFRA/TSCA Tracking System Administrative Case Listing</td>
<td>Environmental Protection Agency</td>
<td>202-343-9775</td>
<td>07/09/2015</td>
<td>10/19/2015</td>
<td>Quarterly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIST FTTS INS: FIFRA/TSCA Tracking System Inspection &amp; Enforcement Case Listing</td>
<td>Environmental Protection Agency</td>
<td>202-564-2501</td>
<td>12/17/2007</td>
<td>03/17/2008</td>
<td>No Update Planned</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOT OPS: Incident and Accident Data</td>
<td>Department of Transporation, Office of Pipeline Safety</td>
<td>202-366-4595</td>
<td>08/04/2015</td>
<td>11/16/2015</td>
<td>Varies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CONSENT: Superfund (CERCLA) Consent Decrees</td>
<td>Department of Justice, Consent Decree Library</td>
<td>Varies</td>
<td>06/22/2015</td>
<td>10/12/2015</td>
<td>Varies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRS: Biennial Reporting System</td>
<td>EPANTIS</td>
<td>800-424-9346</td>
<td>05/29/2015</td>
<td>09/07/2015</td>
<td>Biennially</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
INDIAN RESERV: Indian Reservations
This map layer portrays Indian administered lands of the United States that have any area equal to or greater
than 640 acres.

Date of Government Version: 12/31/2005  Source: USGS
Date Data Arrived at EDR: 12/08/2006  Telephone: 202-208-3710
Date Made Active in Reports: 01/11/2007  Last EDR Contact: 07/14/2015
Number of Days to Update: 34  Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 10/28/2015
Data Release Frequency: Semi-Annually

UMTRA: Uranium Mill Tailings Sites
Uranium ore was mined by private companies for federal government use in national defense programs. When the mills
shut down, large piles of the sand-like material (mill tailings) remain after uranium has been extracted from
the ore. Levels of human exposure to radioactive materials from the piles are low; however, in some cases tailings
were used as construction materials before the potential health hazards of the tailings were recognized.

Date of Government Version: 09/14/2010  Source: Department of Energy
Date Data Arrived at EDR: 10/07/2011  Telephone: 505-845-0011
Date Made Active in Reports: 03/01/2012  Last EDR Contact: 05/26/2015
Number of Days to Update: 146  Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 09/07/2015
Data Release Frequency: Varies

LEAD SMELTER 1: Lead Smelter Sites
A listing of former lead smelter site locations.

Date of Government Version: 11/25/2014  Source: Environmental Protection Agency
Date Data Arrived at EDR: 11/26/2014  Telephone: 703-603-8787
Date Made Active in Reports: 01/29/2015  Last EDR Contact: 07/07/2015
Number of Days to Update: 64  Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 10/19/2015
Data Release Frequency: Varies

LEAD SMELTER 2: Lead Smelter Sites
A list of several hundred sites in the U.S. where secondary lead smelting was done from 1931 and 1964. These sites
may pose a threat to public health through ingestion or inhalation of contaminated soil or dust

Date of Government Version: 04/05/2001  Source: American Journal of Public Health
Date Data Arrived at EDR: 10/27/2010  Telephone: 703-305-6451
Date Made Active in Reports: 12/02/2010  Last EDR Contact: 12/02/2009
Number of Days to Update: 36  Next Scheduled EDR Contact: N/A
Data Release Frequency: No Update Planned

US AIRS (AFS): Aerometric Information Retrieval System Facility Subsystem (AFS)
The database is a sub-system of Aerometric Information Retrieval System (AIRS). AFS contains compliance data
on air pollution point sources regulated by the U.S. EPA and/or state and local air regulatory agencies. This
information comes from source reports by various stationary sources of air pollution, such as electric power plants,
steel mills, factories, and universities, and provides information about the air pollutants they produce. Action,
air program, air program pollutant, and general level plant data. It is used to track emissions and compliance
data from industrial plants.

Date of Government Version: 07/22/2015  Source: EPA
Date Data Arrived at EDR: 07/24/2015  Telephone: 202-564-2496
Date Made Active in Reports: 09/02/2015  Last EDR Contact: 06/22/2015
Number of Days to Update: 40  Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 10/05/2015
Data Release Frequency: Annually

US AIRS MINOR: Air Facility System Data
A listing of minor source facilities.

Date of Government Version: 07/22/2015  Source: EPA
Date Data Arrived at EDR: 07/24/2015  Telephone: 202-564-2496
Date Made Active in Reports: 09/02/2015  Last EDR Contact: 06/22/2015
Number of Days to Update: 40  Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 10/22/2015
Data Release Frequency: Annually
US MINES: Mines Master Index File
Contains all mine identification numbers issued for mines active or opened since 1971. The data also includes violation information.
Date of Government Version: 05/14/2015
Date Data Arrived at EDR: 06/03/2015
Date Made Active in Reports: 09/02/2015
Number of Days to Update: 91
Source: Department of Labor, Mine Safety and Health Administration
Telephone: 303-231-5959
Last EDR Contact: 09/01/2015
Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 12/14/2015
Data Release Frequency: Semi-Annually

US MINES 2: Ferrous and Nonferrous Metal Mines Database Listing
This map layer includes ferrous (ferrous metal mines are facilities that extract ferrous metals, such as iron ore or molybdenum) and nonferrous (Nonferrous metal mines are facilities that extract nonferrous metals, such as gold, silver, copper, zinc, and lead) metal mines in the United States.
Date of Government Version: 12/05/2005
Date Data Arrived at EDR: 02/29/2008
Date Made Active in Reports: 04/18/2008
Number of Days to Update: 49
Source: USGS
Telephone: 703-648-7709
Last EDR Contact: 06/05/2015
Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 09/14/2015
Data Release Frequency: Varies

US MINES 3: Active Mines & Mineral Plants Database Listing
Active Mines and Mineral Processing Plant operations for commodities monitored by the Minerals Information Team of the USGS.
Date of Government Version: 04/14/2011
Date Data Arrived at EDR: 06/08/2011
Date Made Active in Reports: 09/13/2011
Number of Days to Update: 97
Source: USGS
Telephone: 703-648-7709
Last EDR Contact: 06/05/2015
Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 09/14/2015
Data Release Frequency: Varies

FINDS: Facility Index System/Facility Registry System
Facility Index System. FINDS contains both facility information and 'pointers' to other sources that contain more detail. EDR includes the following FINDS databases in this report: PCS (Permit Compliance System), AIRS (Aerometric Information Retrieval System), DOCKET (Enforcement Docket used to manage and track information on civil judicial enforcement cases for all environmental statutes), FURS (Federal Underground Injection Control), C-DOCKET (Criminal Docket System used to track criminal enforcement actions for all environmental statutes), FFIS (Federal Facilities Information System), STATE (State Environmental Laws and Statutes), and PADS (PCB Activity Data System).
Date of Government Version: 01/18/2015
Date Data Arrived at EDR: 02/27/2015
Date Made Active in Reports: 03/25/2015
Number of Days to Update: 26
Source: EPA
Telephone: (415) 947-8000
Last EDR Contact: 06/10/2015
Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 09/21/2015
Data Release Frequency: Quarterly

CA BOND EXP. PLAN: Bond Expenditure Plan
Department of Health Services developed a site-specific expenditure plan as the basis for an appropriation of Hazardous Substance Cleanup Bond Act funds. It is not updated.
Date of Government Version: 01/01/1989
Date Data Arrived at EDR: 07/27/1994
Date Made Active in Reports: 08/02/1994
Number of Days to Update: 6
Source: Department of Health Services
Telephone: 916-255-2118
Last EDR Contact: 05/31/1994
Next Scheduled EDR Contact: N/A
Data Release Frequency: No Update Planned

CORTESE: "Cortese" Hazardous Waste & Substances Sites List
The sites for the list are designated by the State Water Resource Control Board (LUST), the Integrated Waste Board (SWF/LS), and the Department of Toxic Substances Control (Cal-Sites).
DRYCLEANERS: Cleaner Facilities
A list of drycleaner related facilities that have EPA ID numbers. These are facilities with certain SIC codes: power laundries, family and commercial; garment pressing and cleaner's agents; linen supply; coin-operated laundries and cleaning; drycleaning plants, except rugs; carpet and upholstery cleaning; industrial launderers; laundry and garment services.

EMI: Emissions Inventory Data
Toxics and criteria pollutant emissions data collected by the ARB and local air pollution agencies.

ENF: Enforcement Action Listing

Financial Assurance 1: Financial Assurance Information Listing
Financial assurance information

Financial Assurance 2: Financial Assurance Information Listing
A listing of financial assurance information for solid waste facilities. Financial assurance is intended to ensure that resources are available to pay for the cost of closure, post-closure care, and corrective measures if the owner or operator of a regulated facility is unable or unwilling to pay.

HAZNET: Facility and Manifest Data
Facility and Manifest Data. The data is extracted from the copies of hazardous waste manifests received each year by the DTSC. The annual volume of manifests is typically 700,000 - 1,000,000 annually, representing approximately 350,000 - 500,000 shipments. Data are from the manifests submitted without correction, and therefore many contain some invalid values for data elements such as generator ID, TSD ID, waste category, and disposal method. This database begins with calendar year 1993.
GOVERNMENT RECORDS SEARCHED / DATA CURRENCY TRACKING

**HIST CORTESE: Hazardous Waste & Substance Site List**
The sites for the list are designated by the State Water Resource Control Board [LUST], the Integrated Waste Board [SWF/LS], and the Department of Toxic Substances Control [CALSITES]. This listing is no longer updated by the state agency.

- Date of Government Version: 04/01/2001
- Date Data Arrived at EDR: 01/22/2009
- Date Made Active in Reports: 04/08/2009
- Number of Days to Update: 76
- Source: Department of Toxic Substances Control
- Telephone: 916-323-3400
- Last EDR Contact: 01/22/2009
- Next Scheduled EDR Contact: N/A
- Data Release Frequency: No Update Planned

**HWP: EnviroStor Permitted Facilities Listing**
Detailed information on permitted hazardous waste facilities and corrective action ("cleanups") tracked in EnviroStor.

- Date of Government Version: 05/26/2015
- Date Data Arrived at EDR: 05/28/2015
- Date Made Active in Reports: 06/05/2015
- Number of Days to Update: 8
- Source: Department of Toxic Substances Control
- Telephone: 916-323-3400
- Last EDR Contact: 05/28/2015
- Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 09/07/2015
- Data Release Frequency: Quarterly

**HWT: Registered Hazardous Waste Transporter Database**
A listing of hazardous waste transporters. In California, unless specifically exempted, it is unlawful for any person to transport hazardous wastes unless the person holds a valid registration issued by DTSC. A hazardous waste transporter registration is valid for one year and is assigned a unique registration number.

- Date of Government Version: 07/13/2015
- Date Data Arrived at EDR: 07/14/2015
- Date Made Active in Reports: 08/03/2015
- Number of Days to Update: 20
- Source: Department of Toxic Substances Control
- Telephone: 916-440-7145
- Last EDR Contact: 07/14/2015
- Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 10/28/2015
- Data Release Frequency: Quarterly

**MINES: Mines Site Location Listing**
A listing of mine site locations from the Office of Mine Reclamation.

- Date of Government Version: 06/15/2015
- Date Data Arrived at EDR: 06/17/2015
- Date Made Active in Reports: 07/14/2015
- Number of Days to Update: 27
- Source: Department of Conservation
- Telephone: 916-322-1080
- Last EDR Contact: 06/17/2015
- Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 09/28/2015
- Data Release Frequency: Quarterly

**MWMP: Medical Waste Management Program Listing**
The Medical Waste Management Program (MWMP) ensures the proper handling and disposal of medical waste by permitting and inspecting medical waste Offsite Treatment Facilities (PDF) and Transfer Stations (PDF) throughout the state. MWMP also oversees all Medical Waste Transporters.

- Date of Government Version: 05/07/2015
- Date Data Arrived at EDR: 06/09/2015
- Date Made Active in Reports: 07/14/2015
- Number of Days to Update: 35
- Source: Department of Public Health
- Telephone: 916-558-1784
- Last EDR Contact: 06/09/2015
- Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 09/21/2015
- Data Release Frequency: Varies

**NPDES: NPDES Permits Listing**
A listing of NPDES permits, including stormwater.
| Date of Government Version: 08/17/2015 | Source: State Water Resources Control Board |
| Date Data Arrived at EDR: 08/18/2015 | Telephone: 916-445-9379 |
| Date Made Active in Reports: 09/11/2015 | Last EDR Contact: 08/18/2015 |
| Number of Days to Update: 24 | Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 11/30/2015 |
| Data Release Frequency: Quarterly |

PEST LIC: Pesticide Regulation Licenses Listing  
A listing of licenses and certificates issued by the Department of Pesticide Regulation. The DPR issues licenses and/or certificates to: Persons and businesses that apply or sell pesticides; Pest control dealers and brokers; Persons who advise on agricultural pesticide applications.

| Date of Government Version: 06/07/2015 | Source: Department of Pesticide Regulation |
| Date Data Arrived at EDR: 06/10/2015 | Telephone: 916-445-4038 |
| Date Made Active in Reports: 07/14/2015 | Last EDR Contact: 06/10/2015 |
| Number of Days to Update: 34 | Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 09/21/2015 |
| Data Release Frequency: Quarterly |

PROC: Certified Processors Database  
A listing of certified processors.

| Date of Government Version: 06/15/2015 | Source: Department of Conservation |
| Date Data Arrived at EDR: 06/17/2015 | Telephone: 916-323-3836 |
| Date Made Active in Reports: 07/14/2015 | Last EDR Contact: 06/17/2015 |
| Number of Days to Update: 27 | Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 09/28/2015 |
| Data Release Frequency: Quarterly |

NOTIFY 65: Proposition 65 Records  
Listings of all Proposition 65 incidents reported to counties by the State Water Resources Control Board and the Regional Water Quality Control Board. This database is no longer updated by the reporting agency.

| Date of Government Version: 10/21/1993 | Source: State Water Resources Control Board |
| Date Data Arrived at EDR: 11/01/1993 | Telephone: 916-445-3846 |
| Date Made Active in Reports: 11/19/1993 | Last EDR Contact: 06/17/2015 |
| Number of Days to Update: 18 | Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 10/05/2015 |
| Data Release Frequency: No Update Planned |

UIC: UIC Listing  
A listing of wells identified as underground injection wells, in the California Oil and Gas Wells database.

| Date of Government Version: 11/19/2014 | Source: Department of Conservation |
| Date Data Arrived at EDR: 12/15/2014 | Telephone: 916-445-2408 |
| Date Made Active in Reports: 01/29/2015 | Last EDR Contact: 06/19/2015 |
| Number of Days to Update: 45 | Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 09/28/2015 |
| Data Release Frequency: Varies |

WASTEWATER PITS: Oil Wastewater Pits Listing  
Water officials discovered that oil producers have been dumping chemical-laden wastewater into hundreds of unlined pits that are operating without proper permits. Inspections completed by the Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board revealed the existence of previously unidentified waste sites. The water board’s review found that more than one-third of the region’s active disposal pits are operating without permission.

| Date of Government Version: 04/15/2015 | Source: RWQCB, Central Valley Region |
| Date Data Arrived at EDR: 04/17/2015 | Telephone: 559-445-5577 |
| Date Made Active in Reports: 06/23/2015 | Last EDR Contact: 07/13/2015 |
| Number of Days to Update: 67 | Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 10/28/2015 |
| Data Release Frequency: Varies |

WDS: Waste Discharge System  
Sites which have been issued waste discharge requirements.
**EDR HIGH RISK HISTORICAL RECORDS**

**EDR Exclusive Records**

EDR MGP: EDR Proprietary Manufactured Gas Plants

The EDR Proprietary Manufactured Gas Plant Database includes records of coal gas plants (manufactured gas plants) compiled by EDR’s researchers. Manufactured gas sites were used in the United States from the 1800’s to 1950’s to produce a gas that could be distributed and used as fuel. These plants used whale oil, rosin, coal, or a mixture of coal, oil, and water that also produced a significant amount of waste. Many of the byproducts of the gas production, such as coal tar (oily waste containing volatile and non-volatile chemicals), sludges, oils and other compounds are potentially hazardous to human health and the environment. The byproduct from this process was frequently disposed of directly at the plant site and can remain or spread slowly, serving as a continuous source of soil and groundwater contamination.

**EDR RECOVERED GOVERNMENT ARCHIVES**

**Exclusive Recovered Govt. Archives**

RGA LF: Recovered Government Archive Solid Waste Facilities List

The EDR Recovered Government Archive Landfill database provides a list of landfills derived from historical databases and includes many records that no longer appear in current government lists. Compiled from Records formerly available from the Department of Resources Recycling and Recovery in California.

RGA LUST: Recovered Government Archive Leaking Underground Storage Tank

The EDR Recovered Government Archive Leaking Underground Storage Tank database provides a list of LUST incidents derived from historical databases and includes many records that no longer appear in current government lists. Compiled from Records formerly available from the State Water Resources Control Board in California.
ALAMEDA COUNTY:

Contaminated Sites
A listing of contaminated sites overseen by the Toxic Release Program (oil and groundwater contamination from chemical releases and spills) and the Leaking Underground Storage Tank Program (soil and ground water contamination from leaking petroleum USTs).

Date of Government Version: 07/21/2015
Date Data Arrived at EDR: 07/24/2015
Date Made Active in Reports: 08/05/2015
Number of Days to Update: 12
Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 10/28/2015
Data Release Frequency: Semi-Annually

Underground Tanks
Underground storage tank sites located in Alameda county.

Date of Government Version: 07/21/2015
Date Data Arrived at EDR: 07/22/2015
Date Made Active in Reports: 08/03/2015
Number of Days to Update: 12
Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 10/28/2015
Data Release Frequency: Semi-Annually

AMADOR COUNTY:

CUPA Facility List

Date of Government Version: 06/05/2015
Date Data Arrived at EDR: 06/09/2015
Date Made Active in Reports: 07/10/2015
Number of Days to Update: 31
Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 09/21/2015
Data Release Frequency: Varies

BUTTE COUNTY:

CUPA Facility Listing

Date of Government Version: 11/20/2014
Date Data Arrived at EDR: 11/24/2014
Date Made Active in Reports: 01/07/2015
Number of Days to Update: 44
Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 10/28/2015
Data Release Frequency: No Update Planned

CALVERAS COUNTY:

CUPA Facility Listing

Date of Government Version: 07/15/2015
Date Data Arrived at EDR: 07/17/2015
Date Made Active in Reports: 08/03/2015
Number of Days to Update: 17
Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 10/12/2015
Data Release Frequency: Quarterly

COLUSA COUNTY:
CONTRA COSTA COUNTY:

Site List
List includes sites from the underground tank, hazardous waste generator and business plan/2185 programs.

CUPA Facility List
Cupa facility list.

DEL NORTE COUNTY:

CUPA Facility List
Cup Facility list

EL DORADO COUNTY:

CUPA Facility List
CUPA facility list.

FRESNO COUNTY:

CUPA Resources List
Certified Unified Program Agency. CUPA's are responsible for implementing a unified hazardous materials and hazardous waste management regulatory program. The agency provides oversight of businesses that deal with hazardous materials, operate underground storage tanks or aboveground storage tanks.

HUMBOLDT COUNTY:
CUPA Facility List
CUPA facility list.

Date of Government Version: 08/04/2015
Date Data Arrived at EDR: 08/07/2015
Date Made Active in Reports: 09/03/2015
Number of Days to Update: 27
Source: Humboldt County Environmental Health
Telephone: N/A
Last EDR Contact: 08/24/2015
Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 12/07/2015
Data Release Frequency: Varies

IMPERIAL COUNTY:

CUPA Facility List
Cupa facility list.

Date of Government Version: 08/11/2015
Date Data Arrived at EDR: 08/14/2015
Date Made Active in Reports: 09/03/2015
Number of Days to Update: 20
Source: San Diego Border Field Office
Telephone: 760-339-2777
Last EDR Contact: 08/07/2015
Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 11/09/2015
Data Release Frequency: Varies

INYO COUNTY:

CUPA Facility List
Cuca facility list.

Date of Government Version: 09/10/2013
Date Data Arrived at EDR: 09/11/2013
Date Made Active in Reports: 10/14/2013
Number of Days to Update: 33
Source: Inyo County Environmental Health Services
Telephone: 760-878-0238
Last EDR Contact: 05/21/2015
Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 09/07/2015
Data Release Frequency: Varies

KERN COUNTY:

Underground Storage Tank Sites & Tank Listing
Kern County Sites and Tanks Listing.

Date of Government Version: 05/19/2015
Date Data Arrived at EDR: 06/18/2015
Date Made Active in Reports: 07/22/2015
Number of Days to Update: 34
Source: Kern County Environment Health Services Department
Telephone: 661-862-8700
Last EDR Contact: 08/07/2015
Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 11/23/2015
Data Release Frequency: Quarterly

KINGS COUNTY:

CUPA Facility List
A listing of sites included in the county’s Certified Unified Program Agency database. California’s Secretary for Environmental Protection established the unified hazardous materials and hazardous waste regulatory program as required by chapter 6.11 of the California Health and Safety Code. The Unified Program consolidates the administration, permits, inspections, and enforcement activities.

Date of Government Version: 05/26/2015
Date Data Arrived at EDR: 05/29/2015
Date Made Active in Reports: 06/15/2015
Number of Days to Update: 18
Source: Kings County Department of Public Health
Telephone: 559-584-1411
Last EDR Contact: 05/21/2015
Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 09/07/2015
Data Release Frequency: Varies

LAKE COUNTY:
CUPA Facility List
Cupa facility list
Date of Government Version: 08/11/2015
Date Data Arrived at EDR: 08/14/2015
Date Made Active in Reports: 09/03/2015
Number of Days to Update: 20
Source: Lake County Environmental Health
Telephone: 707-263-1164
Last EDR Contact: 07/20/2015
Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 11/02/2015
Data Release Frequency: Varies

LOS ANGELES COUNTY:
San Gabriel Valley Areas of Concern
San Gabriel Valley areas where VOC contamination is at or above the MCL as designated by region 9 EPA office.
Date of Government Version: 03/30/2009
Date Data Arrived at EDR: 03/31/2009
Date Made Active in Reports: 10/23/2009
Number of Days to Update: 206
Source: EPA Region 9
Telephone: 415-972-3178
Last EDR Contact: 06/17/2015
Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 10/05/2015
Data Release Frequency: No Update Planned

HMS: Street Number List
Industrial Waste and Underground Storage Tank Sites.
Date of Government Version: 11/24/2014
Date Data Arrived at EDR: 01/30/2015
Date Made Active in Reports: 03/04/2015
Number of Days to Update: 33
Source: Department of Public Works
Telephone: 626-458-3517
Last EDR Contact: 07/10/2015
Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 10/28/2015
Data Release Frequency: Semi-Annually

List of Solid Waste Facilities
Solid Waste Facilities in Los Angeles County.
Date of Government Version: 07/20/2015
Date Data Arrived at EDR: 07/21/2015
Date Made Active in Reports: 08/03/2015
Number of Days to Update: 13
Source: La County Department of Public Works
Telephone: 818-458-5185
Last EDR Contact: 07/21/2015
Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 11/02/2015
Data Release Frequency: Varies

City of Los Angeles Landfills
Landfills owned and maintained by the City of Los Angeles.
Date of Government Version: 01/01/2015
Date Data Arrived at EDR: 07/27/2015
Date Made Active in Reports: 08/10/2015
Number of Days to Update: 14
Source: Engineering & Construction Division
Telephone: 213-473-7869
Last EDR Contact: 07/20/2015
Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 11/02/2015
Data Release Frequency: Varies

Site Mitigation List
Industrial sites that have had some sort of spill or complaint.
Date of Government Version: 01/15/2015
Date Data Arrived at EDR: 01/29/2015
Date Made Active in Reports: 03/10/2015
Number of Days to Update: 40
Source: Community Health Services
Telephone: 323-890-7806
Last EDR Contact: 07/15/2015
Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 11/02/2015
Data Release Frequency: Annually

City of El Segundo Underground Storage Tank
Underground storage tank sites located in El Segundo city.
City of Long Beach Underground Storage Tank
Underground storage tank sites located in the city of Long Beach.

City of Torrance Underground Storage Tank
Underground storage tank sites located in the city of Torrance.

MADERA COUNTY:

CUPA Facility List
A listing of sites included in the county's Certified Unified Program Agency database. California's Secretary
for Environmental Protection established the unified hazardous materials and hazardous waste regulatory program
as required by chapter 6.11 of the California Health and Safety Code. The Unified Program consolidates the administration,
permits, inspections, and enforcement activities.

MARIN COUNTY:

Underground Storage Tank Sites
Currently permitted USTs in Marin County.

MERCED COUNTY:

CUPA Facility List
CUPA facility list.

MONO COUNTY:
CUPA Facility List
CUPA Facility List
Date of Government Version: 06/01/2015
Date Data Arrived at EDR: 06/03/2015
Date Made Active in Reports: 07/06/2015
Number of Days to Update: 33
Source: Mono County Health Department
Telephone: 760-932-5580
Last EDR Contact: 06/01/2015
Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 09/14/2015
Data Release Frequency: Varies

MONTEREY COUNTY:
CUPA Facility Listing
CUPA Program listing from the Environmental Health Division.
Date of Government Version: 06/30/2015
Date Data Arrived at EDR: 07/07/2015
Date Made Active in Reports: 07/16/2015
Number of Days to Update: 9
Source: Monterey County Health Department
Telephone: 831-796-1297
Last EDR Contact: 05/26/2015
Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 09/07/2015
Data Release Frequency: Varies

NAPA COUNTY:
Sites With Reported Contamination
A listing of leaking underground storage tank sites located in Napa county.
Date of Government Version: 12/05/2011
Date Data Arrived at EDR: 12/06/2011
Date Made Active in Reports: 02/07/2012
Number of Days to Update: 63
Source: Napa County Department of Environmental Management
Telephone: 707-253-4269
Last EDR Contact: 06/01/2015
Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 09/14/2015
Data Release Frequency: No Update Planned

Closed and Operating Underground Storage Tank Sites
Underground storage tank sites located in Napa county.
Date of Government Version: 01/15/2008
Date Data Arrived at EDR: 01/16/2008
Date Made Active in Reports: 02/08/2008
Number of Days to Update: 23
Source: Napa County Department of Environmental Management
Telephone: 707-253-4269
Last EDR Contact: 06/01/2015
Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 09/14/2015
Data Release Frequency: No Update Planned

NEVADA COUNTY:
CUPA Facility List
CUPA facility list.
Date of Government Version: 06/03/2015
Date Data Arrived at EDR: 06/04/2015
Date Made Active in Reports: 07/22/2015
Number of Days to Update: 48
Source: Community Development Agency
Telephone: 530-265-1467
Last EDR Contact: 07/31/2015
Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 11/16/2015
Data Release Frequency: Varies

ORANGE COUNTY:
List of Industrial Site Cleanups
Petroleum and non-petroleum spills.
List of Underground Storage Tank Cleanups
Orange County Underground Storage Tank Cleanups (LUST).

Date of Government Version: 08/03/2015
Date Data Arrived at EDR: 08/10/2015
Date Made Active in Reports: 09/11/2015
Number of Days to Update: 32
Source: Health Care Agency
Telephone: 714-834-3446
Last EDR Contact: 05/06/2015
Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 08/24/2015
Data Release Frequency: Quarterly

List of Underground Storage Tank Facilities
Orange County Underground Storage Tank Facilities (UST).

Date of Government Version: 08/01/2015
Date Data Arrived at EDR: 08/11/2015
Date Made Active in Reports: 09/03/2015
Number of Days to Update: 23
Source: Health Care Agency
Telephone: 714-834-3446
Last EDR Contact: 08/11/2015
Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 11/23/2015
Data Release Frequency: Quarterly

PLACER COUNTY:

Master List of Facilities
List includes aboveground tanks, underground tanks and cleanup sites.

Date of Government Version: 07/01/2015
Date Data Arrived at EDR: 07/07/2015
Date Made Active in Reports: 08/05/2015
Number of Days to Update: 29
Source: Placer County Health and Human Services
Telephone: 530-745-2363
Last EDR Contact: 06/22/2015
Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 09/21/2015
Data Release Frequency: Semi-Annually

RIVERSIDE COUNTY:

Listing of Underground Tank Cleanup Sites
Riverside County Underground Storage Tank Cleanup Sites (LUST).

Date of Government Version: 07/15/2015
Date Data Arrived at EDR: 07/17/2015
Date Made Active in Reports: 08/03/2015
Number of Days to Update: 17
Source: Department of Environmental Health
Telephone: 951-358-5055
Last EDR Contact: 06/22/2015
Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 10/05/2015
Data Release Frequency: Quarterly

Underground Storage Tank Tank List
Underground storage tank sites located in Riverside county.

Date of Government Version: 07/15/2015
Date Data Arrived at EDR: 07/17/2015
Date Made Active in Reports: 08/03/2015
Number of Days to Update: 17
Source: Department of Environmental Health
Telephone: 951-358-5055
Last EDR Contact: 06/22/2015
Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 10/05/2015
Data Release Frequency: Quarterly

SACRAMENTO COUNTY:
Toxic Site Clean-Up List
List of sites where unauthorized releases of potentially hazardous materials have occurred.

Date of Government Version: 05/07/2015
Date Data Arrived at EDR: 07/24/2015
Date Made Active in Reports: 08/03/2015
Number of Days to Update: 10
Source: Sacramento County Environmental Management
Telephone: 916-875-8406
Last EDR Contact: 07/22/2015
Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 10/19/2015
Data Release Frequency: Quarterly

Master Hazardous Materials Facility List
Any business that has hazardous materials on site - hazardous material storage sites, underground storage tanks, waste generators.

Date of Government Version: 05/07/2015
Date Data Arrived at EDR: 07/27/2015
Date Made Active in Reports: 08/03/2015
Number of Days to Update: 7
Source: Sacramento County Environmental Management
Telephone: 916-875-8406
Last EDR Contact: 07/22/2015
Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 10/19/2015
Data Release Frequency: Quarterly

SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY:
Hazardous Material Permits
This listing includes underground storage tanks, medical waste handlers/generators, hazardous materials handlers, hazardous waste generators, and waste oil generators/handlers.

Date of Government Version: 06/30/2015
Date Data Arrived at EDR: 07/07/2015
Date Made Active in Reports: 07/14/2015
Number of Days to Update: 7
Source: San Bernardino County Fire Department Hazardous Materials Division
Telephone: 909-387-3041
Last EDR Contact: 08/10/2015
Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 11/23/2015
Data Release Frequency: Quarterly

SAN DIEGO COUNTY:
Hazardous Materials Management Division Database
The database includes: HE58 - This report contains the business name, site address, business phone number, establishment ’H’ permit number, type of permit, and the business status. HE17 - In addition to providing the same information provided in the HE58 listing, HE17 provides inspection dates, violations received by the establishment, hazardous waste generated, the quantity, method of storage, treatment/disposal of waste and the hauler, and information on underground storage tanks. Unauthorized Release List - Includes a summary of environmental contamination cases in San Diego County (underground tank cases, non-tank cases, groundwater contamination, and soil contamination are included.)

Date of Government Version: 09/23/2013
Date Data Arrived at EDR: 09/24/2013
Date Made Active in Reports: 10/17/2013
Number of Days to Update: 23
Source: Hazardous Materials Management Division
Telephone: 619-338-2268
Last EDR Contact: 06/05/2015
Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 09/21/2015
Data Release Frequency: Quarterly

Solid Waste Facilities
San Diego County Solid Waste Facilities.

Date of Government Version: 10/31/2014
Date Data Arrived at EDR: 11/21/2014
Date Made Active in Reports: 12/29/2014
Number of Days to Update: 38
Source: Department of Health Services
Telephone: 619-338-2209
Last EDR Contact: 07/22/2015
Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 11/09/2015
Data Release Frequency: Varies
Environmental Case Listing
The listing contains all underground tank release cases and projects pertaining to properties contaminated with hazardous substances that are actively under review by the Site Assessment and Mitigation Program.

Date of Government Version: 03/23/2010
Date Data Arrived at EDR: 06/15/2010
Date Made Active in Reports: 07/09/2010
Number of Days to Update: 24
Source: San Diego County Department of Environmental Health
Telephone: 619-338-2371
Last EDR Contact: 06/03/2015
Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 09/21/2015
Data Release Frequency: No Update Planned

SAN FRANCISCO COUNTY:

Local Oversight Facilities
A listing of leaking underground storage tank sites located in San Francisco county.

Date of Government Version: 09/19/2008
Date Data Arrived at EDR: 09/19/2008
Date Made Active in Reports: 09/29/2008
Number of Days to Update: 10
Source: Department Of Public Health San Francisco County
Telephone: 415-252-3920
Last EDR Contact: 08/06/2015
Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 11/23/2015
Data Release Frequency: Quarterly

Underground Storage Tank Information
Underground storage tank sites located in San Francisco county.

Date of Government Version: 11/29/2010
Date Data Arrived at EDR: 03/10/2011
Date Made Active in Reports: 03/15/2011
Number of Days to Update: 5
Source: Department of Public Health
Telephone: 415-252-3920
Last EDR Contact: 08/06/2015
Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 11/23/2015
Data Release Frequency: Quarterly

SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY:

San Joaquin Co. UST
A listing of underground storage tank locations in San Joaquin county.

Date of Government Version: 06/22/2015
Date Data Arrived at EDR: 06/26/2015
Date Made Active in Reports: 07/06/2015
Number of Days to Update: 10
Source: Environmental Health Department
Telephone: N/A
Last EDR Contact: 06/17/2015
Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 10/05/2015
Data Release Frequency: Semi-Annually

SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY:

CUPA Facility List
Cupa Facility List.

Date of Government Version: 05/22/2015
Date Data Arrived at EDR: 05/26/2015
Date Made Active in Reports: 06/10/2015
Number of Days to Update: 15
Source: San Luis Obispo County Public Health Department
Telephone: 805-781-5596
Last EDR Contact: 05/20/2015
Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 09/07/2015
Data Release Frequency: Varies

SAN MATEO COUNTY:

Business Inventory
List includes Hazardous Materials Business Plan, hazardous waste generators, and underground storage tanks.
**Fuel Leak List**

A listing of leaking underground storage tank sites located in San Mateo county.

- **Date of Government Version:** 06/10/2015
- **Date Data Arrived at EDR:** 06/16/2015
- **Date Made Active in Reports:** 07/14/2015
- **Number of Days to Update:** 28
- **Source:** San Mateo County Environmental Health Services Division
- **Telephone:** 650-363-1921
- **Last EDR Contact:** 06/10/2015
- **Next Scheduled EDR Contact:** 06/29/2015
- **Data Release Frequency:** Semi-Annually

**SANTA BARBARA COUNTY:**

**CUPA Facility Listing**

CUPA Program Listing from the Environmental Health Services division.

- **Date of Government Version:** 09/08/2011
- **Date Data Arrived at EDR:** 09/09/2011
- **Date Made Active in Reports:** 10/07/2011
- **Number of Days to Update:** 28
- **Source:** Santa Barbara County Public Health Department
- **Telephone:** 805-686-8167
- **Last EDR Contact:** 05/22/2015
- **Next Scheduled EDR Contact:** 09/07/2015
- **Data Release Frequency:** Varies

**SANTA CLARA COUNTY:**

**Cupa Facility List**

Cupa facility list

- **Date of Government Version:** 06/10/2015
- **Date Data Arrived at EDR:** 06/16/2015
- **Date Made Active in Reports:** 07/10/2015
- **Number of Days to Update:** 24
- **Source:** Department of Environmental Health
- **Telephone:** 408-918-3417
- **Last EDR Contact:** 09/28/2015
- **Next Scheduled EDR Contact:** 09/07/2015
- **Data Release Frequency:** Varies

**HIST LUST - Fuel Leak Site Activity Report**

A listing of open and closed leaking underground storage tanks. This listing is no longer updated by the county. Leaking underground storage tanks are now handled by the Department of Environmental Health.

- **Date of Government Version:** 03/29/2005
- **Date Data Arrived at EDR:** 03/30/2005
- **Date Made Active in Reports:** 04/21/2005
- **Number of Days to Update:** 22
- **Source:** Santa Clara Valley Water District
- **Telephone:** 408-265-2600
- **Last EDR Contact:** 03/23/2009
- **Next Scheduled EDR Contact:** 06/22/2009
- **Data Release Frequency:** No Update Planned

**LOP Listing**

A listing of leaking underground storage tanks located in Santa Clara county.

- **Date of Government Version:** 03/03/2014
- **Date Data Arrived at EDR:** 03/05/2014
- **Date Made Active in Reports:** 03/18/2014
- **Number of Days to Update:** 13
- **Source:** Department of Environmental Health
- **Telephone:** 408-918-3417
- **Last EDR Contact:** 06/01/2015
- **Next Scheduled EDR Contact:** 09/14/2015
- **Data Release Frequency:** Annually

**Hazardous Material Facilities**

Hazardous material facilities, including underground storage tank sites.
SANTA CRUZ COUNTY:

CUPA Facility List
CUPA facility listing.

SHASTA COUNTY:

CUPA Facility List
Cupa Facility List.

SOLANO COUNTY:

Leaking Underground Storage Tanks
A listing of leaking underground storage tank sites located in Solano county.

Underground Storage Tanks
Underground storage tank sites located in Solano county.

SONOMA COUNTY:

Cupa Facility List
Cupa Facility list
Leaking Underground Storage Tank Sites
A listing of leaking underground storage tank sites located in Sonoma county.
Date of Government Version: 07/01/2015
Date Data Arrived at EDR: 07/07/2015
Date Made Active in Reports: 07/14/2015
Number of Days to Update: 7
Source: Department of Health Services
Telephone: 707-565-6565
Last EDR Contact: 06/22/2015
Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 10/12/2015
Data Release Frequency: Quarterly

SUTTER COUNTY:
Underground Storage Tanks
Underground storage tank sites located in Sutter county.
Date of Government Version: 06/05/2015
Date Data Arrived at EDR: 06/09/2015
Date Made Active in Reports: 07/06/2015
Number of Days to Update: 27
Source: Sutter County Department of Agriculture
Telephone: 530-822-7500
Last EDR Contact: 06/05/2015
Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 09/21/2015
Data Release Frequency: Semi-Annually

TUOLUMNE COUNTY:
CUPA Facility List
Cupa facility list
Date of Government Version: 07/13/2015
Date Data Arrived at EDR: 07/28/2015
Date Made Active in Reports: 08/03/2015
Number of Days to Update: 6
Source: Division of Environmental Health
Telephone: 209-533-5633
Last EDR Contact: 07/24/2015
Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 11/09/2015
Data Release Frequency: Varies

VENTURA COUNTY:
Business Plan, Hazardous Waste Producers, and Operating Underground Tanks
The BWT list indicates by site address whether the Environmental Health Division has Business Plan (B), Waste Producer (W), and/or Underground Tank (T) information.
Date of Government Version: 07/27/2015
Date Data Arrived at EDR: 08/17/2015
Date Made Active in Reports: 09/03/2015
Number of Days to Update: 17
Source: Ventura County Environmental Health Division
Telephone: 805-654-2813
Last EDR Contact: 08/12/2015
Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 11/30/2015
Data Release Frequency: Quarterly

Inventory of Illegal Abandoned and Inactive Sites
Ventura County Inventory of Closed, Illegal Abandoned, and Inactive Sites.
Date of Government Version: 12/01/2011
Date Data Arrived at EDR: 12/01/2011
Date Made Active in Reports: 01/19/2012
Number of Days to Update: 49
Source: Environmental Health Division
Telephone: 805-654-2813
Last EDR Contact: 06/26/2015
Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 10/19/2015
Data Release Frequency: Annually

Listing of Underground Tank Cleanup Sites
Ventura County Underground Storage Tank Cleanup Sites (LUST).
Date of Government Version: 05/29/2008
Date Data Arrived at EDR: 06/24/2008
Date Made Active in Reports: 07/31/2008
Number of Days to Update: 37
Source: Environmental Health Division
Telephone: 805-654-2813
Last EDR Contact: 08/12/2015
Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 11/30/2015
Data Release Frequency: Quarterly
Medical Waste Program List
To protect public health and safety and the environment from potential exposure to disease causing agents, the Environmental Health Division Medical Waste Program regulates the generation, handling, storage, treatment and disposal of medical waste throughout the County.

Date of Government Version: 07/27/2015
Date Data Arrived at EDR: 07/29/2015
Date Made Active in Reports: 09/03/2015
Number of Days to Update: 36
Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 11/09/2015
Data Release Frequency: Quarterly

Underground Tank Closed Sites List
Ventura County Operating Underground Storage Tank Sites (UST)/Underground Tank Closed Sites List.

Date of Government Version: 05/27/2015
Date Data Arrived at EDR: 06/17/2015
Date Made Active in Reports: 07/06/2015
Number of Days to Update: 19
Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 09/28/2015
Data Release Frequency: Quarterly

YOLO COUNTY:
Underground Storage Tank Comprehensive Facility Report
Underground storage tank sites located in Yolo county.

Date of Government Version: 07/08/2015
Date Data Arrived at EDR: 07/13/2015
Date Made Active in Reports: 07/22/2015
Number of Days to Update: 9
Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 10/05/2015
Data Release Frequency: Annually

YUBA COUNTY:
CUPA Facility List
CUPA facility listing for Yuba County.

Date of Government Version: 08/04/2015
Date Data Arrived at EDR: 08/07/2015
Date Made Active in Reports: 09/03/2015
Number of Days to Update: 27
Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 11/16/2015
Data Release Frequency: Varies

OTHER DATABASE(S)
Depending on the geographic area covered by this report, the data provided in these specialty databases may or may not be complete. For example, the existence of wetlands information data in a specific report does not mean that all wetlands in the area covered by the report are included. Moreover, the absence of any reported wetlands information does not necessarily mean that wetlands do not exist in the area covered by the report.

CT MANIFEST: Hazardous Waste Manifest Data
Facility and manifest data. Manifest is a document that lists and tracks hazardous waste from the generator through transporters to a tsd facility.

Date of Government Version: 07/30/2013
Date Data Arrived at EDR: 08/19/2013
Date Made Active in Reports: 10/03/2013
Number of Days to Update: 45
Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 08/31/2015
Data Release Frequency: No Update Planned
NJ MANIFEST: Manifest Information
Hazardous waste manifest information.
Date of Government Version: 12/31/2013
Date Data Arrived at EDR: 07/17/2015
Date Made Active in Reports: 08/12/2015
Number of Days to Update: 26
Source: Department of Environmental Protection
Telephone: N/A
Last EDR Contact: 07/13/2015
Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 10/28/2015
Data Release Frequency: Annually

NY MANIFEST: Facility and Manifest Data
Manifest is a document that lists and tracks hazardous waste from the generator through transporters to a TSD facility.
Date of Government Version: 08/01/2015
Date Data Arrived at EDR: 08/06/2015
Date Made Active in Reports: 08/24/2015
Number of Days to Update: 18
Source: Department of Environmental Conservation
Telephone: 518-402-8651
Last EDR Contact: 08/06/2015
Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 11/16/2015
Data Release Frequency: Annually

PA MANIFEST: Manifest Information
Hazardous waste manifest information.
Date of Government Version: 12/31/2014
Date Data Arrived at EDR: 07/24/2015
Date Made Active in Reports: 08/19/2015
Number of Days to Update: 25
Source: Department of Environmental Protection
Telephone: 717-783-8990
Last EDR Contact: 07/20/2015
Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 11/02/2015
Data Release Frequency: Annually

RI MANIFEST: Manifest Information
Hazardous waste manifest information
Date of Government Version: 12/31/2013
Date Data Arrived at EDR: 06/19/2015
Date Made Active in Reports: 07/15/2015
Number of Days to Update: 26
Source: Department of Environmental Management
Telephone: 401-222-2797
Last EDR Contact: 05/26/2015
Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 09/07/2015
Data Release Frequency: Annually

WI MANIFEST: Manifest Information
Hazardous waste manifest information.
Date of Government Version: 12/31/2014
Date Data Arrived at EDR: 03/19/2015
Date Made Active in Reports: 04/07/2015
Number of Days to Update: 19
Source: Department of Natural Resources
Telephone: N/A
Last EDR Contact: 06/11/2015
Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 09/28/2015
Data Release Frequency: Annually

Oil/Gas Pipelines
Source: PennWell Corporation
Telephone: 281-546-1505
Petroleum Bundle (Crude Oil, Refined Products, Petrochemicals, Gas Liquids (LPG/NGL), and Specialty Gases (Miscellaneous)) N = Natural Gas Bundle (Natural Gas, Gas Liquids (LPG/NGL), and Specialty Gases (Miscellaneous)). This map includes information copyrighted by PennWell Corporation. This information is provided on a best effort basis and PennWell Corporation does not guarantee its accuracy nor warrant its fitness for any particular purpose. Such information has been reprinted with the permission of PennWell.

Electric Power Transmission Line Data
Source: PennWell Corporation
Telephone: 800-823-6277
This map includes information copyrighted by PennWell Corporation. This information is provided on a best effort basis and PennWell Corporation does not guarantee its accuracy nor warrant its fitness for any particular purpose. Such information has been reprinted with the permission of PennWell.

Sensitive Receptors: There are individuals deemed sensitive receptors due to their fragile immune systems and special sensitivity to environmental discharges. These sensitive receptors typically include the elderly, the sick, and children. While the location of all sensitive receptors cannot be determined, EDR indicates those buildings and facilities - schools, daycares, hospitals, medical centers, and nursing homes - where individuals who are sensitive receptors are likely to be located.
AHA Hospitals:
Source: American Hospital Association, Inc.
Telephone: 312-280-5991
The database includes a listing of hospitals based on the American Hospital Association’s annual survey of hospitals.

Medical Centers: Provider of Services Listing
Source: Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services
Telephone: 410-786-3000
A listing of hospitals with Medicare provider number, produced by Centers of Medicare & Medicaid Services, a federal agency within the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services.

Nursing Homes
Source: National Institutes of Health
Telephone: 301-594-6248
Information on Medicare and Medicaid certified nursing homes in the United States.

Public Schools
Source: National Center for Education Statistics
Telephone: 202-502-7300
The National Center for Education Statistics’ primary database on elementary and secondary public education in the United States. It is a comprehensive, annual, national statistical database of all public elementary and secondary schools and school districts, which contains data that are comparable across all states.

Private Schools
Source: National Center for Education Statistics
Telephone: 202-502-7300
The National Center for Education Statistics’ primary database on private school locations in the United States.

Daycare Centers: Licensed Facilities
Source: Department of Social Services
Telephone: 916-657-4041

Flood Zone Data: This data, available in select counties across the country, was obtained by EDR in 2003 & 2011 from the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA). Data depicts 100-year and 500-year flood zones as defined by FEMA.

NWI: National Wetlands Inventory. This data, available in select counties across the country, was obtained by EDR in 2002, 2005 and 2010 from the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.

STREET AND ADDRESS INFORMATION

© 2010 Tele Atlas North America, Inc. All rights reserved. This material is proprietary and the subject of copyright protection and other intellectual property rights owned by or licensed to Tele Atlas North America, Inc. The use of this material is subject to the terms of a license agreement. You will be held liable for any unauthorized copying or disclosure of this material.
STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATIONS

FOR

ENVIRONMENTAL DUE DILIGENCE
Basics provides the environmental knowledge and expertise you can rely on.

Education, licenses, registrations, certifications, and memberships.

Basics Environmental, Inc. (Basics) is a minority owned business Enterprise (MBE) specializing in Phase I & II Environmental Site Assessments, Environmental Compliance Audits, Environmental Marketing, and Environmental Communications.

Donavan Tom, Principal Consultant, is an expert in performing "Phase I & II" environmental site assessments, environmental audits, and reviews for real estate and financial transactions. Mr. Tom meets the definition of “Environmental Professional” as defined by the Environmental Protection Agency's Final Rule (40 CFR 312.21) and has the specific qualifications based on education, training and experience to develop and perform the all appropriate inquiries in conformance with the standards and practices set forth in 40 CFR Part 312.

Mr. Tom is also a National Registered Environmental Property Assessor #213165 and holds a Masters in Business Administration (M.B.A.) in Management and Marketing from Golden Gate University and a Bachelors of Science (B.S.) in Biomedical Physics from the University of California at Berkeley.

Mr. Tom has also been very active within the environmental industry for over twenty years. As such, Mr. Tom has been a member of the Professional Environmental Marketing Association (P.E.M.A.) Northern California Chapter since its inception in 1990 and served on the board of directors for the years (1992-1994). In addition, Mr. Tom has also been a member within the State Bar of California Environmental Forum (C.E.L.F.), Chinese American Environmental Protection Association (C.A.E.P.A.) and within several commercial real estate associations including the Northern California Commercial Association of Realtors (N.C.C.A.R.), Association of Commercial Real Estate (A.C.R.E.), and Investment Marketing Forum (I.M.F.).

Experience in the environmental field, specialties, and experience working within the bounds of a wide range of federal, state, and local laws and oversights.

Before forming Basics in November 1994, Mr. Tom served for some of the top national environmental engineering consulting firms including Air Water Technologies/Metcalf & Eddy, Inc., Kleinfelder, Inc., and The MARK Group, Inc.
Through his experience with these firms, Mr. Tom has gained the knowledge in performing cost-effective, high quality Phase I & II environmental assessment reports and reviews in a clear, concise and effective way demanded by the real estate and financial industry.

Under the direction of Mr. Tom, Basics provides the environmental status of a subject property or properties that support your process in evaluating the "risks" associated with providing financing for transactions. Depending upon applicable federal and state laws, the costs of environmental cleanup could materially affect your risk of loss and/or impair the value of your security interest in the uses of the subject property. Accordingly, Basics provides all the appropriate inquiries into the previous ownership and uses of the subject property consistent with good commercial and prudent engineering practices in an effort to minimize liability, and follows, at a minimum, the protocols set forth by The American Society for Testing & Materials (ASTM) Standard E1527, Standard Practice for Environmental Site Assessments, Phase I Environmental Site Assessment Process and and U.S. Environmental Protection Agency’s All Appropriate Inquiry (AAI) Final Rule 40 CFR Part 312”.

Because environmental concerns differ from site to site, specific client requirements also differ. Basics consistently employs a phased approach. At the completion of a phase, Basics orally advises the client of the findings and prepares a summary report. If the data do not support a satisfactory level of confidence regarding potential environmental liability, Basics will submit a proposal for the next phase of work for the client's review and approval of the work scope and cost. The client is always in control of the level of effort we provide.

Basics is prepared to address these concerns by delivering site specific Phase I & II environmental site assessments that are always objective, systematic, and carefully documented. Basics has developed expertise in assessing the impacts of present and/or past hazardous waste practices on air, soil and water quality, evaluating the significance of this impact with respect to beneficial uses and public health, as well as assisting in complying with applicable environmental permits and regulations. Review of current and past facility operations and practices are conducted in a prompt and professional manner, and client confidentiality remains a prime concern throughout. Basics has provided the appropriate inquiry into, depending upon the facts and circumstances of the subject property, the present and historical uses and the facilities present thereon. From these inquiries Basics has identified conditions which indicated the advisability of further investigation.

Basics has a strong base of capabilities in dealing with regulatory compliance and hazardous waste management. Basics approach includes beginning each project with a thorough and complete project plan in line with the client's goals followed by proper subcontractor management and cost control, finishing with smooth running operations and client satisfaction.
Basics possess the experience, technical competence, and the objectivity to deliver the optimal solutions to each client's specific needs. Basics commitment is to deliver the solution on time and within budget.

Basics provides a solid understanding and record of experience in working with regulatory agencies at the local, state, and federal level on environmental issues related to real estate transactions. As Principal Consultant, Mr. Tom prides himself on his knowledge and application of federal, state, and local environmental laws and regulations. The quality of our reports is highly regarded by the financial and real estate community. Basics reports have often been met with unqualified approvals or have been accepted without major revisions. This fact alone has saved clients valuable time and expense and has resulted in expedited project implementation.

Supervisory experience and written materials demonstrating supervisory training and/or skills.

Basics experience with some of the largest financial lending institutions in the country as well as the smaller community banks has provided the knowledge in performing cost-effective, high quality environmental assessment reports, clear and effective environmental communications, and winning marketing strategies required in the commercial real estate lending industry.

Besides receiving a MBA and formal training in business management, Mr. Tom regularly trains, manages, and reviews the work of Basics environmental professionals in the performance of environmental transaction screens, Phase I & II environmental site assessments, and environmental facility audits. Typically, in the case of quick turnaround projects such as Phase I environmental site assessments, project progress meetings are held every morning regarding the progress to date, scheduling problems, major technical problems, future actions required, and follow-up responsibilities.

Provisions for acceleration of work tasks to keep the project on schedule. In the event delays are experienced, the approach that will be used to accelerate work will involve the implementation of one or more of the following actions:

1) Personal commitment of working overtime (nights, weekends, or holidays whatever it takes to get the job done on schedule and within budget).
2) Extra concentration of effort on critical path tasks.
3) Addition of reserve personnel, if necessary.
4) Extra concentration of effort on critical path tasks.
Mr. Tom's ability to effectively manage a staff of environmental professionals was best illustrated in response to a fast-track acquisition of a small banking institution by Comerica Bank. While employed by his previous employer, Mr. Tom managed the performance of 34 Phase I environmental and asbestos reports for sites located throughout northern California and provided an executive report summarizing the findings of all the 34 sites all within ten business days.

Mr. Tom met this challenge by quickly mobilizing an experienced team of eight qualified environmental professionals to complete the reports on time. Site visits were conducted for the purpose of reviewing the property for the obvious presence of toxic or hazardous materials. A special checklist adhering to Comerica's requirements was used to facilitate the assessment process.

Under the direct supervision of Mr. Tom, the Phase I environmental team then reviewed pertinent records provided by the former bank as well as information obtained from a database search of available local, state and federal agency lists. This review characterized the site history and the facility’s compliance history.

Thirty four separate reports describing the results of each site visit and records evaluation were presented to the client in draft form within seven working days and the final reports were presented within the 10 business day deadline. In addition, asbestos sampling, testing and reporting was performed by a certified asbestos subcontracting firm and the results were provided in each report. Plus a fifty year chain of title guarantees were performed by title subcontractors and issued as follow up reports for ten of the sites.

This project is an example of Mr. Tom’s ability to coordinate, supervise and train multiple environmental specialists to produce numerous reports within tight deadlines to meet client needs and requirements. In addition, although the project was virtually impossible to complete within ten business days the dedication of the project team was evident in their commitment to working nights and over a holiday weekend.
Basics performs the right amount of environmental due diligence you require.

Environmental Due Diligence

The US Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) oversees enforcement and clean up of contaminated sites across the United States. The federal government enacted the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA) in 1980. If you own or have ever owned a property that is presently contaminated, you may be designated as a potentially responsible party (PRP) under the CERCLA regulations. Being designated as a PRP means you may be financially responsible for cleaning up environmental contamination you did not create.

The only way to avoid this liability is through the “Innocent Landowner Defense”. In order to qualify for this defense, you have to show that you made a significant effort to determine if the property was contaminated before you bought it. This effort is called Due Diligence. A properly conducted Phase I Environmental Site Assessment meets the requirements for due diligence.

Lenders as well as potential title holders of a property follow a number of steps to evaluate the fiscal risks before financing properties. An environmental assessment helps evaluate the suitability of the financed property as collateral. (1) Will the property value decline as a result of environmental liabilities that are identified before the loan payoff? and (2) If the borrower defaults, will the bank own a property that is a liability rather than an asset?

The potential costs for environmental site investigations and remediation are substantial. Soft costs for investigation, reporting, and regulatory response can represent a large percent of the property value. Add costs for sampling, analysis, corrective action implementation, and long-term monitoring, and the overall remediation budget can easily exceed property values. Consequently, potential losses are greater for less valuable property because eventual sale of the property will recover a smaller percentage of the remediation costs.

In view of the potential costs for site cleanups, lenders as well as potential title holders of a property utilize environmental assessments to help identify high-risk sites.
Note: The amount of due diligence a Lender may require depends upon the amount of environmental business risk the lender is willing to accept. Many lenders will require a lesser degree of due diligence that is required in a properly conducted Phase I Environmental Site Assessment (i.e., Environmental Questionnaire, Environmental Database Review, Environmental Transaction Screen, Limited Phase I Environmental Site Assessment, etc.). Currently, only a properly conducted Phase I Environmental Site Assessment will meet the requirements of the “Innocent Landowner Defense”.

**Degrees of Environmental Due Diligence**

**Environmental Questionnaire.** The Environmental Questionnaire is typically utilized for all potential sites as a starting point for the lender to assess environmental business risk. This scope of work typically involves a standard Environmental Questionnaire.

The borrower will typically fill out a standard questionnaire regarding current and past uses regarding the use of hazardous materials and physical characteristics of the property.

**Environmental Database Review.** The Environmental Database Review is typically utilized for residentially zoned sites which do not currently utilize hazardous materials and are not believed to have utilized hazardous materials in the past. This scope of work typically involves the following:

1. Environmental Database Search

Basics environmental professionals will obtain and review publicly available information maintained by various federal, state, and local governmental agencies with administer environmental regulations, including records of known or potentially contaminated and/or problem sites, landfills, and other disposal sites, and underground storage tank records (for both leaking and registered USTs). FirstSearch, Inc. and Environmental Data Resources, Inc. are reliable commercial sources and will be subcontracted to generate the lists.
**ASTM Environmental Transaction Screen.** The Environmental Transaction Screen is typically utilized for commercially zoned sites which do not currently utilize hazardous materials and are not believed to have utilized hazardous materials in the past. This scope of work typically involves the following:

1. Environmental Database Search

2. Historical Research.

   Basics environmental professionals will obtain and interpret readily available historical information to provide brief research into the potential presence of an environmental condition associated with historic activities. Readily available information may include: fire insurance maps (Sanborn Fire Insurance Maps), topographic maps, local street maps/directories, aerial photographs, building department records, and fire department records. Historical research of the property and the surrounding properties typically extend far back as 1940 or first development of the property.

3. Site Reconnaissance.

   Basics environmental professionals will perform a visual inspection of the site noting number of buildings, approximate size of buildings' footprints, number of stories each, approximate age of buildings, occupancy status, pavement, fences, foundation/ruins, utilities, and ancillary structures in order to discover evidence of the presence or likely presence of impacts or other environmental problems on the subject property. In addition, visual inspection of adjacent sites will be made from the subject site and/or from access roads, as appropriate for the assessment of the property.

   At a minimum, Basics environmental professionals will walk the perimeter boundary of the property, each side of the drainage pathways, the boundaries of all on-site bodies of water, and a grid pattern for remaining exterior areas (including overgrown or wooded areas). For the interior of the structures on the property, environmental professionals will, at a minimum, visually inspect accessible common areas expected to be used by occupants or the public (e.g., lobbies and hallways), maintenance and repair areas (e.g., boiler rooms), and a representative sample of occupant spaces.

   The visual inspection will consider past and present use(s) of the property that may adversely impact the soil and/or ground water. The ASTM Environmental Transaction Screen Questionnaire is utilized to facilitate this process.
(4) Interviews.

Basics environmental professionals will interview easily accessible site contacts (property/site managers, environmental managers, real estate agents, local county personnel, etc.) with knowledge of the current and past uses and physical characteristics of the property. The ASTM Environmental Transaction Screen Questionnaire is utilized to facilitate this process.

(5) Summarizing Report.

This phase of the assessment concludes with a report describing the results of the historical review, site visit and database records evaluation.

**ASTM Environmental Transaction Screen + Local Regulatory Agency File Review.** The Environmental Transaction Screen + Local Regulatory Agency File Review is typically utilized for commercially zoned sites which currently do not utilize hazardous materials, however may have utilized hazardous materials in the past. This scope of work typically involves the following:

(1) Environmental Database Search

(2) Historical Research.

(3) Site Reconnaissance.

(4) Interviews.

(5) Local Regulatory Agency File Review.

A review of local enforcing agency (Regional Water Quality Control Board, County Environmental Health, local Fire Department, etc.) personnel and files, where reasonably available and to the extent necessary, will be conducted to identify sites with "known" or "potential" contamination and "environmentally sensitive business activities" on or adjacent and/or “perceived” up gradient of the subject property. The files will be reviewed to determine: (1) if the identified known or potential properties are listed on the regulatory databases; (2) if there are any issued environmental permits; (3) if there have been any notice of violations; (4) if any corrective actions have been taken; (5) if there have been any complaints; (6) if there have been any environmental investigations; and (7) if there is any other pertinent environmental information.
(5) Summarizing Report.

This phase of the assessment concludes with a report describing the results of the historical review, site visit, database records evaluation and local regulatory agency file review.

**ASTM/AAI Phase I Environmental Site Assessment.** The Phase I Environmental Site Assessment is typically utilized for residential or commercially zoned sites which currently utilize hazardous materials or want to avoid environmental liability through the “Innocent Landowner Defense”. This scope of work typically involves the following:

(1) Environmental Database Search

(2) Historical Research.

In addition to the historical research performed as part of an Environmental Transaction Screen, the Basics environmental professionals will obtain and interpret at least one reasonably ascertainable historical resources within specific time frames (typically every five to ten years). Historical research of the property and the surrounding properties typically extend far back as 1940 or first development of the property.

(3) Site Reconnaissance.

(4) Interviews.

(5) Local Regulatory Agency File Review.

In addition to the local enforcing agency files review as performed as part of an Environmental Transaction Screen + Local Regulatory Agency File Review, Basics environmental professionals will review building department records and fire department records. The files will be reviewed to determine: (1) if there are any issued environmental related permits; and (2) if there is any other pertinent environmental information.

(6) Physical Setting Evaluation.

Environmental professionals will obtain, where reasonably available, site geological information. Data may be obtained from available topographic elevation maps, soil lithology maps, surficial geological maps, bedrock geology maps, and ground-water maps.
(7) Summarizing Report.

This phase of the assessment concludes with a report describing the results of the historical review, site visit, database records evaluation and local regulatory agency file review. In addition, site topographical location maps, site layout representative maps, representative site photographs, and any other relevant information will be included.

**Conclusions and Environmental Issues**

The objective of any degree of environmental due diligence is to identify potential environmental issues associated with a property. If findings indicate hazardous materials in any quantity are being utilized or have been utilized in the past on the property, then this would constitute an environmental issue.

Environmental issues are separated into two categories: recognized environmental conditions and de minimus conditions.

(1) Recognized Environmental Condition (REC).

*Recognized environmental conditions means, "the presence or likely presence of any hazardous substances or petroleum products on a property under conditions that indicate an existing release, a past release, or a material threat of a release of any hazardous substances or petroleum products into structures on a property or into the ground, groundwater, or surface water of the property."*

Basically, evidence was discovered that there is a significant potential that a hazardous substance has been released from its operation onto (or into) the surface and may be subject to government enforcement

(2) De minimus condition.

*De minimus condition means, "the presence or likely presence of any hazardous substances or petroleum products on a property under conditions that did not indicate an existing release, a past release, or a material threat of a release of any hazardous substances or petroleum products into structures on a property or into the ground, groundwater, or surface water of the property,"*
Basically, no compelling evidence was discovered that there is a significant potential that a hazardous substance has been released from its operation onto (or into) the surface and are not likely to be subject to government enforcement.

Because ultimately it remains the user who accepts the liability for having entered into a chain of title, it remains important that the user recognize that the “risk tolerance” of a regulatory agency could change, as could be the case if information is later uncovered to suggest that the de minimus conditions (i.e., those that generally do not present a material risk of harm to public health or the environment and that generally would not be the subject of an enforcement action if brought to the attention of appropriate governmental agencies) are of greater significance than once thought.

Based on the de minimus condition stated above, additional scope of services, may or may not disclose information which may significantly reduce the “risk tolerance” in connection with the acquisition of a parcel of commercial real estate.

**Phase II Site Investigations**

If findings indicate recognized environmental conditions, then further investigation and documentation is warranted.

(1) Limited Phase II Environmental Site Sampling.

A Phase II site investigation is the evaluation of recognized environmental conditions (i.e. confirmation of the significant potential of a release into soil, groundwater, or structures on a property).

Basically, soil and/or ground water sampling is required to address the potential that a hazardous substance has been released from its operation onto (or into) the surface.

This phase of an environmental assessment can require multiple steps, however, typically starts out as a private investigation (i.e. Limited Phase II Environmental Site Sampling).

If results of the sampling indicates levels of hazardous materials in the soil and/or ground water above the local regulatory action levels, recommendations for further review by the federal or state and local agencies is warranted. A report of positive contamination may require the owner/operator to define or provide more specific information about the release into soil, groundwater, or structures on a property, etc. subject to government enforcement (i.e. additional Phase II Site Investigations).
(2) Baseline Environmental Sampling.

If findings indicate de minimus conditions, then further investigation and documentation is optional.

Baseline Environmental Sampling is the evaluation of de minimus conditions (i.e. confirmation of a potential release into soil, groundwater, or structures on a property).

Basically, soil and/or ground water sampling is optional (based on the environmental risk level required by the client) to address the potential that a hazardous substance has been released from its operation onto (or into) the surface.

If results of the sampling indicates levels of hazardous materials in the soil and/or ground water above the local regulatory action levels, recommendations for further review by the federal or state and local agencies is warranted.
Basics delivers the environmental due diligence you demand.

Proven Performance

Basics specializes in performing Phase I & II Environmental Site Assessments and Environmental Compliance Audits for real estate transactions on a quick turnaround basis. Throughout Mr. Tom's environmental career he has been involved with performing environmental site assessments for real estate transactions almost since the inception of Property Transfer Assessments, as they were called in the 1980's. Since that time Mr. Tom has performed thousands of environmental assessments and has seen the industry mature and reports become standardized under ASTM in 1994 and AAI in 2006.

Basics was formed by Mr. Tom to apply his in-depth knowledge of the Phase I & II industry and provide the required due diligence demanded by the financial industry and compile the data and recommendations into professionally stamped high-quality reports quickly and cost-effectively in accordance with industry standards and/or client defined protocols. Basics specializes in providing only these “basic” Phase I & II environmental reports for sites throughout Northern California and as such we believe our Phase I & II environmental site assessment reports are the best in Northern California!

As an “approved environmental consultant” with almost all of the major financial institutions as well as a U.S. Small Business Administration (SBA) preferred contractor, Basics implements various Phase I environmental site assessment studies and Phase I environmental reviews for properties under consideration by public and private financial institutions for real estate transfer and financial transactions.

The various environmental site assessment studies ranged in scope from performing ASTM Environmental Transaction Screens to Full ASTM/AAI Phase I Environmental Site Assessments. In addition, expert opinion and recommendations were given on prior environmental reports performed by other environmental professionals. Sites assessed have ranged from commercial office buildings and motels to gasoline service stations and hazardous waste processing facilities.
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  San Francisco, CA 94122  
  Mr. Mark Raffield (415) 242-0486  
  (Various Phase I/II Reports)
November 30, 2007

To Whom It May Concern:

I highly recommend Basics Environmental, Inc. based on my experience of utilizing the company for obtaining environmental due diligence reports over the past 10 years. Donovan Tom and his associates at Basics Environmental, Inc, provide comprehensive reports within a short period of time at fair price. My clients and Wachovia Small Business Capital have benefited greatly over the years by using this company's services.

Please do not hesitate to contact me for any questions.

Mark Raffield
VP/Senior Business Development Officer
Wachovia Small Business Capital
1690 20th Avenue
San Francisco, CA  94122
Tel: 415-242-0486
Fax: 415-242-0487
Cell: 415-336-0465
Email: mark.raffield@wachoviasbc.com
August, 2000

Mr. Donovan Tom, R.E.A.
116 Gioretta Blvd.
Orinda, CA 94563

To Whom It May Concern:

US Bank, SBA Division has used Basics Environmental’s services for over four years in environmental issues that face our transactions.

Donovan Tom and Basics Environmental has been the environmental consultant for most Commercial Real Estate loans originating from the San Francisco Loan Production Office. In every project (40+), Basics has exceeded expectations in timing, so much so that Donovan has earned the respect and admiration of many of our brokers and borrowers for his sense of urgency and expertise.

Donovan’s professional detail and close personal attention are displayed in every deal. In addition, his solid environmental contacts in business and regulatory agencies throughout the Bay Area have further expedited the work process. We appreciate his work ethic and value the relationship.

We openly recommend Basics to clients and colleagues alike.

Sincerely,

Ole Tustin
Vice President
SBA Business Development
US Bank SBA Division, San Francisco
June 3, 1997

Mr. Donovan G. Tom, R.E.A.
Basics Environmental
46 Circle Creek Court
Lafayette, CA 94549

To whom it may concern:

Bank of Commerce has used Donovan Tom’s services for over one year in environmental issues that face our transactions.

Donovan Tom and Basics Environmental has been the environmental consultant for most Commercial Real Estate loans originating from the San Francisco Loan Production Office. In every project, Basics has exceeded expectations in timing. Donovan’s professional detail and close personal attention are displayed in every deal. We appreciate his work ethic and value the relationship.

We openly recommend Basics to clients and colleagues alike.

Sincerely,

Jeff Phillips
Vice President
SBA Business Development
San Francisco L.P.O.

185 BERRY STREET, SUITE 4609 • SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94107
(415) 357-1SBA • (800) 997-4SBA • FAX (415) 882-7SBA
7 February 1997

Donavan G. Tom, R.E.A.
Basics Environmental
46 Circle Creek Court
Lafayette, CA. 94549

Re: Recommendation of Basics Environmental

Dear Sir/Madam,

Basics Environmental has been providing environmental assessment services to The Mechanics Bank for the past several years. He has assisted me and several of my colleagues on many of our construction, mixed use, and industrial commercial loan transactions requiring Environmental Transaction Screens and Environmental Phase I Site Assessments.

Mr. Tom has demonstrated an ability to meet or exceed the standards set forth by our institution and the environmental industry. Mr. Tom is professional, provides a quality product, and has always delivered product in a timely manner.

For these reasons, we recommend the engagement of Basics Environmental for use in assessing environmental conditions of real property to other financial institutions and other users in need of environmental assessment services.

Most sincerely,

[Signature]

Patrick T. McHone
Vice President
Corporate Banking Department
Walnut Creek Office
February 5, 1997

Donavan G. Tom, R.E.A.
Basics Environmental
46 Circle Creek Court
Lafayette, CA 94549

To whom it may concern,

Basics Environmental (Basics) has been a valuable asset in providing environmental consulting services for our firm.

They have assisted us in many projects, including Environmental Transactions Screens and Environmental Phase I Site Assessments which have met or exceeded protocols set forth by the latest standards set forth by the American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM) Standard E1528, Standard Practice for Environmental Site Assessments.

In all cases we have found Basics to be very competent and professional firm that has been instrumental in assessing the commercial properties within our portfolio.

We would not hesitate to give a strong recommendation of approval for the services of Basics to anyone who has need for an environmental consultant.

Sincerely yours,

[Signature]

Rita Chao
Grand Pacific Financing Corp.
11 March 1997

Donavan G. Tom, R.E.A.
BASICS ENVIRONMENTAL
46 Circle Creek Court
Lafayette, Ca 94549

To Whom it may concern,

Finally, we met Donavan Tom who did everything he promised! We are very happy with the way Basics Environmental handled our request for an environmental survey.

As the Business Development Officer for Alameda County, we at The Money Store Investment Corporation want to mention how we appreciated working with your company and the fine level of service you gave us. It would be our pleasure to refer anyone looking for professional environmental services to you and your fine company.

Sincerely,

[Signature]
Alex Escobar
The Money Store
DATE: 1-12-01
TO: Donavan Tom/ basics environmental
    cc: file
FROM: Serpico Landscaping, Inc. / Sharon Serpico Hanson
RE: Environmental Report

Thank you for the excellent report. It was well researched, well put together and understandable to someone not in your industry.

We have decided not to purchase the property due to the number of problems we have been made aware of. We are thankful for your advice and report as it helped clarify a number of questions we had.

We will absolutely contact you again if we ever need another environmental report.
Monday June 15, 1998

Donavan G. Tom, R.E.A.
BASICS ENVIRONMENTAL
46 Circle Creek Court
Lafayette, Ca 94549

To Whom it may concern,

Finally, we met Donavan Tom who did everything he promised! We are very happy with the way Basics Environmental handled our request for an environmental survey.

As the Business Development Officer for Alameda and Contra Costa counties, we at Cupertino National Bank want to mention how we appreciated working with your company and the high level of service you gave us. It would be our pleasure to refer anyone looking for professional environmental services to you and your fine company.

Sincerely,

Alex Escobar
Business Development Officer
East Bay Region
DONAVAN G. TOM, M.B.A., E.P., R.E.P.A.

EDUCATION
M.B.A., Management, Golden Gate University, San Francisco, 1988
B.S., Bio/Medical Physics, University of California, Berkeley; 1987

REGISTRATION
Registered Environmental Assessor I, 1994 - California #05598
Registered Environmental Assessor II, 1999 - California #20039
Registered Environmental Property Assessor, 2013 - NREP #213165

PROFESSIONAL HISTORY
1994 - Present
Principal Consultant, Basics Environmental, Inc. Oakland, California

1992 - 1996
Environmental/Sales Manager, MWW Global/The MARK Group, Inc., Pleasant Hill, California

1991 - 1992
Senior Environmental/Marketing Specialist, AECOM/Metcalf & Eddy, Inc., Santa Clara/Redwood City, California

1989 - 1991
Environmental/Marketing Coordinator, Kleinfelder Inc., Walnut Creek, California

1988 - 1989
Marketing Intern, Legal Assistance for Seniors Inc., Oakland, California

1988
Environmental Marketing Intern, Berkeley, California

1985 - 1987
Chemistry Intern, University of California, Berkeley, California

PROFESSIONAL AFFILIATIONS
Professional Environmental Marketers Association - Board of Directors (92-94)
Chinese American Environmental Protection Association - Member
California State Bar Association of Environmental Law - Member
Commercial Investment Marketing Forum - Member
Northern California Commercial Association of Realtors - Member
Association of Commercial Real Estate - Member
City of Berkeley Breakfast Club - Member

SUMMARY
Mr. Tom has over twenty years of professional experience in the environmental consultancy industry. He is an expert in managing, conducting and reviewing all phases of environmental site assessments involved in real estate transactions. Mr. Tom has specifically supervised, managed and directed activities relating to the development of hazardous substance or hazardous waste site cleanup opinions using industry standards (ASTM) and preliminary endangerment assessment (PEA) and All Appropriate Inquiry (AAI) protocols and procedures. These opinions have included determining whether a significant release has occurred, whether a response action is needed, and determining the activities needed to adequately reduce environmental risk. Mr. Tom, along (along with geologists, toxicologists, chemical engineers, and other environmental professionals, as necessary) has conducted environmental assessments and investigations; directed and performed site investigation and remediation activities; evaluated site information and data and rendered opinions derived from that data; defined the work required to reduce risk from contamination; and, determined and certified that all work necessary to reduce risk from contamination has been properly conducted and that all work has been completed.

SELECTED PROJECT EXPERIENCE
- Managed and conducted a comprehensive Phase I and II environmental assessment of a former auto dismantler facility in Oakland, California. Performed the review of Federal, State and local regulatory agency records, aerial photos, and historical maps; site inspections; hydrogeologic review; personal interviews regarding previous and present hazardous material operating activities conducted at sites; and oversaw the drilling, sampling, and logging of soil borings and groundwater sampling for petroleum hydrocarbons, solvents, and metals. Secured all necessary
permits, prepared drawings and reports, negotiated with regulatory agencies on behalf of the client, and developed workplan for follow-up health risk assessment and remedial actions.

• Managed and conducted a comprehensive Phase I and II environmental assessment of a multi-tenant industrial facility in San Leandro, California. Performed the review of Federal, State and local regulatory agency records, aerial photos, and historical maps; site inspections; hydrogeologic review; personal interviews regarding previous and present hazardous material operating activities conducted at sites; and oversaw the sampling, and logging of soil and groundwater sampling as part of the final closure of former underground storage tanks at the site for petroleum hydrocarbons. Secured all necessary permits, prepared drawings and reports, negotiated with regulatory agencies on behalf of the client for site closure.

• Managed and conducted a comprehensive Phase I and II environmental assessment of a multi-tenant auto maintenance facility in Walnut Creek, California. Performed the review of Federal, State and local regulatory agency records, aerial photos, and historical maps; site inspections; hydrogeologic review; personal interviews regarding previous and present hazardous material operating activities conducted at sites; and oversaw the sampling, and logging of sediment and surface water sampling of impacted sumps for petroleum hydrocarbons, solvents, and metals. Secured all necessary permits, prepared drawings and reports, negotiated with regulatory agencies on behalf of the client, and implemented follow-up cleanup actions.

• Managed and conducted a comprehensive Phase II and III environmental site investigation and site closure of a construction maintenance yard in San Leandro, California. Managed and developed the remedial workplan for the excavation, sampling, and logging of soil impacted with petroleum hydrocarbons from former underground storage tanks. Managed the installation of groundwater monitoring wells and subsequent sampling. Secured all necessary permits, prepared drawings and reports, and achieved site closure with regulatory agencies.

• Managed and conducted a comprehensive environmental assessment and facility compliance audit for two large steel processing facilities in Richmond, California. The environmental assessment was performed under Bank of America, Wells Fargo Bank and ASTM standard protocols. The facility audit included the review of all environmental documents pertaining to the general facility, Clean Air Act, Clean Water Act, Resource Conservation Recovery Act (RCRA), Storage Tanks, Emergency Planning and Community Right To Know, Polychlorinated Biphenols (PCBs), Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act (CERCLA), and Environmental Management Pollution Prevention. A summary report describing the results of the environmental assessment and facility audit was completed on a "fast-track" basis within a two week period to meet the financial deadline.

• Managed and conducted a comprehensive Phase I Environmental Site Assessment for a large former shipyard facility in Alameda, California. The facility consisted of over 50 acres with numerous structures, and had an industrial history dating back to 1912. Performed the review of Federal, State and local regulatory agency records, aerial photos, and historical maps; site inspections; hydrogeologic review; personal interviews regarding previous and present hazardous material operating activities conducted at sites, and prepared drawings and reports. A summary report identifying areas of concern and further recommendations was completed on a "fast-track" basis within a two week period over the Christmas Holidays to meet the financial deadline.

• Managed in the preparation of an Oil Spill Contingency Plan (OSCP) for the County of Solano Office of Emergency Services. Work was performed according to Title 14, Subdivision 4 of the California Code of Regulations and with local agency coastal programs such as Marine Oil Spill Contingency and National Contingency Plans.
Managed and performed the in-depth historical and environmental research to be used in as part of a litigation dispute between the State of California Department of Transportation and a large oil refining and marketing company. Gathered information from federal, state and local regulatory agencies, historical societies, universities and libraries, and aerial photographic libraries.

Coordinated an environmental team of seven (7) environmental specialist for the conductance of thirty four (34) preacquisition environmental assessments for a large financial institution within the San Francisco Bay Area. Work performed included planning, budgeting, and scheduling for the review of Federal, State and local regulatory agency listings, title reports, and aerial photos; site inspections; asbestos surveys; hydrogeological review; personal interviews regarding previous and present hazardous material operating activities conducted at sites; and summary reports describing the results of the site visits and site history evaluations. All 34 assessments were completed on a "fast-track" basis within a two week period during a holiday period.

Conducted a comprehensive regulatory agency list of all documented underground storage tank leak and toxic spill leak sites within the entire county of Santa Clara for the JP Morgan Investment Management Group. Work included the compilation and tabulation of all Federal, State and local regulatory agency listings into one complete report referenced by city complete with several maps denoting site location and type of spill.


Conducted numerous Phase I Property Transaction Assessments under ASTM and Financial Institution Guidelines for various financial institutions within California. Representative financial institutions include the following:

- Federal Deposit and Insurance Corporation, Various Bay Area
- The Mechanics Bank, Various Northern California
- California Bank of Commerce, Various Northern California
- River City Bank, Various Northern California
- Fremont Bank, Various Northern California
- First Republic Bank, Various Northern California
- Cathay Bank, Various Northern California
- Scott Valley Bank, Various Northern California
- U.S. Bancorp, Various Northern California
- Bank of the West, Various Northern California
- Comerica Bank, Various Bay Area
- Wells Fargo Bank, Various Bay Area
- Bank of America, Various Bay Area
- Bank of Alameda, Various Bay Area
- General Bank, Various Bay Area
- Wachovia Small Business Financial, Various Northern California
- Capital Access Group, Various Bay Area
- TMC Development, Various Bay Area
- Greater Sacramento Development, Various Northern California
- Bay Area Development, Various Northern California
- Grand Pacific Financing Corporation, Various Bay Area
- Union Bank, Fairfield
- East County Bank, San Francisco
Managed and conducted numerous Phase I and Phase II Environmental Site Assessments (ESAs) and facility evaluations for various light and heavy industrial facilities within California. Work performed typically included the review of Federal, State and local regulatory agency records, title reports, aerial photos, and historical maps; site inspections; hydrogeologic review; personal interviews regarding previous and present hazardous material operating activities conducted at sites; and summary reports describing the results of the site visits and records evaluations. Representative projects include the following:

- Electric Batter Facility, Burlingame
- Former UPS Facility, San Mateo
- LTM Construction Facility, San Leandro
- Former Southland Gas Station, San Francisco
- Shell Gasoline Stations, Sacramento Area
- Del Monte Produce Facilities, Tracy
- NewStar Produce Facilities, Salinas
- Woodworking Warehouse, San Francisco
- Empire Computer Components, Santa Clara
- Intelmatic, Fremont
- Lairds Plastics, Santa Clara
- TCL Circuit Boards, Fremont
- Codiroli Motor Company, Livermore
- Crystal Springs Medical Facillity, San Mateo
- Former Fish Farm Ponds, Gustine
- Central Dry Cleaners, South San Francisco
- Former J&R Autodismantlers, Oakland
- Former BF Goodrich Tire Facility, Oakland
- Carlisle Plastics, Brisbane
- Former Le Gas Station, Lafayette
- J&R Autodismantlers, Oakland
- Cummings Hwy Extension, Crockett
- Jay Forni Steel Pipe Facility, Concord
- St. Regis Retirement Center, Hayward
- Royal Kennels, Hayward
- J. Lohr Winery, San Jose
- Whalen Medical Facility, Oakland
- Turman Painting Facility, Livermore
- Oyster Point Culvert/Hwy 101 Interchange, South San Francisco
- River Street Automotive Center, Santa Cruz
- Dixon Convalescent Home, Dixon
- Fibreboard Wood Products Parcels, Stanislaus National Forest
- Former Chevron Station, Stockton
- Former Chevron Station, Berkeley
- Pinole Point Steel Facility, Richmond
- Colorstrip Facility, Richmond
- IC Sensors Facility, Sunnyvale, Milpitas
- Ice Chalet Ice Skating Rink, San Mateo
- Montgomery Wards Auto Center, San Mateo
- Valley Automatic Fuels, San Jose
- Auto Mechanic Center, Santa Clara
- Mann Packing Co., Salinas
- City of Santa Rosa, Hotel/Convention Center
- Byron Union School District, Byron
- Devcon Airport Business Park, Santa Rosa
- Pizzagoni Towing, Antioch
- Ace Recyclers, Oakland
- Golden Gate Lumber, San Rafael
- Lee Mah Laundry, San Francisco
- East Bay Out Patient Surgery, Oakland
- Crestwood Hospital, Vallejo
- Robert Bond Parking Structure, Oakland
- City of Pittsburg Shop 'n' Save, Pittsburg

- Conducted numerous Phase I Environmental Site Assessments (ESAs) and facility evaluations for various real estate developers within California. Representative projects include the following:

  - Contra Costa County Real Property Division, Various Locations
  - C&H Development, Various Northern California
  - Lafayette Town Center, Lafayette
  - Mariners Square Associates, Alameda
  - Children’s World, Vacaville
  - Clark Avenue Office Complex, Dublin
  - Fillmore Marketplace Complex, San Francisco
  - Sierra Business Center, Dublin
  - Woodfield Complex, North Highlands
  - Gholami Properties, Walnut Creek
  - Vosti Properties, Santa Cruz
  - 318 Diablo Road Office Complex, Danville
  - Castlewood Properties, Pleasanton
  - Valley Vista Tennis Club, Walnut Creek
  - Mills Corporation, San Mateo Fashion Island Shopping Mall
  - Sammis Development, Fremont, Fairfield, Sunnyvale
  - Urban Frontier Development, San Francisco
  - Koll Company, San Jose
  - Sentinel Real Estate, Benecia
  - Windsor Business Park, Windsor
  - Solano Avenue Partners, Napa
  - Toys "R" Us, San Rafael
  - AIG Partners, Vacaville
  - Lincoln Properties, San Ramon
  - Harbor Bay Isle Associates, Alameda
  - Hayman Homes, Burlingame
  - Brenn Company, Danville
  - Trans Pacific Metro Center, Foster City
  - Garrett Development, West Pittsburg, Antioch
  - Heinz Development, Vacaville
  - David Powers and Associates, San Jose
  - Cushman and Wakefield, Solano Business Park, Fairfield
  - Lazzerini Properties, Fairfield
  - Franklin Properties, Fremont
  - Oakmont Developers, Santa Rosa
  - Trans Pacific Metro Center, Foster City
  - Chase National Corporation Services, Pleasanton
  - A M Homes, San Jose
  - Housing Associates Napa Development, Napa
  - Speiker Partners, Milpitas, San Jose
  - Jonathan Development Group, Danville

PUBLICATIONS

THE REA II - SITE MITIGATION AUTHORITY AND EXPERTISE

The Registered Environmental Assessor II (REA II) has significant authority under existing statutes and regulations to serve an extensive role in environmental programs and site mitigation activities. The REA II is the only environmental manager and practitioner over whom a Cal/EPA office has direct authority, and whose areas of professional environmental expertise are determined by Cal/EPA.

The REA II is a qualified environmental professional who may manage and conduct site investigation, assessment and remediation work at schoolsites, Brownfields, or other potentially contaminated properties. The REA II registration differs from other registrations traditionally used in site investigation and cleanup work by being a strictly environmental registration managed and directed by a Cal/EPA office.

In the role of a “Private Site Manager,” the REA II is authorized to supervise, manage and direct activities relating to the development of hazardous substance or hazardous waste site cleanup opinions using preliminary endangerment assessment procedures. These opinions include determining whether a significant release has occurred, and whether a response action is needed.

Regulations (Title 14, California Code of Regulations, Section 19030 et seq.) describe the activities and evaluations that an REA II may perform as a project manager. An REA II:

- Determines the activities needed to adequately characterize hazardous waste or hazardous substance release sites;
- Conducts environmental assessments and investigations;
- Directs and performs site investigation and remediation activities;
- Evaluates site information and data and render opinions derived from that data;
- Defines the work required to reduce risk from contamination; and,
- Determines and certifies that all work necessary to reduce risk from contamination has been properly conducted and that all work has been completed.

The energy challenge facing California is real. Every Californian needs to take immediate action to reduce energy consumption. For a list of simple ways you can reduce demand and cut your energy costs, see our Web-site at www.dtsc.ca.gov.
Regulations specify that an REA II must meet certain performance standards when conducting, developing, performing or directing the following activities:

- Preliminary Endangerment Assessments;
- Remedial Investigations/Feasibility Studies;
- Remedial Design;
- Remedial Action;
- Remedial Action Plans;
- Corrective Action Plans;
- Removal Action Workplans; and,
- Remedial Work.

The REA II is not permitted to claim the privileges of a Department of Consumer Affairs (DCA) registrant, or the privileges of any other registration, certification or license unless the REA II holds that particular credential. Whether a particular activity requires professional registration typically can only be determined on a case-by-case basis. An REA II may obtain the assistance of registered subcontractors for the performance of any work requiring professional registration while performing environmental assessment and restoration activities, as long as the work is incidental to the business of the REA II. Any subcontractor’s work, or the work performed by others, must be properly cited in any report prepared by the REA II. The REA program can assist registrants or interested parties in evaluating whether the activities of an REA II may be in an area reserved for other licensed professionals. The REA program will also disseminate useful information pertaining to the practice of other registered professionals to all REA II’s.

In performing work activities, the REA II is charged to hold paramount the public health, safety and welfare, and to follow all applicable performance standards while conducting work activities. Regulations specify the performance standards for the work activities of an REA II. The REA program may randomly audit the work products of its registrants to ensure that all applicable performance standards are met. We will also investigate work-related complaints about registrants and will, if necessary, take disciplinary actions, or rescind the registration of an REA II whose work does not meet applicable performance standards.

For additional information about the program, its regulations, and applicable laws please review the other information on the REA program website http://www.dtsc.ca.gov/REA/index.html or contact the REA program at (916) 324-6881.
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# I. PROJECT DATA

Table 1. Project Data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Name/Number</th>
<th>San Pablo Apartments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Application Submittal Date</td>
<td>September 7, 2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Location</td>
<td>10963 San Pablo Avenue, El Cerrito, CA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name of Developer</td>
<td>Studio KDA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Phase No.</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Type and Description</td>
<td>Mixed-Use Commercial/Residential</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Watershed</td>
<td>Baxter Creek</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Project Site Area (acres)</td>
<td>.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Area of Land Disturbed (acres)</td>
<td>.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total New Impervious Surface Area (sq. ft.)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Replaced Impervious Surface Area</td>
<td>13,505</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Pre-Project Impervious Surface Area</td>
<td>16,477</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Post-Project Impervious Surface Area</td>
<td>13,505</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50% Rule[*]</td>
<td>Applicable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Density</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Applicable Special Project Categories</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent LID and non LID treatment</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HMP Compliance [†]</td>
<td>Not Applicable</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[*50% rule applies if: Total Replaced Impervious Surface Area > 0.5 x Pre-Project Impervious Surface Area]

[†HMP applies if: (Total New Impervious Surface Area + Total Replaced Impervious Surface Area) ≥ 1 acre]
II. SETTING

II.A. Project Location and Description
The project will be made up of one parcel located at the intersection of San Pablo Avenue and Jefferson Avenue across from the El Cerrito Fire Department. The project will occupy approximately 0.42 acres of what is now a one-story commercial building and parking lot.

II.B. Existing Site Features and Conditions
The existing site consists of an approximately 9,500 square foot one-story commercial building, an asphalt parking lot which takes up approximately 7,000 square feet of the site. There is landscaping surrounding the parking lot which covers approximately 1,700 square feet of the site and small areas of concrete walkways that make up the rest of the site.

The site’s bordering streets are Jefferson Avenue to the north, Alameda Avenue to the south side of the block, San Pablo Avenue to the East, and S57th Street to the far west end of the block. There is a “no build” easement on the southern edge of the property limits, approximately 140 feet long and 10 feet wide.

The site slopes from West to East and South to North. Runoff from the bordering existing paved roads is collected in five storm drain inlets (three to the North and two to the South) at the corner of Jefferson Avenue and S56th Street in Richmond City Limits which then discharges to an existing 30-inch storm drain line located in S56th Street.

A Geotechnical report has not been completed at this time to determine site soils. Typically soils in the Contra Costa County area are soil Group D, so this type has been assumed for entire site of 10963 San Pablo Avenue.

II.C. Opportunities and Constraints for Stormwater Control
Treatment of all runoff from the site will be treated by Integrated Management Practices (IMP). Since the post-project impervious surface area is less than the pre-project impervious surface area, there is no requirement to manage in-runoff peak flows and durations (hydrograph modification management, HMP).

Due to the assumed existing clayey native soils, direct infiltration into the soil is not an option, thus perforated storm drain pipes shall be placed at the bottom of bioretention area to collect treated Stormwater.

Runoff to the implemented IMPs will be collected from portions of the rooftop and roof decks of the building and routed down to one bioretention planter on the second floor roof deck and two ground floor bioretention planters. All bioretention areas will be collected in a subsurface perforated pipe, then piped through the site to sidewalk cross drains that outfall onto Jefferson Avenue. Please note that currently the existing site runoff drains onto Jefferson Avenue and into Richmond City Limits.

III. LOW IMPACT DEVELOPMENT DESIGN STRATEGIES

III.A. Optimization of Site Layout

III.A.1. Limitation of development envelope
One limitation of this project is that the site currently drains onto Jefferson Avenue via overland release into Richmond City Limits. Our intent is to maintain this drainage pattern and improve upon
it by draining our impervious area through bioretention planters prior to outfalling onto Jefferson Avenue.

III.A.2. Preservation of natural drainage features

The site naturally drains onto Jefferson Avenue down to storm drain inlets at the intersection of Jefferson Avenue and S56th Street. We are proposing not to change that drainage pattern.

III.A.3. Setbacks from creeks, wetlands, and riparian habitats

There are no creeks, wetlands, or riparian habitats adjacent to this property.

III.A.4. Minimization of imperviousness

Landscaping and four bioretention areas are incorporated into the site design.

III.A.5. Use of drainage as a design element

The three bioretention areas are used as design elements, located on the second floor roof deck and at-grade on the north and south sides of the building.

III.B. Use of Permeable Pavements

Due to assumed impermeable soils with little to no infiltration ability, permeable pavers are not feasible.

III.C. Dispersal of Runoff to Pervious Areas

III.C.1. Permeability of Site Soils

4,725 square feet of on-site landscaping area shall serve as a self-treating surface to meet the “self-retaining” requirements.

III.C.2. Potential Opportunities for Harvesting and Use

Not proposing harvesting or reuse.

III.C.3. Harvesting and Use Feasibility Calculations

Not proposing harvesting or reuse.

Table 2. Harvesting and Use Feasibility

Not proposing harvesting or reuse.

III.D. Integrated Management Practices

This project has direct runoff to the following integrated management practices: Bioretention planters.
IV. DOCUMENTATION OF DRAINAGE DESIGN

IV.A. Descriptions of each Drainage Management Area

IV.A.1. Table of Drainage Management Areas

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DMA Name</th>
<th>Surface Type</th>
<th>Area (square feet)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DMA 1A</td>
<td>Roof</td>
<td>6,570</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMA 1B</td>
<td>Roof/Landscape</td>
<td>2,115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMA 1C</td>
<td>Roof/Landscape</td>
<td>4,915</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMA 1D</td>
<td>Pervious Pavement/Landscape</td>
<td>4,065</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IV.A.2. Drainage Management Area Descriptions

**DMA 1A:** Totaling 6,570 square feet, includes a portion of the roof area, the level 4 roof deck and landscape planter. The bioretention area will be sized to accommodate flow control volumes. After stormwater filters through the bioretention area, it is collected in a perforated pipe, then piped to sidewalk cross drains that outfall onto Jefferson Avenue.

**DMA 1B:** Totaling 2,115 square feet, includes a portion of the roof area. The bioretention area will be sized to accommodate flow control volumes. After stormwater filters through the bioretention area, it is collected in a perforated pipe, then piped to sidewalk cross drains that outfall onto Jefferson Avenue.

**DMA 1C:** Totaling 4,915 square feet, includes a portion of the roof area, the level 2 roof deck and landscape planter. The bioretention area will be sized to accommodate flow control volumes. After stormwater filters through the bioretention area, it is collected in a perforated pipe, then piped to sidewalk cross drains that outfall onto Jefferson Avenue.

**DMA 1D:** Totaling 4,065 square feet, includes at-grade landscaping and the pervious pavement. This area is considered self-treating.

IV.B. Tabulation and Sizing Calculations

(See Contra Costa Clean Water Program IMP Sizing Tool summary)

V. SOURCE CONTROL MEASURES

V.A. Site activities and potential sources of pollutants

V.B. Source Control Table

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Potential source of runoff pollutants</th>
<th>Permanent source control BMPs</th>
<th>Operational source control BMPs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>On-site storm drain inlets</td>
<td>All accessible on-site inlets will be marked to convey that no dumping is allowed.</td>
<td>Inlets and pipes conveying storm water to BMPs will be inspected and maintained as part of BMP Operation and Maintenance Plan.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Interior Parking Garages**

Parking garage floor drains will be plumbed to the sanitary sewer. Inspect and maintain drains to prevent blockages and overflow.

**Landscape/outdoor pesticide use**

Landscape plans will be designed to minimize irrigation and runoff and to minimize use of fertilizers and pesticides. Specify plantings within swales that are tolerant of the sandy loam soils and periodic inundation and will conform to the plan list recommendation by the C.3. Guidebook. Include pest-resistant plants, plantings appropriate to site soils, slopes, climate, sun, wind, rain, land use. Landscape will be maintained using minimum or no pesticides. IPM information will be provided to new residents.

**Outdoor storage of equipment or materials**

Courtyard areas will have furniture and cooking facilities. No non-hazardous liquids or hazardous materials will be stored in this area. No non-hazardous liquids or hazardous materials should ever be stored in this area. Follow permanent source controls.

---

**VI. STORMWATER FACILITY MAINTENANCE**

**VI.A. Ownership and Responsibility for Maintenance in Perpetuity**

An operations and maintenance agreement & plan will be developed between Studio KDA and the City of El Cerrito prior to construction of this project & it will be recorded.

**VI.B. Summary of Maintenance Requirements for Each Stormwater Facility**

**Bioretention Areas**

These facilities remove pollutants primarily by filtering runoff slowly through an active layer of soil. Routine maintenance is needed to ensure that flow is unobstructed, that erosion is prevented, and that soils are held together by plant roots and are biologically active. Typical maintenance consists of the following:

- Inspect **inlets** for channels, exposure of soils, or other evidence of erosion. Clear trash and any obstructions and remove any accumulation of sediment. Examine rock or other material used as a splash pad and replenish if necessary.
- Inspect **outlets** for erosion or plugging.
- Inspect **side slopes** for evidence of instability or erosion and correct as necessary.
- Observe soil at the bottom of the swale or filter for uniform **percolation** throughout. If portions of the swale or filter do not drain within 48 hours after the end of a storm, the soil should be tilled and replanted. Remove any debris or accumulations of sediment.
- Examine the **vegetation** to ensure that it is healthy and dense enough to provide filtering and to protect soils from erosion. Replenish mulch as necessary, remove fallen leaves and debris, prune large shrubs or trees, and mow turf areas. When mowing, remove no more than \( \frac{1}{3} \) height of grasses. Confirm that irrigation is adequate and not excessive. Replace dead plants with those required by the C.3. Plan list in the 6th edition C.3. Guidebook and remove noxious and invasive vegetation.
- Abate any potential **vectors** by filling holes in the ground in and around the swale and by insuring that there are no areas where water stands longer than 48 hours following a
storm. If mosquito larvae are present and persistent, contact the Contra Costa Mosquito and Vector Control District for information and advice. Mosquito larvicides should be applied only when absolutely necessary and then only by a licensed individual or contractor.

VII. CONSTRUCTION PLAN C.3 CHECKLIST

Table 3:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stormwater Control Plan Page #</th>
<th>BMP Description</th>
<th>See Plan Sheet #s</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bioretention Areas</td>
<td>C3.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VIII. CERTIFICATIONS

The selection, sizing, and preliminary design of stormwater treatment and other control measures in this plan meet the requirements of Regional Water Quality Control Board Order R2-2009-0074 and Order R2-2011-0083.

Jason White, PE, LEED AP, QSP/P
Project Manager

9-6-2017
Date
THE PROPOSED MIXED USE DEVELOPMENT AT 10963 SAN PABLO AVENUE IN ELCERRITO WILL CREATE OR REPLACE MORE THAN 10,000 SQUARE FEET OF IMPERVIOUS SURFACE. THEREFORE, THIS PROJECT WILL BE SUBJECT TO COVERAGE UNDER THE PROVISIONS OF C.3 OF THE CALIFORNIA REGIONAL WATER QUALITY CONTROL BOARD’S STORMWATER PERMIT (MRP). COMPLIANCE WILL BE ACCORDING TO THE CONTRA COSTA CLEAN WATER PROGRAM STORMWATER C.3 GUIDEBOOK.
**Project Name:** 10963 San Pablo Apartments  
**Project Type:** Treatment Only  
**APN:**  
**Drainage Area:** 18,302  
**Mean Annual Precipitation:** 20.0

## Self-Treating DMAs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DMA Name</th>
<th>Area (sq ft)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DMA1D</td>
<td>4,065.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## IV. Areas Draining to IMPs

### IMP Name: IMP1  
**IMP Type:** Flow-Through Planter  
**Soil Group:** IMP1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DMA Name</th>
<th>Area (sq ft)</th>
<th>Post Project Surface Type</th>
<th>DMA Runoff Factor</th>
<th>DMA Area x Runoff Factor</th>
<th>IMP Sizing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DMA1A</td>
<td>6,570</td>
<td>Conventional Roof</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>6,570</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMA1A-LSP</td>
<td>330</td>
<td>Landscape</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>33</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total Area:** 6,603

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>IMP Name</th>
<th>IMP Type</th>
<th>Soil Group</th>
<th>DMA Name</th>
<th>Area (sq ft)</th>
<th>Post Project Surface Type</th>
<th>DMA Runoff Factor</th>
<th>DMA Area x Runoff Factor</th>
<th>IMP Sizing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IMP1</td>
<td>Flow-Through Planter</td>
<td>IMP1</td>
<td>DMA1A</td>
<td>6,570</td>
<td>Conventional Roof</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>6,570</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IMP1</td>
<td>Flow-Through Planter</td>
<td>IMP1</td>
<td>DMA1A-LSP</td>
<td>330</td>
<td>Landscape</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>33</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total Area:** 6,603

### IMP Name: IMP2  
**IMP Type:** Flow-Through Planter  
**Soil Group:** IMP2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DMA Name</th>
<th>Area (sq ft)</th>
<th>Post Project Surface Type</th>
<th>DMA Runoff Factor</th>
<th>DMA Area x Runoff Factor</th>
<th>IMP Sizing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DMA1B-ROOF</td>
<td>2,115</td>
<td>Conventional Roof</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>2,115</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total Area:** 2,115

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>IMP Name</th>
<th>IMP Type</th>
<th>Soil Group</th>
<th>DMA Name</th>
<th>Area (sq ft)</th>
<th>Post Project Surface Type</th>
<th>DMA Runoff Factor</th>
<th>DMA Area x Runoff Factor</th>
<th>IMP Sizing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IMP2</td>
<td>Flow-Through Planter</td>
<td>IMP2</td>
<td>DMA1B-ROOF</td>
<td>2,115</td>
<td>Conventional Roof</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>2,115</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total Area:** 2,115

### IMP Name: IMP3  
**IMP Type:** Flow-Through Planter  
**Soil Group:** IMP3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DMA Name</th>
<th>Area (sq ft)</th>
<th>Post Project Surface Type</th>
<th>DMA Runoff Factor</th>
<th>DMA Area x Runoff Factor</th>
<th>IMP Sizing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DMA1C-ROOF</td>
<td>4,820</td>
<td>Conventional Roof</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>4,820</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMA1C-LSP</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>Landscape</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total Area:** 4,830

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>IMP Name</th>
<th>IMP Type</th>
<th>Soil Group</th>
<th>DMA Name</th>
<th>Area (sq ft)</th>
<th>Post Project Surface Type</th>
<th>DMA Runoff Factor</th>
<th>DMA Area x Runoff Factor</th>
<th>IMP Sizing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IMP3</td>
<td>Flow-Through Planter</td>
<td>IMP3</td>
<td>DMA1C-ROOF</td>
<td>4,820</td>
<td>Conventional Roof</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>4,820</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IMP3</td>
<td>Flow-Through Planter</td>
<td>IMP3</td>
<td>DMA1C-LSP</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>Landscape</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total Area:** 4,830

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>IMP Sizing Factor</th>
<th>Rain Adjustment Factor</th>
<th>Minimum Area or Volume</th>
<th>Proposed Area or Volume</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.040</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>264</td>
<td>285</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.040</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.040</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>264</td>
<td>285</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Report generated on 9/6/2017 12:00:00 AM by the Contra Costa Clean Water Program IMP Sizing Tool software (version 1.3.1.0).
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1.0 Executive Summary

CSDA conducted an environmental noise survey to determine the appropriate acoustical recommendations for the project at 10963 San Pablo Avenue to meet San Pablo (City) and California (State) Code requirements. The following summarizes our findings:

- This project will require up to 42 STC windows and STC 40 doors to comply with the City and California Building Code acoustical requirements.
- Some exterior walls may require additional interior gypsum board and/or resilient clips/channels.
- Where sound rated windows and/or doors are required, fresh air ventilation must be provided.

2.0 Project Description

The 10963 San Pablo Avenue multi-family development is located in El Cerrito, at the southwest corner of San Pablo Avenue and Jefferson Avenue. The project consists of a 50 unit rental apartment building with a commercial space on the first floor. The parcel is zoned RM-4 (Mixed Housing Type Residential). The main noise source is San Pablo Avenue. Additional noise sources include general city noise, occasional traffic on Jefferson Avenue, and traffic on Interstate 80.

CSDA conducted an environmental noise study to quantify the existing environmental noise levels and determine the facade components necessary to meet City and State Code interior noise requirements. This report summarizes our findings and recommendations.

3.0 Acoustical Criteria

3.1 El Cerrito General Plan

The City of El Cerrito 1999 General Plan specifies the following policies applicable to this project:

- H3.1 Noise Levels in New Residential Projects: “New residential development projects shall meet acceptable exterior noise level standards. The ‘normally acceptable’ noise standards for new land uses are established in Table 7-1, Land Use Compatibility for Community Exterior Noise Environments, which shall be modified by Policies H3.2 through H3.12” (applicable sections listed below). See Figure 1.
**Figure 1 – El Cerrito Land-Use Compatibility Table**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Land Use Type</th>
<th>Exterior Noise Exposure (Ldn or CNEL, dB)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residential, Hotels and Motels</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outdoor Sports and Recreation,</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neighborhood Parks and Playgrounds</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schools, Libraries, Museums,</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospitals, Personal Care,</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meeting Halls, Churches</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office Buildings, Business</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commercial, and Professional</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auditoriums, Concert Halls,</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amphitheaters</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Industrial, Manufacturing, Utilities</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>and Agriculture</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **H3.6 New Commercial, Industrial and Office Noise Standards:** “Appropriate interior noise levels in commercial, industrial, and office buildings are a function of the use of space and shall be evaluated on a case-by-case basis. Interior noise levels in offices generally should be maintained at 45 L_{eq} (hourly average) or less.”

- **H3.11 Commercial or Industrial Source Noise:** “Noise created by commercial or industrial sources associated with new projects or developments shall be controlled so as not to exceed the noise level standards set forth in the table below (Maximum Allowable Noise Exposure for Stationary Noise Sources), as measured at any affected residential land use.” See figure 2.
3.2 El Cerrito Municipal Code

The 2017 El Cerrito Code of Ordinances\(^1\) contains the following specifications applicable to this project:

- **Section 19.21.050-B3**
  - All new residential developments should have an hourly average noise level of 45 dBA or less. Commercial developments may be evaluated on a case-by-case basis, but generally should have an hourly average noise level \(L_{eq}\) of 45 dBA or less.
  
  - Interior noise levels in new residential units exposed to an \(L_{dn}\) of 60 dBA or greater should be limited to a maximum instantaneous noise level of 50 dBA in bedrooms. Maximum instantaneous noise levels in other rooms should not exceed 55 dBA. The typical repetitive maximum instantaneous noise level at each site would be determined by a noise meter.

3.3 El Cerrito Municipal Code Outdoor Noise Levels

The 2017 Code of Ordinances contains the following specifications applicable to this project:

- **Section 19.21.050-B2**: “all new development shall comply with the outdoor noise standards established in Table 19-21-A below.” See Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Land Use Type</th>
<th>Exterior Noise Exposure (L_{dn}), dBA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Normally Acceptable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residential, Hotel and Motels</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office Buildings, Business Commercial, and Professional</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

\(^1\) https://library.municode.com/ca/el_cerrito/codes/code_of_ordinances
• 19.21.050-B5: “The zoning Administrator may require a noise study to be prepared for all new uses with outdoor noise levels within the conditionally acceptable range in Table 19.21-A (Table 1), or uses that, in the Zoning Administrator’s opinion, may not meet the standards of the Noise Section of the Resources and Hazards General Plan Element.”

3.4 California Building Code

For the residential portion of the project, the California Building Code stipulates that an interior noise level attributed to exterior sources shall not exceed $L_{dn}$ 45 dBA for any habitable room in a multi-family building.²

CALGreen stipulates noise criteria for the retail portion of the ground floor work/live unit. For sites with hourly noise levels above 65 dBA, interior noise levels must be no greater than $L_{eq}$ 50 dBA during the noisiest hour of operation (Performance Method).⁴

3.5 Criteria Summary

In order to meet City and State acoustical requirements, the following must be achieved:

• Existing environmental noise intrusion from exterior sources:
  □ $L_{dn}$ 45 dBA or less inside of residences per California Building Code.
  □ $L_{eq}$ 45 dBA or less inside of residences per El Cerrito Municipal Code.
  □ $L_{dn}$ 45 dBA or less in the retail portion of the project per El Cerrito General Plan.
  □ $L_{eq}$ 50 dBA or less in the retail space during the noisiest hour of the day per California Building Code.
  □ $L_{max}$ 50 dBA or less in all bedrooms of residences per El Cerrito Municipal Code.
  □ $L_{max}$ 55 dBA or less in all other rooms of residences per El Cerrito Municipal Code.

• Exterior noise levels at the property line generated per the building equipment:
  □ $L_{eq}$ 45 dBA or less at night and 55 dBA or less during the day per El Cerrito General Plan.

4.0 Noise Measurements

Long-term (i.e., 48-hour) noise measurements were conducted at the project site from June 26 to 28, 2017 to quantify the existing environmental noise levels at the site. The long term measurements were taken in secured lock boxes 12 feet above the ground. Measurements commenced around midday on June 26 and ended around midday on June 28. The following summarizes the measurement conditions and results.

4.1 Weather

During the measurements, the maximum wind speed was 18 miles per hour (mph); wind speeds were high from June 27 at 7:00 PM to June 28 at 3:00. Based upon a review of the data during these times, wind noise did not affect the measurements. The temperature ranged from a low of 57°F to a high of 81°F, and averaged 69°F. The humidity level ranged from a low of 39% to a high of 84%, averaging 64%. There was no precipitation during the testing period.

---

² 2013 California Building Code (CBC), California Code of Regulations, Title 24, Volume 1, Section 1207.4
³ $L_{eq}$: The equivalent continuous sound level which would contain the same sound energy as the time varying sound level.
⁴ 2013 California Green Building Standards Code, Section 5.507.
4.2 General Noise Conditions

The noise environment is dominated by traffic on San Pablo Avenue. Minor noise sources include occasional traffic on Jefferson Avenue, Interstate 80, and general city noise. During the nighttime hours, local traffic decreased lending to lower noise levels.

4.3 Noise Measurement Results

The equipment was calibrated immediately before and after the measurements with no significant drift in response. LT-1 was along the east property line and primarily measured noise generated by traffic on San Pablo Avenue. LT-2 was placed adjacent to the north property line across Jefferson Avenue. While this location is not directly on the project site, it has the same setback to Jefferson Avenue and it is still an accurate measure for noise impinging on the northern facade of the project building. Figure 3 shows the measurement locations, Table 2 summarizes the noise measurement results, and Figure 4 shows the noise measurements data graphically.

Figure 3 – Long Term (LT) Measurement Locations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LT</th>
<th>Measurement Location</th>
<th>L_{dn} dBA</th>
<th>Noisiest Hour dBA</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Typical L_{max} dBA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LT-1</td>
<td>San Pablo Ave.</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>9 AM</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LT-2</td>
<td>Jefferson Ave.</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>2 PM</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

LT = Long-term meter height (12')
5.0 Analysis and Recommendations

5.1 Noise and Land-Use Compatibility
Per El Cerrito General Plan, the measured noise levels at the site (measured up to $L_{dn}$ 73 dBA) warrant a detailed noise analysis. The required analysis involves calculating expected noise levels inside of the habitable rooms (i.e., Actions 1.1 and 3.1, which reference the California Building Code) and providing recommendations as necessary for the facade construction to meet the interior $L_{dn}$ 45 dBA Code requirement.

The following analysis are based upon expected future noise levels, incorporating the traffic growth projections contained in the City’s General Plan. San Pablo Avenue traffic volumes are expected to increase by 2.4% annually. There is no significant expected increase in traffic along Jefferson Avenue.

5.2 Interior Noise Levels
In order to achieve City and State Code standards, sound-rated windows and exterior doors are needed at various building facades. Please note the following:

- Calculations are based on architectural drawings dated June 27, 2017.
- A typical construction grade window achieves STC 28.
A typical exterior entry door with gasketing and a door bottom/shoe (swing doors) achieves STC 28.

We assume metal siding/rainscreen finish, shear plywood, and insulated stud exterior walls at the residential levels; please inform us if the assembly is different.

The STC rating of operable fenestration corresponds to performance in the closed position, which halts natural ventilation; therefore, fresh air must be provided using an active mechanical (e.g., HVAC) or passive (e.g., stack-effect) system. The mechanical engineer should review this recommendation.

The STC ratings below need to be refined if the design changes.

Figures 5 and 6 show the recommended window and exterior door STC ratings necessary to meet the acoustical criteria. All dwelling units are typical for floors 2-5. If you need window/door product recommendations, please contact us.

Note that exterior walls will likely require an additional layer of gypsum board at the unit interior. Please forward the exterior wall detail when it becomes available for our review and comment. At bedrooms facing east (i.e., towards San Pablo Avenue), resilient channels with clips are likely necessary. We will provide more guidance when the exterior wall details are available.

**Figure 5 – Window/Exterior Door STC Ratings for Retail Space**
This concludes our environmental noise study for 10963 San Pablo Avenue, El Cerrito, CA; please contact us with questions.
APPENDIX TRA:
TRANSPORTATION TECHNICAL MEMORANDUM
AND TRAFFIC STUDY
MEMORANDUM

Date: September 1, 2017
To: Buddy Williams, Studio KDA
From: Huma Husain and Sam Tabibnia, Fehr & Peers
Subject: 10963 San Pablo Avenue – Transportation Impact Analysis

Fehr & Peers conducted a preliminary transportation assessment for the proposed development, consisting of 50 residential units and about 2,900 square-feet of commercial space at 10963 San Pablo Avenue in El Cerrito, California (Project). The Project is located in the San Pablo Avenue Specific Plan (SPASP) area, which was analyzed in an environmental impact report (EIR) certified in 2014.

Based on our analysis, the Project is consistent with the SPASP EIR and would generate fewer AM and PM peak hour vehicle trips than the total trip generation assumed for the high priority opportunity sites in the EIR. Thus, no additional analysis is needed for this Project (final determination will be made by City of El Cerrito Staff). In addition, we recommend the following to help reduce automobile trips and parking demand generated by the Project:

1. Consider providing AC Transit passes or BART-equivalent Clipper Card Value for project residents.

The rest of this memorandum describes the Project, estimates trip generation, and reviews the site plan for adequate parking supply and safe access and circulation.
PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The Project is located in the SPASP “Midtown” area, at the southwest corner of the San Pablo Avenue/Jefferson Street intersection. Playland-not-at-the-Beach (an indoor amusement park), a donut shop, a hairdresser, and a surface parking lot currently occupy the site. The Project would replace the existing uses with a mixed-use five-story multi-family residential development with 50 dwelling units and about 2,900 square-feet of ground-level commercial space.

The Project includes a parking garage with 31 double lift parking spaces, one individual space, and two ADA spaces, for a total of 34 garage parking spaces reserved for residential uses. In addition, two uncovered car-sharing spaces would be provided, available to both residents and the public. Vehicles would access the site through a full-access driveway on Jefferson Street. The residential parking would be unbundled from the apartment units, meaning that the spaces would be leased separately from the units.

PROJECT TRIP GENERATION

Trip generation is the process of estimating the number of vehicles that would likely access the Project site. Current accepted methodologies, such as the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE) Trip Generation methodology, are primarily based on data collected at single-use suburban sites. These defining characteristics limit their applicability to developments located in more walkable urban settings near frequent local and regional transit service, such as the proposed Project. Fehr & Peers adjusted the ITE-based estimates using the methodology used in the SPASP EIR to account for the Project’s setting and proximity to frequent transit service. In the SPASP EIR, the ITE-based trip generation estimate was adjusted by applying an MXD (Mixed-Used Development) Tool, which accounts for the density, land use mix, roadway design, and transit characteristics of the Project area and uses these to adjust the ITE trip generation rates.

Table 1 presents the trip generation for the Project. To account for trip generation of the existing site, Fehr & Peers collected morning and evening peak hour-trip generation counts on Tuesday, July 18, 2017. The existing site’s trip generation is applied as a reduction to the trip generation estimates of the Project. Accounting for the MXD adjustments used in the SPASP and existing land
uses, it is estimated that the proposed mixed-use development would generate about seven morning and 18 evening net-new peak-hour trips.

### TABLE 1: PROJECT TRIP GENERATION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Land Use</th>
<th>ITE Code</th>
<th>Size¹</th>
<th>A.M. Peak</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>P.M. Peak</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>In</td>
<td>Out</td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>In</td>
<td>Out</td>
<td>Total</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Project Trip Generation</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apartments                Mid-Rise Apartments (#223)²</td>
<td>50 DU</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>22</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retail                    Shopping Center (#820)³</td>
<td>2.9 KSF</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Project Trip Generation</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>6</strong></td>
<td><strong>11</strong></td>
<td><strong>17</strong></td>
<td><strong>18</strong></td>
<td><strong>14</strong></td>
<td><strong>32</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Existing Site Trip Generation</strong>⁴</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Playland-not-at-the-Beach</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hair Salon</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Donut Shop</td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Existing Site Trip Generation</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>6</strong></td>
<td><strong>4</strong></td>
<td><strong>10</strong></td>
<td><strong>7</strong></td>
<td><strong>7</strong></td>
<td><strong>14</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Net-New Project Trip Generation</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td><strong>11</strong></td>
<td>7</td>
<td><strong>18</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:**

1. DU = dwelling unit; KSF = 1,000 square feet
2. ITE Trip Generation (9th Edition) land use category 223 (mid-rise apartments), adjusted based on the SPASP EIR trip generation methodology.
   AM Peak Hour Average Rate = 0.28 trips per DU (31% in, 69% out)
   PM Peak Hour Average Rate = 0.44 trips per DU (58% in, 42% out)
3. ITE Trip Generation (9th Edition) land use category 820 (shopping center), adjusted based on the SPASP EIR trip generation methodology.
   AM Peak Hour Average Rate = 0.85 trips per KSF (62% in, 38% out)
   PM Peak Hour Average Rate = 3.27 trips per KSF (48% in, 52% out)
4. Existing trip generation based on counts collected on Tuesday, July 18, 2017.
The SPASP EIR assumed developments at planned/entitled and high priority opportunity sites as part of the traffic analysis for the EIR. Although the proposed Project is within the SPASP area, it was not included as a planned/entitled project or priority opportunity site as part of the EIR traffic analysis. However, this analysis compares the Project to the high priority opportunity sites analyzed in the EIR to ensure the Project does not exceed the total assumptions made for the SPASP area. Since the certification of the SPASP EIR, four developments, including this Project, have been proposed and are in some stage of the City's approval process at this time. Table 2 summarizes the trip generation for these four developments. The four developments combined would generate about 26 morning and 47 evening net-new peak hour trips. The combined trip generation is less than the total trip generation estimated for all the opportunity sites in the SPASP EIR.

Since the uses proposed by the Project are consistent with the assumptions in the SPASP EIR and the proposed Project would generate fewer automobile trips than assumed in SPASP EIR, the proposed Project would not result in additional impacts on traffic operations at the intersections analyzed in the SPASP EIR.
TABLE 2: TRIP GENERATION FOR PROPOSED OPPORTUNITY SITES IN THE SPASP AREA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>AM Peak Hour</th>
<th>PM Peak Hour</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>In</td>
<td>Out</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10963 San Pablo Avenue (Proposed Project)²</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10192 San Pablo Avenue</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10290 San Pablo Avenue</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10300 San Pablo Avenue</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Proposed Projects Trips</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| SPASP EIR Trip Generation for High Priority Opportunity Sites² | 284 | 460 | 744 | 855 | 729 | 1,584 |

| Percent Complete | 2% | 4% | 3% | 3% | 3% | 3% |

Notes:
1. See Table 1 for details on the proposed Project’s trip generation rate.
2. Total trips include only high priority opportunity site projects analyzed in the SPASP EIR (Appendix D)


CONSISTENCY WITH SPASP

The SPASP classifies each roadway within the SPASP area and specifies improvements on roadway, pedestrian, bicycle, and transit improvements based on those classifications. The City of El Cerrito is currently in the process of refining the multimodal improvements identified in the SPASP and developing a Transportation Impact Fee (TIF) program to determine fair share payment by the development projects facilitated by the Specific Plan for these improvements.

Within the vicinity of the Project, Jefferson Street is classified as a neighborhood street. The SPASP calls out the following improvements for neighborhood streets:

- Add bulb-outs as possible at all intersections and mid-block crossings; consider bulb-outs along parking lane where appropriate
Within the vicinity of the Project, San Pablo Avenue is classified as a community street in the mid-town area. The SPASP calls out the following improvements for San Pablo Avenue within the vicinity of the Project:

- Add landscaped bulb-outs with two standard curb ramps at all intersections.
- Consider consolidating and moving to far-side-of-intersection bus stops with bus platforms in the parking lane to increase transit efficiency and enhance rider experience.
- Provide cycle tracks

Specific to the Project area, the SPASP specifies the following improvement:

- Provide midblock connection/crosswalk just north of Jefferson Street across San Pablo Avenue.

The Project would make a fair share contribution towards the implementation of the multi-modal improvements identified by the SPASP. One option is payment of the City of El Cerrito Transportation Impact Fee (TIF), currently under development.

SITE PLAN REVIEW

This section evaluates access and circulation of all travel modes within the proposed site, based on the site plan dated August 30, 2017. The San Pablo Avenue Specific Plan includes a Form Based Code to ensure the goals of the SPASP are met. The Form Based Code is the primary regulating document for the Project site.

Vehicle Access and On-Site Circulation

Residents would access the site through a full-access driveway on Jefferson Street, about 130 feet west of San Pablo Avenue. The driveway would provide access to a secured parking garage and two uncovered parking spaces reserved for car-sharing services. Section 2.04.02.02 of the SPASP Form Based Code requires two-way driveways for buildings located on corner lots of community streets to be no wider than 20 feet and located along the adjacent side street. San Pablo Avenue within the vicinity of the Project site is classified as a community street, requiring the two-way Project driveway to be no wider than 20 feet. The proposed driveway has a width of 22-feet, not
meeting the Form Based Code requirements. However, it is recommended that the Project maintain the additional width to allow for maneuvering into and out of the carsharing spaces.

**Project Driveway Sight Distance**

The driveway on Jefferson Street would provide adequate sight distance between vehicles exiting the driveway and pedestrians on the adjacent sidewalk. Vehicles parked on Jefferson Street on both sides of the driveway may block sight distance between vehicles exiting the driveway and vehicles on Jefferson Street. Trees planted on both sides of the driveway may also affect visibility of exiting vehicles if the tree canopy is lower than six feet from the ground. Based on the site plan, dated August 30, 2017, the Project would provide 10 feet of red curb on both sides of the driveway to ensure adequate sight distance.

**Bicycle Parking, Access and On-Site Circulation**

Table 3 outlines bicycle parking requirements for the Project site. The Project would consist of 50 dwelling units and about 2,900 square-feet of commercial space, requiring 76 long-term spaces and five short-term spaces. The Project would provide 76 long-term spaces and six short-term spaces, meeting the requirements for long-term spaces as defined in the Form Based Code.

The Project would provide long-term bicycle parking in secured corrals located in both the southwest corner of the garage and along the south elevation of the building in the southwest corner. Pedestrians and cyclists would access the long-term bike parking along the exterior of the building through side gates located on Jefferson Street and San Pablo Avenue and the bike storage located in the garage would be accessible through the lobby or the garage entrance. Short-term parking would be located along the building frontage on both Jefferson Street and San Pablo Avenue.
Pedestrian Access and On-Site Circulation

Pedestrian access to the residential component of the Project would be provided through a staircase and elevator in the building lobby and a staircase in the garage. The building lobby would be accessible through the main entrance on Jefferson Street, through the Project garage, and through the walkway on the south side of the Project site. The commercial component of the Project would be accessible through an entrance along San Pablo Avenue.

The Form Based Code requires a minimum of 14 feet of sidewalk space along community streets, including eight feet of clear pedestrian right-of-way and six feet of amenity space, which includes landscaping. For neighborhood streets, the Form Based Code requires a minimum of 10 feet of sidewalk space, including five feet of clear pedestrian right-of-way and five feet of amenity space. The proposed Project site plan shows 14-feet sidewalk widths along San Pablo Avenue, a community street, and 10-feet sidewalk widths along Jefferson Street, a neighborhood street, which would meet code requirements for total sidewalk width. The site plan also delineates eight feet and
five feet of pedestrian right-of-way along San Pablo Avenue and Jefferson Street respectively, meeting code requirements.

**Transit Access**

AC Transit provides nearby transit service to the Project site with a bus stop on southbound San Pablo Avenue, at the near-side of the San Pablo Avenue/Jefferson Street intersection (just north of Jefferson Street). The bus stop provides a bench but does not include a bus shelter.

**Parking Requirements**

The Project would provide a secured parking garage with a two-way drive aisle and a total of 34 spaces, including 31 double lift parking spaces, one surface space, and two ADA spaces. Two additional uncovered parking spaces would be provided for car-sharing services, located outside the garage door and accessible via the Project driveway. The surface parking space dimensions are approximately nine feet in width and 18 feet in length, meeting Form Based Code requirements for perpendicular parking spaces.

The SPASP Form Based Code requirements for the TOMIMU zoning district apply to the Project site. TOMIMU zoning (Section 2.05.07.04) requires a maximum of 1.5 automobile parking spaces per dwelling unit and a basic TDM plan. There is no required parking for commercial spaces less than 3,000 square-feet. For projects proposing a residential parking ratio between zero and one space per unit, additional TDM measures and a parking study may be required.

**Table 4** summarizes the required and proposed parking for the Project. The Form Based Code would limit parking to a maximum of 75 off-street residential parking spaces for the Project. Based on the site plan dated August 30, 2017, the Project would provide 36 spaces (corresponding to 0.72 spaces per unit), meeting Code requirements.

Section 2.05.07.07 of the Form Based Code requires that at least 10-percent of the total number of parking spaces provided for a multifamily residential project be pre-wired to allow for Electric Vehicle (EV) charging, with at least one space being an accessible parking space. The site plan designates three parking spaces as pre-wired to accommodate EV charging, one of which is an
accessible space, meeting code requirements. An additional parking space is designated as a run conduit for future EV station.

### TABLE 4: REQUIRED MAXIMUM AND PROPOSED PARKING

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Land Use</th>
<th>Size¹</th>
<th>Required Parking Supply</th>
<th>Parking Supply</th>
<th>Within Range?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Minimum</td>
<td>Maximum</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apartments</td>
<td>50 DU</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retail</td>
<td>2.9 KSF</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes:
1. The Project is not required to provide commercial parking spaces, as the proposed commercial space is less than 3,000 square-feet
2. Source: SPASP Form Based Code Section 2.05.07.04 – TOMIMU Zone Off-Street Parking Requirements for Residential; Max 1.5 spaces per DU
3. DU = Dwelling Units; KSF = 1,000 square feet

Date: March 30, 2018
To: Buddy Williams, Studio KDA
From: Huma Husain and Sam Tabibnia, Fehr & Peers
Subject: 10963 San Pablo Avenue – Transportation Demand Management Plan

The proposed 10963 San Pablo Avenue Project is required to prepare a Transportation Demand Management (TDM) Plan based on the San Pablo Avenue Specific Plan (SPASP) Form-Based Code guidelines and direction provided by the City of El Cerrito, because it would provide less than one off-street parking space per unit. This memorandum describes the Project, the proposed parking supply, and lists the mandatory TDM strategies that the Project shall implement to achieve a reduction in vehicle ownership associated with the Project.

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The Project is located in the SPASP “Midtown” area, at the southwest corner of the San Pablo Avenue/Jefferson Street intersection in El Cerrito. Playland-not-at-the-Beach (an indoor amusement park), a donut shop, a hairdresser, and a surface parking lot currently occupy the site. The Project would replace the existing uses with a mixed-use five-story multi-family residential development consisting of 50 dwelling units and about 2,900 square-feet of ground-level commercial space.

The Project includes a parking garage with 31 double lift parking spaces, one individual space, and two ADA spaces, for a total of 34 garage parking spaces reserved for residential uses. Vehicles would access the site through a full-access driveway on Jefferson Street. The residential parking would be unbundled from the apartment units, meaning that the spaces would be leased separately from the units.
PARKING SUPPLY

The Project would provide a secured parking garage with a two-way drive aisle and a total of 34 spaces, which corresponds to about 0.68 spaces per unit. Table 1 summarizes the required and proposed parking for the Project.

The SPASP Form-Based Code requirements for the TOMIMU zoning district apply to the Project site. TOMIMU zoning (Section 2.05.07.04) requires a maximum of 1.5 automobile parking spaces per dwelling unit and a basic TDM plan. For projects proposing a residential parking ratio between zero and one space per unit, the Form-Based Code states that additional TDM measures may be required. There is no required parking for commercial spaces less than 3,000 square-feet.

Although the proposed parking supply is within the required parking ratio, the Project is required to implement a TDM plan to account for the difference between the proposed parking supply ratio of 0.68 spaces per unit and the recommended minimum ratio of one space per unit. Therefore, the goal of the TDM Plan is to discuss how the parking deficiency of 0.32 spaces per unit will be addressed by the Project.

### Table 1: Required Maximum and Proposed Parking

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Land Use</th>
<th>Size1</th>
<th>Required Parking Supply</th>
<th>Parking Supply</th>
<th>Within Range?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Minimum</td>
<td>Maximum</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apartments</td>
<td>50 DU</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retail</td>
<td>2.9 KSF</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Parking Supply Ratio (spaces/unit)** 0.68

**Notes:**
1. The Project is not required to provide commercial parking spaces, as the proposed commercial space is less than 3,000 square-feet
2. Source: SPASP Form Based Code Section 2.05.07.04 – TOMIMU Zone Off-Street Parking Requirements for Residential; Max 1.5 spaces per DU
3. DU = Dwelling Units; KSF = 1,000 square feet
TDM STRATEGIES TO REDUCE PARKING DEMAND

Project Context

An appropriate TDM plan will depend on the context of the Project within the surrounding neighborhood. The Project is located along a commercial corridor, near Bay Area Rapid Transit (BART) and adjacent to frequent bus service. The nearest BART station is the El Cerrito del Norte station, approximately one-half mile north of the Project. AC Transit is the primary bus service provider with frequent service (approximately 15 minute headways) along San Pablo Avenue; the nearest bus stop is just north of the Project site.

The Project’s proximity to both regional and local transit, as well as to commercial uses, is likely to result in relatively high rates of non-automobile use by residents and visitors. This is evidenced in part by the travel patterns of the area’s existing residents. Based on US Census data, Table 2 summarizes the transportation mode split for employed residents’ journey to work, which shows that a large proportion of employed residents, approximately 34 percent, use public transportation to travel to work.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Transportation Mode</th>
<th>Percent of Employed Residents in Surrounding Census Tracts</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Drove Alone</td>
<td>48%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carpoled</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public transportation</td>
<td>34%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motorcycle</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bicycle</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walked</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2011-2015 American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates, Census Tract 3860
TDM Strategies

The Project could address their less-than-one parking ratio for residents by implementing the following required and additional TDM strategies.

Required Strategies

The following TDM strategies are required as part of the SPASP Form Based Code. These strategies are incorporated into the parking space reduction calculations because they are not accounted for in the base parking demand of 1.07 spaces per unit estimated for the Project.

- **Unbundled Parking** - Unbundled parking means that parking is rented or sold separately than the residential unit, rather than automatically included in the rent or cost. The process of unbundling and itemizing parking costs helps potential residents understand the cost of parking. Not including the price of parking in the housing cost would attract residents that do not own a car. Research indicates that a reduction in automobile ownership can be expected from separately pricing residential parking. The research indicates a reduction of four to 19 percent in automobile ownership if parking is unbundled and charged separately.\(^1\) This corresponds to a reduction in parking demand of approximately two to ten spaces for the proposed Project.

- **Bicycle Parking** – The Project would provide 76 long-term spaces and six short-term bicycle parking spaces. The effectiveness of providing bicycle parking on automobile ownership is not known at this time. However, providing bicycle parking beyond the City Code requirements would make the development more attractive to car-free households. Although, Bicycle parking is required by the San Pablo Avenue Specific Plan but it is still a strategy to reduce parking demand.

Additional Strategies

The following additional TDM strategies are recommended in order to address the Project’s parking deficiency of 0.32 spaces per unit.

- **Transit Fare Subsidies** – Providing free or subsidized transit (such as funding Clipper Cards for residents) would further incentivize project residents to use transit and not own a car. The effectiveness of providing transit subsidies on automobile ownership is estimated based on research compiled in Quantifying Greenhouse Gas Mitigation Measures (California Air Pollution Control Officers Association (CAPCOA), August 2010). This report is a resource for local agencies

---

to quantify the benefit, in terms of reduced travel demand, of implementing various TDM strategies. The focus of the CAPCOA document is reductions to vehicle miles traveled (VMT) and vehicle trip reductions. For the purposes of this analysis the vehicle ownership reduction is assumed to be half of the VMT reduction. Subsidizing transit costs for project residents would make the development more attractive to car-free households. Providing transit subsidies corresponds to a parking demand reduction of two to six spaces for the proposed Project. The Project Developer will provide a $3.00 per day subsidy per residential unit for the first year of occupancy. The subsidy would be in the form of a Clipper Card, with a monthly or annual deposit. This potential subsidy totals an estimated $54,750 ($3 x 50 units x 365 days).

- **TDM Coordinator** – Building management shall designate a “Building TDM coordinator” to coordinate, monitor and publicize TDM activities. The effectiveness of providing a TDM Coordinator on automobile ownership is not known at this time. The developer will instruct the building management company to designate their on site manager as the TDM coordinator.

- **TDM Marketing and Tenant Education** - Building management shall provide employed tenants information about various transportation options in the Project area and the TDM strategies provided by the building. This information would also be posted at central location(s) and be provided to each building tenant. The information shall be updated as necessary. Marketing strategies can promote alternative trips by making commuters aware of the options and incentives of using non-automobile transportation. Implementing commute trip reduction strategies with a complementary marketing strategy can increase the overall effectiveness of the program. A TDM Marketing program corresponds to a reduction in parking demand of about zero to three spaces. The developer will install a transit screen in the lobby with some information on transit routes, fare discounts, rideshare, and walking and biking events, which will be administered by the building management company’s on site manager. This information will include:
  
  - **Transit Routes** – Promote the use of transit by providing user-focused maps. These maps provide residents with wayfinding to nearby transit stops and transit-accessible destinations, and are particularly useful for those without access to portable mapping applications. The Project will install TransitScreen real-time transit information in a visible location in the building lobby to provide residents with up-to-date transit arrival and departure times.

  - **Transit Fare Discounts** – Provide information about local discounted fare options offered by BART and AC Transit, including discounts for youth, elderly, persons with disabilities, and Medicare cardholders.

  - **Car Sharing** – Promote accessible car sharing programs, such as Zipcar, and Getaround by informing residents and employees of on-site and nearby car sharing locations and applicable membership information.

  - **Ridesharing** – Provide residents and employees with phone numbers and contact information for ride sharing options including Uber, Lyft, and El Cerrito taxi cab services.
o *Carpooling* – Provide residents and employees with phone numbers and contact information for carpool matching services such as the Metropolitan Transportation Commission’s 511 RideMatching.

o *Walking and Biking Events* – Provide information about local biking and walking events as events are planned.

Table 3 summarizes the effectiveness of the strategies discussed above.

**TABLE 3: PROJECT RESIDENT PARKING DEMAND SUMMARY**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strategies to Reduce Parking Demand</th>
<th>Parking Space Reduction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Required Strategies</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unbundled Parking (4-19%)</td>
<td>-2 to -10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bicycle Parking</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Additional Strategies</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transit Fare Subsidy (3-10%)¹</td>
<td>-2 to -6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TDM Coordinator</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marketing and Education (0-5%)</td>
<td>0 to -3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Reduction</strong></td>
<td><strong>-4 to -19</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Recommended Parking Supply</strong>²</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Proposed Parking Supply</strong></td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Parking Demand with TDM Strategies</strong></td>
<td>31 to 46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Parking Surplus/Deficit</strong></td>
<td><strong>+3 to -12</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. This range represents a $1.00 - $6.00 per day per resident subsidy.
2. Based on SPASP recommended parking minimum of 1.0 spaces per residential unit.


Since the effectiveness of some of the strategies (bicycle parking and TDM coordinator) cannot be quantified at this time, it is conservatively estimated that those strategies would have a parking
space reduction of zero. With this assumption, the strategies combined would reduce parking demand for project residents by between 4 and 19 spaces if all strategies are implemented.

It is estimated that with the implementation of the strategies described above, the peak parking demand generated by Project residents would be reduced to between 31 and 46 parking spaces. Considering the 34 on-site parking spaces, it is estimated that Project would result in between a parking deficit of 12 spaces and a surplus of three spaces after implementation of the required and additional parking demand reduction strategies.

**ON-STREET PARKING DEMAND**

Fehr & Peers assessed on-street parking availability in the Project area on Thursday March 22, 2018 at 9:00 PM and Tuesday March 27, 2018 at 12:00 PM along San Pablo Avenue (between Madison and Bay View Avenues), Jefferson Avenue (between San Pablo Avenue and 57th Street), 57th Street (between Jefferson and Alameda Avenues), and Alameda Avenue (between San Pablo Avenue and 57th Street) within one block of the Project site. The total parking supply in the study area is estimated at about 157 spaces and is generally unrestricted along the residential streets in Richmond, restricted to two-hours during business hours for the residential segments of Jefferson and Alameda Avenues in El Cerrito, and restricted to one or two hours during business hours along San Pablo Avenue. See Attachment A for a summary of the parking supply, demand, and restrictions.

The average on-street parking occupancy for each street in the study area is summarized in Table 5. As shown in the table, the overall parking occupancy in the area is about 52 percent at 12:00 PM and about 59 percent at 9:00 PM. At both 12:00 PM and 9:00 PM, parking demand is highest along Alameda Avenue, with an occupancy of 84 percent and 90 percent respectively. The average on-street parking occupancy on Jefferson Avenue, where Project residents will mostly likely park, is approximately 47 percent at 12:00 PM and 57 percent at 9:00 PM. Jefferson Avenue, with an estimated 26 available spaces at 12:00 PM and 21 available spaces at 9:00 PM, can accommodate the Project’s potential parking deficit. Assuming that up to 12 additional vehicles would park on Jefferson Avenue, the parking occupancy would increase along Jefferson Avenue to 71 percent at 12:00 PM and 82 percent at 9:00 PM.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Street</th>
<th>Supply</th>
<th>12:00 PM</th>
<th></th>
<th>9:00 PM</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Occupancy</td>
<td>Unoccupied</td>
<td>Occupancy</td>
<td>Unoccupied</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Pablo</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avenue</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jefferson</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>47%</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avenue</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alameda</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>84%</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avenue</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57th Street</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Spaces</td>
<td>157</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>52%</td>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Please contact us with questions or comments.

Attachment A – On-Street Parking Demand
### On-Street Parking Demand

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Street</th>
<th>Block</th>
<th>Side</th>
<th>Supply</th>
<th>Occupancy</th>
<th>Unoccupied</th>
<th>Rate</th>
<th>Occupancy</th>
<th>Unoccupied</th>
<th>Rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>San Pablo Ave</td>
<td>Madison Ave to Jefferson Ave</td>
<td>West</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Pablo Ave</td>
<td>Jefferson Ave to Alameda Ave</td>
<td>West</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>58%</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Pablo Ave</td>
<td>Alameda Ave to Bayview Ave</td>
<td>West</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Pablo Ave</td>
<td>Madison Ave to Jefferson Ave</td>
<td>East</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Pablo Ave</td>
<td>Jefferson Ave to Alameda Ave</td>
<td>East</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>88%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Pablo Ave</td>
<td>Alameda Ave to Bayview Ave</td>
<td>East</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>San Pablo Ave Subtotal</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>40</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>38%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jefferson Ave</td>
<td>San Pablo to S 57th St</td>
<td>North</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>46%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jefferson Ave</td>
<td>San Pablo to S 57th St</td>
<td>South</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>61%</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>70%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Jefferson Ave Subtotal</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>49</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>47%</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>57%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alameda Ave</td>
<td>San Pablo to S 57th St</td>
<td>North</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>81%</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>85%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alameda Ave</td>
<td>San Pablo to S 57th St</td>
<td>South</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>88%</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>96%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Alameda Ave Subtotal</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>51</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>84%</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S 57th St</td>
<td>Jefferson Ave to Alameda Ave</td>
<td>West</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S 57th St</td>
<td>Jefferson Ave to Alameda Ave</td>
<td>East</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>S 57th Street Subtotal</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>17</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>24%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>157</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>52%</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>59%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Other Notes**
- Parking on San Pablo Avenue limited to 2 hr, 9am-6pm ("except by permit" on the east side)
- Parking on Jefferson and Alameda Avenues in El Cerrito limited to 1 hr, 9am-6pm